

Na-doped LiMnPO₄ as an electrode material for enhanced lithium ion batteries

K RAJAMMAL¹, D SIVAKUMAR², NAVANEETHAN DURAISAMY^{1,*}, K RAMESH¹ and S RAMESH¹

¹Center for Ionics University of Malaya, Department of Physics, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia ²Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University Technical Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), 76100 Durian Tunggal, Malaysia

MS received 20 October 2015; accepted 27 June 2016

Abstract. We report the influence of sodium (Na)-incorporated lithium manganese phosphate as an active material on its performance in electrochemical study for energy storage application. $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnPO}_4$ with different mole ratios ($0.00 \le x \le 0.05$) of sodium is synthesized via a simple sol-gel method. The discharge capacity of $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnPO}_4$ varies with respect to mole ratios of sodium incorporated. The maximum discharge capacity of 92.45 mAh g⁻¹ is observed in $\text{Li}_{0.97}\text{Na}_{0.03}\text{MnPO}_4$, which is higher than that of pristine LiMnPO₄ and other Na-incorporated LiMnPO₄. The maximum cyclic stability is found to be 84.15% up to 60 cycles. These results demonstrate that $\text{Li}_{0.97}\text{Na}_{0.03}\text{MnPO}_4$ plays a significant role in future energy storage application.

Keywords. LiMnPO4; sodium doping; cathode materials; electrochemical study; lithium ion batteries.

1. Introduction

Hybrid electric vehicles require lithium rechargeable batteries because of their excellent power density and long life time [1]. Cathode is the most important element within the lithium batteries, which gives significant impact on capacity and electrochemical performance. Lithium manganese phosphate (LiMnPO₄) is mainly focused as a suitable candidates in the olivine group among LiFePO₄, LiCoPO₄ and LiNiPO₄ for cathode application [2,3]. In LiMnPO₄, the P–O covalent bond enables good thermal and cycling stability [4,5]. The theoretical energy density of LiMnPO₄ is 701 Wh kg⁻¹ with poor lithium diffusion and low electronic/ionic conductivity, which affect the electrochemical property [6,7].

Various synthesis methods are developed to overcome these drawbacks, which focus mainly on particle size control [8–10], carbon coating [11,12] and cation doping [13–15]. Moreover, the surface morphologies are an essential factor for electrochemical properties. Recently haemoglobin-like LiMnPO₄ microspheres were prepared for better electrochemical activity due to presence of three-dimensional (3D) hierarchical structures [16]. LiMnPO₄ nanorods (<30 nm) are produced by controlling boiling temperature, solvent, concentration of surfactants, reaction temperature and time [17]. Cui *et al* [18] reported that irregular flaky shaped LiMnPO₄ is achieved by a hollow-sphere Li₃PO₄ precursor, which is used to control the particle growth of LiMnPO₄. Also, Doi *et al* [9] stated that by controlling particle size, the diffusion and conduction path are shortened, which lead to improvement of conductivity. On the other hand, Nam *et al* [19] reported that the carbon-coated nanostructured LiMnPO₄ via combination of spray pyrolysis and dry ball milling revealed good electrochemical properties at high temperature and high charge/discharge rate of 2C. Herein, carbon layer is also found to be effectively suppress the crystal growth during heat treatment, resulting in a significant improvement of cycling performance [11].

Cation doping in LiMnPO₄ is found to be an alternative method to upgrade ionic conductivity [13]. Recent work indicated that cesium (Ce)-doped LiMnPO₄ led to easy diffusion of lithium ion in bulk materials [20]. In another work, electrochemically inactive cations were replaced partially for Mn; thus LiMn_{0.88}Mg_{0.1}Zr_{0.02}PO₄ exhibited high discharge capacity (134.0 mAh g^{-1}) and lower irreversible capacity loss [21]. This enhancement is accredited to good kinetic properties due to the reduced distortion of local structure. Co-substituted iron (Fe) and magnesium (Mg) evenly spread over LiMnPO₄, leading to shrinkage of crystal lattice [22]. Considering this fact, this work is an effort to improve LiMnPO₄ by partial natrium substitution on lithium sites. The ionic radius of natrium (0.098 nm) is bigger than that of lithium (0.076 nm); thus it is highly possible to increase the interlayer space for facile lithium movement during intercalation and deintercalation processes [23,24]. Na element is inexpensive, ample and environment friendly [23]. Several attempts have been done to improve electrochemical performance of cathode materials by partial natrium doping. Li_{1.17}Na_{0.03}[Co_{0.13}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}]O₂ demonstrated high discharge capacity, larger coulombic efficiency, enhanced rate capability and cycling stability as compared

