



**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**

**A NEW CONTROL STRATEGY FOR CUTTING FORCE  
DISTURBANCE COMPENSATION FOR XY TABLE BALL SCREW  
DRIVEN SYSTEM**

**Lokman Abdullah**

**Doctor of Philosophy in Manufacturing Engineering**

**2014**

**A NEW CONTROL STRATEGY FOR CUTTING FORCE DISTURBANCE  
COMPENSATION FOR XY TABLE BALL SCREW DRIVEN SYSTEM**

**LOKMAN ABDULLAH**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in  
Manufacturing Engineering**

**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2014**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitle “A New Control Strategy for Cutting Force Disturbance Compensation for *XY* Table Ball Screw Driven System” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : LOKMAN ABDULLAH

Date : .....

## **APPROVAL**

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in Manufacturing Engineering.

Signature : .....

Name : ASSOC. PROF. DR. ZAMBERI JAMALUDIN

Date : .....

## DEDIKASI

Ya Allah, hanya dengan keizinan-Mu kajian ini dapat disempurnakan.

Teristimewa buat mak dan abah,

Hjh. Mariyam binti Mohamad dan Hj. Abdullah bin Md. Said,

Terima kasih di atas doa kalian yang tidak pernah putus, dorongan yang tidak pernah lelah,  
nasihat yang tidak pernah lekang. Moga Mak Abah sentiasa dalam redha Allah.

Terutama buat isteri yang di kasihi,

Nur Radziah binti Salim,

Terima kasih di atas doa, sokongan dan pengorbanan sayang yang tidak pernah jemu.

Buat penyejuk mata dan penghibur hati,

Irdina Ilmuna, Nur Husna Sakinah, Abd Rahman dan Nur Khadijah,

Baba doakan semoga kalian menjadi anak yang soleh dan solehah.

Seterusnya buat pendorong kejayaan ini,

Kakak, Adik, Ibu Bapa Mertua, teman seperjuangan dan semua yang terlibat.

Terima Kasih di atas tunjuk ajar dan sokongan kalian.

## ABSTRACT

High tracking accuracy, precision and robustness are three vital components demanded in controller design for machining processes in many manufacturing related activities. This recent requirements or paradigm shift has led to a new and challenging era in the area of machining tools and control. However, the presence of disturbances during machining processes in the form of friction forces and cutting forces have greatly reduced positioning and tracking accuracy of the system. The objective of this thesis is to propose, design, develop and validate a new control strategy to further compensate effects of cutting forces on the positioning accuracy of a XY table ball screw driven system by Googoltech Inc. Issues pertaining to cutting force effects on machining process have been explored comprehensively in the past where various techniques and thoughts were introduced and validated. Conventional linear feedback control approach such as PI, PID or cascade control alone are inadequate to totally compensate the cutting force disturbance. This is due to the absence of adaptive element in the control scheme. Adaptive element is essential to solve the issue of nonlinearity of cutting force disturbance. This thesis proposes a new approach to compensate multiple frequency components of cutting forces, named Nonlinear Cascade Feedforward (NCasFF) controller. This new approach combined and embedded a modified nonlinear function, an inverse plant model feedforward and a speed feedforward onto the Cascade P/PI controller that serves as the primary position controller to further reduced the tracking error. The performance of the proposed controller was validated numerically and experimentally where actual machining process was performed on the test setup. The results indicated that the Nonlinear Cascade Feedforward (NCasFF) controller is able to compensate tracking errors introduced by the cutting forces. This thesis has successfully demonstrated that the tracking performance of a machine tool was increased significantly by the addition of dedicated compensation elements that supplement the classical Cascade P/PI position controller. Results showed that the newly proposed NCasFF control strategy manage to provide 33.80 % improved performance in terms of Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) reduction than Cascade P/PI controller and 16.03 % better performance in terms of Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) error than Cascade P/PI controller. Finally, in terms of surface roughness,  $R_a$  value, NCasFF controller provide 20 % improved performance than Cascade P/PI controller. However, further studies and improvement are desired. The performance of the controller needs to be further enhanced so that it can adapt to different conditions of cutting force disturbance. The improvement includes addition of adaptive elements to the controller to compensate changing cutting force characteristics and variable disturbance friction force resulting from different cutting conditions. For example, changes in tools diameter, tracking speed and depth of cut.

