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ABSTRACT: The micro-perforated panel (MPP) is recently well-known as an alternative 'green' sound absorber replacing the conventional porous materials. Constructed from a solid panel which provides a non-abrasive structure and also an optically attractive surface, there gives a feasibility to implement such a panel inside a vehicle cabin. This paper is the preliminary study to investigate the sound transmission loss (TL) of a solid panel coupled with a micro-perforated panel to form a double-leaf partition which is already known as a lightweight structure for noise insulation in vehicles and buildings. The mathematical model for the TL subjected to normal incidence of acoustic excitation is derived. The results show that its performance substantially improves at the troublesome frequency of mass-air-mass resonance which occurs in the conventional double-leaf solid partition. This is important particularly for the noise source predominant at low frequencies. This can also be controlled by tuning the hole size and number as well as the air gap between the panels.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Low noise level inside a vehicle cabin, such as car, train and airplane is important to provide a 'comfort room' for the passengers. Hence the design of the structural car body such as the door, roof and cabin interior is made such that noise from engines, tires or wheel, brake and turbulence boundary layer is transmitted into the cabin with minimum amount. A well
known method for reducing the noise is by installing a partition panel. In general, the partition has a main function to reflect most of the noise and transmit the rest. This is caused by large changes of acoustic impedance in the transmission path created by the partition. A double-leaf partition is known as the lightweight structures in a vehicle such as car doors, train walls or aircraft fuselages. This double-panel is found to increase the transmission loss significantly compared with the single panel partition [1]. However, for noise source predominantly dominates at low frequencies, a double-partition gives a poor performance due to the so-called mass-air-mass resonance. The experimental results for sound transmission loss (TL) of single and double-leaf partitions can be seen in Fig.1. This presents that the double-leaf gives the troublesome resonance frequency for noise insulation at around 100 Hz.

Several works have been done to improve the performance of the double-leaf partition including to overcome the problem at mass-air-mass resonance. This includes the introduction of a Hemholtz resonator between the gap [2], by embedding long T-shaped resonators along the edge of the double panel partition [3], by placing loudspeaker and installing actuator between the gap to actively control the acoustic mode [4] and filling the absorbent material inside the gap [5].

The finding of a micro-perforated panel (MPP) by Dah You Maa in 1975 as sound absorber gives a new leap in the acoustical research. MPP is a perforated panel with millimetric size holes backed by air cavity and rigid surface. The holes diameter must be in the range between 0.05-1 mm and the perforation ratio is between 0.5-1.5% [6]. This finding has been attracting other researchers to employ this system as a ‘green sound absorber’ in many applications [7]. Since the MPP is made from a solid panel, it will provide a hygenic, optically attractive and non-abrasive structure. This therefore offers a feasibility to be also implemented in a vehicle cabin.
Many studies have been done to improve the performance of MPP in terms of its sound absorption including by coupling it into a double-leaf system [8], increasing the thickness to obtain better mechanical structure properties [9], modifying the hole shape [10] and partitioning the back cavity [11].

This paper is the preliminary study to investigate the performance of a solid plate coupled with a MPP in terms of its sound transmission loss (TL). The use of the MPP in this system is to provide a good sound absorption inside the cabin. On the other hand, the presence of the MPP is also expected to overcome the phenomenon of the mass-air-mass resonance for a double-leaf. The viscous force of the air flow inside the hole creates a friction force between the air and the inner wall of the holes. This becomes an additional damping which reduces the resonances of the system. For the rest of this paper, the system is referred as the solid-microperforated partition (SMPP) system. In the next section, the mathematical model of the TL for the SMPP system is derived.

2. THEORY

Figure 2 shows a mechanical system of a SMPP with uniform, unbounded and non-flexible panels having mass per unit area \( \mu \) supported by viscous dampers \( r \) and elastic suspensions \( s \) impinging by normal incidence of sound wave.

The incident and reflected sound pressure with frequency \( \omega \) at the solid (left) panel are given by

\[
\tilde{p}_i = \tilde{A}_i e^{-j k x} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\tilde{p}_r = \tilde{B}_i e^{j k x} \quad (2)
\]

where \( k = \omega / c \) is the acoustic wave number and \( c \) is the speed of sound. The curl sign indicates complex number.

