



**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**

**THE ALIGNMENT OF COMPETITIVE PRIORITIES, PROCESS  
STRUCTURE, AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND ITS  
EFFECT ON FIRM PERFORMANCE: A STUDY OF MALAYSIAN  
MANUFACTURING FIRMS**

**Hasbullah Ashari**

**PhD**

**2008**

**THE ALIGNMENT OF COMPETITIVE PRIORITIES, PROCESS STRUCTURE,  
AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND ITS EFFECT ON FIRM  
PERFORMANCE: A STUDY OF MALAYSIAN MANUFACTURING FIRMS**

**HASBULLAH BIN ASHARI**

**A Thesis submitted  
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in  
Manufacturing Engineering**

**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2008**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitle “The alignment of competitive priorities, process structure, and information technology and its effect on firm performance: a study of Malaysian manufacturing firms” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : .....

Date : .....

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my greatest gratitude to Allah S.W.T. the Almighty God for giving me the knowledge and the strength to complete this paper. I must express my sincere appreciation to those who have contributed in one way or the other to the full realisation of this study. My appreciation goes first to Prof Dr Mohd Razali Muhamad of the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka who without his guidance and intellectual inputs, this thesis would not have been possible. My appreciation too goes to my mother who through her prayers and in her own silent ways gave me the strength to persist and successfully finish this course. I am also indebted to Associate Professor Dr Abdullah Abdul Ghani for his patience to spare his valuable time to help me out whenever I need his assistance, to Dr Abd Isa Ismail for his efforts to proof read this thesis and to provide valuable advice and comments to make sure this study is a success, and to Dr Asmat Nizam for his consistent encouragement that has pushed me toward the end of this long journey. Last but not least, to my wife Nora and our children Sumayyah, Nusaibah, Yaaser, Ammar, Muaz, Asma' and A'isyah, thank you for all of your patience, everlasting love and morale support that have helped me going through this long learning process without much difficulty. Finally, none of those who have helped me in this work should be held responsible for the form or any errors but me.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iv - viii</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x - xi</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>GLOSSARY</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>ABSTRAK (BAHASA MELAYU)</b>	<b>xv</b>

### CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Problem Statements And Research Questions	6
1.2 Objectives Of The Study	8
1.3 Significance Of The Study	9
1.4 Scope Of The Study	10
1.5 Organization Of The Thesis	10
1.6 Summary	12

## **CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

2.0 Introduction	13
2.1 Manufacturing Strategy Framework	15
2.1.1 Competitive Priorities	16
2.1.2 Structural and Infrastructural Decisions	18
2.1.3 Alignment in The Context of Manufacturing Strategy	19
2.1.4 The Importance of Process Structure and IT Applications As Research Variables	20
2.2 Trade- off Model and Cumulative Model- The Two Competing Models	23
2.3 Previous Studies on the Alignment of Manufacturing Strategy with IT Applications	27
2.4 Theoretical Framework Development	35
2.4.1 Competitive Priorities, Process Structure, and Firms' Performance	35
2.4.2 Competitive Priorities, Process Structure, IT Applications, and Firm's Performance	40
2.5 The Model	42
2.6 Hypotheses	43
2.7 Summary	44

## **CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY**

3.0 Introduction	45
3.1 Research Design	46
3.1.1 Purpose Of Study And Time Horizon	46
3.1.2 Population, Sample And Unit Of Analysis	47
3.1.3 Data And Data Collection	48
3.1.4 Pilot Test	48
3.1.5 Validity And Reliability	49
3.1.5.1 Validity Assessment	49
3.1.5.2 Reliability Assessment	53
3.2 Measurement	54
3.2.1 Competitive Priorities	54
3.2.2 Process Structure	55
3.2.3 IT Applications	55
3.2.4 Firm Performance	56
3.2.5 Summary on Measurement Instruments & Scales	60
3.3 Analytical Tools	61
3.3.1 Methods for Measuring Alignment	62
3.4 Linearity, Normality, And Homoscedasticity Condition	67
3.5 Summary	68