## ABSTRAK

Ketepatan, kepersisan dan keteguhan merupakan tiga komponen penting yang di perlukan dalam proses rekebentuk sistem kawalan bagi proses pemesinan dalam sektor pembuatan. Anjakan paradigma terhadap segala keperluan ini telah membuka dimensi baru dalam sektor pembuatan. Namun, gangguan proses pemesinan seperti daya geseran dan daya pemotongan boleh menyebabkan berlakunya banyak ketidaktepatan pada posisi dan kebolehan mesin untuk bergerak mengikut trajektori yang di ditetapkan. Objektif tesis ini adalah untuk mencadang, merekabentuk, membangunkan dan membuat validasi terhadap sistem kawalan yang akan direkabentuk bagi membolehkan ia mengatasi gangguan daya pemotongan terhadap ketepatan posisi sistem mesin XY. Isu-isu berkaitan kesan daya pemotongan terhadap proses pemesinan telah di kaji secara menyeluruh di mana pelbagai kaedah telah pun di perkenalkan. Sistem kawalan konvensional seperti "PI", "PID" dan "Cascade" sahaja sebagai sistem kawalan tunggal adalah tidak mencukupi untuk mengatasi kesan negatif gangguan daya pemotongan secara holistik. Ini adalah di sebabkan oleh ketiadaan elemen "adaptive" pada struktur sistem kawalan tersebut. Elemen "adaptive" ini adalah amat diperlukan bagi mengatasi masalah gangguan dan ketidaktentuan pada daya pemotongan. Dalam tesis ini, pendekatan baru yang digunakan untuk mengatasi gangguan daya pemotongan pelbagai dipanggil sistem kawalan Nonlinear Cascade Feedforward (NCasFF). Sistem kawalan yang baru direkabentuk ini adalah hasil gabungan fungsi tidak linear yang telah diubahsuai yang digabungkan bersama sistem kawalan "Cascade P/PI" yang bertindak sebagai sistem kawalan utama. Di samping itu, modul "inverse plant model feedforward" dan modul "speed feedforward" juga digabungkan bersama "Cascade P/PI" bagi membantu mengurangkan lagi masalah kesilapan trajektori oleh sistem. Proses pemotongan sebenar dijalankan ke atas mesin XY bagi tujuan validasi. Berdasarkan keputusan yang dihasilkan, di dapati sistem kawalan baru ini telah berjaya untuk mengatasi masalah kesan negatif daya pemotongan (yang dipelbagaikan) terhadap posisi mesin XY. Hasil kerja dalam tesis ini telah berjaya membuktikan bahawa dengan gabungan beberapa fungsi modul (yang ditambah baik) yang digabungkan bersama sistem kawalan "Cascade P/PI" telah berjaya untuk memperbaiki prestasi sistem perkakasan mesin. Hasil eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa sistem kawalan "NCasFF" berjaya membuah hasil yang lebih baik sebanyak 33.80 % dari aspek "Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) dan 16.03 % lebih baik dari aspek "Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) Error" serta 20 % lebih baik dari aspek "Surface Roughness,  $R_a$ " berbanding sistem kawalan "Cascade P/PI". Walaubagaimanapun, kajian lanjut dan pembaharuan terhadap kajian ini adalah diperlukan. Tujuan penambahbaikan ini dilakukan adalah untuk mempertingkatkan lagi kebolehan sistem kawalan tersebut agar ia mampu bertahan pada pelbagai keadaan daya pemotongan. Antara penambahbaikan yang boleh dilakukan ialah untuk memasukkan elemen "adaptive" terhadap sistem kawalan untuk mengatasi masalah daya geseran dan juga untuk merekabentuk sistem kawalan yang boleh mengatasi masalah kesilapan posisi mesin XY pada pelbagai situasi. Contohnya ialah untuk merekabentuk sistem kawalan yang mampu berfungsi dengan baik semasa berlaku perubahan pada diameter alat, kelajuan mesin dan kedalaman semasa proses pemotongan sebenar.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to convey my deepest gratitude to my respected supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zamberi Jamaludin. It is a great honour and pleasure to be able to work with him, a person of great control system background, experience, success, ideas and stature. Your kind advice, time, attention and dedication towards realizing this works are greatly appreciated and indebted. May 'Allah' the Almighty bless you. Thank you 'teacher'. I would also like to express my special gratitude to Prof. Jan Swevers; my Pro-motor during my 6 months PhD attachment at K.U. Leuven, Belgium.

I would like to extend my gratitude to UTeM and FKP UTeM and to all academic and supporting staffs for assisting me in terms of facility and moral support. This work is made possible with the financial supports of the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (SLAB) and UTeM. These financial supports are greatly appreciated and indebted.

