



HIERARCHICAL IMAGE CLASSIFICATION THRESHOLD ON MANGROVE



DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

2015



Faculty of Information and Communication Technology



**HIERARCHICAL IMAGE CLASSIFICATION THRESHOLD ON
MANGROVE**

اونيورسيتي تيكنيكل مليسيا ملاك
UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

Othman Bin Mohd

Doctor of Philosophy

2015

HIERARCHICAL IMAGE CLASSIFICATION THRESHOLD ON MANGROVE

OTHMAN BIN MOHD

**A thesis submitted
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2015

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitle “Hierarchical Image Classification Threshold On Mangrove” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.



APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Doctor of Philosophy.

Signature	:
Supervisor Name	:
Date	:



اونيورسيتي تيكنيكل مليسيا ملاك

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

DEDICATION

First and foremost, I would like to thank ALLAH Almighty, for giving me excellence health, ideas and comfort environment so that I can complete this thesis as scheduled.

My greatest thank is to my wife (Raja Haidah Raja Ali), my daughter and sons (Puteri Nur Hazirah, Megat Muhammad Haziq, Megat Muhammad Haikal, Megat Muhammad Hanif and Megat Muhammad Hakim) and my siblings (Mahadir, Rohaya, Dr. Abu Bakar, Mehat, Kamisah, Faridah, Salmah, Omar and Elmi) for their continuous understanding, motivation, encouragement and patience throughout my PhD journey.

I also dedicate this PhD thesis to my many friends who have supported me throughout the process. I will always appreciate all they have done for helping me to complete my thesis and develop my computing skills in image processing.



ABSTRACT

Mangrove area is an important coastal ecosystem in a tropical region. Managing mangrove is challenging and complex. In order to balance between protection the ecosystem and providing the natural resources that benefits to human being. In addition traditional classification and identification of mangrove tree species require an expert inspector working manually. A demand for accurate and automatic of mangrove species estimation has arose especially for ecological, environmental and economical values. Economically, the knowledge of tree species information is important. In order to meet the mangrove forest planning requirements, the satellite remote sensing with high spatial resolution has been specifically designed for tree species classification to improve accuracy and able to locate preferred tree species. However the main issue in remote sensing is image classification that required to determine an appropriate threshold between species in producing accurate classification map. An image classification on satellite imagery is a complex process and requires consideration of accurate classification system. A pixel in the satellite image may possibly cover more than one object on the ground. A threshold has to be set to classify an overlap of two or more associated spectral properties. Therefore the aim of this study is to determine the optimal threshold value for object classes to ensure the misclassification of image pixels kept as low as possible by analyzing the classification of satellite images at different hierarchical level. Then the optimal threshold will be proposed on satellite image classification for mangrove species with the help of expert inspector from the ground. An evaluation on the accuracy of the proposed threshold value in identifying mangrove shall be made. A hierarchical threshold is expected to significant improvement result on an image classification final map for mangrove species.

ABSTRAK

Kawasan bakau adalah ekosistem penting bagi pantai di rantau tropika. Pengurusan bakau adalah mencabar dan kompleks. Ini adalah kerana ianya melibatkan usaha untuk mengimbangi antara perlindungan ekosistem dan menyediakan sumber-sumber semula jadi yang memberi manfaat kepada manusia. Pengelasan bakau masih lagi dilaksanakan secara tradisional pada masa ini, dimana proses mengenalpasti spesies pokok bakau masih memerlukan pemeriksa pakar yang melaksanakan kerja mereka secara manual. Permintaan maklumat spesies pokok bakau terutamanya bagi nilai-nilai ekologi, alam sekitar dan ekonomi pada masa ini perlulah dibuat secara automatik dan tepat. Pengetahuan maklumat spesies pokok bakau adalah penting dari segi ekonomi. Untuk itu, bagi memenuhi keperluan perancangan hutan bakau, satelit penderiaan jauh dengan resolusi spatial tinggi telah direka khusus untuk pengelasan spesies pokok untuk meningkatkan ketepatan dan berupaya untuk mengesan spesies pokok pilihan. Walau bagaimanapun, isu utama dalam penderiaan jauh ini adalah untuk mengklasifikasikan imej yang diperlukan untuk menentukan nilai ambang yang sesuai antara spesies dalam menghasilkan peta klasifikasi yang tepat. Pengelasan imej satelit adalah satu proses yang kompleks dan memerlukan pertimbangan sistem klasifikasi tepat. Satu piksel dalam imej satelit boleh mengandungi lebih daripada satu objek di atas tanah. Ambang satu piksel perlu ditetapkan bagi mengklasifikasikan pertindihan dua atau lebih ciri-ciri spektrum berkaitan. Oleh itu, tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan nilai ambang yang optimum untuk kelas objek bagi memastikan kesalahan dalam mengklasifikasikan piksel imej disimpan serendah mungkin. Ini dapat dilaksanakan dengan menganalisis klasifikasi imej satelit di peringkat hierarki yang berbeza. Hasil dari analisa yang dibuat, titik ambang yang optimum akan dicadangkan pada pengelasan imej satelit yang melibatkan spesies bakau dengan bantuan pakar pemeriksa di tanah. Ini dilaksanakan bagi memastikan nilai titik ambang yang tepat bagi setiap spesies bakau yang hendak dikenalpasti. Satu nilai titik ambang akan dihasilkan daripada pendekatan hierarki dijangka dapat dihasilkan dan berupaya untuk meningkatkan ketepatan dan penghasilan peta akhir spesies bakau pada akhir penyelidikan ini dijalankan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank ALLAH Almighty, for giving me excellence health, ideas and comfort environment so that I can complete this thesis as scheduled.

