



**ENHANCEMENT QUALITY OF SERVICE AT ADTECBP LAN
USING TRAFFIC POLICING**

WAN MUHAMMAD HAZWAN BIN AHMAD AZAMUD-DIN

**MASTER OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
(INTERNETWORKING TECHNOLOGY)**

2016



Faculty of Information and Communication Technology

**ENHANCEMENT QUALITY OF SERVICE AT ADTECBP LAN
USING TRAFFIC POLICING**

Wan Muhammad Hazwan bin Ahmad Azamud-din

Master of Computer Science (Internetworking Technology)

2016

**ENHANCEMENT QUALITY OF SERVICE AT ADTECBP LAN USING TRAFFIC
POLICING**

WAN MUHAMMAD HAZWAN BIN AHMAD AZAMUD-DIN

**A project submitted
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Computer Science
(Internetworking Technology)**

Faculty of Information and Communication Technology

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2016

DEDICATION

Alhamdulillah

To my beloved wife and dearest daughter

Hasfazila binti Simin

To my beloved Mother and Father

Shahrifah Hafizah Syed Abd Rahman and Ahmad Azamud-din Aman

To my beloved Supervisors

To my beloved brothers

To my beloved friends

DECLARATION

I declare that this project entitled “Enhancement Quality Of Service at ADTECBP LAN using Traffic Policing” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature :

Name :

Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this project and in my opinion this project is sufficient in term of scope and quality for the award of Master of Computer Science (Internetworking Technology).

Signature :

Supervisor Name : Dr. Zul Azri bin Muhamad Noh

Date :

ABSTRACT

Mainly, an Internet service provider (ISP) provides best-effort service to all customers that subscribe to it and Quality of Service (QoS) is only given by provider if it being request. While traffic become congested, initial setting of configuration doesn't resolve this issue. Current trending on network nowadays with convergence of data, voice and video it is important for network to administrator to classify the traffic by using QoS mechanism. In applying differentiated QoS, router will classify and remarked the traffic to utilize the network. Regarding the Service Level Agreement (SLA), classification the traffic will make router to use more resources. Outcome from the result is very important by implementing these methods by using for network system administration, network engineer and others. In order study effectiveness by applying QoS on network, appropriate methodology need to be applied. Objective for this setup is to categorize network performance metrics such as throughput, jitter and packet loss based on multiple traffic accessing the router. Remarking and classify traffic will make router will do more work. Certain devices, performance become dropped when enabling this method. Another method is by make combination of classification, remarking and apply traffic policing. This method will deteriorate throughput at the egress router. Other than that, jitter and packet loss also will be increased by implementing traffic policing. Jitter, throughput and packet loss will be measured by using network performance tools such as IPERF. Regarding from previous review of literature, ISP or network provider need to concern about their SLA and providing service of network to avoid issue that will be discussed on thesis.

ABSTRAK

Terutamanya, pembekal perkhidmatan Internet (ISP) menyediakan perkhidmatan usaha terbaik kepada semua pelanggan yang melanggan dan Kualiti Perkhidmatan (QoS) hanya diberikan oleh pembekal jika ia menjadi permintaan. Walaupun trafik menjadi sesak, penetapan awal konfigurasi tidak menyelesaikan isu ini. Perkembangan semasa ke atas rangkaian pada masa kini dengan penumpuan data, suara dan video adalah penting untuk rangkaian kepada pentadbir untuk mengklasifikasikan lalu lintas dengan menggunakan mekanisme QoS. Dalam menggunakan QoS berbeza, router akan mengelaskan dan berkata trafik untuk menggunakan rangkaian. Mengenai Perjanjian Tahap Perkhidmatan (SLA), klasifikasi trafik akan membuat router untuk menggunakan lebih banyak sumber. Hasil daripada keputusan yang sangat penting dengan melaksanakan kaedah ini dengan menggunakan untuk pentadbiran sistem rangkaian, jurutera rangkaian dan lain-lain. Untuk keberkesanan kajian dengan menggunakan QoS pada rangkaian, kaedah yang sesuai perlu digunakan. Objektif untuk projek ini adalah untuk mengkategorikan metrik prestasi rangkaian seperti pemprosesan, ketar dan kehilangan paket berdasarkan pelbagai trafik mengakses router. Penyemakan Semula dan mengelaskan trafik akan membuat router akan melakukan lebih banyak kerja. Peranti tertentu, prestasi menjadi jatuh apabila membolehkan kaedah ini. Kaedah lain adalah dengan make gabungan klasifikasi, mengulas dan memohon kepolisan lalu lintas. Kaedah ini akan merosot pemprosesan di router jalan keluar. Selain daripada itu, ketar dan kehilangan paket juga akan dipertingkatkan dengan melaksanakan kepolisan lalu lintas. Ketar, pemprosesan dan kehilangan paket akan diukur dengan menggunakan alat prestasi rangkaian seperti IPERF. Mengenai daripada kajian sebelum sastera, ISP atau pembekal rangkaian perlu kebimbangan mengenai SLA dan perkhidmatan yang menyediakan rangkaian untuk mengelakkan isu yang akan dibincangkan pada tesis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express appreciation to my supervisor Dr. Zul Azri bin Muhamad Noh, for their guidance and excellent supervision. Special thanks to the staff in Faculty of Information and Communication Technology at Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka for facilitating the process and for helping me throughout my project.

