

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

BIOMECHANICAL STUDY OF HUMAN LUMBAR SPINE WITH TOTAL DISC REPLACEMENT OF MAVERICK® PROSTHESIS USING FEM

Siti Nurfaezah Binti Zahari

Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

2015

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

BIOMECHANICAL STUDY OF HUMAN LUMBAR SPINE WITH TOTAL DISC REPLACEMENT OF MAVERICK® PROSTHESIS USING FEM

SITI NURFAEZAH BINTI ZAHARI

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2015

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitle "Biomechanical Study of Human Lumbar Spine with Total Disc Replacement of Maverick® Prosthesis Using FEM" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature	:	
Name	:	Siti Nurfaezah binti Zahari
Date	:	

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Mechanical Engineering.

Signature	:	
Supervisor Name	:	Mohd Juzaila bin Abd. Latif
Date	:	

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

DEDICATION

To my beloved mother and father



ABSTRACT

Obesity is one of the concerns that could cause low back pain. Excessive load on the spine could change the mechanical behaviour of the lumbar spine and affected on the pressure stress that occurs in the intervertebral disc particularly at nucleus pulposus and and annulus fibrosus. However, the biomechanical effects of body weight on the lumbar spine and implanted lumbar spine are yet to be fully understood. Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the biomechanical effects of body weight on the lumbar spine as well as adjacent segments of the implanted lumbar spine. Three dimensional finite element model of the osseoligamentous lumbar spine and implanted lumbar spine models was developed and verified with previous studies. The finite element model was subjected to follower compression load of 500 N, 800 N and 1200 N to represent the load case of normal, overweight and obese with a combination of pure moments of 7.5 Nm in flexion and extension. Increasing weight shows significant effect on the kinematics of the lumbar spine for both finite element models. The excessive load on the lumbar spine increased the pressure and stress that occurs in the intervertebral disc, particularly at the nucleus pulposus and annulus fibrosus. The nucleus pressure was higher in flexion and increased as the compressive load was increased. This phenomenon could contributes to the earliest stages of disc degeneration which occurs in the nucleus pulposus. However, increasing weight were more severe in extension as its results in increased the annulus stress, particularly at posterior intervertebral disc up to 17%. Besides, the increasing weight on the implanted lumbar spine also has the potential to alter the movement of the lumbar spine during flexion and extension motions. The presence of a higher weight applied on the implanted lumbar spine and rigidity of Maverick prosthesis at the operated segment of L4-L5 was suggested as a contributing factor to accelerate in changing the kinematics of the implanted lumbar spine. The changes in kinematics of the implanted lumbar spine gave significant effect on the nucleus pressure and annulus stress. Its results in increased the nucleus pressure up to 155% and 124% in annulus stress compared with the non-implanted lumbar spine which observed in the region of L3-L4 lumbar segment. The high stress on the annulus particularly at the posterior of the disc could accelerate annular tear at the disc rim. In conclusion, flexion and extension appears to have differing affects to disc structure. Whilst flexion increases the nucleus pressure, extension results in the increase in the annulus stress. Heavier individuals are expected to experience an increase in stress and pressure of the disc regardless of the position of the spine. Therefore, an increase in body weight of the lumbar spines changed the kinematics of the lumbar spine and causes an increase in the nucleus pressure and annulus stress. This may be a factor that can lead to early intervertebral disc damage particularly at disc rim. Besides, an increase in body weight of the implanted lumbar spine can also expedite the tendency of disc degeneration at adjacent segments and may require additional surgery.

