



Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

HYBRID POLCA IN A JOB SHOP ENVIRONMENT

How Whee Ching

Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering

2017

HYBRID POLCA IN A JOB SHOP ENVIRONMENT

HOW WHEE CHING

**A thesis submitted
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in
Manufacturing Engineering**

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2016

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Hybrid POLCA in a Job Shop Environment.” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any master or degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other master or degree.

Signature :

Name :

Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Signature :

Supervisor Name :

Date :

DEDICATION

To my beloved parents, friends and supervisor for always supporting me along the way
to accomplish my master thesis.

ABSTRACT

In Malaysia, Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) are important engines of economic growth and development of the country. By 2020, Malaysian SMEs aim to contribute 42% to the country's gross domestic product (GDP). However, in the present scenario, most SMEs which supply low-volume products and custom-engineered manufacturers are confronted with various challenges in retaining their competitive advantages in the global market. This study was conducted in a precision component manufacturing company that experiences low on-time delivery (OTD) of about 70%. The aim of the study was to improve the manufacturing critical-path time (MCT) and hence ensure on-time delivery to customers by adopting Quick Response Manufacturing practices and tools in the shop floor of the company. The Paired-cell Overlapping Loops of Cards with Authorization (POLCA) mechanism was employed to control material flow between workstations. Two modules were first built to automate the mapping of MCT for all products and to calculate the required number of POLCA cards. These information were then fed into a simulation model of the production floor from the company. A simulation base model was built and validated followed by experimentations of the original POLCA and 2 hybrid POLCA variants i.e. short processing time (SPT- POLCA) and Due Date (DD-POLCA) variants. The performance measures of these models: throughput (TP), work-in-process (WIP), average MCT per job and On-time delivery (OTD) were analysed and compared. Results showed that SPT-POLCA performed best in comparison to the other control systems. SPT-POLCA recorded the highest throughput and OTD, lowest WIP and average MCT per job. Finally, a POLCA game was developed as a teaching and training tool for engineering undergraduates and industry personnel respectively. The game provided the simplicity and hands-on experience for the players to understand the POLCA mechanism. The game was also flexible in allowing experimentations with the different POLCA variants and other manufacturing techniques.

ABSTRAK

Di Malaysia, Perbadanan Perusahaan Kecil dan Sederhana (PKS) adalah penggerak utama pertumbuhan ekonomi dan pembangunan negara. Menjelang tahun 2020, PKS Malaysia berhasrat untuk menyumbang 42% kepada keluaran dalam negara kasar (KDNK). Walau bagaimanapun, kebelakangan ini kebanyakan PKS, yang menghasilkan produk yang unik dengan jumlah yang rendah sedang menghadapi pelbagai cabaran untuk mengekalkan daya saing di pasaran global. Kajian ini dijalankan di sebuah syarikat pembuatan komponen yang mengalami masalah penghantaran produk dalam masa yang ditetapkan. Syarikat ini hanya mencapai purata 70% dalam kategori ini. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk meningkatkan masa pembuatan produk. Dengan itu, syarikat ini cuba menggunakan teori dan teknik *Quick Response Manufacturing*. Teori ini mengamalkan satu teknik yang bernama *Paired-cell Overlapping Loops of Cards with Authorization (POLCA)* mekanisma. Ia digunakan untuk mengawal aliran barang antara stesen kerja. Pertama, dua modul telah dibina untuk mengautomasikan plot masa pembuatan produk untuk semua produk dan juga mengira bilangan kad POLCA yang diperlukan. Seterusnya, informasi ini akan dimasukkan ke dalam model simulasi syarikat ini. Model simulasi ini yang telah dibina dan disahkan diikuti dengan membuat uji kaji 3 versi POLCA iaitu asal POLCA, *shortprocessing time POLCA (SPT- POLCA)* dan *Due Date (DD-POLCA)*. Ukuran prestasi model ini ialah *throughput (TP)*, *work-in-process (WIP)*, masa pembuatan produk bagi setiap kerja dan penghantaran produk dalam masa yang dijangkakan (*OTD*) yang turut dianalisis dan dibandingkan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa *SPT-POLCA* melakukan yang terbaik berbanding dengan sistem kawalan yang lain. *SPT-POLCA* mencatatkan jumlah tertinggi dalam keputusan *throughput* dan *OTD*, paling rendah dalam keputusan *WIP* dan masa pembuatan produk bagi setiap kerja. Akhirnya, permainan *POLCA* dibina dan dijadikan sebagai alat pengajaran dan latihan untuk mahasiswa kejuruteraan dan kakitangan industri. Permainan ini menyediakan pengalaman praktikal untuk peserta bagi memahami mekanisma *POLCA*. Permainan ini juga fleksibel dalam membenarkan uji kaji bagi versi *POLCA* yang berbeza dan teknik pembuatan yang lain.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Chong Kuan Eng. The supervision, advice and support that you have given has truly helped in the progression of my master project. I also wish to express my sincere appreciation to the company that I have been attached to. The co-operation is indeed much appreciated. My great appreciation goes to the contribution of my faculty that is the Faculty of Manufacturing in helping me complete this project as well as for providing the project guidelines.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
APPROVAL	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xx
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xxi
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Background of the company	2
1.3 Problem Statement	4
1.4 Research Question	5
1.5 Research Objective	6
1.6 Scope	6
1.7 Significance of study	7
1.8 Organization Thesis	8
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Manufacturing Paradigm	9
2.3 Strengths and Limits of Manufacturing Paradigms	15
2.4 Material Flow Control Mechanism	21
2.5 Strength and Limitation of Material Flow Control Mechanism	27
2.6 Lean	29
2.7 Quick Response Manufacturing	32
2.7.1 Difference between Traditional Beliefs and QRM Principles	35
2.7.2 Difference between Lean and QRM	37
2.7.3 Paired-Cell Overlapping Loops of Cards with Authorization (POLCA)	38
2.8 Dispatching Rule	44
2.9 Simulation Game	47
2.10 Summary	52
3. METHODOLOGY	53
3.1 Research Design	53
3.2 Phase 1: Preliminary Study	53
3.3 Phase 2: Automated MCT and POLCA module	55

