UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

EFFECT OF FUNCTIONAL ENDOSCOPIC SINUS SURGERY TO THE FLOW BEHAVIOR IN NASAL DURING RESTING BREATHING CONDITION

NUR HAZWANI BINTI MOKHTAR

AL-MUTTAQIN MD JAHAN

KAHAR OSMAN

MOHAMMED RAFIQ ABDUL KADIR

WAN AHMAD KAMIL WAN ABDULLAH

JUHARA HARON

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

EFFECT OF FUNCTIONAL ENDOSCOPIC SINUS SURGERY TO THE FLOW BEHAVIOR IN NASAL DURING RESTING BREATHING CONDITION

NUR HAZWANI BINTI MOKHTAR
AL-MUTTAQIN MD JAHAN
KAHAR OSMAN
MOHAMMED RAFIQ ABDUL KADIR
WAN AHMAD KAMIL WAN ABDULLAH
JUHARA HARON

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

EFFECT OF FUNCTIONAL ENDOSCOPIC SINUS SURGERY TO THE FLOW BEHAVIOR IN NASAL DURING RESTING BREATHING CONDITION

Nur Hazwani Mokhtar[1,a*], Al-Muttaqin Md Jahan[1,a*], Kahar Osman[2,b *], Mohammed Rafiq Abdul Kadir[3,c] , Wan Ahmad Kamil Wan Abdullah[4,d] and Juhara Haron[5,e]

[1,] Department of Thermal-fluid, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University Technical Melaka, Malaysia
[a]Email: nurhazwani@utem.edu.
[2,] Department of Thermal-fluid, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University Technology Malaysia, Johor Malaysia.
[b]Email: *kahar@fkm.utm.my*
[3,] Department of Biomechanics and Biomedical Material,Faculty of Biomedical and Health Science Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai Johor, Malaysia
. [c]Email: *rafiq@biomedical.utm.my*
[4,5] Department of Radiology , Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia.
[d]Email: *wkamil@kb.usm.my*
[e]Email: *drjuhara@kb.usm.my*

Abstract— Functional endoscopic sinus surgery is a surgery to remove uncinate process in order to restore sufficient sinus ventilation and drainage in nasal. However, there were a few cases with side effects such as facial pain, reduction in sense of smell and sinusitis reoccurrence of infection. In this study, the effect of uncinate process removal is investigated. Images of the model were done through computational technique and then the flow was simulated to predict the effect of the removal. Inhalation processes with resting breathing condition were modeled. The results show that smooth flow was observed at nasal area which indicates successful surgical process. However for post FESS model the result shows that the possibilities of sinusitis reoccurrence of infection were high. Finally, velocity profile in the olfactory area show non-favorable flow condition for effective smell senses.

Keywords— Nasal; Paranasal Sinuses; FESS; Flow behavior; 3D actual model.

I. INTRODUCTION

Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (FESS) is a surgical treatment for patients suffering from chronic sinusitis infection who have not improved with medical treatment. Basically, FESS is a minimally invasive technique to remove the uncinate process as shown in Figure 1, with the intention to restore sufficient sinus ventilation. Robert reported that most of the study shows 80% to 90% rates of post surgery success, however for patients with chronic allergic sinusitis the result shows lower percentage. However, there were a few cases with side effects such as facial pain, reduction in sense of smell and sinusitis reoccurrence of infection .The removal of uncinate process exposes operated paranasal cavity to contaminated inhaled airflow that leads to allergen exposure to a larger surface area and causes increased mucosal disease [1].

Nowadays, Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) methods are extensively used in science and industry with the intention to design, optimize products and to simulate natural processes, including numerous biomedical applications. CFD methods were applied to simulate nasal airflow to increase the understanding of the detailed flow characteristic inside the human nasal cavity without any intervention and clinical risk for the patient.

