

THERMAL COMFORT STUDY FOR STUDENT LABORATORY

MOHD HILMAN BIN KAMARUZAMAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

THERMAL COMFORT STUDY FOR STUDENT LABORATORY

MOHD HILMAN BIN KAMARUZAMAN

**This report is submitted as partial requirement for the completion of the Bachelor of
Mechanical Engineering (Thermal Fluids) Degree Program**

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

JUNE 2013

SUPERVISOR DECLARATION

“I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this report is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Thermal Fluids)”.

Signature:

Supervisor:

Date:

DECLARATION

“I hereby declare that the work in this report is my own except for summaries and quotations which have been duly acknowledge.”

Signature:

Author:

Date:

ABSTRACT

Thermal comfort can be defined as a state of mind that expresses satisfaction toward the surrounding thermal environment. There are several parameters that can affect a persons' perception in terms of thermal comfort mark. European Standard ISO 7730 stated that thermal comfort is a combination of four environmental parameters such as air temperature, relative humidity, air velocity, and mean radiant temperature, and plus two personal factors that is clothing (the clothes that occupants wear during the experiment being conducted) and metabolic rate (the activity of occupants during the experiment). This study aims on measurement of thermal comfort at faculty mechanical engineering laboratory. There are two different laboratories that will be conducted in this experiment, one with an air-conditioning system where as another one with no air-conditioning system. The procedure to determine occupants' responses toward thermal comfort in student laboratory is through observation method associated with a survey. Measurement of actual thermal comfort in student laboratory is accomplished through technical measurement associated with a thermal comfort monitor and correlation of Predicted Thermal Votes (PTVc), Predicted Airflow (PAF), Preferred Airflow (PRAF), Skin Dryness (SD) and etc. The result for experimental laboratory with air conditioning system is 23.4°C to 23.9°C, relative humidity of 58.2% to 60.1%, and air velocity of 0.01 m/s to 0.08 m/s. Whereas fabrication laboratory with no air conditioning system recorded a temperature of 31.3°C to 32.9°C, relative humidity of 63.6% to 68.7%, and air velocity of 0.13 m/s and 0.34 m/s.

ABSTRAK

Keselesaan termal boleh ditakrifkan sebagai keadaan minda yang menyatakan kepuasan terhadap persekitaran termal. Terdapat beberapa parameter yang boleh menjejaskan persepsi seseorang terhadap keselesaan termal. Piawaian Eropah ISO 7730 menyatakan bahawa keselesaan termal adalah gabungan empat parameter alam sekitar, contohnya ialah suhu udara, kelembapan relatif, halaju udara, dan bermakna suhu berseri, dan digabungkan dengan dua faktor tambahan iaitu cara pemakaian individu semasa eksperimen dijalankan dan jumlah aktiviti yang dilakukan semasa eksperimen dijalankan. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengukur tahap keselesaan termal di Makmal Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal. Dua makmal telah dipilih sebagai kes kajian untuk projek ini. Satu menggunakan sistem penyejukan udara manakala makmal yang satu lagi tidak menggunakan sistem penyejukan. Kaedah untuk menentukan jawapan penilai ke arah keselesaan termal dalam makmal pelajar adalah melalui kaedah pemerhatian dibantu dengan kaji selidik. Pengukuran keselesaan sebenar haba dalam makmal pelajar dicapai melalui pengukuran lurus teknikal berkaitan dengan monitor keselesaan terma dan analisis sebagai Undian Anggaran Termal (PTVc), Aliran Udara Anggaran (PAF), Aliran Udara Pilihan (PRAF), Kekeringan Kulit (SD) dan sebagainya. Makmal ujikaji menggunakan sistem penyejukan udara mencatatkan suhu pada 23.4°C sehingga 23.9°C, kelembapan udara 58.2% sehingga 60.1%, kelajuan udara 0.01 m/s sehingga 0.08 m/s. manakala untuk makmal fabrikasi tidak menggunakan sistem penyejukan udara mencatatkan suhu 31.3°C sehingga 32.9°C, kelembapan udara 63.6% sehingga 68.7% dan kelajuan udara 0.13 m/s sehingga 0.34 m/s

DEDICATION

For my beloved mother and father.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I sincerely like to express my gratitude to those who have helped me during my final year project in some ways or another. I owe the accomplishment in this project to them. First and foremost, my deep appreciation to my supervisor, Engr. Dr. Tee Boon Tuan for his excellent supervision, invaluable guidance, trust, advice and constant help, support, encouragement, and assistance towards me throughout this project.