Using Euler equation \( \tilde{v} = -1 / j \rho \omega (dp / dx) \) at \( x = 0 \) for Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), the particle velocity can be written as

\[
\tilde{v} = \frac{1}{\rho c} \left( \tilde{A}_i - \tilde{B}_i \right) \quad (3)
\]

where \( \rho \) is the density of air.
Since the left panel is solid, the particle velocity along the plate surface $\vec{v}$ is same with panel velocity $\vec{v}_p$. Eq. (3) can be rearranged as

$$Z_f \vec{v}_p = \vec{A}_1 - \vec{B}_1$$

(4)

where $Z_f = \rho c$ is the impedance of the air and $\vec{v}_p$ is the solid panel velocity. The acoustic pressure acting on the solid panel surface $\vec{p}_1$ and $\vec{p}_2$ at $x = 0$ and on the perforated panel surface at $x = d$ are given by

$$\vec{p}_1 = \vec{A}_1 + \vec{B}_1 = \vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_r$$

(5)

$$\vec{p}_2 = \vec{A}_2 + \vec{B}_2$$

(6)

$$\vec{p}_3 = \vec{A}_2 e^{-jkd} + \vec{B}_2 e^{jkd}$$

(7)

$$\vec{p}_r = Z_f \vec{v}$$

(8)

The mean particle velocity $\vec{v}$ in Eq. (8) is obtained due to the presence of holes in the right panel. The particle velocity is no longer the same as the panel velocity, but it now includes the effect of the particle velocity inside the holes. According to Takahashi and Tanaka [12], this is given by

$$\vec{v} = \vec{v}_p (1 - \tau) + \tau \vec{v}_f$$

(9)

where $\vec{v}_f$ is the fluid velocity inside the holes, $\vec{v}_p$ is the right panel velocity and $\tau$ is the perforation ratio.

The impedance of the holes $\vec{Z}_o = Z_{o,R} + \vec{Z}_{o,L}$ is given by Maa [6] where
\[ Z_{o,R} = \frac{32v_a t}{d_o^2} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{X_o^2}{32} \right)^{1/2} + \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} X_o}{9} \right) \frac{d_o}{t} \right] \] (10)

\[ \tilde{Z}_{o,I} = j \rho \omega \left[ 1 + \left( 9 + \frac{X_o^2}{32} \right)^{-1/2} + \left( \frac{8}{3\pi} \right) \frac{d_o}{t} \right] \] (11)

with \( X_o = \left( d_o / 2 \right) \left( \omega \rho / v_a \right)^{1/2} \) for \( v_a \) is the air viscosity. The resistive or real part of the impedance \( Z_{o,R} \) represents the viscous effect of air interaction with the panel surface in the hole and imaginary part \( \tilde{Z}_{o,I} \) represents the acoustic reactance from the inertia of the air inside the holes. The following equation of the net force acting on the plate can be expressed as

\[ Z_{o,R} (\tilde{v}_f - \tilde{v}_{p_1}) + \tilde{Z}_{o,I} \tilde{v}_f = \Delta p \] (12)

Re-arrange Eq. (12) and substitute to Eq. (9) gives the mean particle velocity as a function of the pressure difference \( \Delta p \)

\[ \tilde{v} = \gamma \tilde{v}_{p_2} + \frac{\Delta p}{\tilde{Z}} \] (13)

where \( \gamma = 1 - \left( \tilde{Z}_{o,I} / \tilde{Z} \right) \) and \( \tilde{Z} = \tilde{Z}_o / \tau \).

