



**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**STUDY ON IMPROVING  
THE ACOUSTICS PERFORMANCE OF  
MASJID SAYYIDINA ABU BAKAR UTeM**

**Dg Hafizah Binti Kassim**

**Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering**

**2016**

**STUDY ON IMPROVING  
THE ACOUSTICS PERFORMANCE OF  
MASJID SAYYIDINA ABU BAKAR UTeM**

**DG HAFIZAH BINTI KASSIM**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science  
in Mechanical Engineering**

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2016**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Study on improving the acoustics performance of Masjid Sayyidina Abu Bakar UTeM” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : .....

Date : .....

## APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

Signature : .....

Supervisor Name : .....

Date : .....

## DEDICATION

*”To my parents, families and friends”*

## ABSTRACT

It has been known that the ‘acoustics design’ in most of mosques around the world is often neglected in the early design stage of the building. As a consequence, acoustics performance inside mosques is usually poor. The case includes the Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque in UTeM where poor speech intelligibility is experienced during congregation. The main objectives of this work are to investigate the root cause of the acoustic problem and to propose acoustic treatment to improve the acoustic quality inside the mosque. The latter is conducted through computer simulation. The measurement found that the mosque has considerably high reverberation time (RT60) at frequency below 1 kHz with the highest RT60 of 5.56 s at 500 Hz. The RT60 calculated from simulation is validated with this measured results and from the simulation, other acoustics parameters namely early decay time, clarity, definition and sound transmission index also indicate poor acoustic quality. This is due to the large volume of the mosque, and walls and ceiling mostly consist of reflective surfaces which cause late reflections of sound. These reflections, especially from the inclined roof, contribute to the high RT60 around the front area of the *minbar*. From simulation, the acoustic treatment using mineral wool absorber with a thickness of 25 mm installed on the inclined roof can reduce the RT60 to 3.25 s at 500 Hz. A Helmholtz resonator-like absorber to counter the problem at low frequency is also simulated using micro-perforated panel (MPP). This is also proposed to give a green absorber compared to the conventional synthetic absorber from fibrous absorber. It is found that installation of MPP on the inclined roof, can give better reduction of RT60 to 2.57 s at 500 Hz. Doubling the MPP separated with air gap is also found to further lower the RT60 to 2.32 s at 500 Hz. Dome shape effect has also been simulated to compare the pyramidal and spherical domes. The former is found to be the identical dome shape of mosques in Malacca, Malaysia. The study reveals that the pyramidal dome provides better acoustics performance compared to that of the spherical dome.

## ABSTRAK

Reka bentuk akustik di sebahagian besar masjid-masjid di seluruh dunia sering diabaikan pada peringkat awal reka bentuk. Akibatnya, prestasi akustik di dalam masjid menjadi lemah. Kes ini termasuk Masjid Sayyidina Abu Bakar UTeM dimana kejelasan suara lemah semasa berjemaah. Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menyiasat punca kepada masalah akustik dan mencadangkan penambah baikkan untuk meningkatkan kualiti akustik dalam masjid. Seterusnya simulasi komputer dijalankan. Pengukuran awal mendapati bahawa masjid mempunyai masa gemaan tinggi (RT60) pada frekuensi di bawah 1 kHz dengan RT60 tertinggi ialah 5.56 s pada 500 Hz. Nilai RT60 daripada simulasi disahkan dengan perbandingan nilai pengukuran awal dan pengukuran simulasi, parameter akustik lain iaitu pereputan awal, kejelasan, definisi dan indeks penghantaran bunyi juga menunjukkan kualiti akustik yang lemah. Ini adalah disebabkan oleh jumlah isipadu masjid yang besar, serta permukaan dinding dan siling yang kebanyakannya terdiri daripada permukaan reflektif yang menyebabkan pantulan bunyi yang lambat. Pantulan terutama dari bumbung cenderung menyumbang kepada RT60 yang tinggi sekitar kawasan hadapan minbar. Daripada simulasi, penambah baik menggunakan bulu mineral dengan ketebalan 25 mm dipasang pada bumbung condong boleh menurunkan RT60 kepada 3.25 s pada 500 Hz. Panel berlubang mikro (MPP) yang menyerupai penyerap Helmholtz resonator juga disimulasi bagi mengatasi masalah pada frekuensi rendah. Ia juga dicadangkan untuk dijadikan penyerap mesra alam berbanding penyerap sintetik konvensional daripada penyerap fiber. Didapati bahawa pemasangan MPP di atas bumbung condong, boleh memberi pengurangan RT60 yang lebih baik iaitu kepada 2.57 s pada 500 Hz. Menggandakan bilangan MPP yang dipisahkan dengan ruang udara juga didapati dapat menurunkan lagi RT60 kepada 2.32 s pada 500 Hz. Kesan bentuk kubah juga telah disimulasi untuk membandingkan bentuk kubah piramid dan kubah bulat. Kubah piramid merupakan bentuk yang biasa digunakan pada masjid di Melaka, Malaysia. Kajian ini mendedahkan bahawa kubah piramid memberikan prestasi akustik yang lebih baik berbanding dengan kubah bulat.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*In the name of Allah, The Beneficent, The Merciful*

