



**Faculty of Information and Communication Technology**

**AN INTEGRATION OF AHP-ACO TECHNIQUE FOR SOCIAL  
INTERACTION AND TRAVEL PLANNING**

**Perly Peh Thai Ee**

**Master of Science in Information and Communication Technology**

**2016**

**AN INTEGRATION OF AHP-ACO TECHNIQUE FOR SOCIAL INTERACTION  
AND TRAVEL PLANNING**

**PERLY PEH THAI EE**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science  
in Information and Communication Technology**

**Faculty of Information and Communication Technology**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2016**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “An integration of AHP-ACO technique for social interaction and travel planning” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : .....

Date : .....

## APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality as a partial fulfillment of Master of Science in Information and Communication Technology.

Signature : .....

Supervisor Name : .....

Date : .....

## **DEDICATION**

To my beloved parents, Mr. Peh Hock Beng and Mrs. Tan Sok Ooi, for their expression  
of love and fully support...

To my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Choo Yun Huoy, and co-supervisor,  
Associate Professor Dr. Burhanuddin Mohd Aboobaidar for making it all worthwhile...

## ABSTRACT

The current web and mobile computing technologies have encouraged all sorts of applications mushroom in the market. However, most of the application that available does not integrate the place recommendation and route planning. Besides that, improving the processing speed of the algorithm is also another challenge of this research. Thus, the objectives of this research is to integrate the place recommendation based on profile preference using Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) method and route planning using ACO method. The second objective of this research is to enhance the processing speed of the proposed AHP-ACO technique in generating the optimum route plan. This study presents the integration methods of AHP algorithm for point of interest decision-making and ACO and rule-based algorithms for route optimization. AHP interest scores based on user preferences, business information and community reviews are used to model decision making. ACO and rule-based algorithms are used to arrange the itinerary of the place of interest that either has been chosen by the user or recommended by the system. The integration AHP-ACO method has been enhanced to reduce the execution time from 5 minutes to 30 seconds for 7 days trip planning. Object Oriented Software Engineering (OOSE) methodology has been used to build the mobile recommender system prototype and web application prototype. Questionnaires have been distributed to collect user feedback. The results show that the integration method is promising for helping the user in making decisions and itinerary arrangements.

## ABSTRAK

*Teknologi web dan telefon terkini telah menggalakkan perkembangan pelbagai aplikasi dengan pesat di pasaran. Akan tetapi, kebanyakan aplikasi tidak mempunyai cadangan tempat dan perancangan laluan dalam aplikasi yang sama. Selain itu, meningkatkan kelajuan algorithm juga merupakan satu cabaran dalam pengajian ini. Oleh itu, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengintegrasikan kaedah Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) yang digunakan untuk memilih tempat melancong dan kaedah Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) serta rule-based algorithm yang digunakan untuk pengoptimum laluan. Objektif yang kedua adalah untuk meningkatkan kelajuan pemprosesan teknik AHP-ACO yang menghasilkan laluan optimum. Kajian ini membentangkan kaedah integrasi AHP dan ACO. Skor AHP berdasarkan pilihan pengguna, maklumat perniagaan dan ulasan masyarakat digunakan untuk membuat keputusan memilih tempat pelancongan. ACO dan rule-based algorithm digunakan untuk menyusun jadual perjalanan bagi lokasi pilihan yang sama ada telah dipilih oleh pengguna atau disyorkan oleh sistem. Kaedah integrasi AHP-ACO telah diubahsuai untuk mengurangkan masa pelaksanaan dari 5 minit ke 30 saat untuk 7 hari perancangan perjalanan. Object Oriented Software Engineering (OOSE) metodologi telah digunakan untuk membina sistem prototaip dan aplikasi web prototaip. Borang soal selidik telah diedarkan untuk mengumpul maklum balas pengguna. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa kaedah integrasi ini membantu pengguna dalam membuat keputusan dan mengatur jadual perjalanan.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to extend my gratitude to all those who have contributed directly and indirectly in completing this project.

Firstly, I would like to give a special thanks to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Choo Yun Huoy for giving me assistance, guidance and encouragement to complete this project successfully.

I would also like to thank Associate Professor Dr. Burhanuddin Mohd Aboobaidar, who has given his comment and advice to improve the project.

I would like to thank Faculty of Information and Communication Technology's lecturers that have taught me. With all the knowledge that they have taught me, I manage to complete my project in time.

I would also like to thank my classmates for making this study a wonderful experience. Besides that, I would like to thank my friends that have accessed to my system and giving me valuable and sincere comments.

