



**OPTIMISATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF  
FUNCTIONALISED GRAPHENE NANOPATELETS  
FILLED NR/EPDM NANOCOMPOSITES**

**MAZLIN AIDA BT MAHAMOOD**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE  
IN MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING**

**2016**



**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**

**OPTIMISATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF FUNCTIONALISED  
GRAPHENE NANOPATELETS FILLED NR/EPDM  
NANOCOMPOSITES**

**Mazlin Aida Binti Mahamood**

**Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering**

**2016**

**OPTIMISATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF FUNCTIONALISED  
GRAPHENE NANOPATELETS FILLED NR/EPDM NANOCOMPOSITES**

**MAZLIN AIDA BINTI MAHAMOOD**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science  
in Manufacturing Engineering**

**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2016**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Optimisation and Characterisation of Functionalised Graphene Nanoplatelets Filled NR/EPDM Nanocomposites” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : Mazlin Aida Mahamood .....

Date : .....

## APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Signature : .....

Supervisor's Name : Dr. Noraiham Mohamad  
.....

Date : .....

## **DEDICATION**

*To God, who gave me the life, strength and the guidance, to my beloved family, husband, mama, abah, abang, kakak dan adik-adik, thank you for giving me full encouragement and love. To my respective supervisor and lecturer, thank you for the lesson and knowledge. To all my friends and every person involved, your support is very well appreciated*

## ABSTRACT

Nowadays, polymer nanocomposites have attracted great interest due to their outstanding improvements in material properties as compared to neat polymers or conventional composites. This research is to prepare and characterise the Natural Rubber (NR) / Ethylene Propylene Diene Monomer (EPDM) filled Graphene Nanoplatelets (GNPs) nanocomposites for mechanical and thermal performance compared than unfilled NR/EPDM blend. The stage 1 of the research is to improve the miscibility between NR and EPDM rubber phases by using MAH grafted EPM compatibiliser. NR/EPDM blends were compounded using a Haake internal mixer and vulcanised by a semi-EV curing system in accordance to ASTM D3192. The response surface methodology (RSM) by Design Expert 6.0.10 software was used to optimize an internal mixer processing parameters and amount of MAH grafted EPM compatibiliser towards the maximum tensile strength (TS). The optimum mixing parameters was mixing temperature of 110°C, rotor speed of 40 rpm, mixing period of 5 mins and 5 phr amount of MAH grafted EPM compatibiliser with the highest repeatability and R<sup>2</sup> value of ~99.00%. The stage 2 of the research was focusing on the graphene nanoplatelets surface treatment by the non-covalent methods using polyethyleneimines (PEI) in an ethanol: distilled water medium (75:25). The physical adsorption of PEI on GNPs by non-covalent treatment was proposed as a possible interaction mechanism. During the stage 3 of this research, the effects of GNPs surface treatment and loading (0.25-5.00 wt. %) to the processability, mechanical, physical, thermal and morphological properties of the nanocomposites were studied. The surface treatment of GNPs enhanced the filler-matrices interaction in the NR/EPDM blend nanocomposites. The nanocomposites with 3.00 wt. % PEI-treated GNPs possessed outstanding mechanical properties compared to the unfilled blends and filled samples without treatment (tensile strength of 27.78 MPa, 19.65 MPa and 23.34 MPa; resepectively). The results were supported with thermal and dynamic analyses. At the last stage of the study, the superior thermal conductivity of 0.6220 Wm<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup> from the thermal conductivity analysis (TCA), showed that the NR/EPDM blends filled with 3.00 wt. % PEI-treated GNPs exhibited an enhancement in the heat dissipation and thermal-mechanical properties in comparison to the unfilled NR/EPDM blend. In overall, NR/EPDM filled GNPs nanocomposite that was prepared through the combination of an optimized melt-blending processing parameters and amount of MAH compatibiliser with addition of PEI-treated GNPs is able to provide a maximum effects of improved mechanical and thermal properties which is beneficial for the suggested application of rubber component in an automotive engine mount.