The equation of motion for the right panel due to the acoustic loading is given by

\[ \tilde{Z}_{p_2} \tilde{v}_{p_2} = \Delta p (1 - \tau) + Z_{o,R} (\tilde{v}_f - \tilde{v}_{p_1}) \tau \] (14)

where the second term on the right hand side of Eq. (14) is the viscous force inside the hole. Re-arrange Eq. (14) and using Eq. (12) yields

\[ \Delta p = \Omega \tilde{v}_{p_2} \] (15)

where \( \Omega \) is the modified panel impedance due to the perforations given by

\[ \Omega = \frac{1}{\Phi} \left( \tilde{Z}_{p_2} + Z_{o,R} + \tilde{Z}_{o,I} \right) / \tilde{Z} \] (16)

where \( \Phi = 1 - \tau + \frac{Z_{o,R}}{\tilde{Z}} \).

Equation (7) can be expanded into

\[ \tilde{p}_3 = (\tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{B}_2) \cos kd - j (\tilde{A}_2 - \tilde{B}_2) \sin kd \]

\[ = \tilde{p}_2 \cos kd - j Z_f \tilde{v}_{p_1} \sin kd \] (17)

The equation of motion of the left panel caused by the pressure difference acting on it is
\[ \tilde{Z}_{p_1} \tilde{v}_{p_1} = \tilde{p}_1 - \tilde{p}_2 \]  

(18)

where \( \tilde{Z}_{p_1} = j\omega \mu + r + s/ j\omega \) is the impedance of the panel.

Again using Euler equation for Eq. (7) and substituting Eq. (6) yields the pressure at \( x = 0^+ \) (right side surface of the solid panel)

\[ \tilde{p}_2 = \frac{Z_f \left( \tilde{v}_{p_1} \cos kd - \tilde{v} \right)}{j \sin kd} \]  

(19)

Note that equivalent to Eq. (3), \( Z_f \tilde{v}_{p_1} = (\tilde{A}_2 - \tilde{B}_2) \) has been used in Eq. (19). Substituting Eq. (19) to Eq. (17) gives

\[ \tilde{p}_3 = \frac{Z_f \left( \tilde{v}_{p_1} - \tilde{v} \cos kd \right)}{j \sin kd} \]  

(20)

The pressure difference at the perforated panel is

\[ \Delta p = \tilde{p}_3 - \tilde{p}_i \]  

(21)

By substituting Eq. (20) and Eq. (8) into Eq. (21) gives

\[ \Delta p = \frac{Z_f \left( \tilde{v}_{p_1} - \tilde{v} e^{jkd} \right)}{j \sin kd} \]  

(22)

Again substituting Eq. (13) into Eq. (22) and then to Eq. (15) gives the ratio of the panel velocity

\[ \frac{\tilde{v}_{p_1}}{\tilde{v}_{p_2}} = \gamma e^{jkd} + \Omega \left[ \frac{j \sin kd}{Z_f} + \frac{e^{jkd}}{Z_2} \right] \]  

(23)

From Eq. (4) where \( \tilde{A}_1 = \tilde{p}_i \) and \( \tilde{B}_1 = \tilde{p}_r \)

\[ \tilde{p}_r = \tilde{p}_i - Z_f \tilde{v}_{p_1} \]  

(24)

Substituting Eq. (5), Eq. (19) and Eq. (24) into Eq. (18) yields the incident pressure

\[ \tilde{p}_i = \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{Z}_{p_1} \tilde{v}_{p_1} + Z_f \tilde{v}_{p_1} + \frac{Z_f \left( \tilde{v}_{p_1} \cos kd - \tilde{v} \right)}{j \sin kd} \right) \]  

(25)

Dividing by \( \tilde{p}_i = \rho c \tilde{v} \) gives the ratio of the incident pressure and the transmitted pressure

\[ \frac{\tilde{p}_i}{\tilde{p}_t} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tilde{v}_{p_1}}{\tilde{v}_{p_2}} \left( \frac{j \tilde{Z}_{p_1} \sin kd}{Z_f} + e^{jkd} \right) - \left( \gamma + \frac{\Omega}{Z_2} \right) \right) \]  

(26)
By substituting the velocity ratio from Eq. (23)

\[
\frac{\tilde{p}_i}{\tilde{p}_i} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( \gamma e^{jkd} + \frac{j\Omega \sin kd}{Z_f} + \frac{\Phi e^{jkd}}{Z_f} \right) \left( \frac{jZ_p \sin kd}{Z_f} + e^{jkd} \right) - \left( \gamma + \frac{\Omega}{Z_f} \right) j \sin kd \right\}
\]