Finally, I would like to express my sincere thanks to my parents who have given me full support for the encouragement, inspiration and patience which they provided at every step during this course of studies.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>APPROVAL</b>	
<b>DEDICATION</b>	
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Project Background	1
1.3 Problem Statement	5
1.4 Research Questions	5
1.5 Research Objectives	6
1.6 Scope of Study	6
1.7 Research Significance	7
1.8 Expected Output	7
1.9 Thesis Organization	8
1.10 Summary	9
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Development of Travel Recommendation System	10
2.3 Travel Recommendation System	15
2.4 Comparing Computers and Handheld Devices in E-tourism	24
2.5 Choosing a Mobile Platform	25
2.5.1 Android Operating System Architecture	27
2.5.2 Apple iPhone Operating System Architecture	30
2.5.3 Comparison of Android and Apple iOS Operating System	31
2.6 POI Recommendation Techniques	33
2.6.1 Weighted Sum Model (WSM)	34
2.6.2 Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	35
2.7 Techniques on Route Optimization with Multiple Constraints	35
2.7.1 Genetic Algorithm (GA)	36
2.7.2 Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)	36
2.7.3 Bee Algorithm Optimization (BAO)	37
2.7.4 Simulated Annealing (SA)	37
2.7.5 Comparison of Techniques	38
2.8 Conclusion	39

<b>3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>40</b>
3.1 Introduction	40
3.2 Research Design and Methodology	40
3.2.1 Phase 1 – Theoretical Study	41
3.2.2 Phase 2 – Proposed Framework	42
3.2.3 Phase 3 – Design and Implementing Framework	42
3.2.4 Phase 4 – Evaluation and Result Analysis	49
3.3 Project Requirements	49
3.3.1 Software Requirements	49
3.3.2 Hardware Requirements	50
3.3.3 Data Collection	51
3.4 Conclusion	52
<b>4. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK AND TECHNIQUES</b>	<b>53</b>
4.1 Introduction	53
4.2 Refine Travel MoCo Framework	53
4.3 Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	56
4.4 Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)	61
4.4.1 Ant System (AS)	64
4.4.2 Ant Colony System (ACS)	66
4.4.3 MIN-MAX Ant System	68
4.5 Integration AHP-ACO Algorithm	69
4.6 Optimization Based on Heuristics Constraints	72
4.7 Conclusion	77
<b>5. SYSTEM ANALYSIS AND DESIGN</b>	<b>78</b>
5.1 Introduction	78
5.2 Requirement Analysis	78
5.2.1 Functional Requirement	79
5.2.2 Non-Functional Requirement	84
5.3 High-Level Design	84
5.3.1 System Architecture	85
5.3.2 User Interface Design	88
5.3.2.1 Mobile Application Design	88
5.3.2.2 Web Application Design	98
5.3.2.3 Technical Design	113
5.3.3 Database Design	114
5.4 Detail Design	117
5.4.1 Software or Hardware Design	117
5.4.2 Physical Database Design	117
<b>6. IMPLEMENTATION, TESTING AND RESULTS</b>	<b>126</b>
6.1 Introduction	126
6.2 Software and Hardware Development Environment Setup	127
6.3 Test Plan	128
6.3.1 Test Organization	128
6.3.2 Test Environment	129
6.4 Test Strategy	129
6.5 Classes of Tests	130

6.5.1	Code Debugging	130
6.5.2	Component Testing	131
6.5.3	Functionality Testing	131
6.5.4	Security Testing	131
6.5.5	Performance Testing	132
6.6	Test Implementation	132
6.6.1	Integration AHP-ACO Method Functionality Testing	133
6.6.2	Questionnaire for Refine Framework	138
6.6.2.1	Test Group Analysis	139
6.6.2.2	Result Analysis for Personalization in Travel Recommendation	141
6.6.3	Questionnaire for Usability Testing	146
6.6.3.1	Test Group Analysis	147
6.6.3.2	Result Analysis for Usability Testing	148
6.6.3.3	Result for Interview Session	154
6.7	Conclusion	155
<b>7.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>156</b>
7.1	Introduction	156
7.2	Strength and Weakness	156
7.3	Discussion	157
7.4	Conclusion	159
7.5	Future Work and Recommendation	159
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>160</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>177</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Tourist arrivals receipts to Malaysia (Tourism Malaysia, 2013)	11
2.2	Types of travel recommendation system (Noguera J. M., <i>et. al.</i> , 2012, Kahara T., <i>et. al.</i> , 2013, Gavalas D., <i>et. al.</i> , 2014)	12
2.3	Table of comparisons of pros and cons for travel recommendation system	15
2.4	Required skill sets for each mobile operating system (Charland and Leroux, 2011)	26
2.5	Platform market share for April 2013 (comScore, 2013)	26
2.6	Pros and Cons between Android and Apple iOS platform (Grundström, 2010, Sharma, 2011)	32
3.1	Minimum hardware requirement for client	51
3.2	Minimum hardware requirement for server	51
4.1	The description of the alternative based on criteria	57
4.2	Scale of measurement of five-point scale (Tam M. C., Tummala, V. M., 2001)	58
4.3	The random indices (Saaty T., 1977)	61
5.1	Users table	118