With boundless love and appreciation, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Azma Putra. His constant guidance, supervision and encouragement throughout the years, without which this study would not have been possible. Also to my second supervisor, Dr. Nor Salim bin Muhammad.

I would like to acknowledge the staff and technician of Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) and Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) who help me directly or indirectly throughout the research.

I would also like to thank my parents, Kassim Bin Mohamad and Piah Binti Bakrin, my sister Khairunisa Binti Kassim and also my families for their patient and continuous motivation during my studies. To Ijan and Fina who have stayed strong for those ups and downs. My friends, Kina, Kak Emi, Faz, Fara, Ika, colleagues in the Vibro-Acoustic research group and those involved in this study, thank you for all your unwavering support and ideas.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Acoustics of Mosque: An Overview	1
1.3 Mosque Architecture	3
1.4 Architectural Design of Mosque in Malacca	6
1.5 Problem Statement	8
1.6 Objective	9
1.7 General Methodology	9
1.8 Thesis contributions	11
1.9 Scope	11
1.10 Thesis Outline	11
1.11 Chapter Summary	12
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Previous Studies on Acoustics of Mosques	13

2.3	Acoustical Parameters	19
2.3.1	Reverberation Time (RT60)	20
	2.3.1.1 Sabine's Reverberation Time	22
	2.3.1.2 Norris Eyring's Reverberation Time	23
2.3.2	Early Decay Time (EDT)	24
2.3.3	Clarity (C50)	25
2.3.4	Definition (D50)	27
2.3.5	Lateral Fraction (LF)	28
2.3.6	Speech Transmission Index (STI)	29
2.4	Acoustic Absorber used in Building	34
2.4.1	Porous Material	35
2.4.2	Micro-perforated panel	37
2.5	Chapter Summary	40
<b>3</b>	<b>MODELING AND ANALYSIS OF CURRENT CONDITION OF SAYYIDINA ABU BAKAR MOSQUE</b>	<b>42</b>
3.1	Introduction	42
3.2	CATT Model of Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque	42
3.3	Measurement of Reverberation Time: Model Validation	53
3.4	Floor Mapping (Unoccupied Condition and Occupied Condition)	57
3.5	Mapping of Acoustical Parameters	60
	3.5.1 Reverberation Time (RT60)	61
	3.5.2 Early Decay Time (EDT)	62
	3.5.3 Clarity (C50)	63
	3.5.4 Definition (D50)	64
	3.5.5 Lateral Fraction (LF)	65
	3.5.6 Speech Transmission Index (STI)	66
3.6	Ray Tracing and Echogram Analysis	67
3.7	Chapter Summary	75
<b>4</b>	<b>ACOUSTIC PERFORMANCE AFTER ACOUSTIC TREATMENT SUGGESTION</b>	<b>76</b>
4.1	Introduction	76
4.2	Porous Material	76
4.3	Micro-Perforated Panel	82
4.4	Sound Reinforcement System	91
4.5	Chapter Summary	95
<b>5</b>	<b>EFFECT OF DOME SHAPE</b>	<b>96</b>
5.1	Introduction	96
5.2	Pyramidal Dome	96
	5.2.1 Results	97
	5.2.1.1 Reverberation Time (RT60)	97
	5.2.1.2 Early Decay Time (EDT)	101