## ABSTRAK

Pada masa kini, nanokomposit polimer telah menarik perhatian ramai disebabkan oleh prestasi amat cemerlang sifat-sifat bahan ini jika dibandingkan dengan polimer tulen atau komposit konvensional. Penyelidikan ini bertujuan menyediakan dan mencirikan sifat mekanik dan terma nanokomposit adunan getah asli (NR) / etilena propilena diena (EPDM) berpengisi kepingan nano zarah grafin (GNPs). Peringkat pertama penyelidikan dimulakan dengan percubaan menyelesaikan isu ketidaklarutcampuran dan ketidakserasian antara fasa getah NR dan EPDM, melalui penggunaan penserasi MAH grafted EPM. Adunan NR/EPDM telah disebatkan dengan menggunakan peralatan pencampur dalaman Haake dan divulkan secara sistem pematangan separa-EV, berdasarkan ASTM D3192. Metodologi permukaan sambutan (RSM) dari perisian Design Expert 6.0.10 telah digunakan, bagi pengoptimuman parameter pemprosesan dan amaun penserasi MAH grafted EPM, terhadap sifat kekuatan tegangan (TS) yang maksimum. Sambutan yang optimum telah diperolehi dari parameter pencampuran NR/EPDM; 110°C suhu pencampuran, 40 rpm kelajuan rotor, 5 minit tempoh pengadunan dan 5 phr amaun penserasi MAH grafted EPM, dengan kebolehlulangan yang tinggi dan nilai  $R^2$  sebanyak ~99.00%. Peringkat kedua penyelidikan telah memfokus kepada rawatan permukaan pengisi kepingan nano-zarah grafin secara bukan kovalen, dengan kehadiran polietilenamina (PEI) dalam medium etanol-air suling (75:25). Mekanisma penjerapan fizikal secara interaksi sterik bukan kovalen GNPs-PEI, telah dicadangkan sebagai mekanisma tindak balas. Pada peringkat ketiga kajian, kesan rawatan permukaan GNPs dan penambahan pengisi yang berbeza (0.25-5.00 bt. %) terhadap kebolehpemrosesan, sifat mekanik, fizik, terma dan morfologi nanokomposit adalah diselidiki. Rawatan permukaan yang dijalankan keatas GNPs, telah meningkatkan interaksi antara matriks-pengisi dalam nanokomposit adunan getah NR/EPDM. Nanokomposit dengan 3.00 bt. % PEI-terawat GNPs menunjukkan sifat-sifat mekanik yang lebih baik berbanding adunan tidak berpengisi dan adunan berpengisi tidak terawat yang lain (kekuatan tegangan sebanyak 27.78 MPa, 19.65 MPa and 23.34 MPa; masing-masing). Keputusan kajian disokong dengan analisa terma dan dinamik. Pada peringkat terakhir ujikaji, kebolehlaliran haba sebanyak  $0.6220 \text{ Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$  menunjukkan adunan NR/EPDM dengan 3.00 bt. % PEI-terawat GNPs, telah menunjukkan sifat termal-mekanik yang sangat baik berbanding adunan NR/EPDM tidak berpengisi. Keseluruhannya, penyediaan nanokomposit adunan getah NR/EPDM dengan GNPs menggunakan kombinasi parameter proses penyebatan lebur dan amaun penserasi MAH grafted EPM yang betul, dengan amaun penambahan PEI-terawat GNPs yang optimum, adalah berupaya memberikan kesan sinergistik yang maksimum keatas peningkatan sifat mekanik dan ketahanan haba, yang dilihat sangat berfaedah bagi aplikasi komponen getah pencagak enjin kenderaan automotif sebagaimana yang dicadangkan.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, my gratitude to Allah S.W.T for giving me the strength to undertake the master's degree by research sponsored by Ministry of Higher Education through the My Brain 15 (MyMaster) and Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS).

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) especially to Centre for Graduate Study (PPS) and Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering (FKP) for giving me the opportunity to further my study. Sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Dr Noraiham Binti Mohamad who has been patiently guiding me throughout the years until the completion of the study. I will remain forever indebted.

Thank you so much to my other lecturer and friends, Dr. Jeefferie Bin Abdul Razak, Sharafina, Nadiah, Juliana, khairul Shahril, Anisah, Fevilia, Fariza for their constant help and precious advice on how to pursue the research.

