



**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**CHARACTERIZATION OF BIOMECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF  
ARTICULAR CARTILAGE ACROSS SYNOVIAL JOINT**

**Yusra Liyana Binti Jaafar**

**Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering**

**2017**

**CHARACTERIZATION OF BIOMECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF ARTICULAR  
CARTILAGE ACROSS SYNOVIAL JOINT**

**YUSRA LIYANA BINTI JAAFAR**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science  
in Mechanical Engineering**

**FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2017**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Characterization of Biomechanical Properties of Articular Cartilage across Synovial Joint” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : Yusra Liyana Binti Jaafar

Date : .....

## APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering.

Signature : .....

Supervisor Name : Dr. Mohd Juzaila Bin Abd Latif

Date : .....

## **DEDICATION**

A million praise towards my family, my respectful supervisor, examiner and lecturers and to all my friends for their support and cooperation in helping me to complete this thesis.

Thanks to the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) and Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the financial support for my study.

Lastly, your supports are highly appreciated and very meaningful to me.

## ABSTRACT

Degradation and loss of articular cartilage in synovial joint has long been recognized as the main source of osteoarthritis (OA). It is generally accepted that the biomechanical properties of articular cartilage seem to be more sensitive to pathological changes of the tissue. Extensive studies of cartilage have been carried out to characterize the biomechanical properties using both experimental and analytical approaches. These properties were then applied in computational models to investigate the biomechanical behavior of the cartilage. However, analytical analysis was developed based on the theory which idealized the geometrical and physical conditions of the cartilage and subchondral bone. Furthermore, previous experimental studies require the cartilage to be isolated which could possibly damage the cartilage. These could be the main reason as the behavior of the cartilage across the synovial joint is yet to be fully understood because it appears that only part of the cartilage in synovial joint were previously being investigated. Therefore, the study aims to develop new approach to integrate the experimental and computational methods which could enable to characterize the elastic modulus and permeability of the cartilage across the synovial joint. Articular cartilage of bovine humeral head was used to perform the indentation test in order to obtain experimental data. The cartilage was measured using profile projector for development of finite element (FE) model. New approach to integrate the experiment data and FE model were developed to examine the cartilage biphasic elastic modulus and permeability. Based on the result, the elastic modulus increased by 150.6% when cartilage thickness was increase more than twice. Meanwhile, opposite trend was seen for permeability, where the permeability decrease as the cartilage became thicker with 118.9% percentage difference. This could indicate that the actual geometry of cartilage includes of cartilage thickness and curvature does effect the biomechanical properties of articular cartilage across synovial joint. These findings will be serving as a guide in enhancing tissue engineering developments for cartilage repair and as an input for computational studies.