My gratitude also goes towards the technicians at FKM workshop, who always show their sincere kindness in helping and gave me useful information especially in related to thermal comfort toward this project.

Lastly, I would like to say thanks to my family whose endless encouragement and support gave me added strength and inspiration to carry out this project to the best of my ability. At the same time, I would like to thank my friends for haring their ideas and comments in order to accomplish my project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NO	ITEMS	PAGE
	Abstract	i
	Abstrak	ii
	Dedication	iii
	Acknowledgements	iv
	Table of Contents	v
	List of Figures	ix
	List of Tables	xi
	List of Abbreviations, Symbols, Specialized Nomenclature	xii
	List of Appendix	xiii
1.0	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background of Study	1
	1.1.1 Relationship Between Student Laboratory And Thermal Comfort	2
1.2	Problem Statements	3
1.3	Objectives	4

1.4	Scope and Limitation of Project	5
1.5	Potential Benefits of Study	5
1.6	Project Outline	6
1.6.1	Determination of the Occupants' Responses regarding to Thermal Comfort at Classroom	6
1.6.2	Measurement of Actual Thermal Comfort at laboratory	7
1.7	Structure of the Report	7
2.0	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction to Thermal Comfort	8
2.1.1	Factors Affecting Thermal Comfort	9
2.1.2	Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) and Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD) scales	14
2.2	Methods and Tools Used for Thermal Comfort Study	16
2.2.1	Observation Method	16
2.2.1.1	Human Thermal Comfort Survey by using Questionnaire Tool	16
2.2.1.2	Quantitative Survey by using Interview Tool	17
2.2.2	Direct Measurement Method	18
2.2.2.1	Direct Measurement by using the anemometer	18
2.3	Previous Study Related to Thermal Comfort	19
2.4	Summary of Literature Review	24
3.0	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Student Laboratory	26
3.2	Instrumentation	26
3.2.1	Room Physical Data Measurement	26
3.2.2	Indoor Air Parameters Measurement	27

3.2.3	Thermal Comfort Questionnaire	28
3.3	Experimental Procedures	28
3.4	Overall Structure of the Methods	29
3.5	Analysis Methods	31
3.5.1	Questionnaire Vote Analysis	31
3.5.2	Thermal Comfort Result Analysis	32
3.6	Experimental Condition Assumptions	34
4.0	RESULTS ANALYSIS	
4.1	Result of Actual Measurement	36
4.1.1	Result of Actual Measurement for Experimental Laboratory	36
4.1.2	Result of Actual Measurement for Fabrication Laboratory	37
4.2	Comparison between ASHRAE Standard 55-2004 with Each Zone	39
4.2.1	Comparison between ASHRAE Standard 55-2004 with Each Zone for Experimental Laboratory	39
4.2.2	Comparison between ASHRAE Standard 55-2004 with Each Zone for Fabrication Laboratory	42
4.3	Thermal Comfort Analysis	46
4.3.1	Subject Analysis for Experimental Laboratory	46
4.3.2	Subject Analysis for Fabrication Laboratory	47
4.4	Thermal Comfort Vote Analysis	48
4.4.1	Thermal Comfort Mean Vote Analysis for Experimental Laboratory	48
4.4.2	Thermal Comfort Mean Vote Analysis for Fabrication Laboratory	49
4.4.3	Summary for Thermal Comfort Mean Vote Analysis	49
4.5	Linear Regression Equation Analysis	50
4.5.1	Linear Regression Analysis for Experimental Laboratory	51

