



Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

**EFFECT OF STIFFNESS NONLINEARITY ON THE
TRANSDUCTION COEFFICIENT OF A VIBRATION BASED
ELECTROMAGNETIC ENERGY HARVESTING DEVICE**

Low Pei Sing

Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

2017

**EFFECT OF STIFFNESS NONLINEARITY ON THE TRANSDUCTION
COEFFICIENT OF A VIBRATION BASED ELECTROMAGNETIC ENERGY
HARVESTING DEVICE**

LOW PEI SING

**A thesis submitted
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Mechanical
Engineering**

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2017

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitle "EFFECT OF STIFFNESS NONLINEARITY ON THE TRANSDUCTION COEFFICIENT OF A VIBRATION BASED ELECTROMAGNETIC ENERGY HARVESTING DEVICE" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature :

Name :

Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science.

Signature :

Supervisor Name :

Date :

DEDICATION

To my beloved
family

ABSTRACT

The concept of harvesting energy from ambient to power applications has been gaining attention from researchers in recent times due to its self-sustaining power source solution. Traditionally, the linear system has been used to harvest the energy. However, the limitation due to the difficulty in matching between the natural frequency of the device and the ambient frequency makes it less favourable. This is even worst when the ambient frequency varies with time, which leads to the narrow bandwidth for the device to perform. Presently, the nonlinear system has been introduced to overcome the limitation of the linear system. This thesis analyses the improvement of bandwidth performance at the maximum response in the nonlinear system. Despite of the bandwidth performance, the closed form performance characteristic for the nonlinear system in terms of transduction coefficient and optimum power has yet to be established. A theoretical study is conducted using the harmonic balance method to analyse the dynamic characteristics of the system. The harmonic balance method is further employed to determine the jump frequencies and to deduce the new optimum power expression for the nonlinear system. Apart from that, the initial conditions selection that creates the limitation to the nonlinear system is investigated using the basin of attraction. In this thesis, a proof of concept device with adjustable magnet gap is fabricated. The nonlinearity of the device is varied by adjusting the magnet gap. The dynamic characteristics of the proposed device are investigated experimentally. The quasi-static measurement is adapted to estimate the amount of nonlinearity using the force - deflection relationship. In the dynamic measurement, the dynamic characteristics are studied in terms of displacement and induced voltage. Further studies involve the effect of nonlinearity on the transduction coefficient and setting the limit of using the linear transduction coefficient on the nonlinear system. The limit is characterized from the analysis of the harmonic ratio. Ultimately, the optimum power at the maximum response of the device for the nonlinear system is measured to study the new optimum power expression deduced theoretically. From the theoretical results, it shows that the dynamic characteristics of the nonlinear system are affected by the nonlinearity and damping of the system. Meanwhile, the new optimum power expression is found to be proportional to the transduction coefficient and thus opens up question on the lower bound limit of the transduction coefficient. As for the limitation of the nonlinear system, the results show that a strong nonlinear system that may have bandwidth widen to a much frequency is restricted by the initial conditions selection especially near the maximum response region. In the experimental analysis, the quasi-static results reveal that the nonlinear system gradually converges to linear system as the magnet gap increases. The bandwidth region in the response curves obtained from the dynamic measurements also shows decrement as the magnet gap increases. In the study involving the transduction coefficient, the limit is characterized based on the harmonic ratio analysis. The results show that the linear transduction coefficient is applicable to the nonlinear system when the harmonic ratio is less than five percent at the multi-stable solutions region. For the highest power obtained experimentally, it is achieved when the transduction coefficient is the highest in the strongest nonlinear system with the transduction coefficient being considered at the maximum displacement.