(27)

The transmission coefficient \( \sigma \) is given by the ratio of intensity, \( \frac{\rho^2}{\rho c} \). From Eq.(27) the yields

\[
\sigma = \left| \frac{\tilde{p}_i}{\tilde{p}_i} \right|^2
\]

(28)

and the transmission loss is given by

\[
TL = 10 \times \log(\sigma)
\]

(29)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 3 shows the theoretical results of the TL for the conventional solid double-panel (SDP) and SMPP. The result for the single panel is also presented for comparison. The calculation is made for 1 mm thick steel plate with density 7800 kg/m\(^3\). The material properties of the MPP as the rear panel is the same, with hole diameter \( d_0 = 0.1 \) mm, 50 mm air gap and perforation ratio 0.5%. It can be seen that at low frequencies below 100 Hz, both results give identical values.

![Fig. 3: Sound transmission loss of single, double panel and SMPP under normal incidence of acoustic loading (—SDP, ––SMPP, •–Single panel).](attachment:image-url)
Around 150 Hz the common problem of ‘drop’ value for the conventional SDP occurs due to the system resonance. The double-leaf shows its superiority over the single panel across the frequency range except at the resonance. Around this particular frequency, both the panels and air inside the cavity move in phase which is then known as ‘mass-air-mass’ resonance. The transmission loss is found to drop to almost 0 dB which indicates a bad performance for a noise barrier especially for a noise dominating around this frequency. The dips around 3.5 and 7 kHz are the cavity resonances which occur at the corresponding acoustic wavelength of \( n\lambda = 2d \) with \( n \) is any non-zero positive integer.

For the SMPP, a better performance is obtained around this troublesome resonance frequency. The air pressure passes through the hole creates a viscous shear stress due to friction between the air and the wall inside the holes. This friction force which has opposite direction to the motion of the panel gives additional damping to the cavity that reduces the coupling between the structural and the acoustic cavity modes. However, above this resonance, the TL from SMPP is lower than that from the SDP.

![Graph showing sound transmission loss of SMPP with different hole diameter](image)

**Fig. 4:** Sound transmission loss of SMPP with different hole diameter for 0.5% perforation ratio and 50 mm air gap under normal incidence of acoustic loading (---SDP, -- \( d_o = 0.1 \) mm, - • \( d_o = 0.2 \) mm, · · · \( d_o = 0.4 \) mm).

Figure 4 presents the results for SMPP with different hole diameters and constant perforation ratio of 0.5% and gap distance 50 mm. This is plotted up to 1 KHz to focus only around the resonance region. The SDP decreases the TL by roughly 15 dB in average around the resonance. However, it can be seen that the use of a MPP for the rear panel improves the TL around the resonance. The improvement can be achieved by increasing the hole size, but in consequence, decreases the performance above the resonance. It can also be seen that doubling the diameter from 0.2 mm to 0.4 mm gives almost no effect on the TL at the resonance.
Figure 5 plots the results for constant hole diameter by varying the perforation ratios. The improvement of TL around the mass-air-mass resonance can also be seen by increasing the perforation ratio.

The effect of the air gap is presented in Fig. 6. It can be seen that the decrement of the air gap increases the resonance and at the same time also reduces the 'drop value' significantly. The TL at the mass-air-mass resonance improves as the air gap is reduced. At high frequencies, the TL reduces as the gap increases.

For clarity of analysis, the level of improvement or decrement of the TL can be represented by the insertion gain (IG) i.e. the ratio of the transmitted power after to before the introduction of micro-holes at the rear panel which is given by

\[
IG = 10 \log \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{smpp}}}{\sigma_{\text{sdp}}} \right) = TL_{\text{smpp}} - TL_{\text{sdp}}
\]

where TL\text{smpp} is the transmission loss of the SMPP and TL\text{sdp} is for the SDP.