ABSTRAK

Obesiti adalah salah satu masalah yang boleh menyebabkan sakit di bahagian tulang belakang. Beban yang dikenakan pada tulang belakang boleh mengubah tindak balas mekanikal tulang belakang dan menjejaskan tekanan kepada cekera intervertebral terutamanya pada nukleus pulposus dan anulus fibrosus. Walau bagaimanapun, kesan berat badan pada tulang belakang lumbar dan tulang belakang lumbar vang di masukkan implan secara biomekanik masih belum difahami sepenuhnya. Oleh itu, tujuan kajian ini dibuat adalah untuk mengkaji kesan biomekanik berat badan pada tulang belakang lumbar dan juga segmen-segmen yang terletak bersebelahan dengan segmen yang dimasukkan implan. Tiga dimensi model unsur terhingga tulang belakang lumbar yang lengkap dengan ligamen-ligamen dan juga tiga dimensi model unsur terhingga tulang belakang yang dimasukkan implan dibangunkan dan disahkan dengan kajian sebelum ini. Beban mampatan secara ikutan iaitu 500 N, 800 N dan 1200 N dikenakan kepada model-model unsur terhingga untuk mewakili kes beban berat badan yang normal, berlebihan dan obes, dengan gabungan momen tulen sebanyak 7.5 Nm dalam akhiran dan lanjutan. Peningkatan berat badan telah menunjukkan kesan yang ketara ke atas kinematik tulang belakang lumbar untuk kedua-dua model tiga dimensi unsur terhingga. Beban yang berlebihan pada tulang belakang lumbar meningkatkan tekanan yang berlaku dalam cakera intervertebral terutamanya di nukleus pulposus dan anulus fibrosus. Tekanan nukleus adalah lebih tinggi pada pergerakan akhiran dan meningkat apabila beban mampatan yang dikenakan meningkat. Fenomena ini boleh menyebabkan berlakunya kemerosotan pada cakera di peringkat paling awal di mana ianya berlaku pada nukleus. Walau bagaimanapun, peningkatan berat badan adalah lebih teruk dalam pergerakan lanjutan kerana ianya telah menyebabkan peningkatan pada tekanan di annulus terutamanya di bahagian belakang cakera sehingga 17%. Selain itu, berat badan yang meningkat juga mempunyai potensi untuk mengubah pergerakan tulang belakang lumbar semasa pergerakan akhiran dan lanjutan selepas pembedahan penggantian cakera. Kehadiran berat badan yang lebih tinggi dan ketegaran pergerakan daripada implan "Maverick" yang dimasukkan di segmen L4-L5 segmen lumbar telah dicadangkan sebagai satu faktor yang menyumbang kepada mempercepatkan dalam mengubah kinematik tulang belakang lumbar yang dimasukkan implan. Perubahan dalam kinematik tulang belakang lumbar yang dimasukkan implan memberi kesan yang besar ke atas tekanan nukleus dan tekanan anulus. Keputusan dalam peningkatan tekanan nukleus adalah sehingga 155% dan 124% dalam tekanan anulus berbanding dengan tulang belakang lumbar yang tidak dimasukkan implan dimana ianya diperhatikan di segmen lumbar L3-L4. Tekanan tinggi pada anulus terutamanya di belakang cakera boleh mempercepatkan koyakan anulus di tepi cakera. Kesimpulannya, kesan struktur cakera yang berbeza yang ditunjukkan pada pergerakan akhiran dan lanjutan. Pergerakan lanjutan meningkatkan tekanan pada anulus, manakala pergerakan akhiran meningkatkan tekanan pada nukleus,. Individu yang lebih berat dijangka akan mengalami peningkatan dalam tekanan pada cakera tanpa mengira kedudukan tulang belakang. Oleh itu, kenaikan berat badan pada tulang belakang lumbar telah mengubah kinematik tulang belakang lumbar dan ini menyebabkan peningkatan tekanan nukleus dan tekanan anulus. Ini boleh menjadi faktor yang membawa kepada kerosakan awal pada cakera intervertebral terutamanya pada rim cakera. Peningkatan berat badan pada lumbar tulang belakang yang diimplan juga boleh mempercepatkan kecenderungan kepada degenerasi cakera di segmen-segmen bersebelahan dan boleh memerlukan pembedahan tambahan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, Alhamdulillah thanks to Allah Almighty because of His blessing I was able to finish my research within the required time period. During this research, I have gained a lot of new knowledge regarding this field of work. I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Mohd Juzaila bin Abd Latif for his supports, trust, supervision, encouragement and advices throughout this research.

I also take this opportunity to express a deep sense of gratitude to my co-supervisor Professor Ir. Dr. Mohammed Rafiq bin Dato' Abdul Kadir for his input and knowledge regarding my research. I would like to give an appreciation to the Ministry of Education Malaysia (MOE) for MyBrain15 and financial support in grant research project no. ERGS/2012/FKM/TK01/02/3/E0005.

Besides, thanks to all my fellow friends and supportive lecturers from Faculty of Mechanical Engineering in Universiti Teknikal Universiti Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) as well as my fellow friends in Medical Devices Technology Group (MediTeg), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for their priceless guidance and encouragement.

In addition, a special thanks to my parents, Zahari bin Zakaria and Zubidah binti A. Ghani and family members for their endless support, spirit, motivation and advices throughout the completion of this dissertation.