ABSTRAK

Konsep penuaan tenaga dari persekitaran untuk tujuan penjanaan kuasa semakin menarik perhatian para penyelidik sejak kebelakangan ini atas kebolehannya untuk menjana kuasa sendiri. Secara tradisinya, sistem linear sering digunakan untuk menuai tenaga. Walau bagaimanapun, prestasinya yang dihadkan oleh kesukaran untuk memadankan antara frekuensi tabii peranti dan ambien menjadikannya kurang menggalakkan. Prestasi sistem linear menjadi lebih teruk apabila frekuensi ambien berubah dengan masa dan menyebabkan pengurangan julat frekuensi lebar jalur peranti tersebut. Pada masa ini, sistem tak linear telah diperkenalkan untuk mengatasi kekurangan sistem linear. Tesis ini menganalisis keupayaan sistem tak linear dalam meningkatkan julat lebar jalur. Selain prestasi lebar jalur, prestasi bagi sistem tak linear dari segi pekali transduksi dan kuasa optimum masih belum dibuktikan sepenuhnya. Satu kajian teori telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan kaedah penyeimbangan harmonik untuk menganalisis ciri-ciri dinamik sistem tersebut. Kaedah penyeimbangan harmonik kemudiannya digunakan untuk menentukan frekuensi lompatan dan untuk menerbitkan ungkapan kuasa optimum bagi sistem tak linear. Selain itu, pemilihan keadaan awal yang menyumbang kepada kekurangan prestasi sistem tak linear dikaji menggunakan lembangan tarikan. Dalam tesis ini, alat peranti yang merealisasikan konsep dengan sela magnet boleh laras telah dibangunkan. Ketaklelurusan peranti tersebut diubah dengan melaraskan sela magnet. Ciri-ciri dinamik peranti yang dibangunkan itu dikaji dengan kaedah ujikaji. Pengukuran kuasi-statik digunakan untuk menganggar tahap ketaklelurusan dengan menggunakan hubungan daya - pesongan. Dalam pengukuran dinamik, ciri-ciri dinamik peranti tersebut dikaji dari segi sesaran dan voltan teraruh. Kajian yang selanjutnya melibatkan kesan ketaklelurusan pada pekali transduksi dan penetapan had menggunakan pekali transduksi linear pada sistem tak linear. Had ini ditentukan melalui analisis nisbah harmonik. Akhirnya, kuasa optimum yang dijana oleh peranti untuk sistem tak linear diukur untuk mengkaji ungkapan kuasa optimum yang diterbitkan melalui kajian teori. Keputusan kajian teori menunjukkan bahawa ciri-ciri dinamik sistem tak linear dipengaruhi oleh ketaklelurusan dan redaman dalam sistem tersebut. Sementara itu, ungkapan kuasa optimum didapati berkadar dengan pekali transduksi dan ini menimbulkan persoalan kepada had batas bawah pekali transduksi itu. Bagi had sistem tak linear pula, keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa sistem tak linear dengan tahap ketaklelurusan yang tinggi berserta julat frekuensi yang besar pada lebar jalur dihadkan oleh pemilihan keadaan awal terutama berhampiran kawasan respon maksimum. Dalam analisis eksperimen, keputusan dari pengukuran kuasi-statik mendedahkan bahawa sistem tak linear secara beransur-ansur menumpu kepada sistem linear dengan peningkatan sela magnet. Kawasan lebar jalur dalam tindak balas lengkungan yang diperolehi daripada pengukuran dinamik juga menunjukkan penyusutan apabila sela magnet meningkat. Dalam kajian terhadap pekali transduksi, had ini dicirikan berdasarkan analisis nisbah harmonik. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa pekali transduksi linear boleh digunakan untuk sistem tak linear apabila nisbah harmonik kurang daripada lima peratus pada kawasan penyelesaian stabil berbilang. Untuk kuasa tertinggi yang dijana oleh peranti secara ujikaji, ia dicapai apabila pekali transduksi adalah yang tertinggi dalam sistem tak linear dengan ketaklelurusan paling kuat dan pekali transduksi diambil pada frekuensi dimana sesaran maksimum terhasil.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It undoubtedly takes a whole lot of a village people to have this research successfully carried out and bound into its final form. A thanks with deep gratification is addressed to Dr Roszaidi Ramlan for his esteemed guidance and supervision throughout the research period.

Appreciation is expressed to Mr Johardi and Mr Azhar, the technicians from Vibration and Acoustic lab for their constant technical support during the experimental stage, Ms Hilmiah on her advice in device design, lab equipments and some theoretical related questions and Mr Sidik on his introduction to the use of data acquisition instrument.

Special thanks to Dr Nor Salim and Dr Kok Swee Leong on their advice and support during the experimental investigation period. Thanks also go to the fabrication department of Favelle Favco Sdn Bhd for their collaboration in the fabrication of the prototype during the preliminary design stage.

Acknowledgements should also be made to the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme under grant number of FRGS/2/2013/TK01/FKM/02/2/F00172 and the Higher Education Ministry (MyMaster Scholarship) for their financial support during the entire research period.