## ABSTRAK

*Kemerosotan dan kecederaan tulang rawan artikular pada sendi sinovia telah dikenalpasti sebagai punca utama osteoarthritis. Ia telah diterima secara umum bahawa ciri-ciri biomekanik tulang rawan artikular adalah lebih sensitif kepada perubahan patologi pada tisu rawan. Kajian mendalam mengenai tulang rawan telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji ciri-ciri biomekanikal menggunakan kedua-dua teknik eksperimen dan analisis. Ciri-ciri biomekanik ini kemudiannya digunakan untuk model pengkomputeran untuk mengenalpasti sifat biomekanik tulang rawan artikular. Walaubagaimanapun, analisis yang telah dikembangkan berdasarkan teori yang berdasarkan geometri dan keadaan fizikal tulang rawan dan tulang subchondral yang ideal. Selain itu, dalam kajian terdahulu memerlukan tulang rawan artikular diasingkan dari keadaan asal yang mungkin menyebabkan kerosakan pada tisu tulang rawan. Ini merupakan penyebab utama kepada ketidakfahaman mengenai sifat tulang rawan pada sendi sinovia di sebabkan oleh hanya sebahagian dari tulang rawan pada sendi sinovia yang digunakan dalam kajian sebelum ini. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk membangunkan pendekatan baru untuk mengintegrasikan kaedah eksperimen dan pengkomputeran yang berupaya untuk mengenalpasti modulus elastik dan ketelapan tulang rawan artikular yang merangkumi seluruh sendi sinovia. Tulang rawan daripada humerus dari sendi bahu lembu telah digunakan untuk menjalankan ujian lekukan untuk mendapatkan data daripada eksperimen. Geometri tulang rawan telah diperolehi menggunakan profil projektor untuk membina model unsur tak terhingga. Pendekatan baru untuk mengintegrasikan eksperimen data dan model dari unsur tak terhingga telah dibangunkan untuk mengkaji dwifasa modulus elastik meningkat sebanyak 150.6% apabila ketebalan rawan meningkat melebihi dua kali ganda. Sementara itu, trend yang sebaliknya dilihat pada ketelapan, di mana nilai ketelapan menurun apabila rawan menjadi tebal. Ini adalah menandakan, nilai geometri sebenar rawan yang terdiri daripada ketebalan dan lengkungan rawan boleh mempengaruhi ciri-ciri biomekanikal tulang rawan artikular pada seluruh sendi sinovia. dan kebolehtelapan tisu tulang rawan artikular. Hasil daripada kajian ini mampu memberi panduan kepada perkembangan kejuruteraan tisu untuk pemulihan tulang rawan dan sebagai input kepada kajian pengkomputeran.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Assalamualaikum

First and foremost, Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah SWT, I am grateful for the grace of him because I am able to complete my project. During the entire period, I have learnt a lot of things and gained beneficial knowledge. I would like to thank to my supervisor, Dr. Mohd Juzaila bin Abd Latif for his guidance, advices and support. I would also to thank to my second supervisor, Profesor Ir. Dr. Mohammed Rafiq bin Dato" Abdul Kadir and express a deep sense of gratitude to my co-supervisor.

I take this opportunity to give an appreciation to the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (MOHE) for MyBrain15 and financial support in grant research project no FRGS(RACE)/2013/FKM/TK2/1 F00200. I highly acknowledge my friends, lecturers, technician and others from Advanced Digital Signal Processing (ADSP) group and Faculty of Mechanical Engineering at Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the support they offered me.

My deepest gratitude goes to my beloved parents, family and friends for their personal support, encouragement and prayers. Without them, I may not be able to reach this level. Last but not least, I would like to express sincere thanks and appreciation to those whom directly or indirectly contributed towards completing this project. Only the Almighty, Allah SWT could repay all your kindness to me. Thank you very much.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>APPROVAL</b>	
<b>DEDICATION</b>	
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objective	3
1.4 Scope of Study	3
1.5 Significance of Study	4
1.6 Outline of Thesis	4
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Joints	7
2.2.1 Types of Joints	8
2.2.2 Anatomy of Synovial Joints	9
2.2.3 Types of Synovial Joints	10
2.3 Osteoarthritis	10
2.3.1 Causes	12
2.3.2 Diagnosis	14
2.3.3 Treatment	16
2.4 Articular Cartilage	17
2.4.1 Structure	18
2.4.2 Composition	19

2.4.3 Constitutive Material Model	21
2.5 Biphasic Theory of Articular Cartilage	22
2.6 Characterization of the Biomechanical Properties of Articular Cartilage	24
2.6.1 Specimen Preparation	24
2.6.2 Experimental Methods	25
2.6.3 Indentation Test Apparatus	27
2.6.4 Biomechanical Properties	31
2.6.5 Thickness	34
2.7 Computational Modeling	39
2.7.1 Development of Finite Element Model	40
2.7.2 FE Modeling of Articular Cartilage	44
2.8 Animal Models	48
2.8.1 Selection of the Animal Model	48
2.9 Summary	52
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>54</b>
3.1 Development of Indentation Apparatus	56
3.1.1 Indentation Test Rig	56
3.1.2 Calibration Procedure	58
3.2 Material and Specimen Preparation	59
3.2.1 Phosphate Buffered Saline	60
3.2.2 Specimen Preparation	61
3.3 Experimental method	63
3.3.1 Creep Indentation Test	63
3.3.2 Cartilage Thickness Measurement	64
3.3.3 Cartilage Curve Measurement	65
3.4 Computational Methods	66
3.4.1 Validation of Finite Element Model	67
3.4.2 Development of Finite Element Model	68
3.4.3 Mesh Sensitivity Study	70
3.5 Characterization of Biomechanical Properties of Articular Cartilage	71
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>73</b>
4.1 Creep Deformation of Articular Cartilage	73
4.1.1 Cartilage Thickness	74
4.2 Finite Element Model: Validation of Contact Dependent Flow	75