3.4	Phase 3: Model Development	55
3.5	Phase 4: Experimental Design	57
3.6	Phase 5: POLCA Simulation Game	58
4.	DEVELOPMENT MODULE, MODEL AND SIMULATION GAME	60
4.1	Current Operation of the Case Company	60
4.2	MCT and POLCA Modules	63
4.2.1	Details of MCT module	65
4.2.1.1	Step 1- Calculate Pre-& Post-Processing time and processing time and generate pivot table.	65
4.2.1.2	Step 2- Filter out unrequired process and choose one of the required action	68
4.2.1.3	Step 3- Generate MCT map	68
4.2.2	Details of POLCA module	72
4.2.2.1	Step 6 & 7- Generate process route and POLCA route	72
4.2.2.2	Step 8, 9 & 10- Generate list of POLCA loop, count total number for each type of POLCA loop and generate a list of total time for each station.	74
4.2.2.3	Step 11 & 12- Insert all information into POLCA card formula and generate total number of POLCA card list	77
4.3	Simulation Model	79
4.3.1	Conceptual Modelling-Model Scope and Level of details	79
4.3.2	Assumption	81
4.3.3	Model Translation	81
4.3.3.1	Modeling-Arrival time, Processing Time, Processing Route	83
4.3.3.2	Modeling-Dispatching rule	86
4.3.3.3	Modeling-waiting time	86
4.3.3.4	Modeling-Operators, operators' shifts and machine shift	89
4.3.3.5	Modeling-Output data collection	92
4.3.3.6	Verification and validation	95
4.3.4	Experimental Design	98
4.3.4.1	Experiment 1-Modelling POLCA Flow Mechanism	98
4.3.4.2	Experiment 2-Modelling SPT-POLCA Flow Mechanism	101
4.3.4.3	Experiment 3-Modelling Due Date-POLCA Flow Mechanism	102
4.4	POLCA simulation game	106
4.4.1	Procedure	107
4.4.2	Measuring Outcomes	111
4.5	Summary	111
5.	RESULT DISCUSSION	113
5.1	Integrated MCT and POLCA Module	113
5.2	Simulation Model	116