Lastly, I would like to thank my fiance, family and friends for their wonderful blessing along this journey.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| Declaration | |
| Approval | |
| Dedication | |
| Abstract | i |
| Abstrak | ii |
| Acknowledgements | iii |
| Table of Contents | iv |
| List of Tables | ix |
| List of Figures | x |
| List of Abbreviations | xiv |
| List of Symbols | xv |
| List of Publications | xx |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | 5 |
| 1.3 Objectives | 7 |
| 1.4 Scopes | 7 |
| 1.5 Outline of the Thesis | 8 |
| 1.6 Contribution of the Thesis | 10 |
| CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 12 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 12 |
| 2.2 Kinetic Energy Harvesting | 12 |
| 2.2.1 Principles of Kinetic Energy Harvesting | 13 |
| 2.2.2 The Nonlinear Generator | 15 |
| 2.3 Transduction Mechanism for Kinetic Energy Harvesting | 17 |

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|-----------|
| 2.3.1 | Piezoelectric | 18 |
| 2.3.2 | Electrostatic | 19 |
| 2.3.3 | Electromagnetic | 20 |
| 2.4 | Transduction Coefficient and Optimum Power | 22 |
| 2.4.1 | Linear System | 23 |
| 2.4.2 | Nonlinear System | 28 |
| 2.5 | Analytical Techniques | 30 |
| 2.5.1 | The Perturbation Technique | 30 |
| 2.5.1.1 | The Straightforward Expansion | 31 |
| 2.5.1.2 | Lindstedt-Poincaré Method | 31 |
| 2.5.1.3 | The Method of Renormalization | 32 |
| 2.5.1.4 | Multiple Scales Method | 32 |
| 2.5.1.5 | Averaging Method | 33 |
| 2.5.2 | Harmonic Balance Method | 34 |
| 2.6 | Summary | 35 |
| CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY | | 37 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 37 |
| 3.2 | Theoretical Analysis | 38 |
| 3.2.1 | Mathematical Modelling | 39 |
| 3.2.2 | Harmonic Balance Method | 39 |
| 3.2.3 | Optimum Power Transfer | 40 |
| 3.2.4 | Basin of Attraction | 41 |
| 3.3 | Device Development | 42 |
| 3.3.1 | Device Specifications | 47 |
| 3.3.2 | Device Configurations | 47 |
| 3.4 | Experimental Analysis | 48 |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 3.4.1 | Quasi-Static Measurement | 48 |
| 3.4.1.1 | Determination of Stiffness Nonlinearity | 52 |
| 3.4.2 | Dynamic Measurement | 52 |
| 3.4.2.1 | Frequency Response Curves | 55 |
| 3.4.2.2 | Transduction Coefficient | 55 |
| 3.4.2.3 | Optimum Power Transfer | 55 |
| 3.4.3 | Free Vibration Measurement | 56 |
| 3.5 | Summary | 58 |
| CHAPTER 4 THEORETICAL ANALYSIS | | 59 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 59 |
| 4.2 | Modelling of the Electromagnetic Energy Harvesting Device | 59 |
| 4.2.1 | Linear System | 60 |
| 4.2.2 | Nonlinear System | 62 |
| 4.3 | Harmonic Balance Method | 63 |
| 4.4 | Frequency Response Curves | 65 |
| 4.4.1 | The Linear System | 65 |
| 4.4.2 | Nonlinear Softening System | 66 |
| 4.5 | The Jump Frequencies | 71 |
| 4.5.1 | Jump-Down Frequency | 72 |
| 4.5.2 | Jump-Up Frequency | 74 |
| 4.6 | Power Harvested | 76 |
| 4.6.1 | Potential Power Transfer | 76 |
| 4.6.2 | Optimum Power Transfer | 77 |
| 4.7 | Basin of Attraction of Nonlinear Softening System | 79 |
| 4.7.1 | Limitation of Initial Conditions Selection | 80 |
| 4.8 | Summary | 84 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| CHAPTER 5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 85 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 85 |
| 5.2 Stiffness Characteristic | 86 |
| 5.2.1 Gap of Magnet | 86 |
| 5.2.2 Length of Beam | 89 |
| 5.3 The Nonlinearity | 91 |
| 5.3.1 Gap of Magnet | 92 |
| 5.3.2 Input Displacement | 92 |
| 5.3.3 Length of Beam | 93 |
| 5.4 Frequency Response Curves | 94 |
| 5.4.1 The Linear System | 95 |
| 5.4.2 Nonlinear Softening System | 96 |
| 5.4.2.1 Gap of Magnet | 96 |
| 5.4.2.2 Input Displacement | 101 |
| 5.4.2.3 Length of Beam | 103 |
| 5.4.2.4 Load Resistance | 104 |
| 5.5 Voltage Generation for Nonlinear Softening System | 107 |
| 5.5.1 Gap of Magnet | 107 |
| 5.5.2 Input Displacement | 110 |
| 5.5.3 Number of Coil Turn | 111 |
| 5.5.4 Load Resistance | 112 |
| 5.6 The Transduction Coefficient | 114 |
| 5.6.1 The Linear System | 115 |
| 5.6.2 Nonlinear Softening System | 116 |
| 5.6.2.1 Gap of Magnet | 117 |
| 5.6.2.2 Input Displacement | 121 |

| | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------|
| 5.6.2.3 | Number of Coil Turn | 122 |
| 5.7 | The Harmonic Ratio | 123 |
| 5.7.1 | The Linear System | 124 |
| 5.7.2 | Nonlinear Softening System | 125 |
| 5.7.2.1 | Gap of Magnet | 126 |
| 5.7.2.2 | Input Displacement | 130 |
| 5.8 | Effect of Damping | 132 |
| 5.9 | Optimum Power | 136 |
| 5.9.1 | Gap of Magnet | 136 |
| 5.9.2 | Input Displacement | 138 |
| 5.10 | Summary | 139 |
| CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS | | 141 |
| 6.1 | Recommendations for Future Work | 144 |
| RERERENCES | | 146 |
| APPENDICES | | 152 |
| APPENDIX A DRAWINGS FOR PROPOSED DEVICE | | 153 |
| APPENDIX B EXPERIMENTAL TEST SETUP | | 157 |
| APPENDIX C HARMONIC RATIO FOR COIL TURN PARAMETER | | 159 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Transduction coefficient (Vs m^{-1}) for different air gap at resonance [Source: Cheng et al. (2010)]. | 24 |
| 3.1 | Specifications for the electromagnetic energy harvesting device. | 47 |
| 3.2 | Variable parameters for experimental analysis. | 48 |
| 5.1 | Linear stiffness, k_1 for linear configuration. | 88 |
| 5.2 | Linear stiffness, k_1 and nonlinear stiffness, k_3 for different magnet gaps. | 89 |
| 5.3 | Linear stiffness, k_1 and nonlinear stiffness, k_3 for different beam length. | 91 |
| 5.4 | The values of nonlinearity for different magnet gaps with fixed input displacement and beam length. | 92 |
| 5.5 | The values of nonlinearity for different input displacement with 2.0 mm magnet gap and 50 mm beam length. | 93 |
| 5.6 | The values of nonlinearity for different beam length with 2.0 mm magnet gap and 0.0005 m input displacement. | 93 |
| 5.7 | Characterization of nonlinearity based on harmonic ratio. | 130 |
| 5.8 | Damping ratio for coil turn parameter. | 136 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE | PAGE |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| 1.1 | Harvesting vibration energy from railway tunnels to power wireless sensor nodes [Source: Wischke et al. (2010)]. | 2 |
| 1.2 | Prototype of a pen harvester placed at the test subject's pocket that harvest vibration energy from human motion [Source: Vishwas et al. (2009)]. | 3 |
| 1.3 | Position of measurement points on test subject [Source: von Buren et al. (2003)]. | 3 |
| 1.4 | Electromagnetic energy harvester that was incorporated into a wearable vest by human test subject [Source: Berdy et al. (2015)]. | 4 |
| 1.5 | An example of a linear single-degree-of-freedom electromagnetic energy harvesting device and its equivalent electrical circuit representation. | 6 |
| 2.1 | Model of single-degree-of-freedom (SDOF) of a linear generator [Source: Williams and Yates (1996)]. | 14 |
| 2.2 | Power generated for linear generator. | 15 |
| 2.3 | Model of single-degree-of-freedom (SDOF) of a nonlinear generator. | 16 |
| 2.4 | Response for nonlinear generator. | 17 |
| 2.5 | Piezoelectric coupling mode [Source: Lu et al. (2003)]. | 19 |
| 2.6 | Piezoelectric laminated on cantilever beam [Source: Muralt et al. (2009)]. | 19 |
| 2.7 | Types of electrostatic configuration [Source: Roundy (2003)]. | 20 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 2.8 | Prototype of electromagnetic transduction mechanism with "magnet across coil" architecture [Source: Saha et al. (2006)]. | 21 |
| 2.9 | Prototype of electromagnetic transduction mechanism with "magnet in-line coil" architecture [Source: Challa et al. (2013)]. | 22 |
| 2.10 | Prototype of electromagnetic energy harvester [Source: Cheng et al. (2010)]. | 24 |
| 2.11 | Transduction coefficient (NA^{-1}) for different air gap [Source: Challa et al. (2013)]. | 25 |
| 2.12 | Power output for different air gap with respect to K found in Figure 2.11 [Source: Challa et al. (2013)]. | 26 |
| 2.13 | Transduction coefficient (Vs m^{-1}) for different load resistance [Source: Bouendeu et al. (2009)]. | 26 |
| 2.14 | Power output for different load resistance with respect to K found in Figure 2.13 [Source: Bouendeu et al. (2009)]. | 27 |
| 3.1 | The methodology flowchart. | 38 |
| 3.2 | Modelling of a typical base excited electromagnetic energy harvesting device. | 39 |
| 3.3 | Schematic diagram of proposed device. | 43 |
| 3.4 | Actual device for linear configuration. | 44 |
| 3.5 | Actual device for nonlinear configuration. | 45 |