I would like to express sincere appreciation to Prof Dr. Nanna Suryanna and Prof Datuk Dr. Haji Shahrin bin Sahib@Sahibuddin for his excellent guidance, supervision, motivation, encouragement, patience and insight throughout the years of this PhD endless journey.

I would like to extend my thanks to the staff of FTMK and PPS for their time, guidance and support during my studies. Greatest appreciation goes to UTeM for their sponsorship during this study. The appreciation also goes to Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia Headquarters (Datuk Razani Ujang and Datuk Masran Salleh), Perak State Forestry (En. Salim Aman and En. Suhaimi), Melaka State Forestry (En. Wan Yusof), Malaysia Remote Sensing Agency, Malaysia Land and Survey Department other related government and private agencies for their support.

Lastly, but in no sense the least, I am thankful to all colleagues and friends especially Mohd Faizal, Robiah, Siti Rahayu, Zulisman, and Suhaimi for their valuable time, understanding, suggestions, comments and continuous motivation which made my PhD years a memorable and valuable experience.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xviii
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Problem	7
1.3 Research Question	9
1.4 Research Aim and Objectives	11
1.5 Research Scope	12
1.6 General Research Methodology	13
1.7 Research Contribution	13
1.8 Thesis Structure Organization	14
1.9 Summary	17
2. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	20
2.1 Introduction	20
2.2 The Existence of Mangrove in Peninsular Malaysia	21
2.2.1 Managing Mangrove Forest	23
2.3 Monitoring Mangrove Forest	28
2.4 Remote Sensing Satellite	28
2.5 Use of Remote Sensing for Mangrove Forest	30
2.6 Monitoring Mangrove in Peninsular Malaysia Using Hierarchical Data Acquisition	39
2.6.1 The Concept of Data Acquisition at Different Hierarchical Levels: The Application of Classification and Generalisation Hierarchy	39
2.6.2 The relationship between Level of observation forestry information at farmer field	41
2.7 Mangrove Data Collection Practice	45
2.7.1 Ground truth	46
2.7.2 Field Radiometry	48
2.7.3 Expert Judgment	50
2.8 Object Oriented Image Analysis	51
2.8.1 Image segmentation	52
2.8.1.1 Thresholding	53

2.8.2	Image classification	58
2.8.2.1	Conventional classifier	61
2.8.2.2	Object-oriented classifier	62
2.8.2.3	Fuzzy classifier	63
2.8.2.4	Knowledge-based Classification Techniques	64
2.8.3	Statistic Classification Method	65
2.8.4	Ancillary Data	67
2.8.5	Object extraction	69
2.8.6	Accuracy assessment	71
2.9	Propose Solution	72
2.9.1	Satellite Image Analysis	72
2.9.2	Classification Technique	74
2.9.3	Satellite Image versus ground truth	75
2.9.4	Use of satellite image in managing Mangrove in Peninsular Malaysia	76
2.10	Summary	77
3.	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY: PROCEDURES AND APPROACH	80
3.1	Introduction	80
3.2	Research Approach	81
3.2.1	Decision Level	83
3.2.2	Identifying Studied Area	84
3.2.3	Pre-processing and Data Preparation	84
3.2.4	Research Component and Methodology Technical and Approach	87
3.2.5	Research Methodology on Experimental Technique	88
3.2.6	Data Processing	89
3.2.7	Selected of Mangrove Species	91
3.2.8	Validation	92
3.2.9	Final Classification Mangrove Map	94
3.3	Data Collection	94
3.3.1	Pre-processing and Data Preparation	94
3.3.2	Identify Study Area	96
3.3.3	QuickBird satellite image of Kuala Linggi	97
3.3.3.1	Processing Image	98
3.3.4	Ground-truth work	104
3.3.4.1	Garmin GPSMAP 76CSx GPS	109
3.3.5	Spectral Reflectance	110
3.3.5.1	FieldSpec®Handheld	112
3.3.5.2	Spectro-radiometer Calibrations	113
3.3.5.3	Expert Judgment	114
3.3.6	Object Classes or Classifier	115
3.3.7	Pre-processing	116
3.3.7.1	Geometric Correction	117
3.3.7.2	Subset and masking	118
3.3.7.3	Pan-sharpening image	118
3.4	Proposed Methodology	118
3.5	Data Analysis and Processing	119
3.5.1	Otsu's Method	120
3.5.2	Modify Histogram Method	120