5.2	Login table	118
5.3	Place table	118
5.4	Distance table	120
5.5	Event table	120
5.6	TimeTable table	123
5.7	Review table	123
5.8	SaveData table	124
5.9	Saves table	125
5.10	RemovePlaceTemp table	125
5.11	SaveHistory table	125
6.1	Software that needs to install on server	127
6.2	Comparison execution time of integration AHP-ACO algorithm with enhanced integration AHP-ACO algorithm	132
6.3	Place of interest that user choose to visit with the opening time, closing time and visiting time of the place correspondingly	133
6.4	Example of Preference by POI category	134
6.5	Example of Preference by Food Category	134
6.6	Calculation of Weight of Criteria	135
6.7	Calculation of Inconsistency Ratio	135
6.8	Number of Places Calculation for POI Category	136
6.9	Number of Calculation for Food Category	136
6.10	Ranking Calculation for Water and Beaches Category	137
6.11	Distribution of the respondent's gender	139

6.12	Distribution of the respondent's age divided in different age groups	140
6.13	Distribution of the degree of familiarity of respondent at Malacca	141
6.14	Distribution of the degree of familiarity looking at the reviews that provide by the users	141
6.15	Opinions of user for question "I like to go a vacation (in Malacca) by planning the whole trip from start till end"	142
6.16	Opinions of user for question "I like to follow trip package that available in the market (Malacca)"	142
6.17	Opinions of user for question "I will look at the news and updates on the place of interest besides the community comments and reviews before including it in my visit list"	143
6.18	Opinions of user for question "I depend solely on the comments from community review when planning a vacation"	143
6.19	Opinions of user for question "I like to know the identity of the community review contributor (visitor management/owner of the place of interest) when planning a trip"	144
6.20	Opinions of user for question "I think that a review contributed by visitor is neutral (more practical and not bias)"	144
6.21	Opinions of user for question "I think that a review contributed by the management/owner is bias"	144

6.22	Opinions of user for question “The comments and review from different contributors (other visitors or the management/owner of the place) are equally important to me”	145
6.23	Rating of importance factors when planning a trip	146
6.24	Distribution of the respondent’s gender	147
6.25	Distribution of the respondent’s age divided in different age group	147
6.26	Distribution of the respondent’s highest education level	148
6.27	Distribution of the type of respondent’s	148
6.28	Opinions of user for question “The system is easy to use”	149
6.29	Opinions of user for question “I am comfortable using this system as it does not have complicated steps”	149
6.30	Opinions of user for question “The instruction provided by the system is clear and easy to understand”	150
6.31	Opinions of user for question “I like to use this system interface is pleasant”	150
6.32	Opinions of user for question “The information on user preference is important to me in route planning”	151
6.33	Opinions of user for question “The information on community is important to me in route planning”	151
6.34	Opinions of user for question “The information on event and promotion is important to me in route planning”	151

6.35	Opinions of user for question “I found it useful by getting the place of interest sorted according to the point of view integrated from user, community and business user”	152
6.36	Opinions of user for question “I found it easy to give comment and rate the place of interest”	152
6.37	Opinions of user for question “I am satisfied with the additional places that recommended by the system”	152
6.38	Opinions of user for question “I am satisfied with the itinerary that generated by the system”	153
6.39	Opinions of user for question “I am satisfied with the route planning function that available in the system”	153
6.40	Opinions of user for question “This system saves up my time from arranging and planning a trip”	154
6.41	Opinions of user for question “Overall, I am satisfied with this system and it is useful to me”	154



## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Provisional 2011 data. 15 000+ international tourists from 30+ markets and visiting a destination for the first time (Modiano D., 2011)	13
2.2	The technology trend of mobile technologies(Emmanouilidis C., Koutsiamanis, R. A., Tasidou, A., 2013)	25
2.3	Android platform architecture diagram (Grundström, 2010)	27
2.4	iPhone operating system architecture diagram (Apple I., 2010)	30
3.1	Research design	41
3.2	OOSE use case methodology diagram (Jacobson, I., 1992)	43
3.3	Process flow diagram of the integration method	46
3.4	Process flow diagram of the integration method (Continue)	47
3.5	Process flow diagram of the integration method (Continue 2)	48
4.1	Conceptual framework of Travel MoCo(Carlsson et. al., 2008)	54
4.2	Refine Travel MoCo Framework	55