Many thanks to my colleagues especially Madam Siti Rodhiah, Madam Norafiqah that give fully commitment and contribution on development my project and my research work.

Finally, I would like to extend my greatest indebtedness to my family, my lovely wife, Madam Hasfazila Simin, and my dearest daughter for giving me fully morale support in order to completing my project. Also thankful to my father, Ahmad Azamuddin Aman and my mother, Sharifah Hafizah Syed Ab. Rahman for supporting my career, target of live, sponsoring in my study and grab my scroll of degree at the end of journey.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
APPROVAL	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.0 Background Study	1
1.1 Research Background	3
1.1.1 QoS delivery	3
1.1.2 Differentiated Services (DiffServ) QoS algorithm	10
1.2 Problem Statement	10
1.3 Research Objectives	11
1.4 Research Methodology	13
1.5 Expected Outcomes	13
1.6 Conclusion	14
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	15
2.0 Introduction	15
2.1 Literature Review	15
2.2 QoS and network convergence by using throughput	17
2.3 QoS based on bandwidth utilization	18
2.4 QoS performance provided by ISP	19
2.5 QoS parameter for network performance	21
2.5.1 Network Availability	21
2.5.2 Bandwidth	22
2.5.3 Delay	23
2.6 Traffic Policing compared to Traffic Shaping	23
2.7 Conclusion	24

3.	METHODOLOGY	25
3.0	Introduction	25
3.1	Categorization of QoS mechanism	26
3.2	Testbed setup	29
3.2.1	Test 1 : No classification and no policy applied	30
3.2.2	Test 2 : Classification and no policy applied	30
3.2.3	Test 3 : Classification and polic applied	30
3.3	Hardware implementation by using CISCO equipment	30
3.4	Virtual Local Area (VLAN) Implementation	31
3.5	Traffic Policing	32
3.6	Conclusion	33
4.	TESTBED IMPLEMENTATIONS	34
4.0	Upgrading ADTEC BP LAN from actual	34
4.1	Router CISCO Implementation	35
4.2	CISCO Switch Implementation	39
4.3	Experimental Setup	39
4.3.1	Case 1 : No classification and no policy applied	40
4.3.2	Case 2 : Classification and no policy applied	42
4.3.3	Case 3 : Classification and policy applied	42
4.4	Network performance measurement	44
4.4.1	Measuring the throughput	44
4.4.2	Measuring the jitter	44
4.5	Conclusion	44
5.	RESULT AND ANALYSIS	46
5.0	Case 1 : No classification, no policy applies and no remarking (TCP)	46
5.0.1	Test 1 : Single parallel stream implementation	46
5.0.2	Test 2 : Two parallel stream implementation	48
5.0.3	Test 3 : Three parallel stream implementation	50
5.0.4	Test 4 : Four parallel stream implementation	51
5.1	Case 2 : No classification, no remarking and no policy applied (UDP)	53
5.1.1	Test 1.1 : Single stream implementation (1.0 Mbps)	53
5.1.2	Test 1.2 : 2 parallel stream implementation (1.0 Mbps)	54
5.1.3	Test 1.3 : 3 parallel stream implementation (1.0 Mbps)	55
5.1.4	Test 1.4 : 4 parallel stream implementation (1.0 Mbps)	56
5.1.5	Test 2.1 : Single stream implementation (2.0 Mbps)	57
5.1.6	Test 2.2 : 2 parallel stream implementation (2.0 Mbps)	59
5.1.7	Test 2.3 : 3 parallel stream implementation (2.0 Mbps)	59
5.1.8	Test 2.4 : 4 parallel stream implementation (2.0 Mbps)	60
5.1.9	Test 3.1 : Single stream implementation (3.0 Mbps)	61
5.1.10	Test 3.2 : 2 parallel stream implementation (3.0 Mbps)	62
5.1.11	Test 3.3 : 3 parallel stream implementation (3.0 Mbps)	63
5.1.12	Test 3.4 : 4 parallel stream implementation (3.0 Mbps)	64
5.1.13	Test 4.1 : Single stream implementation (4.0 Mbps)	65
5.1.14	Test 4.2 : 2 parallel stream implementation (4.0 Mbps)	66