Not forgetting, my deepest regards to all my family, staff and students of Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) as well as staff of Malaysian Nuclear Agency for their support. All the memories will always be treasured in my heart. Last but not least, my highest gratitude and appreciation towards my husband, Mohd Azroy Bin Mohd Razikin who has always been my pillar of strength throughout the course of this study.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | <b>PAGE</b> |
|--|-------------|
| <b>DECLARATION</b>   |             |
| <b>APPROVAL</b>  |             |
| <b>DEDICATION</b>  |             |
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>  | <b>i</b>    |
| <b>ABSTRAK</b>   | <b>ii</b>   |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>  | <b>iii</b>  |
| <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>   | <b>iv</b>   |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>  | <b>vii</b>  |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>   | <b>ix</b>   |
| <b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>  | <b>xiii</b> |
| <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS</b>                                 | <b>xiv</b>  |
| <b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>  | <b>xvi</b>  |
| <br>   |             |
| <b>CHAPTER</b>   |             |
| <b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>   | <b>1</b>    |
| 1.1 Research Background  | 1           |
| 1.2 Problem Statement and Significance of Study                          | 5           |
| 1.3 Research Objectives  | 8           |
| 1.4 Scope of Research  | 9           |
| 1.5 Thesis Organisation  | 10          |
| <br>   |             |
| <b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>  | <b>11</b>   |
| 2.1 Polymer Nanocomposites   | 11          |
| 2.1.1 Polymer Blends as Matrix Materials                                 | 14          |
| 2.1.2 Reinforcement Materials  | 17          |
| 2.1.3 Processing of Polymer Nanocomposites                               | 18          |
| 2.2 Rubber Blends based Nanocomposites                                   | 21          |
| 2.2.1 Rubber Blends  | 21          |
| 2.2.2 Miscibility and Compatibility                                      | 28          |
| 2.2.3 Vulcanisation of Rubber Materials                                  | 30          |
| 2.3 GNPs based Polymer Nanocomposites                                    | 35          |
| 2.3.1 Mechanical and Thermal Properties of GNPs                          | 36          |
| 2.3.2 Aggregation and Agglomeration of GNPs                              | 37          |
| 2.4 Mechanical and Thermal Properties of Polymer Nanocomposites          | 42          |
| <br>   |             |
| <b>3. MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>  |             |
| 3.1 Introduction   | 45          |
| 3.2 Flowchart of Research  | 45          |
| 3.3 Raw Materials  | 47          |
| 3.4 Stage 1: Preparation and Characterisation of NR/EPDM Blends          | 50          |
| 3.4.1 Design of Experiment (DOE)   | 50          |
| 3.4.2 Melt Blending of NR/EPDM blends (ASTM D 3192)                      | 52          |
| 3.4.3 Process Evaluation using Cure Characteristic Studies (ASTM D 2084) | 54          |
| 3.4.4 Blends Vulcanisation and Sample Preparation                        | 54          |

|         |   |    |
|---------|---|----|
| 3.4.5   | Mechanical Tensile Testing (BS 6746)  | 55 |
| 3.4.6   | Shore-A Hardness Testing (ASTM D 2240-89)   | 56 |
| 3.4.7   | Swelling Test (ISO 1817)  | 57 |
| 3.4.8   | Thermal Evaluation by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)                                   | 58 |
| 3.4.9   | Fracture Morphology Observation by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)                           | 58 |
| 3.4.10  | Decision of Processing Parameters   | 59 |
| 3.5     | Stage 2: Pre-Treatment of GNPs Prior to Preparation of NR/EPDM filled GNPs Nanocomposite Blends | 60 |
| 3.5.1   | Surface Modification and Characterisation of Graphene Nanoplatelets (GNPs)                      | 60 |
| 3.5.1.1 | Precipitation Analysis of GNPs in Various Solvents  | 60 |
| 3.5.1.2 | Non-covalent Surface Treatment of GNPs  | 61 |
| 3.5.1.3 | Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy Analysis   | 62 |
| 3.5.1.4 | X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis  | 62 |
| 3.5.1.5 | Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) Observation  | 62 |
| 3.6     | Stage 3: Mechanical and Physical Properties of NR/EPDM filled GNPs Nanocomposite                | 63 |
| 3.6.1   | Melt Blending of NR/EPDM filled GNPs Nanocomposite  | 63 |
| 3.6.2   | Mechanical and Physical Properties of NR/EPDM filled GNPs Nanocomposite                         | 64 |
| 3.6.2.1 | Cure Characteristic Testing (ASTM D 2084)   | 64 |
| 3.6.2.2 | Vulcanisation and Sample Fabrication  | 65 |
| 3.6.2.3 | Mechanical Tensile Testing (BS 6746)  | 65 |
| 3.6.2.4 | Shore-A Hardness Testing (ASTM D 2240-89)   | 65 |
| 3.6.2.5 | Swelling Test (ISO 1817)  | 65 |
| 3.6.2.6 | X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis  | 66 |
| 3.6.2.7 | Fracture Morphology Observation by Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)          | 66 |
| 3.7     | Stage 4: Thermal Properties Evaluation of NR/EPDM GNPs Nanocomposite Blends                     | 66 |
| 3.7.1   | Heat Dissipation Study using Thermal Conductivity Analysis (TCA) Test                           | 66 |
| 3.7.2   | Heat Degradation Study by Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)                                      | 68 |
| 3.7.4   | Dynamic Properties Study using Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA)                                | 68 |
| 3.8     | Summary   | 68 |