A special thanks also to my colleagues from Control System in Machine Tools (CosMaT) research group, Ms Nur Aidawaty Rafan, Mr. Chiew Tsung Heng, Mr. Jailani Jamaludin, Mr. Tang Teng Fong and Ms. Madihah Maarof. All I can say is "Engineering is teamwork". Thanks a lot guys, our friendship and sweet memories together will always remain in my heart. Finally and most importantly, I wish to express my deepest gratitude to both of my parents, Hj. Abdullah Md. Said and Hjh Mariyam Mohamad, my sister Khadijah Abdullah, my brother Dr. Abdul Rahim Abdullah, my father and mother-in-law Hj Salim Jaafar and Hjh Hamidah Abdullah for their prayers, loves, cares and support. I am greatly indebted to them and may 'Allah' repay all their good deeds and sacrifices. To my beloved wife Nur Radziah Salim and my children (Irdina Ilmuna, Nur Husna Sakinah, Abd. Rahman and Nur Khadijah), there are no words to portray how blessed and thankful I am for your company during both the easy and the difficult times of this journey. I salute your patience, pray, dedication, love, courage and care that have brought happiness and serenity to our life.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | <b>PAGE</b>  |
|--|--------------|
| <b>DECLARATION</b>   |              |
| <b>DEDICATION</b>  |              |
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>  | <b>i</b>     |
| <b>ABSTRAK</b>   | <b>ii</b>    |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>  | <b>iii</b>   |
| <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>   | <b>iv</b>    |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>  | <b>vii</b>   |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>   | <b>x</b>     |
| <b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>   | <b>xvii</b>  |
| <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>   | <b>xviii</b> |
| <b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>  | <b>xix</b>   |
| <br>   |              |
| <b>CHAPTER</b>   |              |
| <b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>  | <b>1</b>     |
| 1.1 Background   | 1            |
| 1.2 Problem Statements   | 2            |
| 1.3 Research Objectives  | 5            |
| 1.4 Scope of Work  | 5            |
| 1.5 Significance of Studies  | 6            |
| 1.6 Organization of Report   | 6            |
| <br>   |              |
| <b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>   | <b>8</b>     |
| 2.1 Introduction   | 8            |
| 2.2 State of the Art on Motion Control in Machine Tool               | 9            |
| 2.2.1 Mechanical Drive System  | 9            |
| 2.2.2 Disturbances in Drive System                                   | 14           |
| 2.2.2.1 Friction Forces  | 15           |
| 2.2.2.2 Cutting Forces   | 15           |
| 2.2.3 Cutting Forces Measurement Method                              | 18           |
| 2.3 Cutting Force Compensation Method - A Controller Design Approach | 23           |
| 2.3.1 Controller Design  | 23           |
| 2.3.2 Controller Design based on PID                                 | 24           |
| 2.3.3 Other Techniques of Controller Design                          | 29           |
| 2.4 Summary  | 33           |
| <br>   |              |
| <b>3 METHODOLOGY</b>   | <b>35</b>    |
| 3.1 Introduction   | 35           |
| 3.2 Experimental Setup   | 38           |
| 3.3 System Identification and System Modelling                       | 40           |
| 3.4 Motor Constant Identification                                    | 45           |
| 3.5 Cutting Force Characterization                                   | 46           |
| 3.5.1 Experimental Setup of Cutting Force Measurement                | 46           |
| 3.5.2 Experimental Design for Cutting Force Characterization         | 49           |

|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| 3.5.3    | Characterization and Analysis of Cutting Forces                           | 52         |
| 3.5.3.1  | Conversion of Cutting Force Data (Newton to Volt)                         | 52         |
| 3.5.4    | Analysis of the Measured Cutting Forces                                   | 52         |
| 3.6      | Summary   | 54         |
| <b>4</b> | <b>CONTROLLER DESIGN</b>  | <b>55</b>  |
| 4.1      | Introduction  | 55         |
| 4.2      | Cascade Controller  | 56         |
| 4.2.1    | Cascade Control Structure and Configuration based on Ideal System         | 56         |
| 4.2.2    | Analysis of the Closed Loop Behaviour with Proportional (P) Speed Control | 58         |
| 4.2.3    | Analysis of the Closed Loop Behaviour with PI Speed Control               | 61         |
| 4.2.4    | Design and Analysis of the PI Speed Controller based on Measured FRF      | 64         |
| 4.2.5    | Design and Analysis of the Position Loop based on measured FRF            | 71         |
| 4.2.6    | Analysis of Tracking Performance  | 79         |
| 4.2.6.1  | Numerical Validation of Tracking Performance                              | 79         |
| 4.2.6.2  | Experimental Validation of Tracking Performance                           | 81         |
| 4.3      | Nonlinear PID (NPID) Controller   | 83         |
| 4.3.1    | Design and Analysis of PID Controller                                     | 83         |
| 4.3.2    | General Structure and Configuration of NPID controller                    | 87         |
| 4.3.3    | Design and Analysis of NPID Controller                                    | 89         |
| 4.4      | Nonlinear Cascade Feedforward (NCasFF)                                    | 94         |
| 4.4.1    | Nonlinear Cascade Feedforward (NCasFF) control structure                  | 94         |
| 4.4.2    | Design Guidelines of NCasFF Controller                                    | 95         |
| 4.4.3    | Design of Inverse Plant Model and Speed Feedforward                       | 97         |
| 4.4.4    | NCasFF Controller : Design of Nonlinear Function (Step 4)                 | 99         |
| 4.4.5    | NCasFF Controller : Design of Dead Zone Compensator (DZC)                 | 108        |
| 4.4.6    | Design Analysis of NCasFF Controller                                      | 113        |
| 4.4.7    | NCasFF Controller : Numerical Analysis of Tracking Performance            | 116        |
| 4.5      | Summary   | 118        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>   | <b>119</b> |
| 5.1      | Introduction  | 119        |
| 5.2      | Maximum Tracking Error  | 120        |
| 5.2.1    | Cascade P/PI Controller   | 120        |
| 5.2.1.1  | Simulation Results  | 120        |
| 5.2.1.2  | Experimental Results  | 123        |
| 5.2.2    | NPID Controller   | 127        |
| 5.2.2.1  | Simulation Results  | 127        |
| 5.2.2.2  | Experimental Results  | 129        |
| 5.2.3    | Nonlinear Cascade Feedforward (NCasFF) Controller                         | 133        |
| 5.2.3.1  | Simulation Results  | 133        |
| 5.2.3.2  | Experimental Results  | 135        |
| 5.3      | Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)   | 139        |
| 5.4      | Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) Error  | 142        |
| 5.5      | Surface Finish Quality  | 147        |
| 5.6      | Discussion  | 151        |