Finally, my sincere appreciation goes to those who were directly or indirectly involved in helping me throughout this research.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEC API DEI ABS ACI TAI LIS LIS LIS LIS LIS	CLARATION PROVAL DICATION STRACT STRAK KNOWLEDGEMENTS BLE OF CONTENTS BLE OF CONTENTS ST OF TABLES ST OF FIGURES ST OF APPENDICES ST OF ABBREVIATIONS ST OF SYMBOLS	i ii iv v viii ix xv xvi xvi xvi
LIS	ST OF PUBLICATIONS	xix
CH 1.	APTERINTRODUCTION1.1Project background1.2Problem statement1.3Objectives1.4Scope1.5Significant of study	1 1 2 4 4 4
2.	 LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Overview 2.2 Biomechanics of the spine 2.3 Anatomy of the human spine 2.4 Human lumbar spine 2.4.1 Lumbar vertebra 2.4.1 Vertebral body 2.4.2 Intervertebral disc 2.4.3 Facet joint 2.4.4 Ligament 2.5 Low back pain 2.5.1 Obesity and low back pain 2.5.2 Intervertebral disc degeneration 2.5.3 Treatments 2.6 Lumbar prostheses 2.7 Studies on lumbar prostheses 2.8 L Material properties of the human lumbar spine 	6 7 8 9 10 12 13 15 16 17 17 18 19 21 23 24 25
	 2.9 Mechanical behaviour of the human lumbar spine 2.9.1 Range of motion 2.9.2 Intradiscal pressure of the intervertebral disc 2.9.3 Stress analysis of the annulus 2.10 Research gap of knowledge 	25 30 33 33 34 35

2.10 Research gap of knowledge

v

3.	MA	TERIALS AND METHODS	36
	3.1	Overview	36
	3.2	Development of the 3-D geometrical model of lumbar spine	38
	3.3	Development of the soft tissues of the lumbar spine	40
		3.3.1 Intervertebral disc	40
		3.3.2 Cartilage tissue of the facet Joint	43
		3.3.3 Ligament	44
	3.4	Finite element model of the lumbar spine	45
	3.5	Element type	46
	3.6	Material properties	47
	3.7	Loading and boundary conditions	50
	3.8	Mesh convergence study	52
	3.9	Verification of the finite element model	54
		3.9.1 Intersegmental rotations of the lumbar spine	54
	2 10	3.9.2 Intervertebral disc	55
	3.10	Finite element of the lumbar prosthesis	55
	3.11	Implantation of a lumbar prosthesis	56
	3.12	Finite element Analysis of the lumbar spine model on different	58
		groups of the human weight	50
		3.12.1 Verification of the follower load condition	59
4.	RES	SULTS AND DISCUSSION	61
	4.1	Overview	61
	4.2	Mesh convergence analysis	61
	4.3	Verification of the intact L1-L5 lumbar spine model	63
		4.3.1 Intersegmental rotations of the lumbar spine	63
		4.3.2 Intervertebral disc	64
	4.4	Verification of the follower load condition	64
	4.5	Verification of the implanted lumbar spine model	65
	4.6	Biomechanical effects of human weight on intact lumbar	66
		spine model	
		4.6.1 The effects of human weight on the kinematics of	66
		lumbar spine	(0)
		4.6.2 The effects of human weight on the intradiscal pressure	68
		of the intervertebral disc	70
		4.6.3 The effects of numan weight on the annulus stress of the	/0
	47	Interventebrai disc	70
	4./	Biomechanical effects an adjacent lumbar segments after	12
		4.7.1 The affects of total disc replacement on the kinematics	72
		4.7.1 The effects of total disc replacement of the kinematics	12
		4.7.2 The offects of total disc replacement on the intradiscal	75
		nressure at adjacent segments	15
		4.7.3 The effects of human weight on the annulus stress	77
		The effects of numan weight on the annulus suces	//
5.	CO	NCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	82
	FOF	R FUTURE RESEARCH	~ ~
	5.1	Conclusion	82

5.2 Recommendations for future research	83
REFERENCES	85
APPENDIX A	102
APPENDIX B	106

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The lists of different lumbar prostheses and respective types	23
	of materials and feature. Adapted from Palepu et al. (2012).	
2.2	The material properties had been modelled for FE lumbar	28
	spine model in previous studies	
3.1	Geometrical parameters of the lumbar spine ligaments (Kim	44
	et al. 2014)	
3.2	The mechanical 3-D solid element types used in the FE	46
	model of the osseoligamentous lumbar spine	
3.3	The element type used in constructing FE model of lumbar	47
	spine	
3.4	Material properties of the components in the	49
	osseoligamentous lumbar spine model	
3.5	Magnitude of moment loading applied on the lumbar spine	52
3.6	Total number of nodes and elements of tetrahedral mesh with	54
	difference of triangle mesh size	
3.7	Material properties of Maverick® prosthesis (Kim et al.	56
	2010)	
4.1	The computational time of mesh convergence analysis	61