5.2.1.3	Clarity (C50)	101
5.2.1.4	Definition (D50)	105
5.2.1.5	Lateral Fraction (LF)	109
5.2.1.6	Speech Transmission Index (STI)	112
5.3	Spherical Dome	115
5.3.1	Results	116
5.3.1.1	Reverberation Time (RT60)	116
5.3.1.2	Early Decay Time (EDT)	119
5.3.1.3	Clarity (C50)	120
5.3.1.4	Definition (D50)	124
5.3.1.5	Lateral Fraction (LF)	125
5.3.1.6	Speech Transmission Index (STI)	128
5.4	Ray Tracing	133
5.5	Chapter Summary	137
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>138</b>
6.1	Introduction	138
6.2	Conclusions	138
6.3	Recommendations for Future Works	139
6.4	Chapter Summary	140
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>141</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Optimum reverberation time for mid-frequency range for various type of facilities.	22
2.2	Relation between scores of speech transmission quality and STI.	32
2.3	Main categories of sound absorber.	34
3.1	Optimum absorption coefficient for various type of materials.	45
3.2	Absorption coefficient, for the audiences used, in the simulation (Karlén, 1983)	57
4.1	Absorption coefficient for 25 mm thickness of mineral wool used in the simulation.	77
4.2	Absorption coefficient for MPP and DMPP used in the simulation.	85

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	(a) The former configuration of Quba Mosque in <i>Medina</i> (Orfali, 2007a) and (b) the current view of Quba Mosque.	3
1.2	Traditional architectural typologies of mosques around the world: (a) The Great Mosque Qaryawan (Tunisia), (b) Sultan Ahmed Mosque (Turkey), (c) Isfahan Friday Mosque (Iran), (d) Bandashi Mosque (Pakistan), (e) Naji-ahu Mosque (China), and (f) Kampung Laut Mosque (Malaysia).	7
1.3	Example of pyramidal dome mosque in Malacca, Malaysia: (a) Masjid Pulau Samak and (b) Masjid Al Azim.	8
1.4	Flowchart of the research methodology	10
2.1	Example of the four pattern from mosque in Cairo: (a) The semi-closed re- waq pattern, (b) The semi-closed iwan pattern, (c) the closed iwan pattern, and (d) The closed simple geometric pattern.	16
2.2	The definition of reverberation time (Barron, 2009).	21
2.3	Reverberation time vs room volume (Long, 2005).	21
2.4	Sound vs time for an impulse response.	24
2.5	The definition of clarity: the ratio between early and late energy in the im- pulse response	26
2.6	Relation between syllable intelligibility and ‘definition’ (Boré, 1956)	28
2.7	Relationship between speech transmission index (STI) and speech intelli- gibility, obtained with (a) numbers and spell alphabet; (b) short sentences; (c) diagnostic rhyme test; and (d) logatoms.	31
2.8	The RASTI Analysis System ( Steeneken and Houtgast (1985))	33

2.9	Sound absorber working principle.	34
2.10	Classification of porous sound absorber from microscopic configurations (Arenas and Crocker, 2010).	35
2.11	Application of fibrous material : rockwool.	36
2.12	Simple Helmholtz resonator diagram.	38
2.13	Application of MPP: (a) on the roof of the Deutsches Historisches Museum, Berlin (Fuchs and Zha, 2006) and (b) as the noise barrier for top cover on engine boat (Herrin et al., 2011).	38
2.14	(a) Schematic diagram of MPP in front of a rigid wall and (b) its equivalent circuit analogy (Sakagami et al., 2007).	39
2.15	(a) Schematic diagram of double layered micro-perforated panel (DMPP) in front of a rigid wall and (b) its equivalent circuit analogy (Sakagami et al., 2007).	41
3.1	The architecture of Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque, UTeM.	44
3.2	Interior of Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque UTeM: (a) <i>Mihrab</i> : Front wall (b) Side wall (c) Back wall, and (d) Ceiling.	44
3.3	The 3D CATT model of the Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque, UTeM.	46
3.4	Interior of 3D CATT model for Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque UTeM: (a) <i>Mihrab</i> : Front wall (b) Side wall (c) Back wall, and (d) Ceiling.	47
3.5	The configuration of sound sources and receivers on the floor plan of Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque, UTeM.	48
3.6	Simulation of a room echogram using geometrical acoustics and descriptions of the room geometry, the surface properties, the source directivity and the receiver.	49
3.7	Transfer function construction used to convert an echogram reflection into an impulse response.	50
3.8	The postprocessing step converts the room impulse response into a left and right ear binaural impulse response through convolution with the HRTFs.	51
3.9	Auralization of the room is achieved by convolution.	52
3.10	Measurement setup for model validation	54