Fig. 5: Sound transmission loss of SMPP with different perforation ratios for 0.1 mm hole diameter and 50 mm air gap under normal incidence of acoustic loading (——SDP, – – – \( \tau = 0.5\% \), – • – \( \tau = 1\% \), · · · \( \tau = 1.5\% \)).
Fig. 6: Sound transmission loss of SMPP with different air gaps for 0.1 mm hole diameter and 0.5\% perforation ratio under normal incidence of acoustic loading

(—SDP, ——d = 10 mm, —• d = 20 mm, ••• d = 40 mm).

Figure 7 shows the IG for SMPP with different diameters as in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the improvement around the resonance is about 15-18 dB. Deterioration above the resonance can be seen to increase with frequency.

Fig. 7: Insertion gain of SMPP with different hole diameters for 0.5\% perforation ratio and 50 mm air gap under normal incidence of acoustic loading

(——d\(_o\) = 0.1 mm, ——d\(_o\) = 0.2 mm, ••• d\(_o\) = 0.4 mm).
Figure 8 shows the IG for different perforation ratios. Same with previous results, the improvement can be seen by 15-18 dB at 150 Hz.

The IG for different air gaps are also presented in Fig. 9. It can be seen that doubling the air gap reduces the TL at the resonance by roughly 4 dB. However, as in Figs. 7 and 8, the improvement is only around the mas-air-mass resonance.

Fig. 8: Insertion gain of SMPP with different perforation ratios for 0.1 mm hole diameter and 50 mm air gap under normal incidence of acoustic loading

\((- - \tau = 0.5\%, \ - \cdot \ - \tau = 1\%, \ - \cdot \cdot \tau = 1.5\%).\)

Fig. 9: Insertion gain of SMPP with different air gaps, for 0.1 mm hole diameter, 0.5% perforation ratio under normal incidence of acoustic loading

\((- - d = 10 \text{ mm}, \ - \cdot \ - d = 20 \text{ mm}, \ - \cdot \cdot \cdot d = 40 \text{ mm}).\)
4. CONCLUSION

Preliminary study on the possibility to apply the MPP as the noise barrier in a double-leaf partition has been presented. In order to improve the poor performance at the resonance for transmission loss of the conventional double-leaf, the presence of micro-perforated holes in the rear panel is found to be effective. However, the improvement only happens at a very narrow frequency band around the mass-air-mass resonance. It is found that this improvement can be increased by increasing the hole size or the perforation ratio and by reducing the air gap. On the other hand, application of the MPP seems to sacrifice the performance at high frequencies. Nevertheless, this is still beneficial especially for the noise source dominating at low frequencies. Moreover, the model proposed assumes normal incidence of sound excitation. For more real application, which is diffuse field, the effect will be greater in terms of the frequency range. This will be investigated on the future work.
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NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>Sound speed in air</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>Gap distance</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_o$</td>
<td>Hole diameter</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j$</td>
<td>Imaginary part</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>Wavenumber</td>
<td>rad/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>Acoustic pressure</td>
<td>N/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta p$</td>
<td>Pressure difference</td>
<td>N/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>Damping coefficient per unit area</td>
<td>Ns/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s$</td>
<td>Stiffness per unit area</td>
<td>N/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>Panel thickness</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$TL$</td>
<td>Transmission loss</td>
<td>dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_a$</td>
<td>Air viscosity</td>
<td>kg/s.m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{\nu}$</td>
<td>Particle velocity</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{\nu}_p$</td>
<td>Panel velocity</td>
<td>m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>Total acoustic impedance</td>
<td>Ns/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_o$</td>
<td>Hole impedance</td>
<td>Ns/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_{o,R}$</td>
<td>Real part of hole impedance</td>
<td>Ns/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_{o,I}$</td>
<td>Imaginary part of hole impedance</td>
<td>Ns/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_f$</td>
<td>Fluid impedance</td>
<td>Ns/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>Mass per unit area</td>
<td>kg/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$</td>
<td>Angular frequency</td>
<td>rad/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Air density</td>
<td>kg/s.m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>Transmission coefficient</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>