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Anatomic reference directions. Adapted from Kurtz and	6
	Edidin (2006).	
2.2	The kinematic motions of the spine. Adapted from Kurtz and	7
	Edidin (2006).	
2.3	Cervical, thoracic, lumbar, and sacral regions of the spine.	8
	Adapted from Kurtz and Edidin (2006).	
2.4	The left lateral view of the lumbar spine. Adapted from	9
	Netter (2006).	
2.5	The vertebra segment of (a) L2 lumbar vertebra and (b) L5	10
	lumbar vertebra. Adapted from Piersol and Dwight (1907).	
2.6	The superior view of L2 vertebra. Adapted from Netter	11
	(2006).	
2.7	The structure of the normal vertebra bone. Adapted from	12
	Netter (2006).	
2.8	The basic structure of intervertebral disc. Adapted from	13
	Adams (2004).	
2.9	The IVD with (a) compression and (b) bending. Adapted	14
	from White and Panjabi (1990).	

ix

- 2.10 The fibers orientation of annulus layer Adapted from White 15 and Panjabi (1990).
- 2.11 The lumbar L3-L4 facet joints. Adapted from Bogduk 15 (2005).
- 2.12The ligaments of the spine. Adapted from White and Panjabi16(1990).
- 2.13 The normal (left) and degenerate (right) lumbar 18 intervertebral disc. The annulus lamellae surrounding the softer nucleus pulposus in normal disc are clearly visible, while in the highly degenerate disc, the nucleus is desiccated and the annulus is disorganized. Adapted from Urban and Roberts (2003).
- 2.14 Lateral x-ray views of (a) total disc replacement technique 20 (Huec et al. 2010) and (b) fusion technique (Rutherford et al. 2007)
- 2.15 Different designs of lumbar prosthesis. Adapted from Palepu 22 et al. (2012).
- 2.16 A typical stress-strain curve for a biological tissue. AB, the 27 neutral zone; BC, the elastic zone. When the elastic limit (yield point C) is reached, permanent deformation can occure (permanent set). CD, the plastic zone where a permanent set occurs. Past D, failure occurs, and the load diminishes. Hashed plus dotted areas quantitatively represent strength, whereas the dotted area represents resilience (Samandouras

Х

2010).

2.17	Orientation of the facet joints (Hall 1991)	31
2.18	The deformation of the IVD under axial compression load	32
	where (a) unloaded disc (b) the initial deformation of the	
	IVD and (c) the IVD further deforms results of outflow of	
	fluid through the vertebral body endplates (Cinotti &	
	Postacchini 1999)	
2.19	Comparison of intardiscal pressure in in vivo in common	34
	posture and activities between the data of Nachemson (1960)	
	and Wilke et al. (1999) both for 70 kg healthy individuals.	
	Adapted from Wilke et al. (1999).	
3.1	The flow process of the finite element analysis (FEA)	37
3.2	Computed Tomography (CT) scan data of the human spine	38
3.3	2-D surface segmentation of the human spine	39
3.4	3-D surface of the human spine	39
3.5	The transparency view of cortical and cancellous bones in	40
	the vertebral body	
3.6	IVD created in Solidworks software	41
3.7	IVD sandwiched between two vertebral bodies	41
3.8	The main part of the IVD	42
3.9	The orientation of annulus fibers	43
3.10	The lumbar L4-L5 cartilage tissue of the facet joint	43
3.11	FE modeling of the ligaments attached at the lumbar spine	44
	(a) posterior (b) lateral views	

