



Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

**COST OPTIMIZATION BASED ON JOINT ECONOMIC LOT SIZE
MODEL BY INCORPORATING TRANSPORTATION COSTS FOR
SINGLE SUPPLIER-PURCHASER**

Raden Achmad Chairdino Leuveano

Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering

2014

**COST OPTIMIZATION BASED ON JOINT ECONOMIC LOT SIZE MODEL BY
INCORPORATING TRANSPORTATION COSTS FOR SINGLE SUPPLIER-
PURCHASER**

RADEN ACHMAD CHAIRDINO LEUVEANO

**A thesis submitted
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science
in Manufacturing Engineering**

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2014

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitle ‘Cost Optimization Based on Joint Economic Lot Size Model by Incorporating Transportation Costs for Single Supplier-Purchaser’ is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not currently submitted in candidate of any other degree.

Signature :

Name : Raden Achmad Chairdino Leuveano

Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Signature :

Name : Raden Achmad Chairdino Leuveano

Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality as a partial fulfillment of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Signature :

Supervisor's name : Dr. Fairul Azni Bin Jafar

Date :

DEDICATION

“To my beloved parents”

“To my beloved wife”

“To my beloved brother and sister”

ABSTRACT

The increasing focus on supplier-purchaser coordination has become an essential issue to be managed efficiently in optimizing supply chain's performance. Procurement scenario indicates that most of supplier and purchaser have emphasized their effort in effective decision for inventory replenishment independently. Instead of independent decision, Joint Economic Lot Size (JELS) model has been proposed as the representation of coordinated decision between supplier-purchaser. The main objective is to minimize joint total cost of supplier and purchaser by jointly deciding the optimal order quantity, batch production lot, and number of deliveries. Determination of inventory replenishment need to consider transportation as the function of shipment weight and haulage distance that simultaneously emulate freight rate schedules and two modes of transportation namely Truckload (TL) and Less than Truckload (LTL) shipment. Hence, this study proposes to incorporate transportation or freight cost function into JELS model. There are three proposed models in this study, namely: (i) JELS-Inverse (JELS-I) for emulating TL shipment, (ii) JELS Adjusted-inverse (JELS-A) for emulating LTL shipment, and (iii) JELS Lot-splitting (JELS-L) for minimizing joint total cost if the transportation is limited based on TL and LTL shipment. JELS-I and JELS-A constitutes the analytical mathematical model that validated using sensitivity analysis to analyze the robustness of the model. JELS-L is a model based Heuristic optimization approach to search solution within the interval solution of JELS-I and JELS-A. The models are examined by the numerical example for analyzing its feasibility in deriving the solution. The result shows that JELS-I provides a large order quantity but a small number of deliveries, otherwise, JELS-A yields smaller order quantity but a higher number of deliveries. Both models only resulting minimum joint total cost in the case of TL and LTL shipment meanwhile JELS-L performs better result than other models in minimizing joint cost. The models tested by experimenting the wide range of model parameter in order to analyze the effectiveness of the model in yielding a best solution to minimize joint total cost. The experimental result shows that JELS-L provides a smaller deviation toward the best solution rather than another model. It can be indicated that JELS-L model successfully gives promising results in a coordinated decision of the supply chain.

