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ABSTRACT

Series hybrid electric vehicles (SHEVs) are efficiently used in many cities to reduce harmful exhaust emissions, as well as, fuel consumption. The vehicles are propelled with an electric motor that can achieve high efficiency at low speed with an internal combustion engine being operated at optimum fuel consumption for battery charging. Human interaction is being increasingly used to assist the development propulsion systems for road vehicles. The modelling of the hybrid electric powertrain for mobility is indeed challenging. The model should not only adequately simulate the vehicle longitudinal dynamics, it should also account for the load control of the propulsion system. The aims of this study are to design a control strategy for an electric motor of a SHEV longitudinal model and to assess its mobility performance. A mathematical model, for a vehicle with an internal combustion engine, was set-up to simulate its longitudinal dynamics using a high-level programming language software; i.e. Matlab Simulink. The multibody system approach was employed to model the vehicle dynamics with six degree of freedoms. Cases to investigate the inertia performance, such as sudden acceleration and braking, were conducted. The model was verified using a commercial vehicle simulation software; i.e. Car Simulation for Education (CarSimEd). The finding suggests that the model works as desired and was verified by CarSimEd. The SHEV propulsion system utilises a PMSM model to provide a driving effort to propel the vehicle. The SHEV operates at high efficiency when the model had applied a control strategy for the PMSM model to track a desired torque. The gain scheduling controller was selected for the motor due to its effectiveness provide a drive torque in various driving conditions. The performance for the control strategy was tested using the sine, square, sawtooth and step inputs. The results indicate that the controller for motor control is sufficiently efficient to track various types of the desired inputs. The SHEV was set up by integrating the vehicle longitudinal model and the electric motor model. Longitudinal tests were performed; i.e. sudden acceleration and braking, tracking road gradient and unbounded motion. The findings suggest that the SHEV model is suitable for use in the preliminary assessment for other types of HEVs or EVs. Simulations using the CarSimEd show similar results.
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1.0 Introduction

In a hybrid electric vehicle (HEV), two or more power sources work together to deliver power that greatly surpasses any single source working alone. Through the design, it is possible to improve their dynamics characteristics such as acceleration, emission, fuel efficiency and total cost of ownership. Of these characteristics, the one to see the greatest improvement will depend on the design of the system and the control strategy utilised.

In a conventional vehicle, the powertrain generally consists of an internal combustion engine (ICE) and a multi-geared mechanical transmission. Normally, the engines used are either spark ignition (SI) gasoline or compression ignition (CI) diesel. As an automotive power plant, the ICE alone had proven itself to be suitable for many decades. However, in recent times, with declining fuel supplies and increasing environmental awareness of the general public, it is apparent that the vehicle demands alternatives power plants.

Fuel cell has recently shown up on the future powertrain roadmap to be alternates power trains. Fuel cells are as much as 20 years away from widespread commercial viability since high costs, poor cold start performance and hydrogen storage issues all remain unsolved (Helmolt & Ulberle, 2007). Batteries are much closer to becoming a viable replacement on their own; with recharge time, longevity and cost being the main
issues (Bosschea et al., 2006). Since no new technology is readily available to completely usurp the engine, the best course of action is to find suitable ways of making better use of them. Hybridising ICE with batteries could potentially be a reasonable method for this purpose.

In this research, a hybrid electric vehicle based on the series drive train layout was investigated and virtually developed. Six degrees of freedom (6-DOF) vehicle longitudinal model was designed to evaluate the performance of a vehicle in longitudinal or forward direction. The vehicle model consists of a tyre, transmission, brake, engine, electric powertrain and vehicle dynamic. The study includes the human interaction to provide real time driver input which are the throttle and brake during simulation operations. In order to control the electric motor, Gain Scheduling control method was utilised in this study. This controller is able to deliver enhanced performance and response of the electric motor to drive the vehicle.

1.1 Problem Statement

The intention of this research was to design a control strategy for an electric motor, of a SHEV longitudinal model and to assess the mobility performance of the vehicle using real time driver input. A control strategy for the electric motor was developed to achieve an optimum operation at low and high speed. The vehicle longitudinal model was set up for SHEV simulation development to assess the mobility performance.

The development of the control strategy was challenging as to achieve the optimum performance of the electric motor. The selection of proper control strategies for the electric motor was according to the capability and adaptability of the controller to achieve optimum performance. In this study, the Gain Scheduling was designed to control the
motor, while the effectiveness of this controller was assessed in torque tracking control; thus, the results were compared with the PID controller.

It is challenging integrated mechanical and electrical components i.e vehicle longitudinal model and the electric motor model. The two components connected along a single path (series) in the powertrain system. The ICE and alternator were connected to recharge the battery, while the electric motor and transmission were incorporated to drive the vehicle.

1.2 Research Background

Significant studies on HEV were established in the late 1970s, in the USA, as a reaction to the oil crisis (Trummel & Burke, 1983). Since then, there were numerous advances in technology in the areas of power electronic devices, control strategies and battery technology. At the same time, the cost associated with deploying such technology had continuously decreased.

However, to develop vehicles in cost effective ways, the assessment through simulation methods using simulation tools (i.e. Matlab Simulink software) should be performed in the preliminary stage. Butler et al. (1997) identified a new simulation tool, Versatile-Elph, for the development of the HEV. The tool facilitated in-depth studies of any type of hybrid or total configurations through visual programming. The V-Elph was compatible with the Matlab Simulink software to simulate the vehicles. Simulation studies were performed to illustrate the applicability of V-Elph to the HEV design.

In a similar research, Senger et al. (1998) made improvements on the simulation tool to simulate HEV using ADVISOR. The study was specific to series hybrid electric vehicles and the induction motor was utilised to propel the vehicle. The basic equation of the solid-body motion (Newton’s second law) was applied to assess the performance of the