|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
| 5.6.1    | Discussion on Results of Maximum Tracking Error  | 151        |
| 5.6.1.1  | Cascade P/PI Controller                          | 151        |
| 5.6.1.2  | NPID Controller                                  | 152        |
| 5.6.1.3  | NCasFF Controller                                | 154        |
| 5.6.2    | Discussion on Results of RMSE Analysis           | 156        |
| 5.6.3    | Discussion on Results of FFT Error Analysis      | 157        |
| 5.6.4    | Discussion on Results of Surface Finish Quality  | 161        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION FOR FUTURE WORK</b> | <b>162</b> |
| 6.1      | Conclusion                                       | 162        |
| 6.2      | Suggestion for Future Work                       | 165        |
|          | <b>REFERENCES</b>                                | <b>166</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDIX A</b>                                | <b>176</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDIX B</b>                                | <b>177</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDIX C</b>                                | <b>178</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDIX D</b>                                | <b>180</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDIX E</b>                                | <b>182</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDIX F</b>                                | <b>184</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDIX G</b>                                | <b>186</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDIX H</b>                                | <b>188</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDIX I</b>                                | <b>190</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDIX J</b>                                | <b>192</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDIX K</b>                                | <b>196</b> |

## LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE   | PAGE |
|-------|---|------|
| 3.1   | System model parameters for $x$ and $y$ axes                        | 43   |
| 3.2   | List of equipment with specifications                               | 46   |
| 3.3   | Recommended cutting parameters of milling operation                 | 49   |
| 3.4   | Cutting parameters of the experimental design                       | 50   |
| 3.5   | Amplitude cutting force at different spindle speed                  | 53   |
| 4.1   | Speed loop PI controller parameters of $x$ and $y$ axes             | 66   |
| 4.2   | Gain margin and Phase margin of $x$ and $y$ axes open loop speed    | 67   |
| 4.3   | Bandwidth of the speed loop based on sensitivity function           | 69   |
| 4.4   | Gain margin and Phase margin of $x$ and $y$ axes open loop position | 73   |
| 4.5   | Bandwidth of the position loop                                      | 76   |
| 4.6   | Gain values of $K_p$ , $K_i$ , and $K_d$ for PID controller         | 84   |
| 4.7   | Gain margin and Phase margin of $x$ and $y$ axes open loop position | 85   |
| 4.8   | Bandwidth of the position loop via PID controller                   | 86   |
| 4.9   | Value of tuned NPID parameters, $KO$ and $e_{max}$                  | 92   |
| 4.10  | Value of Nonlinear function parameters for NCasFF controller        | 100  |
| 4.11  | Value of parameters in designing DZC                                | 112  |
| 4.12  | Bandwidth of the position loop                                      | 114  |
| 5.1   | Research configurations   | 119  |
| 5.2   | Simulated maximum tracking error with Cascade P/PI controller       | 122  |
| 5.3   | Experimental maximum tracking error with Cascade P/PI controller    | 125  |

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.4  | Simulated maximum tracking error of system with NPID controller   | 128 |
| 5.5  | Experimental maximum tracking error of system with NPID controller  | 131 |
| 5.6  | Simulated maximum tracking error of system with NCasFF controller   | 134 |
| 5.7  | Experimental maximum tracking error with NCasFF controller  | 137 |
| 5.8  | <i>X</i> -axis: Comparison in RMSE values for different controllers at 0.3 Hz   | 139 |
| 5.9  | <i>X</i> -axis: Comparison in RMSE values for different controllers at 0.7 Hz   | 141 |
| 5.10 | <i>X</i> -axis : Comparison in magnitudes of FFT Error between NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF for cutting forces at spindle speed of 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm at 0.7 Hz     | 144 |
| 5.11 | <i>X</i> -axis : Average percentage of FFT error reduction between NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF for cutting forces at spindle speed of 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm at 0.7 Hz | 144 |
| 5.12 | <i>X</i> -axis : Comparison in magnitudes of FFT Error between NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF for cutting forces at spindle speed of 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm at 0.3 Hz     | 145 |
| 5.13 | <i>X</i> -axis : Average percentage of FFT error reduction between NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF for cutting forces at spindle speed of 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm at 0.3 Hz | 145 |
| 5.14 | Surface roughness comparison between controllers  | 149 |
| 6.1  | Thesis conclusion   | 164 |
| 6.2  | Simulated maximum tracking error of system with Cascade P/PI  | 179 |
| 6.3  | Experimental maximum tracking error of system with Cascade P/PI   | 181 |
| 6.4  | Simulated maximum tracking error of system with NPID controller   | 183 |
| 6.5  | Experimental maximum tracking error of system with NPID controller  | 185 |
| 6.6  | Simulated maximum tracking error of system with NCasFF controller   | 187 |
| 6.7  | Experimental maximum tracking error of system with NCasFF   | 189 |
| 6.8  | <i>Y</i> -axis: Comparison of RMSE at frequency 0.7 Hz  | 190 |
| 6.9  | <i>Y</i> -axis: Comparison of RMSE at frequency 0.3 Hz  | 191 |