ABSTRAK

Peningkatan tumpuan kepada penyelarasan pembekal dan pembeli telah menjadi satu isu penting yang perlu ditadbir dengan berkesan dalam mengoptimumkan prestasi rantaian bekalan. Senario pengadaan menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakan pembekal dan pembeli telah menekankan usaha mereka dalam membuat keputusan yang berkesan untuk menambahkan inventori secara bersaing. Di sebalik keputusan yang berasingan, model Saiz Lot Ekonomi Bersama (JELS) telah dicadangkan sebagai mewakili keputusan yang selaras antara pembekal dan pembeli. Objektif utama adalah untuk meminimumkan jumlah kos bersama antara pembekal dan pembeli melalui kuantiti pesanan, lot produksi kumpulan, dan jumlah penghantaran optimum yang ditetapkan bersama. Penentuan penambahan persediaan perlu mempertimbangkan pengangkutan sebagai fungsi berat pengiriman dan jarak pengangkutan yang secara bersamaan mencontohi jadual tarif pengangkutan dan dua cara pengangkutan, iaitu Lori Penuh (TL) dan Kurang daripada Lori Penuh (LTL) pengiriman. Kajian ini mencadangkan untuk menggabungkan pengangkutan atau fungsi kos berat ke dalam model JELS. Terdapat tiga model yang dicadangkan dalam kajian ini, iaitu: (i) JELS-Terbalik (JELS-I) untuk mencontohi penghantaran TL, (ii) JELS-Penyelarasan-terbalik (JELS-A) untuk mencontohi penghantaran LTL, dan (iii) JELS Pemisahan lot (JELS-L) untuk mengurangkan jumlah kos bersama sekiranya pengangkutan adalah terhad berdasarkan penghantaran TL dan LTL. JELS-I dan JELS-A merupakan model analisis matematik yang disahkan dengan menggunakan analisis kepekaan untuk menganalisis ketahanan model. JELS-L ialah model berasaskan pendekatan pengoptimuman Heuristic untuk mencari penyelesaian dalam penyelesaian selang JELS-I dan JELS-A. Model diperiksa oleh contoh berangka untuk menganalisis kelayakan dalam memperoleh penyelesaian. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa JELS-I menyediakan kuantiti permintaan yang besar tetapi jumlah penghantaran yang lebih kecil, jika tidak, JELS-A menghasilkan kuantiti permintaan yang kecil tetapi bilangan penghantaran yang lebih tinggi. Kedua-dua model hanya menyebabkan kos minimum dalam kes penghantaran TL dan LTL sementara JELS-L melakukan keputusan yang lebih baik daripada model lain dalam mengurangkan kos bersama. Model diuji dengan melakukan percubaan pelbagai parameter model untuk menganalisis keberkesanan model dalam menghasilkan penyelesaian yang terbaik untuk mengurangkan kos bersama. Keputusan eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa JELS-L menyediakan sisihan yang lebih kecil ke arah penyelesaian terbaik berbanding dengan model yang lain. Hal ini menunjukkan bahawa model JELS-L berjaya memberikan hasil yang memberangsangkan dalam keputusan yang diselaraskan untuk rantaian bekalan.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Alhamdulillah robbil a'lamin. All praise belongs to Allah, who by his blessing and mercy all righteous deeds are being perfected, and this thesis would not have been completed without his divine guidance.

First, I would like to express my sincere thanks to my supervisor, Dr. Fairul Azni Bin Jafar and Prof. Dr. Mohd. Razali bin Muhamad for their perfect patience, guidance, advice, and motivation to complete this thesis since the very beginning.

Friendship and togetherness have been always there for all my Indonesian and Malaysian friends. A beautiful moment and word of thanks always decorate for laughter and discussions with you all.

I also want to thank my brother and sister, Nur Rachman Dzakiyullah and Dinovita Nurul Haq who always giving me a support and cheerfulness.

My lovely wife, Tatbita Titin Suhariyanto who is always beside me, believing in me, and encouraging me in the good things. The understanding, support, and patience of her is ark for me to stare the future wiser.

Lastly, I will always be very grateful to my beloved parents, Chairul Saleh and Diah Purnamawati for their prayers, love, and patience. The spirit of their prayer and smiles is always adorned the peace of this heart to pursue a dream. Also, perfect thing cannot be achieved without their sincerity.

TABLE OF CONTENT

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
APPROVAL	
APPROVAL	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xv
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Imbalance Coordinated Lot Sizing Decision	3
1.3 Impact of Lot Sizing Decision into Transportation Cost	5
1.4 Problem Statement	8
1.5 Research Questions	9
1.6 Research Objective	10
1.7 Research Limitation	10
1.8 Thesis Outline	11
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1 Basic Joint Economic Lot Size Model	13
2.1.1 Single Supplier-Purchaser Supply Chain	13