5.2.1	Experiment 1-POLCA (Result)	116
5.2.2	Experiment 2-(SPT-POLCA)	123
5.2.3	Experiment 3-(DD-POLCA)	129
5.2.4	Bonferroni Inequality	135
5.2.5	Discussion of Simulation Result	137
5.3	POLCA Simulation Game	139
5.3.1	Pre- and Post-survey analysis	139
5.3.2	Order and cost analysis	141
5.3.3	Discussion of POLCA Simulation Game	144
5.4	Research Contributions	146
5.5	Summary	147
6.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	149
6.1	Research Conclusion	149
6.2	Recommendations for Future Research	150
6.3	Limitation	151
	REFERENCES	152
	APPENDIX A	174
	APPENDIX B	179
	APPENDIX C	190
	APPENDIX D1	193
	APPENDIX D2	198
	APPENDIX D3	200
	APPENDIX D4	202
	APPENDIX E	207
	APPENDIX F1	208
	APPENDIX F2	209
	APPENDIX F3	210
	APPENDIX G1	211
	APPENDIX G2	212
	APPENDIX G3	218
	APPENDIX H	220

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Total Incoming Jobs in 2014	7
2.1	Summary of five manufacturing paradigms	14
2.2	Strengths and Limits of Lean	15
2.3	Strengths and Limits of Agile Manufacturing	16
2.4	Strengths and Limits of Mass Customization	17
2.5	Strengths and Limits of Quick Response Manufacturing	19
2.6	Strengths and Limits of Time-based Competition	19
2.7	Comparison of Manufacturing Paradigms	21
2.8	Brief description of some material flow control mechanism (MFC)	23
2.9	Strengths and Weaknesses of DEWIP mechanism	28
2.10	Strengths and Weaknesses of LOOR mechanism	28
2.11	Strengths and Weaknesses of POLCA mechanism	29
2.12	Brief Description of Lean Tools and Techniques	30
2.13	Comparison between traditional beliefs and QRM principles	36
2.14	Differences between QRM and Lean Manufacturing	38
2.15	Differences between POLCA and Kanban	40
2.16	Advantages of POLCA over MRP and Kanban system	40
2.17	Classification for basic dispatching rule	45

2.18	Brief description of some common dispatching rules	45
2.19	Examples of Lean and POLCA simulation game.	49
4.1	Number of Machine, Operators and shift pattern.	62
4.2	Work and resting hours of morning and night shifts	62
4.3	Average MCT per job for pre, post and processing time in each station	69
4.4	The scope of the model	80
4.5	Model level of detail	80
4.6	Distribution of waiting time	89
4.7	Average MCT per job result from Simulation Model	96
4.8	Validation step for average MCT per job	96
4.9	Responsibility of each participant	108
4.10	Job List	109
5.1	Manual Calculation of “POLCACARDSASB_GF1”	115
5.2	TP, WIP, average MCT per job and OTD result of 10 replications current system	117
5.3	TP, WIP, average MCT per job and OTD result of 10 replications POLCA system	118
5.4	Result of POLCA system with Current System	120
5.5	TP, WIP, average MCT per job and OTD result of 10 replications SPT-POLCA system	124
5.6	Average 10 replications result of Current, POLCA and SPT POLCA system	126
5.7	TP, WIP, average MCT per job and OTD result of 10 replication	130

	DD-POLCA system	
5.8	10 replications of average result of Current, POLCA, SPT POLCA and DD-POLCA system	132
5.9	Confidence Interval Comparison between all scenarios	136
5.10	Conclusion from Confidence Interval Comparison between all scenarios	137

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Layout of the company	3
1.2	Process Flow of the company	4
1.3	OTD of 2014 and 2015	5
2.1	Volume versus variety in manufacturing paradigms	10
2.2	The key production characteristics of continuum	21
2.3	Midwest Manufacturing Company's order of progression	33
2.4	POLCA cards	39
2.5	Mechanism flow of POLCA cards	39
3.1	Overall Process Flow of the Study	54
3.2	Framework of Conceptual Modelling	56
3.3	The movement of POLCA card	58
4.1	Data From ERP system	61
4.2	Overall Flow of QRM's MCT and POLCA tool	64
4.3	First page of MCT tool	65
4.4	VBA Coding for first page interface	65
4.5	Remind user to key in data and click "ok" button	65
4.6	VBA Coding for message box	66
4.7	VBA coding for processing time	66