5.1.15	Test 4.3 : 3 parallel stream implementation (4.0 Mbps)	67
5.1.16	Test 4.4 : 4 parallel stream implementation (4.0 Mbps)	68
5.2	Case 3 : Classification and no policy applied	69
5.3	Case 4 : Classification and policy applied (TCP test)	71
5.3.1	Test 1 : Single parallel stream implementation	71
5.3.2	Test 2 : Two parallel stream implementation	73
5.3.3	Test 3 : Three parallel stream implementation	74
5.3.4	Test 4 : Four parallel stream implementation	76
5.4	Case 5 : Classification and policy applied (UDP Test)	78
5.4.1	Test 1.1 : Single stream implementation (1.0 Mbps)	79
5.4.2	Test 1.2 : 2 parallel stream implementation (1.0 Mbps)	80
5.4.3	Test 1.3 : 3 parallel stream implementation (1.0 Mbps)	81
5.4.4	Test 1.4 : 4 parallel stream implementation (1.0 Mbps)	82
5.4.5	Test 2.1 : Single stream implementation (2.0 Mbps)	83
5.4.6	Test 2.2 : 2 parallel stream implementation (2.0 Mbps)	84
5.4.7	Test 2.3 : 3 parallel stream implementation (2.0 Mbps)	85
5.4.8	Test 2.4 : 4 parallel stream implementation (2.0 Mbps)	86
5.4.9	Test 3.1 : Single stream implementation (3.0 Mbps)	87
5.4.10	Test 3.2 : 2 parallel stream implementation (3.0 Mbps)	88
5.4.11	Test 3.3 : 3 parallel stream implementation (3.0 Mbps)	89
5.4.12	Test 3.4 : 4 parallel stream implementation (3.0 Mbps)	90
5.4.13	Test 4.1 : Single stream implementation (4.0 Mbps)	91
5.4.14	Test 4.2 : 2 parallel stream implementation (4.0 Mbps)	92
5.4.15	Test 4.3 : 3 parallel stream implementation (4.0 Mbps)	93
5.4.16	Test 4.4 : 4 parallel stream implementation (4.0 Mbps)	94
5.5	Conclusion	95
5.5.1	Throughput test based on TCP	95
5.5.2	Throughput test based on UDP	95
6.	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	96
6.1	Introduction	96
6.2	Summary of thesis	97
6.3	Future Works	98
6.3.1	Multiple bandwidth for TCP testing	98
6.3.2	Multiple classification and remarking	99
6.3.3	Study on other aspect QoS	99
6.3.4	Applying QoE on testing	99
	REFERENCES	100
	APPENDICES	105

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	List Equipment applied in the testbed	34
4.2	Experimental testing on Case 1	41
4.3	Experimental on Case 2	43
5.1	Single stream TCP testing	47
5.2	Two parallel stream TCP testing	49
5.3	Three parallel TCP testing	50
5.4	Four parallel TCP testing	52
5.5	Single stream UDP 1.0 Mbytes	53
5.6	2 parallel stream UDP 1.0 Mbytes	54
5.7	3 parallel stream UDP 1.0 Mbytes	55
5.8	4 parallel stream UDP 1.0 Mbytes	56
5.9	Single stream UDP 2.0 Mbytes	57
5.10	2 parallel stream UDP 2.0 Mbytes	58
5.11	3 parallel stream UDP 2.0 Mbytes	59
5.12	4 parallel stream UDP 2.0 Mbytes	60
5.13	Single stream UDP 3.0 Mbytes	61
5.14	2 parallel stream UDP 3.0 Mbytes	62
5.15	3 parallel stream UDP 3.0 Mbytes	63