#### **4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

|       |  |    |
|-------|--|----|
| 4.1   | Introduction   | 70 |
| 4.2   | Stage 1: Optimum Parameters of NR/EPDM blends via RSM                | 70 |
| 4.2.1 | Regression Model   | 70 |
| 4.2.2 | Interaction between Variables for Cure Characteristics of the Blends | 72 |
| 4.2.3 | Interaction between Variables for Tensile Properties of the          | 74 |

|           |  |            |
|-----------|--|------------|
|           | Blends   |            |
| 4.2.4     | Interaction between Variables for Hardness Properties of the Blends  | 79         |
| 4.2.5     | Decision for Optimum Processing Parameters   | 80         |
| 4.2.6     | Swelling Measurement   | 81         |
| 4.2.7     | Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)  | 82         |
| 4.2.8     | Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Observation   | 84         |
| 4.2.9     | Summary  | 86         |
| 4.3       | Stage 2: Analysis of GNPs pretreatment using PEI for the preparation of NR/EPDM filled GNPs nanocomposites | 87         |
| 4.3.1     | Selection of Dispersant for GNPs by Precipitation Studies  | 87         |
| 4.3.2     | FTIR Analysis  | 91         |
| 4.3.3     | XRD Analysis   | 95         |
| 4.3.4     | Summary  | 97         |
| 4.4       | Stage 3: Characterisation studies of NR/EPDM filled GNPs Nanocomposites                                    | 98         |
| 4.4.1     | Cure Characteristics   | 98         |
| 4.4.2     | Tensile Strength   | 101        |
| 4.4.3     | Hardness (Shore-A)   | 104        |
| 4.4.4     | Swelling Behaviour   | 105        |
| 4.4.5     | XRD Analysis   | 106        |
| 4.4.6     | FESEM Observation  | 108        |
| 4.4.7     | Summary  | 110        |
| 4.5       | Stage 4: Thermal Behaviour of NR/EPDM filled GNPs Nanocomposites   | 111        |
| 4.5.1     | Heat Dissipation by Thermal Conductivity Analysis (TCA)  | 111        |
| 4.5.2     | Heat Degradation by Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)   | 113        |
| 4.5.3     | Dynamic Properties Analysis using Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA)  | 115        |
| 4.5.4     | Summary  | 118        |
| <b>5.</b> | <b>CONCLUSION &amp; RECOMMENDATION</b>   |            |
| 5.1       | Conclusion   | 120        |
| 5.2       | Recommendations for Future Work  | 123        |
|           | <b>REFERENCES</b>  | <b>124</b> |
|           | <b>APPENDICES</b>  | <b>136</b> |