| 3.6 | Schematic diagram of quasi-static measurement arrangement. | 50 |
| 3.7 | Experimental setup of quasi-static measurement. | 51 |
| 3.8 | Schematic diagram of dynamic measurement arrangement. | 53 |
| 3.9 | Experimental setup of dynamic measurement. | 54 |
| 3.10 | Schematic diagram of free vibration measurement arrangement. | 56 |
| 3.11 | Experimental setup of free vibration measurement. | 57 |
| 4.1 | Modelling of the linear base excited electromagnetic energy harvesting device. | 60 |
| 4.2 | Modelling of the nonlinear base excited electromagnetic energy harvesting device. | 62 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.3 | Frequency response curve of a linear system. | 66 |
| 4.4 | A typical frequency response curve for nonlinear softening system. | 67 |
| 4.5 | Frequency response curves for softening system with $\zeta = 0.03$ plotted for different values of nonlinearity, α . | 70 |
| 4.6 | Frequency response curves for softening system with $\alpha = 0.003$ plotted for different values of ζ . | 71 |
| 4.7 | Basin of attraction for $\zeta = 0.03$ and $\alpha = 0.001$ with † sign indicating the position of the attractor; blue colour represents resonant branch and the green colour represents non-resonant branch with Ω_{max} appeared at 0.9118. | 82 |
| 4.8 | Basin of attraction for $\zeta = 0.03$ and $\alpha = 0.005$ with † indicating the position of the attractor; blue colour represents resonant branch and the green colour represents non-resonant branchwith Ω_{max} appeared at 0.7025. | 83 |
| 5.1 | Force - displacement and stiffness - displacement plot for different magnet gaps with 50 mm beam length. | 87 |
| 5.2 | Force - displacement and stiffness - displacement plot for different beam length with 2.0 mm magnet gap. | 90 |
| 5.3 | Displacement-frequency plot for linear system with 50 mm beam length. | 95 |
| 5.4 | Displacement-frequency plot for magnet gaps parameter. | 98 |
| 5.5 | Displacement - frequency plot for different input displacement parameter. | 102 |
| 5.6 | Displacement - frequency plot for different length of beam parameter. | 104 |
| 5.7 | Displacement - frequency plot for different load resistance parameter. | 105 |
| 5.8 | Voltage-frequency plot for magnet gaps parameter. | 109 |
| 5.9 | Voltage - frequency plot for input displacement parameter. | 111 |
| 5.10 | Voltage - frequency plot for coil turn parameter. | 112 |
| 5.11 | Voltage - frequency plot for load resistance parameter. | 113 |
| 5.12 | Transduction coefficient - frequency plot for linear system with 50 mm beam length. | 115 |
| 5.13 | Transduction coefficient - frequency plot for magnet gaps parameter. | 119 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.14 | Summary of transduction coefficient for gap of magnet parameter. | 120 |
| 5.15 | Transduction coefficient - frequency plot for input displacement parameter. | 121 |
| 5.16 | Transduction coefficient - frequency plot for coil turn parameter. | 122 |
| 5.17 | The harmonic ratio for linear system with 50 mm beam length. | 125 |
| 5.18 | The harmonic ratio for different magnet gaps. | 128 |
| 5.19 | The harmonic ratio for input displacement parameter. | 131 |
| 5.20 | Damping ratio for 3500 coil turns with magnet gaps parameter. | 132 |
| 5.21 | Schematic diagram of variable gap, d and fixed gap of the electromagnetic energy harvesting device. | 134 |
| 5.22 | Combined and independent mechanisms. | 135 |
| 5.23 | Power - resistance plot for magnet gap parameter. | 137 |
| 5.24 | Power - resistance plot for different input displacement parameter with jump-down frequency of 20 Hz (3A) and 18 Hz (3C). | 138 |
| A.1 | Drawing for base of the device. | 153 |
| A.2 | Drawing for adjustable slider of the device. | 154 |
| A.3 | Drawing for fixed clamp of the device. | 154 |
| A.4 | Drawing for beam clamp of the device. | 155 |
| A.5 | Drawing for beam of the device. | 155 |
| A.6 | Drawing for mass of the device. | 156 |
| B.1 | Screenshot of SignalCalc 240 Dynamic Signal Analyzer software for quasi static test. | 157 |
| B.2 | Screenshot of SignalCalc 240 Dynamic Signal Analyzer software for dynamic test. | 158 |
| B.3 | Screenshot of SignalCalc 240 Dynamic Signal Analyzer software for free vibration test. | 158 |
| C.1 | The harmonic ratio for coil turn parameter. | 159 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DC Direct Current