2.1.2	Multiple Actors in Supply Chain	19
2.2	Inventory Problem with Transportation	23
2.3	Information Sharing	28
2.4	Cost Parameter	30
2.5	Shortcoming of Previous Research	32
2.6	Summary	35
3.	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	36
3.1	Overall System	36
3.2	Research Design	37
3.3	Model Development	39
3.4	Conceptual Model	42
3.5	Mathematical Model	45
3.5.1	Supplier Cost Function	46
3.5.2	Transportation Cost Function	50
3.5.2.1	Inverse Function	51
3.5.2.2	Adjusted Inverse Function	52
3.5.3	Purchaser Cost Function	52
3.5.3.1	Simple EOQ Model	53
3.5.3.2	EOQ-I Model	55
3.5.3.3	EOQ-A Model	56
3.5.4	Simple JELS Model	57
3.5.5	JELS-I Model	62
3.5.6	JELS-A Model	66
3.5.7	Validation Method	70
3.5.8	JELS-L Model	72
3.6	Model Comparison	75
3.7	Summary	80
4.	ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	81
4.1	Numerical Example	81
4.2	Independent Decision	83
4.2.1	Simple EOQ Model with Freight Rate	84

4.2.2	EOQ-I Model with Freight Rate	85
4.2.3	EOQ-A Model with Freight Rate	86
4.3	Coordinated Decision	89
4.3.1	Simple JELS Model with Freight Rate	89
4.3.2	JELS-I Model with Freight Rate	93
4.3.2.1	Incapacitated Problem for JELS-I Model	93
4.3.2.2	Capacitated Problem for JELS-I Model	97
4.3.2.3	Sensitivity Analysis of JELS-I Model	98
4.3.2.4	Effect of the Distance d on Joint Total Cost and Transportation Cost of JELS-I Model	104
4.3.3	JELS-A Model with Freight Rate	107
4.3.3.1	Incapacitated Problem for JELS-A Model	107
4.3.3.2	Capacitated Problem for JELS-A Model	110
4.3.3.3	Sensitivity Analysis of JELS-A Model	112
4.3.3.4	Effect of the Distance d on Joint Total Cost and Transportation Cost of JELS-A Model	118
4.3.4	JELS-L Model with Freight Rate	120
4.3.4.1	Incapacitated Problem for JELS-L Model	121
4.3.4.2	Capacitated Problem for JELS-L Model	125
4.3.5	Model Comparison Analysis	126
4.3.5.1	Comparison Independent Decision and Coordinated Decision	126
4.3.5.2	Comparison of JELS Proposed Models to The Best Solution	130
4.4	Summary	142
5.	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	144
5.1	Conclusion	144
5.2	Contribution	145
5.3	Future Work	147
	REFERENCES	148
	APPENDICES	166

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Nominal Freight Rate Example	6
2.1	Actual Freight Rate Schedule	24
2.2	Annual Inventory Holding Cost in the Supplier and Purchaser	31
2.3	A Gap of Published Literature Based on Problem Specification of JELS Model	33
4.1	New Freight Rate Schedule as the Function of Shipping Weight And Haulage Distance	82
4.2	Parameter Values	83
4.3	The Elaboration of Solution and Joint Total Cost of Simple EOQ Model	84
4.4	The Elaboration of Solution and Joint Total Cost of the Simple EOQ Model and EOQ-I Model	86
4.5	The Elaboration of Solution and Joint Total Cost Of Simple EOQ Model, EOQ-I Model, and EOQ-A Model	88
4.6	The Elaboration of Solution and Joint Total Cost of Simple JELS Model	90
4.7	The Elaboration of Solution and Joint Total Cost of JELS-I Model	94
4.8	Result of the Sensitivity Analysis on Important Parameter for JELS-I Model	99
4.9	The Elaboration of Solution And Joint Total Cost of JELS-A Model	108
4.10	Result of the Sensitivity Analysis on Important Parameter for JELS-A Model	113
4.11	The Elaboration of Solution and Joint Total Cost of JELS-L Model	123
4.12	Comparison of Simple EOQ and Simple JELS	127
4.13	Comparison of EOQ-I And JELS-I	128

4.14	Comparison of EOQ-A And JELS-A	128
4.15	Comparison of Proposed Models to the Best Solution	134
4.16	The Range of Parameters for Generated Randomly	135
4.17	Comparison of Mean Joint Total Cost using ANOVA	138
4.18	ANOVA Test for 6000 Problems of JELS Proposed Models and <i>Enumeration</i>	140
4.19	Multiple Comparison Model using Tukey Test	141
C.1	The Example of Simulation Result	179