4.8	VBA coding for pre-waiting time	66
4.9	VBA coding for post-waiting time	67
4.10	VBA coding for pivot table to sort pre-& post- processing time and processing time	67
4.11	“Proceed” button	68
4.12	VBA coding for “Proceed” button	68
4.13	Action Interface	68
4.14	VBA coding to calculate average MCT per job	70
4.15	MCT map for all jobs for each station	70
4.16	VBA coding to display the map in window and save automatically	71
4.17	VBA coding to create map	71
4.18	MCT map for only a job	72
4.19	Process Route from historical data	73
4.20	Part of the VBA coding that generate the process route	73
4.21	POLCA route generated from the previous process route	73
4.22	Part of the VBA coding to generate POLCA route	74
4.23	Sample of POLCA loop list	75
4.24	Part of VBA coding to create POLCA loop list	75
4.25	Sample of total number for each type of POLCA loop	75
4.26	Part of the VBA coding to count POLCA loop	76
4.27	Sample of total time for each station	76
4.28	Part of VBA coding to generate total time for each station	76
4.29	Sample of total number of POLCA cards list	77
4.30	Part of the VBA coding to display POLCA card list in spreadsheet	78

4.31	Part of VBA coding to generate POLCA card list in a window and that are saved to user's computer	78
4.32	Reminder for user to filter out unwanted resources before proceeding	78
4.33	Activity flow of base model	82
4.34	Initialization Actions in the Dialog Box	83
4.35	Coding for "partid" input	84
4.36	Release preload job function	84
4.37	Control output method	84
4.38	Part Route's function	85
4.39	Process time function	85
4.40	FIFO dispatching rule	86
4.41	Probability Plot for Pre-waiting time of GF1	87
4.42	Probability Plot for Post-waiting time	87
4.43	Part of the raw data of pre-waiting time for GF1	88
4.44	Output data of Individual Identification Distribution Method	88
4.45	Pre-waiting time for GF1	88
4.46	Function of operators	90
4.47	First batch of morning shift operator	90
4.48	Second batch of morning shift operator	90
4.49	First batch of night shift operator	91
4.50	Second batch of night shift operator	91
4.51	Morning and night machine shift	91
4.52	Morning machine shift	92

4.53	Two functions used for average MCT per job and OTD	92
4.54	Output function	93
4.55	“If..else” output function in Timeseries graph	93
4.56	“If..else” WIP function in Timeseries graph	93
4.57	Normality Test for average MCT per job	96
4.58	One-sample t test of average MCT per job	97
4.59	Power and Sample Size test for average MCT per job	97
4.60	Simulation Base Model in WITNESS	98
4.61	Read POLCA list coding in Initialize Action	98
4.62	Flow chart of POLCA simulation model	99
4.63	Check the availability of POLCA card	100
4.64	Attach relevant POLCA card	100
4.65	Detach Previous POLCA card	100
4.66	Definition of “look forward time” in a job	101
4.67	SPT in dispatching rule	101
4.68	Definition of “due date” in a job	102
4.69	Due date in dispatching rule	102
4.70	The process flow of the simulation modelling	103
4.71	POLCA simulation model view in WITNESS	104
4.72	Sample of Job order form	105
4.73	Layout of the POLCA simulation game	107
4.74	Order Data Recording Sheet	110
4.75	Cost Data Recording Sheet	110
5.1	Results of touch and un-touch time for all jobs in each department	114

5.2	Sample of POLCA card list	115
5.3	Throughput result of Current and POLCA system	118
5.4	WIP result of Current and POLCA system	119
5.5	Average MCT per job of Current and POLCA system	119
5.6	OTD of Current and POLCA system	119
5.7	Normality test for throughput POLCA	120
5.8	Normality test for WIP POLCA	121
5.9	Normality test for average MCT per job POLCA	121
5.10	Normality test for OTD POLCA	121
5.11	Paired-t test of throughput between current and POLCA system	122
5.12	Paired-t test of WIP between current and POLCA system	122
5.13	Paired-t test of Average MCT per job between current and POLCA system	122
5.14	Paired-t test of OTD between current and POLCA system	123
5.15	Throughput of Current, POLCA and SPT-POLCA system	124
5.16	WIP of Current, POLCA and SPT-POLCA system	124
5.17	OTD of current, POLCA and SPT-POLCA system	125
5.18	Average MCT per job of current, POLCA and SPT-POLCA system	125
5.19	Normality test on TP, WIP, Average MCT per job and OTD of SPT-POLCA	127
5.20	Paired-t test of throughput between SPT-POLCA and POLCA	128
5.21	Paired-t test of WIP between SPT-POLCA and POLCA system	128
5.22	Paired-t test of average MCT per job between SPT-POLCA and	128