## LIST OF TABLES

| <b>TABLE</b> | <b>TITLE</b>  | <b>PAGE</b> |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| 2.1          | Classification of Nanofillers; Spherical, Nanotubes and Platelets   | 19          |
| 2.2          | Principle Diene Monomer used in EPDM Manufacture  | 27          |
| 2.3          | Levels of Sulphur and Accelerator in CV, SEV and EV   | 35          |
| 2.4          | Vulcanisate Structures and Properties   | 35          |
| 2.5          | Thermal Conductivities of Some Thermally Conductive Fillers   | 44          |
| 3.1          | Specification of Purchased GNPs   | 49          |
| 3.2          | Levels of Variables   | 50          |
| 3.3          | 2 <sup>4</sup> Factorial Design Matrixes  | 51          |
| 3.4          | The Typical Formulation for Preparation of NR/EPDM Compounds  | 52          |
| 3.5          | The Formulation for Preparation of NR/EPDM Filled GNPs Nanocomposites   | 63          |
| 3.6          | Fillers Loading   | 64          |
| 4.1          | Regression Equations for Each Response Studied  | 71          |
| 4.2          | Comparisons of Experimental and Predicted Tensile Strength Values of NR/EPDM Blends                                       | 77          |
| 4.3          | Criteria for Optimisation of Properties for Melt Blending of NR/EPDM Blends   | 80          |
| 4.4          | Tg Values Obtained from DSC   | 84          |
| 4.5          | Cure Characteristic Studies for NR/EPDM Rubber Blends Filled with Various Loading of Untreated GNPs and PEI-Treated GNPs  | 99          |
| 4.6          | Tensile Properties Values for NR/EPDM Rubber Blends Filled with Pure GNPs and PEI-treated GNPs at Various Filler Loadings | 102         |

|     |   |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| 4.7 | Thermal Conductivity Values of NR/EPDM Filled GNPs<br>Nanocomposite Blends in respect of Different Blend<br>System at Different Fillers Loading | 111 |
|-----|---|-----|

## LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE   | PAGE |
|--------|---|------|
| 2.1    | Natural Rubber (Cis 1, 4-polyisoprene)  | 23   |
| 2.2    | Polymeric Chain of Rubber   | 23   |
| 2.3    | Main Synthetic Rubber Production System   | 25   |
| 2.4    | EPM structure   | 26   |
| 2.5    | Chemical structure of EPDM  | 26   |
| 2.6    | Schematic models of network structure and entanglements in vulcanized and un-vulcanized NR  | 31   |
| 2.7    | A Schematic Representation of the Chemistry Involved in the Sulphur Vulcanisation   | 33   |
| 2.8    | Influence of Crosslink Density on the Properties of Elastomers  | 35   |
| 2.9    | Crosslinking Characteristics of Elastomers Determined from the Torque Measurement   | 34   |
| 2.10   | Schematic Illustration of Graphene Nanoplatelets.   | 36   |
| 2.11   | Schematic for Particulates, Aggregate and Agglomerate   | 38   |
| 2.12   | Graphene Sheets with Strong Covalent and Ionic Bonded Atoms Held Together by Weak Van Der Waals Forces  | 38   |
| 2.13   | Classification of Methods to Disperse Nanofillers in Polymer Resin  | 39   |
| 2.14   | Schematic Diagram of the Microstructure of Graphene-Based Nanocomposites based on Monolayer and Trilayer Reinforcements                                     | 40   |
| 2.15   | Schematic of Polymer Nanocomposites Achieving Exfoliation of Nanoplatelets in Polymers Continuous Phase by Assistance of Surface Treatment of Nanoplatelets | 43   |
| 3.1    | Flowchart of the Overall Experiment   | 46   |
| 3.2    | The Main Materials used for the Preparation Of NR/EPDM Compounds  | 47   |