5.16	4 parallel stream UDP 3.0 Mbytes	64
5.17	Single stream UDP 4.0 Mbytes	65
5.18	2 parallel stream UDP 4.0 Mbytes	66
5.19	3 parallel stream UDP 4.0 Mbytes	67
5.20	4 parallel stream UDP 4.0 Mbytes	68
5.21	Single stream Implementation	72
5.22	2 parallel stream Implementation	73
5.23	3 parallel stream Implementation	75
5.24	4 parallel stream Implementation	77
5.25	Single stream UDP 1.0 Mbytes	79
5.26	2 parallel stream UDP 1.0 Mbytes	80
5.27	3 parallel stream UDP 1.0 Mbytes	81
5.28	4 parallel stream UDP 1.0 Mbytes	82
5.29	Single stream UDP 2.0 Mbytes	83
5.30	2 parallel stream UDP 2.0 Mbytes	84
5.31	3 parallel stream UDP 2.0 Mbytes	85
5.32	4 parallel stream UDP 2.0 Mbytes	86
5.33	Single stream UDP 3.0 Mbytes	87
5.34	2 parallel stream UDP 3.0 Mbytes	88
5.35	3 parallel stream UDP 3.0 Mbytes	89
5.36	4 parallel stream UDP 3.0 Mbytes	90
5.37	Single stream UDP 4.0 Mbytes	91
5.38	2 parallel stream UDP 4.0 Mbytes	92
5.39	3 parallel stream UDP 4.0 Mbytes	93

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	FIFO Queuing	6
1.2	FIFO Queuing with priority packet	7
1.3	Priority queuing discipline	7
1.4	Class based queuing	8
1.5	CBQ with lower priority getting a higher level of service	9
3.1	Initial current ADTEC BP network diagram	27
3.2	After deployment QoS	29
4.1	Current network design by applying QoS Traffic Policing	35
4.2	NAT applied on QoS_Router	36
4.3	OSPF setting on QoS_Router	37
4.4	DHCP configuration has been for made for client access	37
4.5	Telephony service configuration	38
4.6	E-phone setup on QoS_Router	38
4.7	VLAN implementation for testbed	39
4.8	Configuration for setting up single stream	40
4.9	Configuration for setting up 2 parallel streams	40
4.10	Configuration for setting up 3 parallel streams	40
4.11	Configuration for setting up 4 parallel streams	41

4.12	Classification on router QoS_Policy	42
4.13	Policy-map applied on router 2911	43
5.1	Throughput single stream with no classification and no policy applied	47
5.2	Bandwidth usage for transmission single stream	48
5.3	Throughput two stream with no classification and no policy applied	48
5.4	Bandwidth usage for transmission two stream	49
5.5	Throughput three stream with no classification and no policy applied	50
5.6	Bandwidth usage for transmission three stream	51
5.7	Throughput four stream with no classification and no policy applied	51
5.8	Bandwidth usage for transmission four stream	52
5.9	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for single stream	54
5.10	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for two parallel stream	55
5.11	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for three parallel stream	56
5.12	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for four parallel stream	57
5.13	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for single stream	58
5.14	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for two parallel stream	59
5.15	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for three parallel stream	60
5.16	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for four parallel stream	61
5.17	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for single stream	62
5.18	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for two parallel stream	63
5.19	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for three parallel stream	64
5.20	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for four parallel stream	65
5.21	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for single stream	66
5.22	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for two parallel stream	67

5.23	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for three parallel stream	68
5.24	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for four parallel stream	69
5.25	Single stream classification	69
5.26	Two parallel stream classification	70
5.27	Three parallel stream classification	70
5.28	Four parallel stream classification	71
5.29	Throughput with classification, policy applied single stream	71
5.30	Bandwidth usage for transmission single streams	72
5.31	Packet drop statistic on single stream	72
5.32	Throughput with classification, policy applied two parallel stream	73
5.33	Bandwidth usage for transmission two parallel streams	74
5.34	Packet drop statistic on two parallel stream	74
5.35	Throughput with classification, policy applied three parallel stream	75
5.36	Bandwidth usage for transmission three parallel streams	76
5.37	Packet drop statistic on three parallel stream	76
5.38	Throughput with classification, policy applied four parallel stream	77
5.39	Bandwidth usage for transmission four parallel streams	78
5.40	Packet drop statistic on four parallel stream	78
5.41	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for single stream	79
5.42	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for two parallel stream	80
5.43	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for three parallel stream	81
5.44	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for four parallel stream	82
5.45	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for single stream	83
5.46	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for two parallel stream	84

5.47	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for three parallel stream	85
5.48	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for four parallel stream	86
5.49	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for single stream	87
5.50	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for two parallel stream	88
5.51	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for three parallel stream	89
5.52	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for four parallel stream	90
5.53	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for single stream	91
5.54	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for two parallel stream	92
5.55	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for three parallel stream	93
5.56	Bandwidth and jitter measurement for four parallel stream	94