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Imbalance Coordinated Lot Sizing Decisions	4
1.2	(a) Freight Rate versus Weight Shipped, (b) Total Freight Cost Structure as Typically Stated	7
3.1	Research Design	38
3.2	Model Development of JELS	40
3.3	Conceptual Model of JELS	43
3.4	Influence Diagram	45
3.5	Inventory Trajectories of Supplier	47
3.6	Inventory Trajectories of Purchaser	53
3.7	Inventory Trajectories of Supplier and Purchaser	58
3.8	Model Comparison Approach	76
4.1	The Effect of Simple JELS Order Quantity into Joint Total Cost and Freight Cost	92
4.2	Total Cost of Simple JELS Quantity and JELS-I Quantity	96
4.3	Effect of S , on Order Quantity and Number of Deliveries for JELS-I	100
4.4	Effect of A , on Order Quantity and Number of Deliveries For JELS-I	101
4.5	Effect of H_p , on Order Quantity and Number of Deliveries for JELS-I	102
4.6	Effect of F_0 , on Order Quantity and Number of Deliveries for JELS-I	103
4.7	Effect of F_p , on Order Quantity and Number of Deliveries for JELS-I	103
4.8	Effect of Distances d , on Joint Total Cost of JELS-I	105

4.9	Effect of Distances d , on Total Freight Cost of JELS-I	105
4.10	Effect of d , on the Solutions of JELS-I	106
4.11	Total Cost of Simple JELS Quantity, JELS-I Quantity, And JELS-A Quantity	109
4.12	Effect of S , on Order Quantity and Number of Deliveries for JELS-A	114
4.13	Effect Of A , on Order Quantity and Number Of Deliveries for JELS-A	115
4.14	Effect of H_p , on Order Quantity and Number of Deliveries for JELS-A	116
4.15	Effect of F_0 , on Order Quantity and Number of Deliveries for JELS-A	117
4.16	Effect of α , on Order Quantity and Number Of Deliveries for JELS-A	117
4.17	Effect of F_x , on Order Quantity and Number Of Deliveries for JELS-A	118
4.18	Effect of Distances d , on Joint Total Cost of JELS-A	119
4.19	Effect of Distances d , on Total Freight Cost of JELS-A	119
4.20	Effect of Distances d , on the Solutions of JELS-A	120
4.21	Total Cost of Simple JELS Quantity, JELS-I Quantity, JELS-A Quantity, and JELS-L Quantity	124
4.22	Total Cost of Simple JELS, JELS-I Quantity, JELS-A Quantity, JELS-L Quantity, And <i>Enumeration</i> Quantity	132
4.23	Mean Independent Cost of JELS Proposed Models Over 4000, 5000, and 6000 Problems	136
4.24	Cumulative Gap Frequency of JELS Proposed Models to the Best Solution	139

APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A.	CONVEXITY PROOF USING HESSIAN MATRIX	166
	A.1 Supplier Cost Function	166
	A.2 Purchaser Cost Function	167
	A.2.1 Simple EOQ	167
	A.2.2 EOQ-I	168
	A.2.3 EOQ-A	169
	A.3 Simple JELS	169
	A.4 JELS-I	171
	A.5 JELS-A	173
B.	THE RATE OF CHANGING PARAMETER	176
	B.1 The Rate of Changing Parameter of JELS-I to the Optimal Solution	176
	B.2 The Rate of Changing Parameter of JELS-A to the Optimal Solution	177
C.	SIMULATION RESULT	179
	C.1 The example of Simulation Result	179

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DWP	Deliver What is Produced
E	Equal-Size Shipment
EOQ	Economic Order Quantity
EOQ-I	Economic Order Quantity-Inverse
EOQ-A	Economic Order Quantity-Adjusted-inverse
EPQ	Economic Production Quantity
FTL	Full Truckload
IS	Information Sharing
IDQ	Identical Delivery Quantity
JELS	Joint Economic Lot Size
JELS-I	Joint Economic Lot Size-Inverse
JELS-A	Joint Economic Lot Size-Adjusted-Inverse
JELS-L	Joint Economic Lot Size-Lot-Splitting
JIT	Just In Time
LFL	Lot For Lot
LTL	Less-Than-Truckload
MSD	Mean Square Differences
MSSP	Multi Supplier Single Purchaser
SCM	Supply Chain Management
SP	Single Purchaser
SSP	Single Supplier Purchaser
SSMP	Single Supplier Multi Purchaser
TL	Truckload
U	Unequal-Size Shipment