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 3.3  | The Compounding Chemicals used for the Vulcanisation of the Rubber  | 48 |
| 3.4  | The Materials used for Preparation of NR/EPDM Nanocomposites  | 49 |
| 3.5  | Haake Internal Mixer  | 53 |
| 3.6  | NR/EPDM Blends Dumped from Internal Mixer   | 53 |
| 3.7  | The Set Up for Gotech Hydraulic Hot Molding   | 55 |
| 3.8  | The as-produced NR/EPDM Vulcanised Sheet after Hot and Cold Molding Operation   | 55 |
| 3.9  | Dumbbell Shaped Test Piece  | 56 |
| 3.10 | Zwick Roell Hardness Tester   | 56 |
| 3.11 | GNPs Dispersion in Organic Solvent Solution   | 61 |
| 3.12 | Ultrasonication-Mechanical Stirrer and Freeze Drying Apparatus Set-Up for GNPs Surface Treatment Procedure  | 62 |
| 3.13 | Thermal Conductivity Test Set-Up  | 67 |
| 4.1  | 3D Response Surface Model Graphs Depicting Variation in Scorch Time, Cure Time, Maximum Torque and Torque Difference as a Function of MAH Grafted EPM and Mixing Time | 73 |
| 4.2  | 3D Response Surface Model Graphs Showing Variation in Tensile Strength, Modulus at 100% elongation, Modulus at 500% elongation and Elongation at Break                | 76 |
| 4.3  | Plots of the Residuals Vs. Predicted Response for an Error Determination  | 78 |
| 4.4  | 3D Response Surface Model Graphs Representing Variation in Hardness as a Function of MAH Grafted EPM and Rotor Speed  | 79 |
| 4.5  | The Swelling Percentage and Crosslink Density of the NR/EPDM Blends   | 81 |
| 4.6  | DSC Thermograms for NR, EPDM, MAH grafted EPM, S0, S1 and S4  | 83 |
| 4.7  | Scanning Electron Microscopy Micrographs Showing Tensile Fracture Surface of NR/EPDM Blends at 70/30  | 85 |



|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
|      | Blend Ratio   |     |
| 4.8  | The GNPs Dispersion in Water and Five Organic Solvents Before Sonication, Dispersion Shortly after Tip Sonication and Dispersion 3 Weeks after Sonication.                  | 89  |
| 4.9  | TEM Images of GNPs Deposited from Ethanol and THF Suspension  | 90  |
| 4.10 | FTIR Spectra of GNPs, PEI and PEI-Treated GNPs  | 92  |
| 4.11 | A Schematic Represents the Attachment of PEI on GNPs Surface  | 94  |
| 4.12 | XRD Patterns of Pure GNPs and PEI-Treated GNPs  | 95  |
| 4.13 | TEM Image PEI-Treated GNPs Shows Single Layer GNPs and presence of PEI on the Edges of the GNPs Sheet   | 96  |
| 4.14 | The effect of GNPs Loading on the Hardness of NR/EPDM Blends Filled with Pure GNPs and PEI-Treated GNPs   | 105 |
| 4.15 | The Effect of GNPs Loading on the Swelling Percentages of NR/EPDM Blends Filled with Pure GNPs and PEI-Treated GNPs   | 106 |
| 4.16 | X-ray Diffraction Pattern of Unfilled NR/EPDM blends, NR/EPDM Filled GNPs Nanocomposites and NR/EPDM Filled PEI-Treated GNPs Nanocomposites                                 | 107 |
| 4.17 | Fractured Surface Morphology of Unfilled NR/EPDM Blends, NR/EPDM Blends Filled with 3.00 wt. % PEI-Treated GNPs, and NR/EPDM Blends Filled with 5.00 wt. % PEI-Treated GNPs | 109 |
| 4.18 | Thermal Conductivity Trends for NR/EPDM Filled GNPs Nanocomposite Blends in respect of Different Blend System at Different Fillers Loading                                  | 112 |
| 4.19 | TGA Curves for Unfilled NR/EPDM Blends and NR/EPDM Filled GNPs Nanocomposites   | 114 |
| 4.20 | DTG Curves for Unfilled NR/EPDM Blends and NR/EPDM Filled GNPs Nanocomposites   | 115 |
| 4.21 | Storage Modulus of Unfilled NR/EPDM Blends and NR/EPDM Filled GNPs Nanocomposites   | 116 |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.22 | Loss Modulus of Unfilled NR/EPDM Blends and NR/EPDM Filled GNPs Nanocomposites | 118 |
| 4.23 | Tan Delta of Unfilled NR/EPDM Blends and NR/EPDM Filled GNPs Nanocomposites    | 118 |