4.5.2	Linear Regression Analysis for Fabrication Laboratory	52
4.5.3	Summary Linear Regression and Thermal Comfort Analysis	52
5.0	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	
5.1	Discussion	54
5.1.1	Actual Air Properties Measurement	55
5.1.2	Thermal Comfort Analysis	56
5.2	Conclusion	58
5.3	Problem in Study	60
5.4	Recommendation for Future Study	61
	REFERENCES	62
	APPENDIX	65

LIST OF FIGURES

NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	7-point thermal sensations	14
2.2	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD) as a function of Predicted Mean Votes (PMV)	15
2.3	Diagrammatic sketch of neural network evaluation model	16
2.4	Zones inside Lecture room	18
3.1	The TSI VelociClac Plus Anemometer (Model 8347A)	27
3.2	Overall structure of the methods adopted in the study	30
4.1	Temperature against Zone for Experimental laboratory (with air conditioning system)	39
4.2	Relative humidity against Zone Experimental laboratory (with air conditioning system)	40
4.3	Air Velocity against Zone Experimental laboratory (with air conditioning system)	41
4.4	Temperature against Zone Fabrication laboratory (without air conditioning system)	42
4.5	Relative Humidity against Zone Fabrication laboratory (without air conditioning system)	43
4.6	Air Velocity against Zone Fabrication laboratory (without air conditioning system)	44
4.7	Pie Chart on Occupant Response In Experimental Laboratory	45
4.8	Pie Chart on Occupant Response in Fabrication Laboratory	45
E1	Experimental laboratory	70
E2	Fabrication laboratory	70
E3	Diffuser Chamber in Experimental Laboratory	71
E4	Wall Fan in Fabrication Laboratory	71

E5	Anemometer Setting	72
E6	Plan Layout for Experimental Laboratory with 20 Zones	73
E7	Plan Layout for Fabrication Laboratory with 20 Zones	74

LIST OF TABLES

NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Metabolic rates for various typical activities	12
2.2	Clothing combination insulation values	13
2.3	Comparison of Studies	24
3.1	Basic in Thermal Comfort Analysis	28
3.2	Predicted Thermal Vote (PTVc) Scale	31
3.3	Predicted Airflow (PAF) Scale	31
3.4	Preferred Airflow (PRAF) Scale	32
3.5	Skin Dryness (SD) Scale	32
3.6	Guilford's Suggested Interpretations for Values of R	33
3.7	Model fitted result by SPSS	33
4.1	Indoor Air Parameter Reading in Experimental Laboratory	37
4.2	Indoor Air Parameter Reading in Fabrication Laboratory	38
4.3	Overall Thermal Comfort Mean Vote Analysis for Experimental Laboratory	48
4.4	Overall Thermal Comfort Mean Vote Analysis for Fabrication Laboratory	49
4.5	Linear Regression Equation for Experimental Laboratory	51
4.6	Linear Regression Equation for Fabrication Laboratory	52
4.7	Overall Neutral Air Velocity of Regression Analysis	53
4.8	Comparison of Neutral Air Velocity and Actual Air Velocity	53
5.1	Comparison of Air Parameter with Other Local Researcher	55
5.2	Overall double regression equation analysis	57

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, SYMBOLS, SPECIALIZED NOMENCLATURE

ASHRAE	-	American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers
CATI	-	Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview
DB	-	Dry Bulb Temperature
HI	-	Heat Index
HVAC	-	Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning
IPTA	-	Institusi Pengajian Tinggi Awam
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
NIOSH	-	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
No.	-	Number
NWBT	-	Natural Wet Bulb Temperature
PD	-	Percentage Dissatisfied
PhD	-	Doctor of Philosophy
PMV	-	Predicted Mean Vote
PPD	-	Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied
RH	-	Relative Humidity
UTeM	-	Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka
WB	-	Wet Bulb Temperature
m	-	Meter
lbs	-	Pounds
°C	-	Degree Celsius
e.g.	-	Exempli Gratia (Latin), means “For Example”