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	Ordering cost per order of the purchaser (\$/order)
D	Demand rate of the purchaser (unit/period)
Df	Degree of freedom
d	Transportation distance (miles)
$F(D, q, d, w)$	Total freight cost
FTC	Total fix transportation cost
F_0	Fixed transportation costs per shipment for preparing and loading material (\$/Shipments)
F_t	The freight rate in dollar per pound for the partial load (\$/lbs)
F_x	The freight rate in dollar per pound per mile at (FTL) (\$/lbs/mile)
F_y	The freight rate in dollar per pound per mile for the partial load (\$/lbs/mile)
F_z	The freight rate in dollar per pound at full truck load (FTL) (\$/lbs)
H_p	Holding costs per unit per period of the purchaser (\$/unit/period)
H_s	Holding costs per unit per period of the supplier (\$/unit/period)
JTC	Joint total cost
lb	Pound or a unit of mass
m	Number of deliveries
m_{adj}	Number of deliveries based on adjusted inverse function
m_{inv}	Number of deliveries based on inverse function
m_j	Joint number of deliveries
m_{jadj}	Joint number of deliveries based on JELS-A
m_{jinv}	Joint number of deliveries based on JELS-I
m_{jlot}	Joint number of deliveries based on JELS-L
MS	Mean of Squares
OC	Total ordering cost
P	Production rate of the supplier (unit/period)

PHC	Total holding cost of purchaser
q	Order quantity/delivery quantity (unit)
q_{adj}	Order quantity (unit) based on adjusted inverse function
q_{inv}	Order quantity (unit) based on inverse function
q_j	Joint order quantity (unit)
q_{jadj}	Joint order quantity (unit) based on JELS-A
q_{jinv}	Joint order quantity (unit) based on JELS-I
q_{jlot}	Joint order quantity (unit) based on JELS-L
Q	Batch production lot (unit), $Q = q m$
Q_{adj}	Batch production lot (unit) based on adjusted inverse function, $Q_{adj} = q_{adj} m_{adj}$
Q_{inv}	Batch production lot (unit) based on inverse function, $Q_{inv} = q_{inv} m_{inv}$
Q_j	Joint batch production lot (unit), $Q_j = q_j m_j$
Q_{jadj}	Joint batch production lot (unit) based on JELS-A, $Q_{jadj} = q_{jadj} m_{jadj}$
Q_{jinv}	Joint batch production lot (unit) based on JELS-I, $Q_{jinv} = q_{jinv} m_{jinv}$
Q_{jlot}	Joint batch production lot (unit) based on JELS-L, $Q_{jlot} = q_{jlot} m_{jlot}$
S	Setup cost per setup of the supplier (\$/setup)
SC	Total setup cost
SHC	Total holding cost of supplier
SS	Sum of Squares
w	Weight of a unit part (lbs)
W_x	Full truckload (FTL) shipping weight (lbs)
W_y	Actual shipping weight (lbs)
α	Discount factor
$\$$	The name of the united states currency

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

The following publications are resulted during master study:

Journal

Saleh, C., Leuveano, A. C., Lagaida, R., and Razali, M., 2012. Retailers Ordering and Discounting Policies Under Advance Sales Discount and Trade Credit Based on Sharia. *Jurnal Teknologi*, Vol. 59 (2), pp. 115–121.

Leuveano, A. C., Jafar, F. A., and Razali, M., 2014. Incorporating Transportation Costs into Integrated Inventory Model for Single Supplier and Single Purchaser. *Advance Science Letters*, Vol. 20 (1), pp. 290–294

Leuveano, A. C., Jafar, F. A., Saleh, C., and Razali, M., 2014. Incorporating Transportation Cost into Joint Economic Lot Size for Single Vendor-Buyer. *Journal of Software* (JSW, ISSN 1796-217X).