3.5.3	Local Adaptive Method	121
3.5.4	Valley Emphasis	122
3.6	Mixed Pixels and Species Identification	122
3.6.1	Minimum Distance	123
3.6.2	Maximum Likelihood	124
3.6.3	Knowledge Based	124
3.7	Validation and Accuracy	124
3.8	Software Requirement	125
3.8.1	Erdas Imagine 9.3	126
3.8.2	ArcGIS	126
3.8.3	MatLab 2009a	127
3.8.4	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences	128
3.8.5	ASD View Spec Pro Software	129
3.9	Summary	120
4.	THRESHOLD SELECTION AND ANALYSIS	131
4.1	Introduction	131
4.2	Extraction of Study Area	132
4.3	Land Cover Classification	134
4.3.1	Input Data	137
4.3.2	Selection of Histogram Graph Interval	139
4.4	Applying Thresholding Techniques	144
4.4.1	Local Adaptive Threshold Method	148
4.4.1.1	Land-cover classification with thresholding technique	149
4.4.1.2	General Idea of Local Adaptive Threshold Method	152
4.4.1.3	Adaptive Threshold Valley Emphasis Framework	155
4.4.1.4	Training Area and Land cover Classes	157
4.4.1.5	Satellite image sub-block techniques	157
4.4.1.6	Sub-block Histogram	156
4.4.1.7	Techniques of Thresholding Used	159
4.5	Optimal Threshold Value	166
4.5.1	Detected Main Land cover	166
4.5.1.1	Analysis of Band1 (Red Band)	167
4.5.1.2	Analysis of Band 2 (Green Band) and Band 3 (Blue Band)	178
4.5.2	Land cover classification results	193
4.6	Minimum Distance	195
4.6.1	Training Data	198
4.6.2	Threshold Estimation	200
4.7	Threshold Estimation Using Statistic Method	206
4.8	Detected different mangrove species	208
4.8.1	Training Data	209
4.8.2	Minimum Distance Techniques	211
4.8.3	Maximum Likelihood Techniques	214
4.8.4	Boundary Distance	217
4.9	Field Work	220
4.9.1	Ground truth	220
4.9.2	Spectro-radiometer reflectance	221
4.9.2	Expert Judgement	222

4.10	Summary	222
5.	RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS	224
5.1	Introduction	224
5.2	Data Processing	225
5.3	Enhancement Mangrove Framework on Kuala Linggi Mangrove	226
5.4	Land cover classification	228
5.4.1	Accuracy Assessment	230
5.4.2	Results and Discussion	231
5.4.2.1	Histogram Approach	232
5.4.2.2	Comparison between Valley Emphasis, Minimum Distance and Statistics Method	233
5.4.3	Results applied to overall area	235
5.4.3.1	Minimum Distance	235
5.4.3.2	Valley Emphasis	237
5.5	Species Identification	239
5.5.1	Results and Discussion	239
5.5.1.1	Minimum Distance	240
5.5.1.2	Maximum Likelihood	241
5.5.1.3	Boundary Distance	242
5.5.2	Results applied to overall area	244
5.5.2.1	Minimum Distance	244
5.5.2.2	Maximum Likelihood	244
5.5.2.3	Boundary Distance	245
5.6	Summary	246
6.	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH	248
6.1	Introduction	248
6.2	Research Summary	251
6.3	Research Contribution	254
6.4	Research Limitation	265
6.5	Future Research	256
6.6	Summary	257
	REFERENCES	257