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 6.10 | Y-axis: Comparison of FFT Error between controllers at $f = 0.7$ Hz     | 193 |
| 6.11 | Y-axis: Average Percentage Error Reduction of FFT Error at $f = 0.7$ Hz | 194 |
| 6.12 | Y-axis: Comparison of FFT Error between controllers at $f = 0.3$ Hz     | 194 |
| 6.13 | Y-axis: Average Percentage Error Reduction of FFT Error at $f = 0.3$ Hz | 195 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE   | PAGE |
|--------|---|------|
| 1.1    | Quadrant glitches in circular test  | 03   |
| 2.1    | Rack and pinion drive system  | 10   |
| 2.2    | Ball screw driven system  | 11   |
| 2.3    | Structure of iron-core linear drive system  | 12   |
| 2.4    | Structure of piezoelectric drive system   | 13   |
| 2.5    | Cutting parameter direction in the cutting zone   | 16   |
| 2.6    | Kistler force measurement dynamometer   | 19   |
| 2.7    | The setup of capacitive sensor on milling machine                                       | 20   |
| 2.8    | Cylindrical capacitive displacement sensor  | 21   |
| 2.9    | Plot of motor current versus cutting force  | 21   |
| 2.10   | Structure of active electromagnetic bearings  | 22   |
| 2.11   | Axial tracking error with (a) Cascade (b) Cascade with Speed & Acceleration Feedforward | 26   |
| 2.12   | Diagram of cascade controller with cutting force estimator                              | 31   |
| 2.13   | Sample of Ferrari Sensors   | 32   |
| 2.14   | Position errors at 1,2,3 and 15 harmonics using cutting force estimator                 | 32   |
| 3.1    | Flowchart of the research methodology   | 36   |
| 3.2    | Googol Tech XYZ Milling Table   | 38   |

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 3.3  | Schematic diagram of the experimental setup   | 39 |
| 3.4  | Simulink diagram for FRF measurement  | 41 |
| 3.5  | FRF's measurement of the $x$ and $y$ axes   | 42 |
| 3.6  | $X$ -axis : FRF measurement and proposed model  | 44 |
| 3.7  | $Y$ -axis : FRF measurement and proposed model  | 44 |
| 3.8  | Block diagram of the open loop system to approximate the force constant                                 | 45 |
| 3.9  | Schematic diagram for cutting force characterization  | 47 |
| 3.10 | Mounting points of the Kistler dynamometer  | 48 |
| 3.11 | Aluminium block during milling operation  | 49 |
| 3.12 | Cutting path planning for cutting force measurement   | 51 |
| 3.13 | Example of measured cutting force at 1000 rpm   | 51 |
| 3.14 | Method on how to inject the cutting forces into the system plant  | 52 |
| 3.15 | FFT cutting forces at 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm spindle speed rotation                                    | 53 |
| 4.1  | General control structure of a cascade control  | 56 |
| 4.2  | Ideal cascade control for a position control  | 58 |
| 4.3  | Cascade P/PI control structure in frequency domain for control of a motor                               | 64 |
| 4.4  | $X$ -axis: Theoretical bode plot of speed open loop transfer function using measured FRF of the system  | 66 |
| 4.5  | $Y$ -axis: Theoretical bode plot of speed open loop transfer function using measured FRF of the system  | 67 |
| 4.6  | $X$ -axis: Nyquist diagram of the speed open loop transfer function based on measured FRF of the system | 68 |
| 4.7  | $Y$ -axis: Nyquist diagram of the speed open loop transfer function based on measured FRF of the system | 68 |
| 4.8  | $X$ -axis: Sensitivity function for the speed loop  | 69 |
| 4.9  | $Y$ -axis: Sensitivity function for the speed loop  | 69 |