Proceedings

Saleh, C., Leuveano, A. C., Lagaida, R., and Razali, M., 2011. Retailers Ordering and Discounting Policies under Advance Sales Discount and Trade Credit Based on Syariah. *5th Powder Metallurgy Symposium and Exhibition, Advances Processes and System in Manufacturing*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 14-15 Dec 2011. APSIM.

Leuveano, A. C., Jafar, F. A., and Razali, M., 2012. Development of Genetic Algorithm on Multi- Vendor Integrated Procurement-Production System under Shared Transportation and Just-in- Time Delivery System. *International Conference on Uncertainty Reasoning and Knowledge Engineering (URKE 2012)*, Jakarta, Indonesia, 14-15 August. IEEE.

Leuveano, A. C., Jafar, F. A., and Razali, M., 2012. Genetic Algorithm Optimization on Multi-Vendor Integrated Procurement-Production System under Shared Transportation and Just-in-Time Delivery System. *International Conference on Design and Concurrent Engineering (iDECON 2012)*, Melaka, Malaysia, 15-16 October. UTeM.

Leuveano, A. C., Jafar, F. A., and Razali, M., 2013. Incorporating Transportation Costs into Integrated Inventory Model for Single Supplier and Single Purchaser. *International Conference on Internet Service and Information Engineering*, Bogor, Indonesia, 11-13 May, Bogor, Indonesia.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In the global business practice today, competitions among companies have given a great deal attention in order to meet customer's requirements. Much product innovation with short life cycles have encouraged companies to strengthen their position in global competition via cost reduction, high quality, product customization and speed to market (Heizer and Render, 2010). Lower operation cost usually becomes important purpose to be gained through utilizing the internal function of organizations. On the one hand, coordination and collaboration with an external organization such as supplier and customer also provide much benefits in total cost reduction (Ben-Daya et al., 2008). In order to increase coordination with supplier, Supply Chain Management (SCM) has been widely used in sourcing strategies.

SCM has been studied extensively in recent years which encompass the management raw material and information flows refer to supply activities in and between organizations, such as suppliers, manufacturing, assembly plants and distribution center (Thomas and Griffin, 1996). The current issues in supply chain activities are to regulate local optimization in which the members of the supply chain are greatly focused on maximizing profit or minimizing costs. The important stages of the supply chain include procurement, production, and distribution (Gumus et al., 2008). Those stages are commonly buffered by large inventories in order to meet customer's requirements. Meanwhile, the impact of holding larger inventories will have costly consequences. Its costs were around 20-36 percent of total manufacturing cost (Chen and Liu, 2008).

Procurement has been thought as one of the key elements in inbound logistics, which is regarded with sourcing and qualifying strategy to acquire the goods. It commonly leads to lot sizing, pricing, discount, and delivery, for inventory replenishment (Banerjee, 1986). Emphasizing on the lot sizing problem, individual entities within the supply chain has long been treated separately in determining inventory replenishment decision (Lu, 1995; Yang et al., 2007). Poor decision resulted from a negotiation will affect to imbalance lot sizing which also directly affect the cost incur to inventory, ordering, production, and delivery.

Rather than working independently, coordination and collaboration among individual companies within the supply chain are fully providing an economical advantage. There has been increasing focus on managing inventory across the supply chain to synchronize the production flow from upstream to downstream by determining optimal decision which leads to cost reduction and increase the profit (Stadtler, 2005; and Govindan et al., 2012). As the members of the supply chain, cooperative policy is needed to solve the problem together. If no such coordination occurs, then each party will act individually to reduce their cost, certainly, it could not be optimal if SCM is not considered as a whole (Jalbar et al., 2007).

Supplier and *purchaser* relationship have long been studied in recent years in order to increase the performance of the supply chain. The number of researches has emerged, pointing at supply chain integration which is associated with coordination of inventory replenishment decisions (Goyal, 1977; Thomas and Griffin, 1996; Kelle et al., 2007; Ben-Daya et al., 2008; Chaharsooghi and Heydari, 2010; Glock, 2012b; and Kaya et al., 2013). Instead of determining inventory replenishment decision independently, Goyal (1977) was the first who introduced a coordination model that is well-known as Joint Economic Lot Size (JELS). It is aiming to embody the supply chain coordination in the form of central decision between supplier and purchaser. This decision includes order quantity and batch production that focus on reducing joint total costs of the system. In addition, considerable saving