4.3 Finite Element Model: Mesh Sensitivity Analysis	77
4.4 The Effect of Thickness on Contact Pressure: A Finite Element Analysis	78
4.5 The Effect of Thickness on Pore Pressure: A Finite Element Analysis	80
4.6 Biomechanical Properties of Cartilage across Articular Surface	82
4.7 The Effect of Cartilage Thickness on Elastic Modulus and Permeability	83
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>85</b>
5.1 Characterization of Biomechanical Properties of Articular Cartilage	85
5.2 Biomechanical Behavior of Articular Cartilage: A Finite Element Study	87
5.3 Overall Discussion: Characterization of Biomechanical Properties of Cartilage across Synovial Joint	90
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>91</b>
6.1 Conclusion	91
6.2 Recommendation for Future Research	92
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>APPENDIX A</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>APPENDIX B</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>APPENDIX C</b>	<b>129</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Cause of secondary OA. (Buckwalter and Martin, 2006)	13
2.2	Different types of collagen and their function. (Bhosale and Richardson, 2008)	20
2.3	Biphasic biomechanical properties of articular cartilage in animal synovial joints	32
2.4	Biphasic biomechanical properties of articular cartilage in animal synovial joints	33
2.5	Thickness of normal articular cartilage in human synovial joints with different methods of measurement. (Latif, 2011)	36
2.6	Thickness of normal articular cartilage in animal synovial joint with different methods of measurement	38
2.7	Previous FE model development. (Kazemi et al., 2013)	42
2.8	Biphasic FE analysis of articular cartilage. (Goldsmith et al., 1996)	46
2.9	Animal model usually used in experiments	49
2.10	Research Gap	53
3.1	Material properties of articular cartilage. (Pawaskar, 2010).	68
3.2	Material properties for the FE model. (Latif et al., 2013; Pawaskar et al., 2010)	70
4.1	Cartilage thickness for lateral and medial compartment of humeral head of bovine	75
4.2	Thickness of normal articular cartilage of bovine	75

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Structure of synovial joint in knee. Adapted from Pawaskar (2006)	9
2.2	Structure of hip and hand affected by OA. Adapted from mayoclinic.org	11
2.3	Structure of normal joint and joints affected by OA. (a) Hip joint (b) knee joint and (c) hand joint. Adapted from Thysen et al. (2015)	15
2.4	Schematic diagram of articular cartilage. Adapted from Mow et al. 1(992)	18
2.5	Model of proteoglycans. Adapted from Bader et al. (2000)	21
2.6	The collagen and proteoglycan matrix in the cartilage. Adapted from Mow et al. (1992)	21
2.7	Schematics of load-deformation behaviour of articular cartilage (a) Stress-relaxation test, (b) Creep test	23
2.8	Commonly used mechanical testing configurations (a) Unconfined indentation (b) Confined indentation (c) Indentation test. Adapted from Knecht et al. (2006).	26
2.9	Indentation test apparatus with load frame and experiment geometry. Adapted from Hori and Mockros (1976)	28
2.10	The schematic diagram of nanoindentation test apparatus. Adapted from Taffetani et al. (2007)	29
2.11	The schematic diagram of indentation apparatus. Adapted from Katta et al. (2007)	29
2.12	The schematic diagram of indentation test apparatus with spherical indenter. Adapted from Moore and Burris	30