LIST OF APPENDIX

- A Metabolic Rate for More Various Typical Activities
- B Thermal Insulation Values for Each Clothing Separation Description
- C Estimates of Energy Expenditure Rates for Various Activities
- D Gantt Chart for PSM I & II
- E Actual Condition in Student Laboratories
- F Thermal Comfort Vote Questionnaire Form
- G Linear Regression Analysis

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter provides background information of the study. Generally it covers background of study, problem statements, objectives, scope and limitation of project, potential benefits of study, project outline, and structure of the report.

1.1 Background of Study

The study is concerning the quantification of thermal comfort at laboratory in Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM). The study will be carried-out within the Complex Mechanical Engineering Laboratory or can be called FASA B at Taman Tasik Utama.

1.1.1 Relationship between Student Laboratory and Thermal Comfort

ISO 7730 and ASHRAE 55-92 state that thermal comfort can be defined as a condition of mind which expresses satisfaction with the surrounding thermal environment. While Karimipannah, T. (2007) state that good thermal comfort and indoor air quality can actually have a positive impact not just on students' health but can also improve learning.

Thermal comfort for a student's is affected by several parameter such as air movement or velocity in the laboratory, humidity in laboratory, air temperature in laboratory, the clothing they worn to lecture, the average temperature of the walls, amount of physical work activity done in laboratory, mean radiant temperature, floor windows, and some other factors.

However, the high occupant density and machine in the laboratory has to be considered seriously to achieve a thermal comfort in laboratory. Students learning and performance will be affected greatly if they feel thermal discomfort with the environmental throughout the lab session.

A fresh and uncontaminated environment in a laboratory can make the lecturer and students to feel comfortable, energetic and active. Therefore reduce their physical stress, hence the students and lecturer can be improving their learning and teaching skills to present a good performance.

1.2 Problem Statements

From the related sources, some of the problem statements had found which relevant to the effects of the thermal comfort or discomfort in the environment of classrooms. People working in uncomfortably hot and cold environments are more likely to behave unsafely. This is because their ability to make decisions or perform manual tasks deteriorates as mentioned in previous research by Paulo (2004). Alongside these Budaiwi (2007) state that undesirable thermal conditions can lead to occupant dissatisfaction, which in turn has an adverse effect on their health, productivity, and performance. By implementing this case study in UTeM's laboratories, thermal discomfort can be prevented therefore students can perform at their best level. Orosa (2010) found that, in order to conduct a research in the field of thermal comfort, there are six most important thermal variables such as the human activity level, clothing insulation, mean radiant temperature, humidity, temperature and velocity of the indoor air. Those thermal variables will be the main parameters to assess the thermal comfort level in student laboratories for this project.

1.3 Objectives

Within acknowledgement of the importance regarding thermal comfort inside the laboratory, the study was carried out to achieve the following objectives:

- (1) To explore the indoor climate in mechanically ventilated student laboratories.

Laboratory sessions usually are spent in an indoor environment. Therefore, ventilation is one of the parameters that should be considered to get a good thermal comfort in the laboratory.

- (2) To investigate occupants' perception of the accepted level of indoor thermal comfort in a tropical region.

In this objective the occupant response will be noted during the learning and teaching process in the laboratory. Each occupant has their own opinion about the classroom environment.

1.4 Scope and Limitation of Project

The scope of the project is mainly focus on the thermal comfort at FASA B laboratory. Two laboratories had been chose to carry out the thermal comfort measurement, which are the welding workshop for the non-air conditioning type and the other one is computer aided room for the air conditioning type.

The report will evaluates the current thermal comfort conditions by using objective measurement, computational fluid dynamic (CFD) modeling and subjective assessment.