## **CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

4.0 Introduction	69
4.1 The Alignment of Competitive Priorities with Process Structure	70
4.2 The Alignment of IT Applications with Process Choice	76
4.3 The Alignment of IT Applications with Competitive Priorities	80
4.4 Trade- off or Cumulative Theory	82
4.5 Performance Implications of Alignment	84
4.5.1 Normalization	84
4.5.2 Classification into Product Focus and Process Focus	85
4.5.3 Computation of Alignment	85
4.5.4 Performance Implications of Alignment	88
4.6 Summary	93

## **CHAPTER FIVE: TRIANGULATION OF RESEARCH FINDINGS THROUGH CASE STUDY**

5.0 Introduction	94
5.1 Data Collection Method	95
5.2 Background of the Company- ITSB	98
5.3 Competitive Priorities	99
5.4 Process Structure	100



5.5 IT Applications- Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)	101
5.6 Analysis of the Alignment (or Misalignment)- Profiling Technique	103
5.7 Summary	106
 <b>CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	
6.0 Introduction	107
6.1 The Research in a Nutshell	107
6.2 Major Findings of the Study	109
6.3 Implication of Study	111
6.3.1 Management Implication	112
6.3.2 Academic Implication	113
6.3.2.1 Approach of the Study	113
6.3.2.2 The Sand Cone Theory	114
6.4 Limitations of Study	114
6.4.1 Methodology Limitations	114
6.5 Study's Obstacle	116
6.6 Future Research Direction	117
 <b>REFERENCES</b>	 120

## APPENDICES

A	Survey Questionnaire	134
B	Linearity Tests	142
C	Homoscedasticity Tests	144
D	Normality Tests	147
E	Descriptive Study	150

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Summary of Previous Research on Alignment of IT Applications with Manufacturing Strategy	33
Table 2.2	Competitive Priorities and Compatible Process Structure	39
Table 3.1	Factor Loading of Items on Construct	51
Table 3.2	Standardized Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha Measures of Variables	53
Table 3.3	Summary of Measurement and Scales	61
Table 4.1	Expected Relationship for T- Test Between Means of Competitive Priorities with Regards to Process Structure	71
Table 4.2	Mean Differences Between Means for The Competitive Priorities of Product Focus and Means for Process Focused firms	73
Table 4.3	Comparison of Mean of the Competitive Priorities IN Relation to Two Types of Process Structure	75
Table 4.4	Comparison of Means Two Types of Process Structure	78
Table 4.5	Differences Between Means of IT Applications with Respect to Process Structures	79
Table 4.6	Differences between Means of IT Applications with Respect to Competitive Priorities	81
Table 4.7	Correlations Results of The Competitive Priorities	83
Table 4.8	Ideal Values of the Competitive Priorities and IT Applications Based on Type of Processes	86
Table 4.9	Implications of Alignment for Firms' Performance	89

Table 4.10	Linear Regression Model For Product Focused Firms	90
Table 4.11	Model Summary For Product Focused Firms	90
Table 4.12	Linear Regression Model For Process Focused Firms	91
Table 4.13	Model Summary For Process Focused Firms	91

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Manufacturing Strategy Framework	15
Figure 2.2	Hayes And Wheelwright Product- Process Life Cycle	37
Figure 2.3	Conceptual Model Of The Relationship Of The Variables	42
Figure 3.1	Relationship of Competitive Priorities Measures and Other Performance Measures	58
Figure 3.2	Six Perspectives for Measuring and Analyzing Alignment	63
Figure 3.3	Profile Deviation Technique	65
Figure 3.4	The Analytical Approach	66
Figure 5.1	ITSB Production Line	100
Figure 5.2	Profile of ITSB Manufacturing Strategy Components Alignment	104

## GLOSSARY

Alignment	The match among a set of theoretical dimensions.
Cellular layout	Grouping of machines into cells that function like product focused layout island within a larger job shop or process focused layout
Competitive Priorities	Generic operations or manufacturing capabilities which are derived from understanding of the market or competition.
Homoscedasticity	The appearance of constant error over a range of predictor variables.
Information Technology Applications	Information Technology based manufacturing systems which aid in decision making process.
Linearity	The assumption that there is a straight line relationship between variables.
Normality	Degree to which the distribution of the sample data corresponds to a normal distribution.
Process Structure	Types of process in a manufacturing firm which generally can be categorised into four types of processes: Job shop, Batch, line and continuous line.
Process Focus	A production that deals with low volume, high variety production; intermittent process; similar machines and equipment are grouped together.
Product Focus	A production process built around a product and seeking the best personnel and machine utilization via repetitive or continuous production.