|      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| 4.10 | <i>X</i> -axis: Bode diagram of speed closed loop transfer function  | 70 |
| 4.11 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Bode diagram of speed closed loop transfer function  | 70 |
| 4.12 | <i>X</i> -axis: Theoretical bode plot of position open loop transfer function based on measured FRF of the system    | 72 |
| 4.13 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Theoretical bode plot of position open loop transfer function based on measured FRF of the system    | 72 |
| 4.14 | <i>X</i> -axis: Nyquist diagram of the position open loop transfer function based on measured FRF of the system      | 73 |
| 4.15 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Nyquist diagram of the position open loop transfer function based on measured FRF of the system      | 74 |
| 4.16 | <i>X</i> -axis: Sensitivity function for the position loop   | 75 |
| 4.17 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Sensitivity function for the position loop   | 75 |
| 4.18 | <i>X</i> -axis: Position closed loop transfer function   | 76 |
| 4.19 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Position closed loop transfer function   | 77 |
| 4.20 | <i>X</i> -axis: Comparison of dynamic stiffness between Cascade P/P and Cascade P/PI                                 | 78 |
| 4.21 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Comparison of dynamic stiffness between Cascade P/P and Cascade P/PI                                 | 78 |
| 4.22 | <i>X</i> -axis: Simulated position error for sinusoidal reference signal of an amplitude 1mm and frequency of 0.7 Hz | 79 |
| 4.23 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Simulated position error for sinusoidal reference signal of an amplitude 1mm and frequency of 0.7 Hz | 80 |
| 4.24 | <i>X</i> -axis: Position error transfer function   | 80 |
| 4.25 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Position error transfer function   | 81 |
| 4.26 | <i>X</i> -axis: Numerical and Experimental Position Closed Loop  | 82 |
| 4.27 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Numerical and Experimental Position Closed Loop  | 82 |
| 4.28 | PID control structure  | 83 |
| 4.29 | Bode Diagram of Open Loop Transfer Function for <i>x</i> and <i>y</i> axes   | 85 |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.30 | Nyquist plots of the $x$ -axis (left) and the $y$ -axis (right) position open loop transfer function based on measured FRFs of the system via PID controller | 85  |
| 4.31 | Bode magnitude diagram of sensitivity for $x$ and $y$ axes via PID controller  | 86  |
| 4.32 | Bode diagram of position closed loop for $x$ and $y$ axes via PID controller   | 87  |
| 4.33 | NPID control structure and configuration   | 88  |
| 4.34 | Flowchart of the procedure to obtain parameters of NPID controller   | 89  |
| 4.35 | $X$ -axis : Popov plot of NPID controller  | 91  |
| 4.36 | $Y$ -axis : Popov plot of NPID controller  | 91  |
| 4.37 | $X$ -axis : Graph of nonlinear gain, $K_e$ against error, $e$  | 93  |
| 4.38 | $Y$ -axis : Graph of nonlinear gain, $K_e$ against error, $e$  | 93  |
| 4.39 | Nonlinear cascade feedforward (NCasFF) control structure with speed and inverse plant feedforward  | 94  |
| 4.40 | Flowchart of design guidelines of NCasFF controller  | 96  |
| 4.41 | Cascade P/PI with inverse plant model and speed feedforward  | 97  |
| 4.42 | Simulated tracking error of the $x$ -axis (left) and the $y$ -axis (right) for sinusoidal reference signal of an amplitude 1mm and freq. 0.7 Hz              | 98  |
| 4.43 | General structure of independent feedforward controller  | 98  |
| 4.44 | General structure of the nonlinear function  | 100 |
| 4.45 | Switching function : (a) Signum function (b) Sigmoid function  | 102 |
| 4.46 | Comparison of control input between signum and sigmoid function  | 103 |
| 4.47 | Step by step procedures to tune parameters of nonlinear function, $N$  | 104 |
| 4.48 | $X$ -axis : Popov plot of NCasFF controller  | 105 |
| 4.49 | $Y$ -axis : Popov plot of NCasFF controller  | 105 |
| 4.50 | Effect of $K_e$ and $e$ on variation of $KO$   | 106 |
| 4.51 | Effect of $K_e$ and $e$ on variation of $e_{max}$  | 107 |