The airflow field has been studied with both experimental models and numerical models over the years and are imaged with either computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Even though anatomically precise nasal models can be obtained, it is extremely difficult to obtain measurements from the small and complicated nasal models. In order to overcome these problems, some researchers, constructed


Figure1. Nasal anatomy for pre and post FESS

scaled-up physical models, *Schreck et al.* studied airflow with a three times enlarged plastic model of a half-nasal cavity based on MRI data while *Hahn et al.* used a 20 times enlarged model of a healthy right human adult nasal cavity constructed from CT scans to study airflow patterns.

Air flow velocity near the wall and wall shear stress are important quantities in relation to many physiological processes, such as pressure drop through the nose, particle deposition and exchange processes at the wall [2]. *Hahn et al* found that increase in nasal flow velocity at a constant inlet concentration resulted in an increase in total olfactory uptake for all odorants. The convective diffusion transport of odorants from ambient air to the mucus lining of the nasal cavity depends on several variables such as anatomy of the nose, velocity field in the airways, diffusivity of odorants in air and mucus, solubility of odorants in mucus and the thickness of the mucus layer [3]. *J.wen* found that air flow patterns are sensitive to the geometric construction within the human nasal cavity.

In this paper, we present a highly automated technique for constructing numerical models of a human nasal including the sinuses from CT scan data. Three numerical models of human nasal cavities and sinuses are developed with this automatic technique, and airflow was simulated with a commercially-available CFD Lab software package.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Nasal Anatomy

Nasal cavity is the area between nose tip and throat as shown in Fig.2, through which air flows during respiration. The variable surfaces in nasal cavity composed of tissue, bone and hair. Swirling and turbulence, which affects the airflow pattern, occur due to the rough surfaces in nasal cavity. The cross-sectional area of the nasal cavity gradually decreases throughout the nasal valves, up to the internal valve, where the cross section is unlike the rest of the nasal cavity that referred to as turbinate. After the turbinate, the separate passages of airflow rejoin and enter the nasopharynx, the final region of the nasal cavity. The cross-sectional area of the nasal cavity in the nasopharynx is greater than in the turbinate and so it is not considered the cause of airflow restrictions. The turbinate redirect air into certain nasal passages depending on its function [1].

The nasal cavity contains the smell organs as well as providing passageway for air during respiration.From fluid mechanics point of view, the airway nasal is designed to reduce airflow resistance in nasal passage to allow easy breathing, the extension of the inferior and middle turbinate with the septum create a large surface area and its curly structure cause swirling and turbulence during breathing, which affects airflow [2].


Figure.2 Nasal cavity

Paranasal sinuses are air-filled spaces, communicating with the nasal cavity, within the bones of the skull and face as shown in Fig.3 . There are four pairs of sinuses. The sinuses are located on either side of the nose in your cheeks, behind and between the eyes, in the forehead, and at the back of the nasal cavity. Paranasal sinuses are divided into subgroups that are named according to the bones within which the sinuses lie. The maxillary sinuses are the largest of the paranasal sinuses and are located under the eyes, in the maxillary bones. The frontal sinuses which is forms the hard part of the forehead while the ethmoid sinuses, which are formed from several discrete air cells within the ethmoid bone between the nose and the eyes.


Figure.3 Paranasal Sinuses

B. Three Dimensional Actual Model Reconstruction

The nasal cavity geometry was obtained through a CT scan of the nose of a healthy 34-year old, Asian male as shown in Fig.2. The CT scan was performed using a SIEMEN Body Scanner .The recorded pixel size is 0.6328 while the resolution of the CT scan is 512. The scans

captured outlined slices in the *X–Y* plane at different positions along the *Z*-axis from the entrance of the nasal cavity to just anterior of the larynx at intervals of 1–5mm depending on the complexity of the anatomy. The focus area of this study was as in red box in Fig.4 as shown below.

The successful scanned images were transferred electronically to CT scan conversion software called AMIRA 5.2.2. The next step was threshold to capture various individual regions in CT scan slice. Then the slices images were undergoing '*calculate in 3D*' operation and will be edited under 'edit mask in 3d' operation.