## ABSTRACT

Gaps in the existing literature especially with respect to Manufacturing Strategies components alignment and its effect on firm performance provide the rationales to the current studies. This study examines specifically the alignment of three components of Manufacturing Strategies namely competitive priorities, structural decision represented by process structures, and infrastructural decision represented by IT applications, and their effects on firms' performance. In doing so, various relationships between the components have been examined and using Profile Deviation Method the overall alignment of the three components and its effect on performance has been assessed. A research framework was developed and five hypotheses were tested. Primary data was collected from Production or Manufacturing Managers or senior Engineers using mailed questionnaire while a case study was conducted to a company that has invested large amount to have an integrated IT applications system in place. Although the recommended sample size is 330, to ensure sufficient participation, 800 hundred questionnaires were sent out to 800 companies. 104 questionnaires were returned which represented for about 12.88 % participation rate or a representative rate of 5.2 % of the population. Four types of statistical analyses were utilized namely Descriptive, Simple T- test, Profile deviation technique, and Simple Linear Regression. The following results were obtained (i) in general the results of the hypotheses testing on the various relationships between Process Structure and Competitive Priorities, Process Structure and IT Applications, and Competitive Priorities and Process Structure did not support the hypotheses; (ii) companies understudy exhibited the pattern of the Sand Cone theory; (iii) Profile Deviation technique employed to determine the effect of alignment or misalignment on performance yielded mixed results for Product Focused companies and Process Focus Companies. The case study indicated that there were indeed misalignments between the variables under study. Limitations to the current findings were also discussed and directions for future research proposed.

## ABSTRAK

Jurang yang wujud di dalam penulisan akademik berkaitan pensejajaran komponen-komponen Strategi Pengilangan dan kesannya terhadap prestasi firma menjadi asas dan pencetus kepada kajian ini. Kajian ini akan mengkaji secara khusus pensejajaran tiga komponen Strategi Pengilangan iaitu Keutamaan Kompetitif, Keputusan berkaitan Struktur yang diwakili oleh Struktur Proses dan Keputusan berkaitan infrastruktur yang diwakili oleh Aplikasi Teknologi Maklumat. Seterusnya kajian ini juga akan mengkaji kesan pensejajaran tadi ke atas prestasi firma. Pelbagai hubungan di antara komponen-komponen di atas telah dikaji dan dengan menggunakan kaedah Sisihan Profil (Profile Deviation Method), pensejajaran ketiga-tiga komponen tadi dan kesannya kepada prestasi telah dinilai. Satu kerangka kajian beserta lima hipotesis telah dibentuk dan diuji. Melalui set soal-selidik yang telah diposkan, Data Primer telah dikumpulkan daripada pengurus-pengurus Pengeluaran, Pengilangan atau Jurutera-jurutera Kanan kilang yang terbabit. Satu kajian kes telah dilaksanakan terhadap sebuah syarikat yang telah melabur dengan jumlah yang besar untuk mewujudkan rangkaian aplikasi IT di dalam syarikat tersebut. Walaupun 330 saiz sampel diperlukan oleh kajian ini, 800 set soal-selidik telah dihantar kepada 800 syarikat untuk data dapat dikumpul secukupnya. 104 set soal-selidik yang telah dijawab telah dikembalikan dan ianya mewakili 12.88% kadar partisipasi atau 5.2% kadar representasi dari keseluruhan populasi. Tiga jenis analisa statistik telah dimanfaatkan iaitu kaedah diskriptif, Ujian T mudah, kaedah Sisihan Profil (Profile Deviation) dan Analisis Regresi Linear. Berikut adalah hasil-hasil kajian yang diperolehi: (i) Secara umumnya hasil ujian hipotesis terhadap pelbagai hubungan di antara Struktur Proses dan Keutamaan Kompetitif, Struktur Proses dan Aplikasi Teknologi Maklumat, serta Keutamaan Kompetitif dan Aplikasi Teknologi Maklumat tidak menyokong hipotesis; (ii) Syarikat-syarikat yang dikaji menunjukkan kecenderungan mengikuti teori Kon Pasir (Sand Cone theory); (iii) Kaedah Sisihan Profil (Profile Deviation) yang telah digunakan untuk menentukan kesan pensejajaran terhadap prestasi telah memberi keputusan yang bercampur di antara syarikat-syarikat Fokus Produk dan syarikat-syarikat Fokus Proses. Kajian kes yang telah dilaksanakan menunjukkan kewujudan ketidak sejajaran di antara pemboleh ubah yang dikaji. Keterbatasan atau limitasi hasil kajian juga telah dibincangkan dan arah tuju kajian akan datang juga telah dimajukan.