1.5 Potential Benefits of Study

From the determination and measurement of thermal comfort in classroom, the study was carried out to achieve the potential benefits to the following parties:

(1) University

The University laboratory can maintain the satisfied thermal comfort and make an improvement to the unsatisfied laboratory, which is thermal discomfort such as overheated or too cold classrooms. Furthermore, there are many types of machine that can release heat. as a result the thermal comfort for a laboratory become more difficult to achieve.

(2) Students

The study can be a guideline for the students' future studies that concerned in thermal comfort. It is also revealed the students responses due to thermal comfort in the laboratory. The students would know the thermal comfort in some of the laboratory that provided a fresh and clean environment.

(3) Author

The study was carried out to show the thermal comfort knowledge, which including the Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) and Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD), the personal factors and environmental parameters which affect the thermal comfort in laboratory. The author also had learned to use the equipment for measuring the thermal comfort in laboratory. Through the study, author can improve his knowledge on thermal comfort and gain an experience on how to conduct measurement of thermal comfort. From the knowledge experience, it is very useful when working in factory environments for author future career.

1.6 Project Outline

1.6.1 Determination of the Occupants' Responses regarding to Thermal Comfort at Classroom.

The students will be asked to complete a questionnaire during the measurement data been taken. It is consists of general personal information included classroom number, the date and time during completing the questionnaire, students' gender, age, height and weight and six factors of thermal comfort such as air temperature, mean radiant temperature, air velocity, humidity, metabolic rates for work activity, clothing insulation, and final synthesis information.

The thermal comfort was only divided into two levels, which were comfortable and uncomfortable. Furthermore, the thermal sensation was tested by using 7-point ASHRAE scale in the air temperature section. At the last section of the questionnaire, the final synthesis judgement about the perception of the thermal environments in classroom was asked to the students.

1.6.2 Measurement of Actual Thermal Comfort at Laboratory.

Four basic environmental parameters, which are air temperature, mean radiant temperature, air velocity, and relative humidity, were measured while the students and lecturers were completing their questionnaire. The measurement apparatus for the study is VelociCalc plus Anemometer (Model 8347A).

The VelociCalc plus Anemometer measures four parameters, which are ambient or dry bulb temperature (DB), natural wet bulb temperature (WB), globe temperature (G), and relative humidity (RH). It allow the user to log 1394 samples with a time and date stamp, the data can be recorded simultaneously, it can reviewed the data on screen or downloaded to a computer spreadsheet program, with a wide velocity range of 0 to 50m/s depend on the study that will be performed. It also have statistics function displays average, minimum and maximum values, and the number of recorded samples

1.7 Structure of the Report

There are seven chapters in this report. Chapter one will be discussing on the introduction of this study such as background of study, problem statements, objectives of the study, scope and limitation of the study and potential benefit of study.

In chapter two, there it will discuss on the literature review on the study which involve theoretical of thermal comfort, equation of PMV and PPD, methods and tools used from journals, important of thermal comfort, and summaries of journals. From this chapter, we can know more details about thermal comfort and methods and tools have been used in thermal experimental.

Chapter three will specially deliberate the methodology of the thermal comfort study. According to methods and tools section, there are two methods have been used in this study such as survey and measurement experimental. In survey method, the tool would be selected is questionnaire where that can be obtained the response of students about thermal comfort at workshop areas. Additionally, the second method that used the direct measurement that is VelociCalc plus Anemometer.

In chapter four, there are two methods of determination on thermal comfort where will show out the results from response students and actual environment condition. After the collection data have be taken from Questionnaire and measurement of thermal comfort around workshop, there are difference results at each section among thermal environment.

Chapter five is the results analysis. Here student will be using the data that have been taken that is by surveying and direct measurement and use mathematically solution to get the end results.

In chapter six, that is discussion parts of the study which is explanation and distribution about the reasons of difference thermal comfort and environment condition based on the results obtained. By the ways, the improvement of thermal comfort will be discussed so that the temperature and heat generated around workshop will be decreased and maintained thermal environment along working time.