	(2015)	
2.13	A schematic representation of geometry reconstruction from MRI data and FE mesh regeneration of knee joint. Adapted from Kazemi et al. (2013)	41
2.14	Reconstruction FE model from CT scan of a cadaveric bone, (a) Segmental volume of interest (b) Surface mesh generated (c) Rendered view of structure mesh generated. Adapted from Young et al. (2008)	41
3.1	The flowchart of the methodology	55
3.2	Schematic diagram of indentation test rig.	56
3.3	Test Rig (a) Components of the test rig (b) 4mm radius of spherical indenter and (c) Needle indenter.	58
3.4	Procedure of calibration test of indentation test (a) Calibration test set-up (b) Different height of stainless steel gauge blocks.	59
3.5	Graph represent the displacement calibration	59
3.6	Skeletal structure of a bovine. Adapted from bovine.uni.edu	60
3.7	Specimen preparation process (a) Humeral head from bovines with excessive tissue (b) Electric saw (c) Cutting process of cartilage tissue, (d) Cartilage with no excessive tissue (e) Cartilage tissue marked equally into four sections and (f) Cartilage specimen.	62
3.8	Indentation test set-up	64
3.9	Response of load and displacement transducers for cartilage thickness measurement.	65
3.10	Cartilage curve measurement using profile projector.	66
3.11	Axisymmetric FE model of a flat cartilage with flow boundary conditions.	68
3.12	Axisymmetric FE model of curved-surface cartilage with flow boundary conditions	69
3.13	Examples of FE mesh for the mesh sensitivity study (a) 320 elements (80x4) and (b) 2000 elements (20x10)	71
3.14	The cartilage deformation curve (a) Initial simulation of both models (b) After iteratively changing the properties of the FE until it fitted the experimental data.	72

4.1	The deformation-time data of lateral right (LL), lateral right (LR), medial right (MR) and medial left (ML).	74
4.2	Contact pressure distributions at the cartilage surface for (a) stress-relaxation (b) creep deformation showing comparison between the current study and the previous results of Pawaskar (2010).	76
4.3	Pore pressure distributions at the cartilage surface of creep deformation, (a) 2s, (b) 1000s, showing comparison between the current study and the previous results of Pawaskar (2010)	76
4.4	The flow condition for the (a) non contact and (b) contact surface of indenter and cartilage surface.	77
4.5	Contact pressure versus deformation of cartilage at 1000 seconds	77
4.6	Contact pressure distributions on cartilage surface (a) 2 seconds (b) 1000 seconds	74
4.7	Distribution of contact pressure at the cartilage surface for different thicknesses after (a) 2 seconds (b) 1000 seconds	79
4.8	Distribution of pore pressure at the cartilage surface for different thicknesses after (a) 2 seconds (b) 1000 seconds	80
4.9	Comparison of pore pressure contour plot of (a) 0.4 mm and (b) 1.4 mm cartilage thickness	81
4.10	Cartilage elastic modulus characterized from different location of testing	82
4.11	Cartilage permeability characterized from different location of testing	83
4.12	Cartilage elastic modulus characterized from different cartilage thickness	84
4.13	Cartilage permeability characterized from different cartilage thickness	84
5.1	Direction of fluid velocity factor at 2 seconds and 1000 seconds for (a) 0.4 mm and (b) 1.4 mm cartilage thickness	87

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Drawing of the test rig : Assembly Indentation Apparatus	103
A	Drawing of the test rig : Main Platform Base	104
A	Drawing of the test rig : Side Support Pole	105
A	Drawing of the test rig : Additional Side Support Pole	106
A	Drawing of the test rig : Top Platform Plate	107
A	Drawing of the test rig : Knurl Holder	108
A	Drawing of the test rig : Transducer Housing	109
A	Drawing of the test rig : Hydraulic Piston Top Section	110
A	Drawing of the test rig : Knurled Screw	111
A	Drawing of the test rig : Transducer Shaft	112
A	Drawing of the test rig : LVDT Bracket Holder	113
A	Drawing of the test rig : Top Support Pole	114
A	Drawing of the test rig : Specimen Holder Internal Section	115
A	Drawing of the test rig : Specimen Holder	116
A	Drawing of the test rig : Needle Holder	117
A	Drawing of the test rig : 4mm Diameter Ball Bearing Holder	118
B	Publication and Conference Presentation	119
C	Creep Indentation Test and Thickness Test	129