## **CHAPTER ONE**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.0 Introduction**

Since its independence 50 years ago, Malaysian economy has transformed drastically from a small and average country into one of the Asian economic tigers. The transformation is due to the changes in the country's economic policies. From an agricultural- based country whose main exports were commodities like rubber, palm and tin, Malaysia has moved to become an industrialized country. Industrialization policies that have brought about transformation to the country's economic structure started with the establishment of the Industrial Master Plan (IMP) in 1985. Together with the Second Outline Perspective Plan (1991- 2000) which started with the Sixth Malaysia Plan (1991-1995), Malaysia has taken steps to become an industrialized country by focusing on the manufacturing sector (Kechot. & Jusoh, 2000).

Manufacturing sector has played and will play an important and dominant role in determining the economic growth of the country. However, with the rapid change in technological development and customer preferences, coupled with the current trend

of globalization, the future of the manufacturing sector will depend on its ability to adapt to the various changes. The coming of Asian Free Trade Area (AFTA) agreement and the World Trade Organization directives will force the country to deregulate our economy and expose our much-protected industries to global competition.

Realizing the challenging future of this sector in particular and the Malaysian economy in general, the Malaysian government has outlined strategies in handling the phenomenon. One of the strategies outlined in the country's Eighth Malaysia Plan is the utilization of the latest technology especially the Information and Communication Technology (ICT) (Rancangan Malaysia ke lapan 2001- 2005, 2001). By utilizing the latest technology and the advanced information technology, the country's manufacturers are expected to match their global counterparts in term of efficiency and effectiveness.

Information Technology (IT) has tremendous impact on the industry's products and services, the industry's market, and the industry's economics of production (Parson, 1983). The impact of IT on products and services is that it can substantially reduce the product development and product life cycle and speed up the distribution of products to the market. The application of Computer Aided Design (CAD), Computer Aided Manufacturing (CAM) and Computer Aided Engineering (CAE) in the product design process for example, has tremendously improved the product development time. This

will eventually lead to the improvement of the time to market. Furthermore, the advancement of IT has eliminated the geographic market limitations. Product information, financial transactions, and ordering can be done virtually through the Internet. The application of e-commerce has wide spread the competition as it increases the overall demand and supply of the global market (Parsons, 1983). Furthermore, the introduction of Flexible Manufacturing System (FMS) for example, has challenged the classical trade-off theory (Skinner, 1969) by proving that mass production and customization could somehow be achieved with the help of advanced IT. In total, the application of IT in today's business and manufacturing is a strategic issue that could determine a company's competitiveness and survival.

Although it is generally accepted that IT is important in determining company future and survival, the impact of IT on performance or productivity is still not fully understood. Researches to date have shown that the impact of IT on firms' performance has been mixed. Studies at the macro or industry-wide level since the early of 1970s have shown that IT investment resulted in very little gain or no gain at all (Tatcher & Oliver, 2001). These studies have led to the term "IT productivity paradox". In the words of Robert Solow, a Nobel Prize-winning economist, the situation was that "we see the computer age everywhere except in the productivity statistics (Tatcher and Oliver, 2001). The same phenomenon was observed at the company level. Researchers like Brynjolfsson (1993), Landauer (1995), and Weill

(1992) have found that there was no relation or a slightly negative relationship between IT investment at company level and firm performance.