## LIST OF APPENDICES

| <b>APPENDIX</b> | <b>TITLE</b>                                   | <b>PAGE</b> |
|-----------------|--|-------------|
| A               | Sample of Calculations                         | 135         |
| B               | Regression Equations for Each Response Studied | 137         |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| °C              | - Degree celsius   |
| 2D              | - Two dimensional  |
| 6PPD            | - <i>N</i> -(1,3-dimethylbutyl)- <i>N'</i> -phenyl- <i>p</i> -phenylenediamine |
| ANOVA           | - Analysis of variance   |
| ASTM            | - American standard testing of materials                                       |
| ATR-FTIR        | - Attenuated total reflectance-FTIR  |
| CNF             | - Carbon nanofiber   |
| CNTs            | - Carbon nanotubes   |
| CO <sub>2</sub> | - Carbon dioxide   |
| CRI             | - Cure rate index  |
| DCP             | - Dicumyl peroxide   |
| DMA             | - Dynamic mechanical analysis  |
| DSC             | - Differential scanning calorimetry  |
| DTG             | - Derivative thermal gravimetry  |
| E'              | - Storage modulus  |
| E''             | - Loss modulus   |
| EB              | - Elongation at break  |
| EPDM            | - Ethylene propylene diene monomer   |
| EPM             | - Ethylene propylene copolymer   |
| FEA             | - Finite element analysis  |
| FESEM           | - Field emission scanning electron microscopy                                  |
| FWHM            | - Full width at half maximum   |
| GNPs            | - Graphene nanoplatelets   |
| GPa             | - Gigapascal   |
| M100            | - Modulus at 100% elongation   |
| M300            | - Modulus at 300% elongation   |
| M500            | - Modulus at 500% elongation   |
| MAH             | - Maleic anhydride   |
| MBTS            | - 2,20-dithiobis(benzothiazole)  |

|                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| $M_c$            | - Average molecular weight between crosslink                     |
| MH-ML            | - Torque difference  |
| MH               | - Maximum torque   |
| ML               | - Minimum torque   |
| MMT              | - Montmorillonite  |
| $M_n$            | - Average number molecule  |
| $M_w$            | - Average weight molecule  |
| nm               | - Nanometer  |
| NR               | - Natural rubber   |
| NR/EPDM          | - Natural rubber/ ethylene propylene diene monomer               |
| OH               | - Hidroxyl   |
| PEI              | - Polyethyleneimine  |
| PEI-treated GNPs | - GNPs treated with polyethyleneimine                            |
| phr              | - Per hundred rubber   |
| PVA              | - Polyvinyl acetate  |
| RSM              | - Response surface methodology                                   |
| TMTD             | - Tetramethylthiuramdisulfide                                    |
| $Q_m$            | - Weight increase  |
| $v_o$            | - Molar volume of toluene  |
| $v_r$            | - Volume fraction of rubber in swollen sample                    |
| $\chi$           | - Interaction constant characteristic between rubber and toluene |
| $\rho$           | - Density  |
| x                | - Multiply to  |
| /                | - Divide to  |
| =                | - Equal to   |
| %                | - Percent  |

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Journal

1. Jeefferie Abd Razak, Sahrim Haji Ahmad, Chantara Theyv Ratnam, **Mazlin Aida Mahamood**, and Noraiham Mohamad. "Effects of poly (ethyleneimine) adsorption on grapheme nanoplatelets to the properties of NR/EPDM rubber blend nanocomposites". *Journal of Materials Science*, 50, no. 19 (2015): 6365-6381.
2. Jeefferie Abd Razak, Sahrim Haji Ahmad, Chantara Theyv Ratnam, **Mazlin Aida Mahamood**, Juliana Yaakub, and Noraiham Mohamad (2015), Effects of EPDM-g-MAH compatibilizer and internal mixer processing parameters on the properties of NR/EPDM blends: An analysis using response surface methodology. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 132, 42199.
3. J. Abd Razak, S. H. Ahmad, C. Theyv Ratnam, **M. A. Mahamood**, K. T. Lau, H. E. Ab Maulod, R. F. Munawar, N. Mohamad, "Non-Covalent Polymeric Wrapping of IGEPAL C0890 for Graphene Nanoplatelets (GNPs-C0890) Filled NR/EPDM Rubber Blend Nanocomposites", *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, Vol. 761, pp. 385-390, May. 2015.
4. N. Mohamad, **M. A. Mahamood**, J. Abd Razak, R. F. Munawar, M. Z. Zainal Abidin, M. A. Azam, M. S. Kasim, M. S. Othman, M. I. Shueb, "Cure Characteristics of Natural Rubber/EPDM Blends for the Effect of MAH Grafted EPM and Compounding Parameters via Response Surface Methodology", *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, Vol. 761, pp. 441-446, May. 2015.
5. Razak, Jeefferie Abd; Ahmad, Sahrim Haji; Ratnam, Chantara Theyv; **Mahamood, Mazlin Aida**; Yaakub, Juliana; et al. Graphene Nanoplatelets-Filled NR/EPDM Rubber Blend: Effects Of GNPs Loading on Blend Process Ability, Mechanical Properties and Fracture Morphology. *Polymers Research Journal*, 9.1 (2015): 43-56.