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.52 | Dead zone compensator in Nonlinear Cascade Feedforward controller   | 109 |
| 4.53 | Dead zone characteristics of a system   | 109 |
| 4.54 | System block diagram with Dead Zone Compensator   | 111 |
| 4.55 | <i>X</i> -axis : Sensitivity function of NCasFF controller  | 114 |
| 4.56 | <i>Y</i> -axis : Sensitivity function of NCasFF controller  | 114 |
| 4.57 | <i>X</i> -axis : Dynamic stiffness of NCasFF controller ( $K_e = 1.0$ )   | 115 |
| 4.58 | <i>Y</i> -axis : Dynamic stiffness of NCasFF controller ( $K_e = 1.0$ )   | 115 |
| 4.59 | <i>X</i> -axis: Simulated error for sinusoidal reference signal of an amplitude 1mm, frequency of 0.7 Hz and $K_e = 1.04$ (left) and position error transfer function (right) | 117 |
| 4.60 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Simulated error for sinusoidal reference signal of an amplitude 1mm, frequency of 0.7 Hz and $K_e = 1.04$ (left) and position error transfer function (right) | 117 |
| 5.1  | <i>X</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error with Cascade P/PI controller at $f = 0.3$ Hz   | 121 |
| 5.2  | <i>X</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error with Cascade P/PI controller at $f = 0.7$ Hz   | 121 |
| 5.3  | Control scheme of Cascade P/PI controller for experimental validation   | 123 |
| 5.4  | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error with Cascade P/PI controller at $f = 0.3$ Hz  | 124 |
| 5.5  | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error with Cascade P/PI controller at $f = 0.7$ Hz  | 124 |
| 5.6  | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental positions against simulation positions for cutting forces at spindle speed of 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm for $f = 0.3$ Hz                           | 126 |
| 5.7  | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental positions against simulation positions for cutting forces at spindle speed of 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm with $f = 0.7$ Hz                          | 126 |
| 5.8  | <i>X</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error for NPID controller at $f = 0.3$ Hz  | 127 |
| 5.9  | <i>X</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error for NPID controller at $f = 0.7$ Hz  | 128 |
| 5.10 | Control scheme of NPID controller for experimental validation   | 129 |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.11 | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error for NPID controller at $f=0.3$ Hz  | 130 |
| 5.12 | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error for NPID controller at $f=0.7$ Hz  | 130 |
| 5.13 | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental positions against simulated positions for cutting forces at spindle speed of 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm at $f=0.3$ Hz.                         | 132 |
| 5.14 | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental positions against simulated positions for cutting forces at spindle speed of 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm at $f=0.7$ Hz                          | 132 |
| 5.15 | <i>X</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error of NCasFF controller at $f=0.3$ Hz  | 133 |
| 5.16 | <i>X</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error of NCasFF controller at $f=0.7$ Hz  | 134 |
| 5.17 | Control scheme of NCasFF controller for experimental validation  | 135 |
| 5.18 | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error for NCasFF controller at $f=0.3$ Hz  | 136 |
| 5.19 | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error for NCasFF controller at $f=0.7$ Hz  | 136 |
| 5.20 | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental positions against simulated positions for cutting forces at spindle speed of 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm at $f=0.3$ Hz                          | 138 |
| 5.21 | <i>X</i> -axis: Experimental positions against simulated positions for cutting forces at spindle speed of 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm at $f=0.7$ Hz                          | 138 |
| 5.22 | <i>X</i> -axis: RMSE values for different controllers and cutting forces at $f=0.3$ Hz   | 140 |
| 5.23 | <i>X</i> -axis: RMSE values for different controllers and cutting forces at $f=0.7$ Hz   | 141 |
| 5.24 | <i>X</i> -axis: Comparison of FFT Error between NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF controller at frequency 0.3 Hz and spindle speed of (a) 1000 rpm (b) 2000 rpm (c) 3000 rpm | 143 |
| 5.25 | <i>X</i> -axis: Comparison of FFT Error between NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF controller at frequency 0.7 Hz and spindle speed of (a) 1000 rpm (b) 2000 rpm (c) 3000 rpm | 143 |
| 5.26 | Surface roughness profile (reproduced from Colak et al., 2007)   | 147 |
| 5.27 | Workpiece with straight cutting performed at spindle speed of 1000, 2000 and 3000 rpm  | 148 |
| 5.28 | Comparison of dynamic stiffness between NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF  | 155 |

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.29 | Dynamic stiffness of NCasFF controller  | 156 |
| 5.30 | <i>X</i> -axis: FFT Error for NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF at $f=0.7$ Hz   | 159 |
| 5.31 | <i>X</i> -axis: FFT Error for NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF at $f=0.3$ Hz   | 160 |
| 6.1  | <i>Y</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error with Cascade P/PI controller at $f=0.7$ Hz.  | 178 |
| 6.2  | <i>Y</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error with Cascade P/PI controller at $f=0.3$ Hz.  | 178 |
| 6.3  | <i>Y</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error with Cascade P/PI controller at $f=0.7$ Hz.   | 180 |
| 6.4  | <i>Y</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error with Cascade P/PI controller at $f=0.3$ Hz.   | 180 |
| 6.5  | <i>Y</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error of system with NPID at $f=0.7$ Hz.   | 182 |
| 6.6  | <i>Y</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error of system with NPID at $f=0.3$ Hz.   | 182 |
| 6.7  | <i>Y</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error with NPID controller at $f=0.7$ Hz.   | 184 |
| 6.8  | <i>Y</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error with NPID controller at $f=0.3$ Hz.   | 184 |
| 6.9  | <i>Y</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error with NCasFF controller at $f=0.7$ Hz.  | 186 |
| 6.10 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Simulated tracking error with NCasFF controller at $f=0.3$ Hz.  | 186 |
| 6.11 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error via NCasFF controller at $f=0.7$ Hz.  | 188 |
| 6.12 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Experimental tracking error via NCasFF controller at $f=0.3$ Hz.  | 188 |
| 6.13 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Comparison of RMSE at frequency 0.7 Hz  | 190 |
| 6.14 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Comparison of RMSE at frequency 0.3 Hz  | 191 |
| 6.15 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Comparison of FFT Error between NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF controller at spindle speed of 1000 rpm at 0.7 and 0.3 Hz | 192 |
| 6.16 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Comparison of FFT Error between NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF controller at spindle speed of 2000 rpm at 0.7 and 0.3 Hz | 192 |
| 6.17 | <i>Y</i> -axis: Comparison of FFT Error between NPID, Cascade P/PI and NCasFF controller at spindle speed of 3000 rpm at 0.7 and 0.3 Hz | 193 |
| 6.18 | Mitutoyo portable surface roughness tester (Surftest SJ-301)  | 196 |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