However, in the late 1990s researchers (Brynjolfsson and Hitt, 1995; Dewan and Min, 1997; Lichtenberg, 1995; and Stratopoulos and Dehning, 2000) have found the existence of positive relationship between IT spending and firms' performance. The question now has changed from whether IT investment results in better performance to why and when IT lead to better performance (Dehning and Richardson, 2002). The question of why IT investment lead to a better payoff to a company is very important to answer since it will help managers to make better decision in selecting the right IT applications and in investing in IT.

Researches in the relationship between IT and performance or productivity are numerous and encompass various disciplines such as accounting, information system, economics, and production and operation management. A large body of research, such as Dos Santos, Perrers, and Mauer (1993), Hitt and Brynjolfsson (1996), Tam (1998), Sircar, Turnbow, and Bordoloi (2000), and many others, is an accounting with information system (IS) based research. Synthesis of research by Dehning and Richardson (2002) indicates that much of the researches in accounting analyze the direct relationship between IT investments and firms' performance, omitting the intermediate effects of business process that stand between the two. The understanding of how IT affect business processes and in turn how the processes affect performance

is, however, crucial since it can help explain what determines the success of the IT investment or implementation and the question of how to make IT investment effective (Dehning and Richardson, 2002).

In contrast to the aforementioned researches which are accounting- inclined research, researchers focused on the relationship between IT and manufacturing (or production and operation management) or business competitiveness issues tend to analyze the effect of IT on business process (production related processes in particular) and how IT align with business or manufacturing strategy to improve firms' performance. The issue of IT alignment with manufacturing strategy or business strategy has received considerable attention from the researchers. Parsons (1983); Cooper and Zmud (1990); Floyd and Wooldridge (1990); Chin-Fu (1996); Berry and Hill (1992); Kathuria and Igarria (1997); Gupta, Karimi and Somers (1997); Luftman and Brier (1999); Grover and Malhotra (1999); Sohal, Moss and Ng (2001); and Kini (2002) are examples of researchers in the field.

The term alignment refers to the match among a set of theoretical dimensions (Venkatraman, 1990). In the case of manufacturing strategy, alignment means the match among the manufacturing strategy contents namely the competitive priorities, structural decisions, and infrastructural decisions. The study of alignment in relation to performance could be in terms of its interactive effects or could be in terms of the

differing patterns among set of variables between successful and unsuccessful firms (Venkatraman, 1989). All of these will be dealt in detail in the following chapter.

Although there are a growing number of researches in this area, they are very much case studies, anecdotes, and consultant framework, with “little solid empirical work or synthesis of findings” (Sohal, Moss, and Ng, 2001). As suggested by Sohal, Moss, and Ng (2001), there should be more empirical research in the area of IT. Hence, this thesis attempts to fill some gaps left by the above-mentioned researchers.

## **1.1 Problem Statements And Research Questions**

Review of existing literature on the manufacturing strategy components and information technology established the need for an empirical research to test the various relationships among the said components of manufacturing strategy namely the competitive priorities, process structure (structure), and information technology applications (infrastructure) and how they contribute to firm performance. In order to understand the various relationships a model need to be developed and tested. By doing so it will answer the following questions:

1. Do competitive priorities of manufacturing firms, with regard to primary product line produced in a particular plant, align with the plants' process structure?

2. Do IT applications of manufacturing firms, with regard to primary product line produced in a particular plant, align with the plants' process structures?
3. Do IT applications employed by manufacturing firms align with the competitive priorities of the firms?
4. Does the relationship among the competitive priorities, process structure, and IT applications follow any specific manufacturing strategy model or theory?
5. Does the alignment among competitive priorities, process structure, and IT applications affect firms' performance?
6. If the alignment does affect firms' performance, to what extent is the effect?

### 1.2.1 Objectives Of The Study

The research objectives are as follows:

1. To determine whether or not Competitive Priorities of manufacturing firms, with regard to primary product line produced in a particular plant align with the plant's process structure.
2. To determine whether or not IT applications of manufacturing firms, with regard to primary product line produced in a particular plant align with the plants' process structure.
3. To determine whether or not IT applications employed by manufacturing firms are in alignment with the competitive priorities of the firms.
4. To determine whether or not the relationships among competitive priorities, process structures, and IT applications follow any specific manufacturing strategy model or theory.
5. To determine whether or not the alignment or misalignment among competitive priorities, process structures, and IT applications affect firms' performance.