^{*}Author for correspondence (naveennanoenergy@gmail.com)

with Li_{1.17}Na_{0.03}[Co_{0.13}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}]O₂ [24]. Chen *et al* [25] reported that Na⁺ substitution for Li⁺ minimizes cation mixing, improves reversibility and restricts charge transfer impedance during cycling.

The present work reports the preparation and electrochemical characterizations of $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnPO}_4$ with different mole ratios of sodium, x = 0.00, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04and 0.05. To the best of our knowledge, partial Na⁺ substitution for Li⁺ site has not been focused for LiMnPO₄-based energy storage application.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Lithium acetate ($LiC_2H_3O_2$) and sodium acetate ($C_2H_3NaO_2$) were purchased from Aldrich. Manganese acetate $Mn(CH_3 COO)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ and ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (NH_4) H_2PO_4 were obtained from Friendmann Schmidt.

2.2 Synthesis process

Li_{1-x}Na_xMnPO₄ (0.00 $\leq x \leq$ 0.05) was synthesized by the sol-gel method. Lithium acetate, sodium acetate and manganese acetate were dissolved together with ammonium dihydrogen phosphate in the molar ratios 1:*x* = 0.00, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05:1:1. Dissolution of this mixture was done in distilled water under magnetic stirring at 120°C and maintained until a solid product was formed. Finally, the obtained solid material was sintered at 700°C for 3 h.

2.3 Structural and electrochemical characterizations

XRD measurements were obtained using a Siemens D 5000 diffractometer with Cu-K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54060$ Å). The diffraction intensity was recorded in the range 10–80° with step size 0.02°. The surface morphology of synthesized samples was examined by field emission scanning electron microscopy (microscope model JSM 7600-F).

To prepare thin films, 80 wt% of active materials $(Li_{1-x}Na_xMnPO_4)$ was mixed with 20 wt% of carbon, 20 mg of Li1-xNaxMnPO4/C and 8 mg of teflonized acetylene (TAB) in ethanol medium, followed by pressing on a stainless steel mesh and then dried at 120°C for 12 h. Then, the cell was assembled in an argon atmosphere using Li_{1-x}Na_xMnPO₄-based electrode as a cathode, lithium metal as an anode and 1 M LiPF₆ dissolved in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC)/dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1 in volume) as an electrolyte. Cyclic voltammetry tests were carried out using an auto lab in the potential range of 2.5-4.5 V. All the samples were charged at 1.0 mA and discharged at 0.5 mA between 2.5 and 4.5 V on a Neware battery system (AC 10 mV). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) tests were carried out using a Gamry instrument in the frequency range of 0.05 Hz-10 kHz.

3. Results and discussion

The structural crystallinity was examined using the XRD pattern. Figure 1 presents the patterns of pristine LiMnPO₄ and Li_{1-x}Na_xMnPO₄ (0.00 $\leq x \leq$ 0.05). The observed diffraction peaks at 2 θ = 20.4, 25.1, 29.1 and 35.07° correspond to (011), (111/021), (200/121) and (131) planes, respectively. This was well indexed to the orthorhombic structure of LiMnPO₄ (JCPDS No. 33-804) with space group of Pnmb [15,16]. However, the lattice parameters varied due to Na metal doping in LiMnPO₄, which are given in table 1.

Both a and c lattices expand with increasing Na metal doping. c-Axis enlargement indicates the expansion of Li pathway within the structure [26]. Also, the enlargement in a site provides smoother Li diffusion during the intercalation process. Moreover it has been proved by the simulation method that Li ion moves through the a-axis due its lower activation energy, which was supported by Oh *et al* [27]. Therefore, this provides smoother Li diffusion during the intercalation process, suggesting enhanced electrochemical performance [24].