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	The spatial resolution or pixel size ranges associated with different instruments	3
2.1	Current extent (ha) of mangrove forests (PRFs and State land) in Peninsular Malaysia (2006)	22
2.2	Other mangrove forest areas found in Malaysia	22
2.3	Satellite Image Analysis	72
2.4	Classification Techniques	74
2.5	Ground truth activities	75
2.6	Mangrove studies in Peninsular Malaysia	76
3.1	The characteristics of QUICKBIRD imagery	98
3.2	Garmin GPSMAP 76CSx specification	108
3.3	FieldSpec®Handheld	112
4.1	Land cover class	135
4.2	Frequency distribution of Band 1	140
4.3	Frequency distribution of Band 2	141
4.4	Frequency distribution of Band 3	141
4.5	Frequency distribution of Band 4	142
4.6	Threshold result of Band 1	175
4.7	Land cover classification range using histogram graph	180
4.8	Land cover classification range using Valley Emphasis	184
4.9	Land cover classification range using Otsu's	186

4.10	Land cover classification range using Modify Histogram Clustering	188
4.11	Spectral class distribution of Land cover	190
4.12	Land cover classification results for Minimum Distance	193
4.13	Training data for minimum distance	194
4.14	Threshold value for shadow	197
4.15	Threshold value for water	198
4.16	Threshold value for small tree	199
4.17	Threshold value for big tree	200
4.18	Threshold value for open land	201
4.19	Threshold value for water	202
4.20	Land cover threshold estimation	203
4.21	Training data for species identification at Kuala Linggi Melaka	205
4.22	Accuracy result of mangrove species using Maximum Likelihood	210
4.23	Species threshold estimation	211
4.24	Accuracy result of mangrove species using Boundary Distance	215
4.25	The QuickBird satellite image digitization, resolution and image bands reflectance	218
5.1	Land cover classification results for minimum distance	227
5.2	Threshold results of Band 1 using Histogram approach	228
5.3	Total of pixels number	229
5.4	Comparison between 3 different techniques	230
5.5	Mangrove species accuracy using minimum distance	236
5.6	Mangrove species accuracy using maximum likelihood	238
5.7	Mangrove species accuracy using boundary distance	239

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	General Research Methodology	13
1.2	Research Knowledge Chart	16
2.1	Peninsular Malaysia Forest Cover Maps	24
2.2	Three Vegetation Zones For Mangrove Forest	25
2.3	Land covers classification techniques for mangrove	33
2.4	Mangrove group	40
2.5	Steps of image classification procedure	59
3.1	Research Knowledge Chart	81
3.2	The Pre-processing Components	84
3.3	The Selection of Land cover Value	89
3.4	Mangrove Species Selection	90
3.5	The Accuracy Assessment	92
3.6	Data Preparation	94
3.7	The Kuala Linggi Reserve Forest (adapted from http://www.jputra.com)	96
3.8	Satellite Image of Kuala Linggi	97
3.9	Merging Multispectral Image with Panchromatic	98
3.10	The multispectral QUICKBIRD image with Band 4,3,2	99
3.11	The panchromatic QUICKBIRD satellite image with black and white colour	100
3.12	The QUICKBIRD pan-sharpened satellite image	103

3.13	Sample Plot	105
3.14	Ground Data Collection Process	106
3.15	Tree location during field data collection	107
3.16	Spectral reflectance object and vegetation's	110
3.17	Spectral Library plot	111
3.18	An image of FieldSpec®Handheld device to capture spector measure	112
3.19	Object Classes in Study Area	115
3.20	Pre-processing Process	116
3.21	Proposed Research Methodology	118
4.1	The 240x240 pixels of satellite image	132
4.2	Multispectral image of Kuala Linggi	132
4.3	Land cover classification process	134
4.4	Type of land covers	135
4.5	Image of training set	136
4.6	Satellite image digital number of training area	139
4.7	Histogram of training area	139
4.8	A multi-model histogram of Band 1	140
4.9	A multi-model histogram of Band 2	141
4.10	A multi-model histogram of Band 3	142
4.11	A multi-model histogram of Band 4	143
4.12	Confirmation of land cover classification process	144
4.13	Small overlapping regions for Local Adaptive Analysis	147
4.14	Image partitioned into 4 windows	148
4.15	An input image is partitioned into 4 windows	149

4.16	Histogram formed by average value of gradients for all points in each subblock	150
4.17	Example of subdivided a large image of size Row and Column into N sub-images of size R x C	151
4.18	Adaptive threshold Valley Emphasis Framework	154
4.19	Local Adaptive Valley Emphasis techniques	164
4.20	The satellite image and histogram graph of one subblock from Figure 4.15	165
4.21	(a.) The 30 x 30 pixels satellite image with histogram	166
	(b.) The 30 x 30 pixels satellite image with histogram	166
4.22	(a.) The 5 x 5 pixels satellite image with histogram	167
	(b.) The 5 x 5 pixels satellite image with histogram	167
4.23	Area greater than 338 using Otsu's method	168
4.24	Area greater than 355 using Modify Histogram method	170
4.25	Variance versus threshold graph	172
4.26	The result of image where the threshold value is 360	173
4.27	(a) Original image 5 x 5 pixels	174
	(b) Histogram and Threshold value	174
	(c) Otsu's	174
	(d) Modify Histogram	174
	(e) Valley Emphasis	175
4.28	Image partitioned into 4 windows of Band 2	176
4.29	Histogram formed by average value of gradients for all points in each subblock	177
4.30	Image partitioned into 4 windows of Band 3	177