	POLCA system	
5.23	Paired-t test of OTD between SPT-POLCA and POLCA system	129
5.24	Throughput of current, POLCA, SPT-POLCA and DD-POLCA system	130
5.25	WIP of current, POLCA, SPT-POLCA and DD-POLCA system	131
5.26	Average MCT per job of current, POLCA, SPT-POLCA and DD-POLCA system	131
5.27	OTD of current, POLCA, SPT-POLCA and DD-POLCA system	131
5.28	Normality test on TP, WIP, Average MCT per job and OTD of DD-POLCA	133
5.29	Paired-t test of TP between DD-POLCA and POLCA system	134
5.30	Paired-t test of WIP between DD-POLCA and POLCA system	134
5.31	Paired-t test of average MCT per job between DD-POLCA and POLCA system	134
5.32	Paired-t test of OTD between DD-POLCA and POLCA system	134
5.33	Pre and Post Survey of POLCA and SPT-POLCA	140
5.34	One way Anova of POLCA and SPT-POLCA	141
5.35	Throughput POLCA and SPT-POLCA	142
5.36	WIP of POLCA and SPT-POLCA	142
5.37	Average MCT per job of POLCA and SPT-POLCA	143
5.38	OTD of POLCA and SPT-POLCA	143
5.39	Profit of POLCA and SPT-POLCA	144

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	VBA coding of MCT module	174
B	VBA coding of POLCA module	179
C	List of Components in Simulation Base Model	190
D1	Input Data of Arrival Time	193
D2	Input Data of Process Route	198
D3	Input Data of Process Time	200
D4	Distribution of Waiting Time	202
E	Verification of Simulation Model	207
F1	Validation test for OTD	208
F2	Validation test for Throughput	209
F3	Validation test for WIP	210
G1	A sample design of building block model	211
G2	Lab Sheet	212
G3	Pre/Post Survey Form	218
H	Number of POLCA cards for all POLCA Loops	220

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AM	Agile Manufacturing
ASB	Assembly
AT	Arrival Time
AWT	Average weighted tardiness
CNC5	CNC 5axis
CNCG	CNC Grinding
CONWIP	Constant Work in Progress
COVERT	Cost over time
DD-POLCA	Due date POLCA
DEWIP	Decentralized load in process
EDD	Earliest Due Date
EDM	Electrostatic Discharge Machine
FIFO	First in First Out
GF1	Surface Grinding
GF2	Rough Grinding
GPOLCA	Generic POLCA
HMS	Holonic Manufacturing System
JG	Jig Grinding
LB-POLCA	Load-Based POLCA

LM	Lean Manufacturing
LOOR	Load-oriented order release
MC	Mass Customization
MC	CNC Milling
MCT	Manufacturing Critical-Path Time
MFC	Material Flow Control
MI	Milling
MTO	Make-to-order
MTS	Make-to-stock
NCL	CNC turning
NEM	New Economic Model
ODD	Operation Due Date
OSD	Operation synchronization date
OTD	On-time delivery
PCS	Production Control Strategies
PG	Profile Grinding
PMFC	Production material flow control
POLCA	Paired Cell Overlapping Loop with Authorization
PPC	Production Planning Control
PT+WINQ	Process time plus work-in next queue
PT+WINQ+AT	Process time plus work-in-next queue plus arrival time
PT+WINQ+SL	Bottleneck dynamics with uniform pricing scheme and process time plus work-in-queue
PTJ	Proportion of tardy job

QRM	Quick Response Manufacturing
RR	Raghu and Rajendran
S/OPN	Slack per remaining operation
SD	Super Drilling
SME	Small and medium enterprises
SMED	Singel-Minute Exchange Die
SPT	Short Processing Time
SPT-POLCA	Short-processing time POLCA
TBC	Time-based Competition
TL	Turning
TP	Throughput
TPM	Toyota Production Maintenance
TPS	Toyota Production System
VBA	Visual Basic Application
WC	Wire Cutting
WINQ	Total work-content of jobs in the queue of the next operation of a job
WIP	Work-in-process
WIPOTC	WIP-oriented throughput control