Figure4. Nasal cavity and paranasal anatomy

Under Morphology operations the model was dilated .The anatomical wall was successfully fabricated around the 3D model by using boorlean operations. The generated model was then transferred to another software package called MAGICS 13 to make major modification such as holes patching, geometry correction and volume reconstruction. In order to reduce number of faces, the model then transferred back to AMIRA 5.2.2 to fit in the Solid Work 2008. Finally the three-dimensional computer model was completed and it saves as STL format for flow analysis purpose. The summary of this process was as shown in Fig.5 .

There are three models generated in this study which are healthy, post FESS minor and major as shown in Fig. 6. Post FESS minor model is a model based on FESS surgery with uncinate process removal only, while in post FESS major model the removal process include some part of the paranasal sinuses and uncinate process. Table 1 below shows the removal part and percentage of airway increment in each model.

Table1. Model removal part

| Model	Removal part	Airway increment
Healthy model	none	.
Post FESS minor	uncinate process	0.19%
Post FESS major	uncinate process and sinuses	0.44%

Figure5. Model reconstruction process flowchart

Figure.6 Healthy, post FESS minor and post FESS major actual 3D model.

C. Boundary Condition

The flow in the nasal cavity and sinuses were assumed in incompressible flow condition as the velocities were less than the speed of sound [4]. In this study, the flow was also considered laminar and turbulent. As for the model exterior wall boundary conditions were assumed to be rigid. While at the interface between air and the surface of the nasal cavity and sinuses, the no-slip boundary condition was defined. The simulation was performed only for inspiration phase, as the structure of the nasal cavity and sinuses are not constant during both inspiration and expiration. The flow rate was set according to adult breathing condition for exercise breathing condition 30L/min. The boundary condition at the inlet was set as ambient pressure (1atm). The ambient pressure is assumed to be atmospheric, and for air at 310K. The temperature of the rigid wall of nasal cavity was assumed to be 305K, average of the temperature along the structure of the nasal cavity [5].

D. Numerical Method

All graphical manipulation was done using Solid Works 2008 software and EFD Lab. The processed geometry or 3D model was saved as a Stereo lithography file (.stl) and then was exported to the CFD package EFD, which allows the STL file to be converted from a wall fabricated hollow model into a volume filled with tetrahedral cells, of 0.1mm edge length. The volume mesh is comparable to the numerical solution of the fluid flow. Using EFD, the boundary conditions were applied to both inlet and outlet of the 3D model. By using the same software, flow velocity in the nasal 3D model was calculated by solving the Navies-Strokes equations that govern 3D flow in incompressible fluids assumptions. The model was set up as a rigid, non slip with zero velocity walled, steady state model as stated in the boundary condition section.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

All models then were simulated. The results shown in this study were based on the CT scan, the purpose of this study is to analyze the effect of FESS surgery by using different model which is healthy model, post FESS minor and post FESS major in term of flow characteristic and air flow velocity in human nasal airways. The simulation results were done during resting breathing condition which is 5 L/min.

The 3D nasal model in Fig.7 indicates the inlet and outlet boundary during inhalation of the nasal airway. The range for particle fluid trajectory for velocity during flow rate 5 L/min was shown in Fig.7. The range in Fig. 7 shows that the lowest velocity at all flow rates was 0 m/s and the highest velocity range at all flow rate was 4.3127 m/s.

Fluid particle trajectory for velocity during inhalation in healthy, post minor FESS and post major FESS model during flow rate 5 L/min, were shown in Fig. 8, Fig. 9

and Fig. 10. Generally we can see that air flow velocity during inhale decrease as the distance from nostril increase, due to the increment in flow resistance cause by the changes in nasal cavity structure and gradual increment in nasal cavity cross-sectional area throughout the nasal.

If we compare both of post FESS to healthy model, the velocity in nasal valve at all model were quite similar to each other. On the other hand, when the flow reach uncinate process velocity in post major FESS is the highest and this increment in velocity cause by the uncinate process removal at nasal cavity in post FESS major that increase the cross section area. Finally as the flow enters the choanae parts, the velocity in each model is quite similar to each other. From the result, if we compare the healthy, post minor and post major FESS we can see that the velocity at olfactory region were highest in healthy model meanwhile the lowest velocity were in post FESS major. This shows that the sense of smell will reduce as the odorants uptake in olfactory region reduce due to the decrement in velocity.