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

OA	–	Osteoarthritis
FE	–	Finite Element
PGs	–	Proteoglycans
LVDT	–	Linear Variable Differential Transformer
DAQ	–	Data Acquisition
2D	–	Two Dimensional
3D	–	Three Dimensional
CT	–	Computed Tomography
MRI	–	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
CAX4RP	–	Four-node bilinear displacement and pore pressure, reduce integration
CAX4P	–	Four-node bilinear displacement and pore pressure
CAX4	–	Four-node bilinear
ECM	–	Extracellular Matrix
PFA	–	Paraformaldehyde
PBS	–	Phosphate Buffered Saline
LL	–	Lateral Left
LR	–	Lateral Right
ML	–	Medial Left
MR	–	Medial Right

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$E$	–	Elastic Modulus
$\nu$	–	Poisson's Ratio
$\kappa$	–	Permeability

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### A. Journal

- 1) Jaafar, Y.L., Latif, M. J. A., Hashim, N. H. A., and Kadir, M. R. A., 2016. The Effects of Thickness on Biomechanical Behavior of Articular Cartilage: A Finite Element Analysis. 11(8), *ARPJN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, pp. 5331–5335.
- 2) Hashim, N. H., Latif, M. J. A., and Jaafar, Y. L., 2015. The Effects of Contact Area and Surface Curvature on Biomechanical Behavior of Articular Cartilage, *Biomedical Engineering (ICoBE), 2015 2nd International Conference*, pp. 1-4.

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common joint disease and symptomatic health problem which lead to disability to middle age and older people (Egloff et al., 2012; Buckwalter and Martin, 2006). OA usually occurs at knee, hips, hand and spine. OA is caused by joint injury and degeneration of cartilage, which leads to the limitation in active joint movement. Joint injury is caused by exposing subchondral bone by accidents, poor training practices and improper gear. Pre-mature of OA may be occurs if the injury left untreated at early stage. Meanwhile, the degeneration of cartilage is caused by wear and tear in joint. Wear and tear of cartilage normally is caused by factor of aging where it reduces the cartilage hydration. The hydrated cartilage become thin and lost, thus lead to painful joint. Usually, the damage of cartilage tissue initiates at the surface of cartilage, where it become porous and high in permeability (Grenier et al., 2014). This leads to the decreased of modulus of elasticity and reduction in load bearing capacity of the articular cartilage (Bhosale and Richardson, 2008).

Articular cartilage is a smooth and glistening bluish-white tissue which covers the end surface of bones. The main function of articular cartilage is to transmit load between opposing joint surface, provides a low-friction gliding surface and shock absorber to minimize peak pressure on the subchondral bone. These functions are achieved from the unique material properties possessed by the cartilage. The cartilage tissue composes of fluid

and solid phase. About 80% of the weight is fluid where water is the main content in this phase. The solid phase composes of proteoglycans and collagen. The cartilage tissue consist of four different zone with respect to depth, which from the surface to the subchondral bone are the superficial, middle, deep and calcified zones. This composition makes the articular cartilage structure inhomogeneous and possessed anisotropic and nonlinear properties both in compression and tension.

Various constitutive material models have been used to describe cartilage from single-phase to multiphase models. However, the biphasic theory developed by Mow and co-workers has been widely accepted to represent the solid and fluid phases of the cartilage nature (Mow et al., 1980). In biphasic theory, there are two important biomechanical properties considered which are elastic modulus and permeability. The elastic modulus represents the stiffness of the tissue, while the permeability indicates the resistance to fluid through the cartilage matrix. Both of these properties are commonly characterized using a combination of experimental and analytical method (Toyras et al., 2001; Colombo et al., 2013). Although there are various experimental methods used in previous studies, creep test using indenter was the most preferable. This is due to the specimen preparation where the intact cartilage tissue on the bone could be tested without separating cartilage and bone.