4.3	The place of interest selection problem hierarchy	57
4.4	Behaviors of real ants between their nest and food source	62
4.5	ACO algorithm for TSP (Xie, Mei, 2007)	63
4.6	Ant System concept design	65
4.7	Ant Colony System concept design	67
4.8	Algorithm of AHP-ACO method	70
4.9	Step 1 and step 2 of the heuristic method that use in the research	73
4.10	Step 3 and step 4 of the heuristic method that use in the research	74
4.11	Step 5 and step 6 of the heuristic method that use in the research	75
4.12	Algorithm of enhanced integration AHP-ACO method	76
5.2	Activity diagram of Intelligent Vacation Planner for normal users	81
5.3	Activity diagram of Intelligent Vacation Planner for contributors	82
5.4	Use Case diagram of Intelligent Vacation Planner for business users and administrators	82
5.5	Activity diagram of Intelligent Vacation Planner for business users and administrators	83
5.6	System architecture of Intelligent Vacation Planner system	85
5.7	System architecture of Intelligent Vacation Planner system for mobile application	86

5.8	System architecture of Intelligent Vacation Planner system for mobile application	89
5.9	Navigation flow of login module for mobile application	90
5.10	Navigation flow of registration module for mobile application	91
5.11	Navigation flow of forgot password module for mobile application	92
5.12	Navigation flow of place module for mobile application	94
5.13	Navigation flow of place module for mobile application (Continue)	95
5.14	Navigation flow of plan trip module for mobile application	96
5.15	Navigation flow of the history module for mobile application	98
5.16	System architecture of Intelligent Vacation Planner system for web application	99
5.17	Navigation flow of login module for web application	100
5.18	Navigation flow of registration module for web application	102
5.19	Navigation flow of registration module for web application (Continue)	103
5.20	Navigation flow of forgot username module for web application	104
5.21	Navigation flow of forgot password module for web application	105

5.22	Navigation flow of edit personal or login details module for web application	107
5.23	Navigation flow of change password module for web application	108
5.24	Navigation flow of place module for web application	109
5.25	Navigation flow of place module for web application (Continue)	110
5.26	Navigation flow of promotion module for web application	111
5.27	Navigation flow of promotion module for web application (Continue)	112
5.28	ERD diagram	116
6.1	Deployment diagram of the environment architecture	127
6.2	The output of the itinerary for day 1 using enhance integration AHP-ACO method	138
6.3	The output of the itinerary for day 2 using enhance integration AHP-ACO method	138

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Questionnaire for functionality test	171
B	Questionnaire for preliminary study	174

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACO	-	Ant Colony Optimization
ACS	-	Ant Colony System
AHP	-	Analytic Hierarchy Process
AIDL	-	Android Interface Definition Language
AS	-	Ant System
API	-	Application Program Interface
BAO	-	Bee Algorithm Optimization
CBRS	-	Content-based recommender system
CI	-	Consistency index
CRS	-	Collaborative-based recommender system
CR	-	Consistency ratio
DRS	-	Demographic recommender system
HRS	-	Hybrid recommender system
HTML	-	Hypertext Markup Language
HTTP	-	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
IC	-	Identity Card
ICT	-	Information Communication Technologies
ID	-	Identity
IDE	-	Integrated Development Environment
iOS	-	iPhone Operating System
ERD	-	Entity Relationship Diagram
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
GMM	-	Geometric Mean Method
GPS	-	Global Positioning System
JSON	-	JavaScript Object Notation
JSP	-	Java Server Pages

KBRS	-	Knowledge based recommender system
MMAS	-	MAX-MIN Ant System
OAT	-	Operational Acceptance Testing
OOP	-	Object-oriented programming
OOSE	-	Object-Oriented Software Engineering
OS	-	Operating System
POI	-	Point of interest
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
SA	-	Simulated Annealing
SDK	-	Software Development Kit
SIT	-	System Integration Testing
SP	-	Service Pack
SQL	-	Structured Query Language
TSP	-	Travelling Salesman Problem
UAT	-	User Acceptance Testing
UBRS	-	Utility based recommender system
UI	-	User interface
UML	-	Unified Modeling Language
VM	-	Virtual Machine
WSM	-	Weighted Sum Model
XML	-	Extensible Markup Language

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Peh P. T. E., Choo, Y.H. and Burhanuddin, M.A., 2011. The Intelligent Vacation Planner System Using Ant Colony Optimization. *Procedia Engineering*.

Peh P.T.E., Choo, Y. H., Burhanuddin M.A., 2013. Cloud-Mobi Framework using Hybrid AHP-ACO Method for Social Interaction and Travel Planning. *2013 International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Applications (ISDA)*, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), Selangor, Malaysia, 8 - 12 December 2013. IEEE.