FFT Fast Fourier Transform

FKM Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal

HBM Harmonic Balance Method

IoT Internet-of-things

LVDT Linear Variable Differential Transformer

MEMS Micro-electromechanical system

MMS Multiple Scales Method

N/A Not Applicable

SDOF Single-Degree-of-Freedom

UTeM Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a Acceleration

A Cross sectional area

A_b Amplitude

b Harmonic term

B Flux density through the coil

c Damping, Damping coefficient $c_m + c_e$

c_e Electrical damping coefficient

c_m Mechanical damping coefficient

C_1 Fundamental harmonic

C_3 Second harmonic

d Gap between magnets

d_p Piezoelectric strain coefficient

D Electrical displacement

E Young's Modulus

E_e Electric field

f Frequency

$f(x)$ Nonlinear spring function

F Mechanical force

F_e Excitation force per unit mass

F_m Electromotive force

F_0 Gravitational constant

F_1 Function of the excitation frequency

h Height

i Electric current

I Moment of inertia

k Spring stiffness

K Transduction coefficient, Electromechanical coupling coefficient, Electromagnetic coupling coefficient

k_1 Linear spring stiffness

k_3 Nonlinear spring stiffness

l Length

L Coil inductance

m Seismic mass, Effective mass, Proof mass

M Number of harmonics

N Number of coil turns

p Slow varying function of time

$P_{avg\ max}$ Average maximum power

P_{inst} Instantaneous power

P_{opt} Optimum power

P Power output

q Slow varying function of time

R Resistance

R_{int} Internal resistance of the coil

R_{load} Load resistance

t Thickness, Time

T_n Different time scales

u, \dot{u}, \ddot{u} Non-dimensional displacement, velocity, acceleration

U_1 Half-power point response

U_m Maximum response

U_{max} Maximum response

V Voltage

w Width

x Seismic mass deflection/motion

\dot{x} Velocity of the seismic mass

y Harmonic base excitation $Y \cos(\omega t)$

\dot{y} Velocity of the housing

Y Amplitude of the input displacement

$y(t)$ Sinusoidal excitation

$\ddot{y}(t)$ Input acceleration, $\omega^2 Y \cos(\omega t)$

z, \dot{z}, \ddot{z} Relative displacement, velocity, acceleration

α Nonlinearity of the system

ζ Damping factor, Damping ratio

ζ_e Electrical damping factor

ζ_m Mechanical damping factor

δ Mechanical strain

σ Mechanical stress

ω Angular frequency, Angular speed $2\pi f$

ω_e Excitation frequency

ω_n Undamped natural frequency $\sqrt{k_1/m}$

Ω Non-dimensional frequency

Ω_1 Half-power point frequency

Ω_m Maximum response frequency

Ω_{nr} Steady state response for non-resonant branch

Ω_r Steady state response for resonant branch

Ω_{max} Non-dimensional jump-down frequency

Ω_{up} Non-dimensional jump-up frequency

$\Delta\Omega_l$ Bandwidth region for linear system

$\Delta\Omega_s$ Bandwidth region for nonlinear softening system

ε Bookkeeping parameter

ε_p Dielectric constant for piezoelectric material

β Phase angle

τ_i Independent variable ωt

τ Non-dimensional time $\omega_n t$

ϕ Coil diameter

ρ Resistivity of the material

∞ Infinity

$$\varepsilon = \frac{3\alpha}{32\zeta^2}$$

• $d/d\tau$

• $d^2/d\tau^2$