|               |   |  |
|---------------|---|--|
| $R$           | - | Resultant force                        |
| $F_c$         | - | Cutting force                          |
| $F_t$         | - | Thrust force                           |
| $F_s$         | - | Cutting speed                          |
| $F_t$         | - | Thrust force                           |
| $K_f$         | - | Motor constant                         |
| $M$           | - | Mass                                   |
| $Z_{ref}$     | - | Reference position                     |
| $Z$           | - | Output position                        |
| $G(s)$        | - | System                                 |
| $G_m(s)$      | - | System model transfer function         |
| $\hat{G}(s)$  | - | FRF of the considered system           |
| $G_m^{-1}(s)$ | - | Inverse system model transfer function |
| $G_s(s)$      | - | Speed feedforward                      |
| $d(t)$        | - | Disturbances                           |
| $N(t)$        | - | Noises                                 |
| $T_d$         | - | Time delay                             |
| $e_p(t)$      | - | Position tracking error                |
| $e_v(t)$      | - | Velocity tracking error                |
| $S_v$         | - | Sensitivity function of velocity loop  |
| $S_p$         | - | Sensitivity function of position loop  |
| $K_p$         | - | Proportional gain                      |
| $K_i$         | - | Integral gain                          |
| $K_d$         | - | Derivative gain                        |
| $K_e$         | - | Nonlinear gain                         |
| $f_e$         | - | Scaled error                           |
| $KO$          | - | Rate of variation of nonlinear gain    |
| $e_{max}$     | - | Range value of error variation         |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

|           |   |  |
|-----------|---|--|
| AC        | - | Alternating current                        |
| CNC       | - | Computer numerical control                 |
| DAC       | - | Digital to analog                          |
| DFO       | - | Disturbance force observer                 |
| DSP       | - | Digital signal processor                   |
| EDM       | - | Electro discharge machine                  |
| FFT       | - | Fast fourier transform                     |
| FLC       | - | Fuzzy logic control                        |
| FRF       | - | Frequency response function                |
| HSS       | - | High speed steel                           |
| I/O       | - | Input/output                               |
| ISMIC     | - | Integral sliding mode control              |
| LTI       | - | Linear time invariant                      |
| LQG       | - | Linear quadratic regulator                 |
| MBC       | - | Model based compensator                    |
| MMI       | - | Man machine interface                      |
| NCasFF    | - | Nonlinear cascade feedforward              |
| NLLS      | - | Nonlinear least square                     |
| NPID      | - | Nonlinear proportional-integral-derivative |
| P         | - | Proportional                               |
| PDF       | - | Pseudo derivative feedback                 |
| PI        | - | Proportional-integral                      |
| PID       | - | Proportional-integral-derivative           |
| RMSE      | - | Root mean square error                     |
| RPM       | - | Revolution per minute                      |
| SISO      | - | Single input single output                 |
| SMC       | - | Sliding mode control                       |
| $V_{est}$ | - | Estimate velocity                          |

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Journals:

1. Abdullah, L., Jamaludin, Z., Salleh, M. R., Abu Bakar, B., Jamaludin, J., Chiew, T. H., and Rafan, N.A. 2014. Theoretical Analysis of Velocity and Position Loop Behaviour of Nonlinear Cascade Feedforward Controller for Positioning of XY Table Ballscrew Drive System. *Advanced Materials Research*, 845, pp. 831 – 836.
2. Abdullah, L., Jamaludin, Z., Chiew, T. H., Rafan, N. A., and Shaaban, A., 2014. Spectral Analysis of Cutting Forces Data for XY Table Ballscrew Drive System. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 471, pp. 241 – 246.
3. Abdullah, L., Jamaludin, Z., Ahsan, Q., Jamaludin, J., Rafan, N. A., Chiew, T. H., Jusoff, K., and Yusoff, M. 2013. Evaluation on Tracking Performance of PID, Gain Scheduling and Classical Cascade P/PI controller on XY Table Ballscrew Drive System. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 21(1), 01-10.
4. Abdullah, L. Jamaludin, Z., Chiew, T. H., Rafan, N. A., and Maidin, S., 2013. Theoretical Analysis of Close loop Behaviour of Ideal Cascade Controller Structure for Positioning of XY Table Ballscrew Drive System. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 315, pp. 493 – 497.
5. Abdullah, L., Jamaludin, Z., Chiew, T. H., Rafan, N. A., and Syed Mohamed, M. S., 2012. System Identification of XY Table Ballscrew Drive using Parametric and Non Parametric Frequency Domain Estimation via Deterministic Approach. *Procedia Engineering*, 41, pp. 567 – 574.
6. Abdullah, L., Jamaludin, Z., Chiew, T. H., and Rafan, N. A., 2012. Systematic Method for Cutting Forces Characterization for XY Milling Table Ballscrew Drive System. *International Journal of Mechanical & Mechatronics Engineering*, 12(6), pp. 28 – 33.
7. Abdullah, L., Jamaludin, Z., Chiew, T. H., Rafan, N. A., and Yuhazri, M. Y., 2012. Extensive Tracking Performance Analysis of Classical Feedback Control for XY Stage Ballscrew System. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 229 – 231, pp. 750 – 755.