Healthy model in Fig. 8 shows that inhaled air do not enter the paranasal sinuses which is similar to clinical study done by Nayak [6]. However result in post FESS minor shows that inhaled air enter only maxillary sinus, while in post FESS major the inhaled air enter both maxillary sinus and frontal sinus.

Airflow velocities that go through maxillary sinuses were higher in post FESS major compared to the post FESS minor model. The air flow through frontal sinuses percentage is too small compared to flow percentage that enter maxillary sinuses.

In healthy nasal, the air flow through paranasal sinuses only during exhalation due to the changes of uncinate process position within inhalation and exhalation. Uncinate process act as border that protect the paranasal sinuses from contaminated inhale air during respiration and allows sterilize exhaled air into the paranasal sinuses during exhaled. The uncinate process removal in both post FESS will exposed the paranasal sinuses to contaminated inhaled air, then the paranasal sinuses will get infected easily.


Figure.9 Nasal 3D model and velocity range.

Figure.8 Fluid particle trajectories for healthy model.

Figure.9 Fluid particle trajectories for post FESS minor.

Figure.10 Fluid particle trajectories for post FESS major.

IV. CONCLUSION

The airflow characteristic within patient specific geometry of human nasal airway from CT scan image was numerically studied by using the EFD software. The flow characteristic and velocity through the nostril to choanae were examine were specifically study by using three different models that represent healthy and post FESS models with different percentage of removal parts.

Generally the results obtain in fluid particle trajectory in all models show that the air flow velocity during inhale for all flow rate were decrease as the distance from nostril increase, due to the increment in flow resistance cause by the changes in nasal cavity structure and the gradual increment in nasal cavity cross-sectional area throughout the nasal valves, turbinate and choanae. In other words we can conclude that small changes in nasal structure or cross section area will also change the flow pattern and characteristic.

In healthy nasal, the air flow through paranasal sinuses only during exhalation due to the changes of uncinate process position within respiration process. The uncinate process removal in both post FESS will exposed the paranasal sinuses to contaminated inhaled air, then the paranasal sinuses will get infected easily.

From the result, if we compare the healthy, post minor and post major FESS we can see that the velocity at olfactory region were highest in healthy model meanwhile the lowest velocity were in post major FESS. This shows that the sense of smell will reduce as the odorants uptake in olfactory region reduce due to the decrement in velocity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

[1]   Jian Wen, Kiao Inthavong, Jiyuan Tu, Simin Wang. *Numerical simulations for detailed airflow dynamics in a human nasal cavity. Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology* 161 (2008) 125–135

[2]   Doorly D.J, Taylor D.J., Schroter R.C., *Mechanics of airflow in the human nasal airways, Respiriratory Physiology & Neurobiology* 163 (2008) 100-110

[3]   K. Keyhani, P.W. Scherer and M.M. Mozell. *A Numerical Model of Nasal Odorant Transport for the Analysis of Human Olfaction. J. Theor. Biol* 186, (Year) 279-301

[4]   J. Wen, K. Inthavong, Z.F.Tian, J.Y. Tu, C.L. Xue and C.G. Li, *Airflow Patterns in Both Sides of a Realistic Human Nasal Cavity for Laminar and Turbulent Conditions. 16th Australasian Fluid Mechanics Conference Crown Plaza, Gold Coast, Australia* 2-7 December 2007

[5]   Authors. Pathological Observations, *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* 8 (2010) 1216-1224.

[6]   Dipak Ranjan Nayak, Ramaswamy Balakrishnan, Kanapaka Deepak Murty, *Endoscopic physiologic approach to allergy-associated chronic rhinosinusitis: A preliminary study, Ear, Nose & Throat Journal* 37 (2001) 26-30.