3.12	FE model of the osseoligamentous lumbar spine	45
3.13	Stress-strain curve of the annulus fibers	48
3.14	Loading and boundary conditions of the FE L1-L5	50
	osseoligamentous lumbar spine model	
3.15	The lumbar segment of L4-L5 with difference of triangle	53
	mesh sizes	
3.16	Loading and boundary conditions of L4-L5 FE model for the	55
	IVD verification	
3.17	FE model of the Maverick® prosthesis	56
3.18	The implanted lumbar spine model	57
3.19	The (a) lateral and (b) anterior-posterior views of the	59
	follower load technique applied in vitro study (Renner et al.	
	2007)	
3.20	The lateral (a) and anterior-posterior (b) views of the	60
	follower load technique applied in the present study The	
	lateral (a) and anterior-posterior (b) views of the follower	
	load technique applied in the present study	
4.1	Mesh sensitivity analysis	62
4.2	The comparison of total ROM of lumbar spine under pure	63
	moments up to 7.5 Nm between present FE model and	
	previously published in vitro result (Panjabi et al. 1994)	
4.3	Comparison of present FEA and previous in vitro study of	64
	IVD results (a) axial displacement (b) intradiscal pressure	
	under compressive load up to 1200 N	

4.4	Comparison of range of motion in flexion-extension loading	65
	direction under 800 N compressive load between previously	
	published in vitro results and present model	
4.5	Comparison of intersegmental rotation of the implanted	66
	lumbar spine in flexion-extension at normal weight between	
	the present and previous in vitro study (Wido et al. 2009)	
4.6	Comparison of intersegmental rotations of the lumbar spine	67
	at normal, overweight and obese compressive loads under	
	flexion and extension motions	
4.7	Intradiscal pressure of normal, overweight and obese human	69
	weights	
4.8	Annulus stress of normal, overweight and obese human	70
	weights	
4.9	Severe disc degeneration with tears radiating towards the	71
	periphery of the posterior annulus (Osti et al. 1992)	
4.10	Comparison of intersegmental rotation of the implanted	72
	lumbar spine in flexion and extension at normal, overweight	
	and obese compressive loads	
4.11	Comparison of intersegmental rotation in (a) flexion and (b)	74
	extension motions between intact and adjacent segments of	
	the implanted model	
4.12	Comparison of intradiscal pressure at the adjacents segments	75
	of implanted lumbar model between normal, overweight and	
	obese loading cases	

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

xiii

- 4.13 Comparison of the intradiscal pressure in the intact and 76 implanted lumbar spine models in (a) flexion and (b) extension at normal, overweight and obese compressive loads
- 4.14 Comparison of annulus stress at the adjacent segment of the 78 implanted model in flexion-extension at normal, overweight and obese loading cases
- 4.15 Comparison of the annulus stress in the intact and implanted 80 lumbar spine models in (a) flexion and (b) extension at normal, overweight and obese compressive loads
- 4.16 Contour stresses in the annulus ground for (a) flexion (b) 81 extension at the adjacent segments of the implanted lumbar spine model

xiv

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Α	Nonlinear Stress-Strain Curve for Ligaments	102
В	Details Dimension of the Design Maverick® Prosthesis	106

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

LBP	-	Low back pain
TDR	-	Total disc replacement
DDD	-	Degenerative disc disease
FE	-	Finite element
FEM	-	Finite element method
FEA	-	Finite element analysis
ROM	-	Range of motion
IDP	-	Intradiscal pressure
VMS	-	Von Mises stress
L1	-	The first lumbar vertebra
L2	-	The second lumbar vertebra
L3	-	The third lumbar vertebra
L4	-	The fourth lumbar vertebra
L5	-	The fifth lumbar vertebra
IVD	-	Intervertebral disc
ISR	-	Instantaneous centre rotation
FSU	-	Functional spinal unit
PLL	-	Posterior longitudinal ligament
ALL	-	Anterior longitudinal ligament

xvi

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

LF	-	Ligamentum flavum
CL	-	Capsular ligament
ITL	-	Intertransverse ligament
ISL	-	Interspinous ligament
SSL	-	Supraspinous ligament
VLe	-	Ventral-lateral external
VLi	-	Ventral-lateral internal
De	-	Dorsal external
Di	-	Dorsal internal

xvii

LIST OF SYMBOLS

F	-	Force
ν	-	Poisson's ratio
E	-	Young's modulus
8	-	Strain
$C_{1,}C_{2}$	-	Material constant characterising the deviataric deformation of material
R	-	Radius
L	-	Length
θ	-	Theta
®	-	Copyright

xviii

LIST OF PUBLICATION

A. Conference

 Zahari, S.N, Abd Latif, M.J., & Kadir M.R.A. (2014)."The Influence of Preload Application for Vertebra Segment in Finite Element Modelling", 2014 IEEE EMBS Conference on Biomedical Engineering & Sciences (IECBES 2014), Miri, Malaysia, 8th December – 11th December 2014. IEEE Explore Publisher.