3.11 Analyzer position on the carpeted floor of Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque, UTeM.	54
3.12 Comparison of the reverberation time (RT60) from measurement and simulation at 16 receivers at (a) 250 Hz, (b) 500 Hz, (c) 1 kHz, (d) 2 kHz and (e) 4 kHz.	56
3.13 Position of the prayer according to the Islamic Rules (dimension is in mm) (Oldham and Elkhateeb, 2008a).	58
3.14 Occupational area of the main hall shown by the 3D CATT model for: (a) One-third occupied and (b) fully occupied.	58
3.15 Reverberation time as suggested by Egan (1998)(● unoccupied).	59
3.16 Averaged RT60 in the Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque (● unoccupied, ▼ one-third occupation (preaching mode), ▽ full occupation (preaching mode), ■ one-third occupation (praying mode), □ full occupation (praying mode).	60
3.17 (a) Area mapping and (b) histogram results for reverberation time (T30) at 500 Hz.	62
3.18 (a) Area mapping and (b) histogram results for early decay time (EDT) at 500 Hz.	63
3.19 (a) Area mapping and (b) histogram results for clarity (C50) at 500 Hz.	64
3.20 (a) Area mapping and (b) histogram results for definition (D50) at 500 Hz.	65
3.21 (a) Area mapping and (b) histogram results for lateral fraction (LF) at 500 Hz.	66
3.22 (a) Area mapping and (b) histogram results for speech transmission index(STI) at 500 Hz.	67
3.23 Image source model of the ray tracing simulation.	68
3.24 Receivers location on the mosque floor.	68
3.25 Image source model of Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque for: (a) receiver 1, and (b) receiver 2.	69
3.26 Image source model of Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque for: (a) receiver 3, and (b) receiver 4.	70
3.27 Image source model of Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque for: (a) receiver 5, and (b) receiver 6.	71

3.28	Image source model of Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque for: (a) receiver 7, and (b) receiver 8.	72
3.29	Specular echogram at 500 Hz for: (a) receiver 1, (b) receiver 2, (c) receiver 3, (d) receiver 4, (e) receiver 5, (f) receiver 6, (g) receiver 7, and (h) receiver 8.	74
4.1	Typical sound absorption coefficient for porous material (Dalenbäck, 2000).	77
4.2	Configuration of mineral wool board on the geometry mosque model.	78
4.3	(a) Reverberation time graph and (b) floor mapping of reverberation time (RT60).	79
4.4	(a) Area mapping and (b) histogram results for clarity (C50) after the introduction of mineral wool.	79
4.5	(a) Area mapping and (b) histogram results for definition (D50) after the introduction of mineral wool.	80
4.6	(a) Area mapping and (b) histogram results for lateral fraction (LF) after the introduction of mineral wool.	81
4.7	(a) Area mapping and (b) histogram results for speech transmission index (STI) after the introduction of mineral wool.	81
4.8	Effect of different (a) distance of MPP from rigid wall $D$ , (b) hole diameter $d_0$ and (c) perforation ratio $\tau$ .	83
4.9	Effect of different (a) distance of first MPP from rigid wall $D$ , (b) distance of second MPP from rigid wall $L$ , (c) hole diameter $d_0$ and (d) perforation ratio $\tau$ .	84
4.10	Distribution of reverberation time for 500 Hz on the mosque floor plan with implementation of MPP.	86
4.11	Distribution of reverberation time for 500 Hz on the mosque floor plan with implementation of DMPP.	87
4.12	Graph comparison on both MPP and DMPP for overall average performance.	87
4.13	Arrangement of MPP and DMPP on the mosque roof.	88
4.14	RT graph of comparison for different size absorber for: (b) MPP and (c) DMPP.	89
4.15	MPP and DMPP (a) arrangement on the mosque pillar and wall and (b) RT60 graph of comparison.	89