6. N. Mohamad, **M. A. Mahamood**, Y. Juliana, A. R. Jeefferie, A. Muchtar, M. I. Shueb, M. S. Kassim, M. A. Azam, Y. M. Yuhazri, N. Mustafa, A. R. Toibah, T. R. Sahroni, and Q. Ahsan. "Functionalisation of ethylene–propylene copolymer by melt grafting of maleic anhydride using a high shear internal mixer". *Materials Research Innovations*. 2014; 18(S6), S6-36-S6-42.
7. The Effects of Covalent Treated Graphene Nanoplatelets Surface Modification to Cure Characteristic, Mechanical, Physical and Morphological Properties of NR/EPDM Rubber Blend Nanocomposites, 2014. JA Razak, SH Ahmad, CT Ratnam, MI Shueb, **MA Mahamood**, J Yaakub, *Advances in Environmental Biology* 8 (8), 3289-3298.
8. NR/EPDM elastomeric rubber blends miscibility evaluation by two-level fractional factorial design of experiment. Razak, Jeefferie Abd and Ahmad, Sahrim Haji and Ratnam, Chantara Theyv and Mahamood, **Mazlin Aida** and Yaakub, Juliana and Mohamad, Noraiham, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1614, 82-89 (2014), DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4895176>.

## Conference

1. N. Mohamad, **M. A. Mahamood**, J. Abd Razak, R. F. Munawar, M. Z. Zainal Abidin, M. A. Azam, M. S. Kasim, M. S. Othman, M. I. Shueb, 2013. Cure Characteristics of Natural Rubber/EPDM Blends for the Effect of MAH grafted EPM and Compounding Parameters via Response Surface Methodology. *3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Design and Concurrent Engineering 2014*, 22 and 23 September 2014.
2. N. Mohamad, **M. A. Mahamood**, Y. Juliana, A. R. Jeefferie, A. Muchtar, M. I. Shueb, M. S. Kassim, M. A. Azam, Y. M. Yuhazri, N. Mustafa, A. R. Toibah, T. R. Sahroni and Q. Ahsan., 2013. Functionalisation of ethylene–propylene copolymer by melt grafting of maleic anhydride using a high shear internal mixer. *International Conference on Science and Engineering of Materials 2013*, 13 and 14 November 2013.

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Research Background

The emergence of nanomaterials in the area of polymer science and technology have caused nanosized materials to be widely employed in advanced composite systems (Arroyo et al., 2007; Sookyung et al., 2014). The dimension of one of the constituent phase in the composites sometimes can be between 1 to 100 nm, and under these atomic dimensions that particular phase has properties rather different from those of the same material in the bulk form and are referred to as nanocomposite (Olad, 2011).

Polymer nanocomposites are a type of composite system consisting of two main components; a polymeric matrix material (thermoplastics, thermosets or elastomers) and a nanoscale reinforcing material (nanoparticle) (Koo, 2006). This new class of composite materials have shown enhancement in mechanical properties, thermal stability, chemical resistance, gas permeability and electrical conductivity (Mortensen, 2007; Wu et al., 2013). The property enhancements of polymer nanocomposites are possible with several factors i.e. preparation methods, morphology of the polymer nanocomposites which are dependent on the surface chemistry of each component, type of polymers, as well as characteristics of polymer matrix such as crystallinity, molecular weight and polymer chemistry (Lee et al., 2005). In addition, polymer nanocomposites intend to overcome the drawbacks of polymers through reinforcing effects of the nanofillers while maintaining the natural advantages of the main polymer matrix.