4.31	Histogram formed by average value of gradients for all points in each subblock	178
4.32	Image partitioned into 4 windows of Band 4	179
4.33	Histogram formed by average value of gradients for all points in each subblock	179
4.34	Combine graph of Band 1, Band 2, Band 3 and Band 4	181
4.35	Individual Land cover	182
4.36	Land cover classification	183
4.37	Individual Land cover	184
4.38	Land cover classification	185
4.39	Individual Land cover	186
4.40	Land cover classification	187
4.41	Individual Land cover	188
4.42	Land cover classification	189
4.43	Results from training data	193
4.44	Land cover classification	194
4.45	Estimation threshold of shadow	197
4.46	Estimation threshold of water	198
4.47	Estimation threshold of small tree	199
4.48	Estimation threshold of big tree	200
4.49	Estimation threshold of open land	201
4.50	Estimation threshold of expose	202
4.51	Individual Land cover	203
4.52	Land cover classification	204
4.53	Bakau Kurap	208

4.54	Bakau Minyak	208
4.55	Other tree	209
4.56	Classification results of training data	209
4.57	Data training results of 240 x 240 pixels	210
4.58	Results of training data	212
4.59	Data training results of 240 x 240 pixels	213
4.60	Results of training data	215
4.61	Data training results of 240 x 240 pixels	216
4.62	The spectroradiometer value and QuickBird satellite image band	217
5.1	The four main components of mangrove classification	221
5.2	The enhancement mangrove framework of Kuala Linggi Mangrove	224
5.3	The 2.44 meter resolution of multispectral QuickBird	225
5.4	The Band 1, Band 2, Band 3 and Band 4 of Kuala Linggi Mangrove satellite image	225
5.5	The Band 1, Band 2, Band 3 and Band 4 of Kuala Linggi Mangrove graph	226
5.6	Confusion Matrix of sixty points land cover	227
5.7	Land cover classification map	230
5.8	The land cover assessment of Kuala Linggi forest	231
5.9	The final land cover classification map at Kuala Linggi forest using Minimum Distance	232
5.10	The land cover classification based on Valley Emphasis	233
5.11	The area covered for the Kuala Linggi Reserve Forest Land Cover classification using Minimum Distance	233

5.12	The final land cover classification map at Kuala Linggi	234
5.13	The final land cover classification map at Kuala Linggi using Minimum Distance	235
5.14	The Confusion matrix of 3 mangrove species	236
5.15	The distribution of mangrove species using Minimum Distance	237
5.16	The distribution of mangrove species based on using Maximum Likelihood	237
5.17	The distribution of 3 mangrove species using Maximum Likelihood	238
5.18	Confusion matrix of 3 mangrove data species using Boundary Distance	239
5.19	The distribution of mangrove species based on using Boundary Distance	240
5.20	The species classification map using Minimum Distance	241
5.21	The species classification map using Maximum Likelihood	241
5.22	The species classification map using Boundary Distance	242

LIST OF APPENDICES



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DN	-	Digital Number
R	-	Red Band
G	-	Green Band
B	-	Blue Band
FIS	-	Fuzzy Inferences System
Ha	-	hectare
m	-	Meter
FDPSM	-	Forestry Department Peninsular Malaysia



LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Mohd, O., Suryanna, N., Sahib, S., Abdollah, M. F., & Selamat, S. R. (2012). Thresholding and Fuzzy Rule-Based Classification Approaches in Handling Mangrove Forest Mixed Pixel Problems Associated with in QuickBird Remote Sensing Image Analysis. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, Scientific & Academic Publishing*, 2(6), 300-306

Suryana, N., Rasip, N. M., & Mohd, O. (2007). *Data Mining and Neural Network Approaches in Handling Mixed Problems Associated Within Hyperspectral Remote Sensing Image Analysis*.

Suryanna, N., & Mohd, O. (2007). *The Quantification and The Representation Quality Parameters for Inductive Environmental Model in Geographical Information System* Paper presented at the 2nd Regional Conference on Ecological Modelling (ECOMOD).