Thus, the aim of this study is to investigate the effect of the actual geometry of articular cartilage across synovial joint on elastic modulus and permeability using combination of creep indentation test and simulation of axisymmetric finite element (FE) model. In experimental method, the deformation of cartilage tissue obtained from the creep indentation test. Meanwhile, axisymmetric FE model is developed in accordance to the measured thickness and curvature using Abaqus 6.9-1 (DS Simulia Corp., Providence, RI, USA) software.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

- The investigation of cartilage behaviour by using computational method assumed that the cartilage to be flat with uniform thickness. However, this assumption may not appropriate, as the joint is varies in thickness (Li et al., 2013; Toyras et al., 2001; Shepherd and Seedhom, 1999).
- In previous studies, the cartilage biomechanical properties was characterized based on idealized geometrical and physical condition (Latif et al., 2013; Choi and Zheng, 2005) This may contribute to inaccuracy of the characterized properties because the geometrical and physical conditions of cartilage in nature are inhomogeneous across the synovial joint.

## 1.3 Objective

The study embarks on the following objectives:

- To establish an experimental method to perform indentation test across the articular cartilage.
- To integrate the new approach of experimental and computational methods to characterize the elastic modulus and permeability of the cartilage.
- To determine the elastic modulus and permeability of articular cartilage across the synovial joint using the new integration of experimental and computational approaches.

## 1.4 Scope of Study

The design of indentation test apparatus is developed and fabricated to perform creep and thickness testing. Computational method using axisymmetric FE model is developed according to the measured thickness and curvature of articular cartilage using Abaqus 6.9-

1 (DS Simulia Corp., Providence, RI, USA). The data from both experimental and computational method are used to characterize the biphasic properties of articular cartilage which are elastic modulus and permeability. The parameter that is investigated in this study is the effect of cartilage thickness to characterized the biomechanical properties across synovial joint.

### **1.5 Significance of Study**

The developed method of the present study could potentially be used to characterize the elastic modulus and permeability of cartilage for other synovial joints. The accuracy of characterized properties could be as input for computational studies which could generate better results. These finding will be serving as guide in enhancing tissue engineering developments for cartilage repair and as an input for computational studies.

### **1.6 Outline of Thesis**

This thesis consists of six chapters as per the following sequence:

#### Chapter 1: Introduction

This chapter introduces the general information about OA disease, causes of OA and articular cartilage. This chapter also states the problem statement, objective, scope and significance of this study.

#### Chapter 2: Literature Review

This chapter consists of eight sections, which each section explains more about the topic. Topics contained in this chapter described the types of human joint and focused on the synovial joint and its anatomy, OA, articular cartilage and its composition, structure and function, biphasic theory of articular cartilage, characterization of the biomechanical

properties of articular cartilage, computational modeling in development of finite element model of articular cartilage and bone, and lastly, animal model.

### Chapter 3: Methodology

This chapter is divided into two major sections which are experimental and computational methods. The earlier section focused on the preparation of the experimental method which includes the development of indentation apparatus and material and specimen preparations. The later section describes how the indentation test was conducted to obtain the data of deformation of cartilage tissue. Followed by the procedure of cartilage thickness measurement and cartilage curve measurement to provide the actual geometry of the cartilage tissue that will be used on the computational method. For the computational technique, the data obtained from the experimental technique are used to develop the FE model that will be used to merge to provide a new value of biomechanical properties of articular cartilage.

### Chapter 4: Results

This chapter presents the result obtained from the experimental, computational and both methods. The creep deformation of articular cartilage was shown for experimental results. The results of the computational simulation presents the effect of thickness of articular cartilage on contact pressure and pore pressure. The last two section show the biomechanical properties across synovial joint and the effect of cartilage thickness on characterized biomechanical properties. This result was obtained by the combination of experimental and computational techniques.