4.16	(a) Full configuration of the minimize sound absorbers and (b) graph of RT60 change results from combining first and second conditions.	90
4.17	Sound reinforcement system (a) centralized and (b) distributed.	92
4.18	Intellivox2c loudspeaker directivity ballon for: (a) 500 Hz and (b) 1,000 Hz.	93
4.19	Position of sources for current condition and simulated Intellivox2c.	93
4.20	Sound pressure level (SPL) of: (a) current condition of loudspeakers for 500 Hz, (b) current condition of loudspeakers for 1,000 Hz, (c) simulated intelliivox2c for 500 Hz and (d) simulated intelliivox2c for 1,000 Hz.	94
5.1	The 2D diagram of the mosque model with pyramidal dome.	97
5.2	The 2D CATT model of the mosque with different pyramidal dome configuration: (a) $\theta = 9^\circ$ (b) $\theta = 20^\circ$ , and (c) $\theta = 31^\circ$ .	98
5.3	Mapping and histogram of reverberation time (RT60) for: (a) $\theta = 9^\circ$ (b) $\theta = 20^\circ$ , and (c) $\theta = 31^\circ$ .	99
5.4	Reverberation time (RT60) for: (a) front (receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of pyramidal dome.	100
5.5	Mapping and histogram of early decay time (EDT) for: (a) $\theta = 9^\circ$ (b) $\theta = 20^\circ$ , and (c) $\theta = 31^\circ$ .	102
5.6	Early decay time (EDT) for: (a) front (receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of pyramidal dome.	103
5.7	Mapping and histogram of clarity (C50) for: (a) $\theta = 9^\circ$ (b) $\theta = 20^\circ$ , and (c) $\theta = 31^\circ$ .	104
5.8	Clarity (C50) for: (a) front (receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of pyramidal dome.	106
5.9	Mapping and histogram of definition (D50) for: (a) $\theta = 9^\circ$ (b) $\theta = 20^\circ$ , and (c) $\theta = 31^\circ$ .	107
5.10	Definition (D50) for: (a) front (receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of pyramidal dome.	108
5.11	Mapping and histogram of lateral fraction (LF) for: (a) $\theta = 9^\circ$ (b) $\theta = 20^\circ$ , and (c) $\theta = 31^\circ$ .	110

5.12 Lateral fraction (LF) for: (a) front (receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of pyramidal dome.	111
5.13 Mapping and histogram of speech transmission index (STI) for: (a) $\theta = 9^\circ$ (b) $\theta = 20^\circ$ , and (c) $\theta = 31^\circ$ .	113
5.14 Speech transmission index (STI) for: (a) front (receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of pyramidal dome.	114
5.15 The 2D diagram of the mosque model with spherical dome.	115
5.16 The 2D CATT model of the mosque with different spherical dome configuration: (a) $H = 3.15$ m, (b) $H = 6.15$ m, and (c) $H = 9.15$ m.	116
5.17 Mapping and histogram of reverberation time (RT60) for: (a) $H = 3.15$ m, (b) $H = 6.15$ m, and (c) $H = 9.15$ m.	118
5.18 Reverberation time (RT60) for: (a) front (receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of spherical dome.	119
5.19 Mapping and histogram of early decay time (EDT) for: (a) $H = 3.15$ m, (b) $H = 6.15$ m, and (c) $H = 9.15$ m.	121
5.20 Early decay time (EDT) for: (a) front (receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of spherical dome.	122
5.21 Mapping and histogram of clarity (C50) for: (a) $H = 3.15$ m, (b) $H = 6.15$ m, and (c) $H = 9.15$ m.	123
5.22 Clarity (C50) for: (a) front (receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of spherical dome.	125
5.23 Mapping and histogram of definition (D50) for: (a) $H = 3.15$ m, (b) $H = 6.15$ m, and (c) $H = 9.15$ m.	126
5.24 Definition (D50) for: (a) front(receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of spherical dome.	127
5.25 Mapping and histogram of lateral fraction (LF) for: (a) $H = 3.15$ m, (b) $H = 6.15$ m, and (c) $H = 9.15$ m.	129
5.26 Lateral fraction (LF) for: (a) front(receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of spherical dome.	130