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

ACID	Atomic, consistent, isolated, and durable
ADM	Architecture Development Method
ADSL	Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line
API	Application Programming Interface
ASP	Active Server Page
B2B	Business-to-business
BASE	Basic availability, soft state, and eventual consistency
BPEL	Business Process Execution Language
BPM	Business Process Management
BPMN	Business Process Modelling and Notation
BPR	Business Process Reengineering
BYOD	Bring your own device
CAP	Consistency, Availability, Partition Tolerance
CD	Compact disc
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CFO	Chief Financial Officer
CGO	Chief Governance Officer
CIO	Chief Information Officer
CMO	Chief Marketing Officer
COA	Cloud-Oriented Architecture
CORBA	Common Object Request Broker Architecture
COTS	Commercial off-the-shelf
CRM	Customer Relationship Management
CSE	Complex Systems Engineering
CSV	Comma-separated value
DCOM	Distributed Component Object Model
DDoS	Distributed denial of service
DMZ	Demilitarized Zone
DNS	Domain Name Service
DoD	Department of Defense
DoDAF	Department of Defense Architecture Framework
EA	Enterprise Architecture or Enterprise Architect
EACOE	Enterprise Architecture Center of Excellence
EAI	Enterprise Application Integration
EII	Enterprise Information Integration
ERP	Enterprise Resource Planning
ESB	Enterprise Service Bus
ETL	Extract, transform, and load

EULA	End-user license agreement
FTP	File Transfer Protocol
FUD	Fear, uncertainty, and doubt
GIGO	Garbage in, garbage out
GPS	Global Positioning System
GRC	Governance, risk, and compliance
H4x0r	Hacker
HATEOAS	Hypermedia as the engine of application state
HOA	Hypermedia-Oriented Architecture
HQ	Headquarters
HR	Human resources
HTML	Hypertext Markup Language
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
IA	Information Assurance
IaaS	Infrastructure-as-a-Service
IANA	Internet Assigned Numbers Authority
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IMO	International Micro OraTib
IP	Internet Protocol
IPv4	Internet Protocol version 4
IPv6	Internet Protocol version 6
ISBN	International Standard Book Number
IT	Information Technology
JMS	Java Message Service
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation
JSP	Java Server Page
LOB	Line of business
LOIC	Low Orbit Ion Cannon
MDA	Model-Driven Architecture
MIME	Multipurpose Internet Mail Extension
MTV	Music Television
NIST	National Institute for Standards and Technology
OMG	Object Management Group
OOAD	Object-Oriented Analysis and Design
OS	Operating system
PaaS	Platform-as-a-Service
PO	Performance objective
POTS	Plain old telephone service
POX	Plain old XML
QA	Quality Assurance
QoS	Quality of Service
RAM	Random-access memory
REST	Representational State Transfer
RFP	Request for proposal
RMI	Remote Method Invocation
RMM	Richardson Maturity Model
ROA	Resource-Oriented Architecture
RPC	Remote Procedure Call

SaaS	Software-as-a-Service
SCM	Supply Chain Management
SD	Software development
SI	System Integrator
SIP	Session Initiation Protocol
SLA	Service-Level Agreement
SO	Service Orientation
SOA	Service-Oriented Architecture
SOAP	(Formerly) Simple Object Access Protocol
SPEAR	Semper Paratus: Enterprise Architecture Realization
SQL	Structured Query Language
SSA	Software Security Assurance
TCP/IP	Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol
TOGAF	The Open Group Architecture Framework
TSE	Traditional Systems Engineering
UDDI	Universal Description, Discovery, and Integration
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
URN	Uniform Resource Name
USCG	United States Coast Guard
VM	Virtual Machine
VPC	Virtual Private Cloud
VPN	Virtual Private Network
W3C	World Wide Web Consortium
WADL	Web Application Description Language
WOA	Web-Oriented Architecture
WSDL	Web Services Description Language
XML	eXtensible Markup Language
XSD	XML Schema Definition
Xtr3m H4x0r	Extreme hacker

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDICES	TITLE	PAGE
A	QoS Router Configuration	105
B	Traffic Policy Configuration	107
C	Switch Configuration	112
D	Screenshot testing TCP using IPERF	115
E	Screenshot testing UDP using IPERF	116



Faculty of Information and Communication Technology

**ENHANCEMENT QUALITY OF SERVICE AT ADTECBP LAN
USING TRAFFIC POLICING**

Wan Muhammad Hazwan bin Ahmad Azamud-din

Master of Computer Science in Internetworking Technology

2016