5.27	Mapping and histogram of speech transmission index (STI) for: (a) $H = 3.15$ m, (b) $H = 6.15$ m, and (c) $H = 9.15$ m.	131
5.28	Speech transmission index (STI) for: (a) front (receiver 7), (b) center (receiver 6), and (c) back (receiver 5) positions for each type of spherical dome.	132
5.29	Source and receivers for (a) pyramidal dome and (b) spherical dome.	133
5.30	Ray tracing and specular echogram at receiver number 6 for: (a) $\theta = 9^\circ$ (c) $\theta = 20^\circ$ and (e) $\theta = 31^\circ$ of pyramidal dome.	135
5.31	Ray tracing and specular echogram at receiver number 6 for: (a) $H = 3.15$ m, (c) $H = 6.15$ m, and (e) $H = 9.15$ m of spherical dome.	136
6.1	Scaled model of Sayyidina Abu Bakar Mosque: (a) outer view and (b) inside view.	140

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>AI</b>	<b>Articulation Index</b>
<b>CATT</b>	<b>Computer Aided Theater Technique</b>
<b>CHARISMA</b>	<b>Conservation of the Acoustical Heritage by the Revival and Identification of the Sinan's Mosque Acoustics</b>
<b>BN</b>	<b>Background Noise</b>
<b>C50</b>	<b>Clarity</b>
<b>dB</b>	<b>Decibel</b>
<b>DMPP</b>	<b>Double leaf Micro Perforated Panel</b>
<b>D50, D</b>	<b>Definition</b>
<b>EDT</b>	<b>Early Decay Time</b>
<b>Hz</b>	<b>Hertz</b>
<b>IACF</b>	<b>Inter Aural Cross-correlation Function</b>
<b>IEC</b>	<b>International Electrotechnical Commission</b>
<b>ISO</b>	<b>International Standard Organization</b>
<b>kHz</b>	<b>kilo Hertz</b>
<b>LF</b>	<b>Lateral Fraction</b>
<b>MPP</b>	<b>Micro-Perforated Panel</b>
<b>ms</b>	<b>mili second</b>
<b>MTF</b>	<b>Modulation Transfer Function</b>
<b>NC</b>	<b>Noise Criterion</b>

<b>RASTI</b>	<b>RA</b> pid Speech Transmission Index
<b>RT</b>	<b>R</b> everberation Time
<b>SII</b>	<b>S</b> peech <b>I</b> ntelligibility Index
<b>SPL</b>	<b>S</b> ound <b>P</b> ressure <b>L</b> evel
<b>SRS</b>	<b>S</b> ound <b>R</b> einforcement <b>S</b> ystem
<b>STI</b>	<b>S</b> peech Transmission Index

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$A$	Total area of absorption in the room in $\text{m}^2$
$S$	Area
$c$	Speed of sound ( $343 \text{ m/s}^{-1}$ )
$D$	Distance of panel form rigid wall / air gap
$d_0$	Hole diameter
ft	Feet
$f_m$	Modulation frequency
$f_0$	Resonant frequency
$H$	Dome height
$h$	impulse response
$-j$	Imaginary unit = $\sqrt{-1}$
$l$	length
L	Left ear
$L$	Distance of MPP form rigid wall
$L_{\text{SN}}$	Signal to noise level
$L_{\text{SN}_{\text{app}}}$	Apparent signal to noise level
$\overline{L_{\text{SN}_{\text{app}}}}$	Average apparent signal to noise ratio in dB
$M$	Mass per unit area of the MPP
$m$	Modulation reduction factor
$P_L$	Sound pressure arrive at left ear

$P_R$	Sound pressure arrive at right ear
$R$	Right ear
$R$	Sound pressure reflection coefficient
$r$	Radius
$S_T$	Total surface area of the room
$t$	Thickness of panel
$t_s$	Center time
$V$	Volume
$\nu_a$	Viscosity of the air ( $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ Ns/m <sup>2</sup> )
$W_i$	Weighting for octave bands
$w$	Height of wall
$Z_D$	Specific acoustic impedance of the air gap
$Z_0$	Hole impedance
$Z_{0,R}$	Hole impedance, real part
$Z_{0,I}$	Hole impedance, imaginary part
$Z_{tot}$	Total impedance
$\bar{\alpha}$	Average absorption coefficient
$\alpha$	Sound absorption coefficient
$\theta$	Angle
$\gamma$	Sound decay rate in dB/s
$\tau$	Perforation ratio
$\rho$	Density of the air ( $1.2$ kgm <sup>-3</sup> )
$\omega$	Angular frequency

$\sigma$  Interval of impulse response measured  
at left and right ear