FESEM analysis was used to study the surface morphology of synthesized samples. Figure 2 displays surface morphology of $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnPO}_4$ (0.00 $\leq x \leq$ 0.05) particles formed at 700°C (calcination temperature). Agglomeration



Figure 1. XRD of $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnPO}_4$ (0.00 $\le x \le 0.05$) sintered at 700°C.

Table 1. Calculated lattice parameters of $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnPO}_4$ (0.00 $\leq x \leq 0.05$).

x in Li _{1-x} Na _{x} MnPO ₄	<i>a</i> (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)
0.00	6.117	4.718
0.01	6.122	4.734
0.02	6.126	4.740
0.03	6.131	4.750
0.04	6.136	4.765
0.05	6.137	4.784



Figure 2. FESEM images of $Li_{1-x}Na_xMnPO_4$ (x = 0.00, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04 and 0.05) particles.



Figure 3. Initial charge and discharge capacities of $Li_{1-x}Na_x$ MnPO₄ particles.

that occurs from x = 0.00 until x = 0.03 was higher as compared with x = 0.04 and x = 0.05. Agglomerated particles and individual particles are present together in the samples and agglomerated particles are formed by smaller individual particles.

Figure 3 clearly displays that the initial charge and discharge capacities increase from x = 0.00 to x = 0.03 and then tend to decrease until x = 0.05. Irreversible capacity loss decreases with addition of Na⁺ in the Li⁺ site. Less



Figure 4. Cyclic voltammetry of $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnPO}_4$ (0.00 $\leq x \leq$ 0.05) samples at scan rate of 0.2 mV s⁻¹.

irreversible loss observed in $\text{Li}_{0.97}\text{Na}_{0.03}\text{MnPO}_4$ was found to be (4.1 mAh g⁻¹) lesser than that of pristine LiMnPO₄ (11.5 mAh g⁻¹). Also, the maximum capacity values were recorded at x = 0.03. A similar pattern was observed for continuous electrochemical cycling. Therefore, the enhanced cycling performance is attributed to the structure stabilization with Na⁺ ions, which act as a pillar [20,21].

Cyclic voltammograms for $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnPO}_4$ (x = 0.00, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04 and 0.05) are shown in figure 4.



Figure 5. Discharge capacities of $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnPO}_4$ (0.00 $\leq x \leq$ 0.05) particles at current 0.5 mA.

Cyclic voltammetry depicts similar patterns as the Na substitution varies at a minor level. Interestingly, anodic and cathodic peaks become sharper as Na substitution level rises to x = 0.03 in Li_{1-x}Na_xMnPO₄. This clearly denotes partial substitution of Li by Na, which increases peak currents, resulting in the improvement of electrochemical properties [28].

Figure 5 illustrates the discharge capacities of $Li_{1-x}Na_x$ MnPO₄ (0.00 $\leq x \leq$ 0.05) in the voltage range of 2.5–4.5 V at current rate 0.2C. It presents initial discharge capacities of 86.3, 88.9, 91.2, 92.4, 84.3 and 83.3 mAh g⁻¹ for x = 0.00, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04 and 0.05 in $Li_{1-x}Na_xMnPO_4$, respectively. At the same time, coulombic efficiency improved from 83.3% (pristine LiMnPO₄) to 87.6% ($Li_{0.97}Na_{0.03}MnPO_4$). Na⁺ ions act as pillars, providing wider space for lithium ions movement [20,21]. This improved the intercalation and deintercalation processes within the materials and smoothened them [29]. Apart from these, Jahn Teller distortion is related to the Mn–Mn distance in the crystalline structure. Here, Na substitution made Mn–Mn distance longer as Na⁺ ions are larger compared with Li⁺ ions. This weakens the Jahn Teller effect and also yields strong cycling stability [30].

The mole ratio of 0.03 in $\text{Li}_{1-x}\text{Na}_x\text{MnPO}_4$ was found to be the maximum level of doping that enhances cycling properties [31]. Beyond this the addition of Na (>0.03) deteriorates the capacitive nature due to structural instability and weak electrochemical performance [30,32]. $\text{Li}_{0.97}\text{Na}_{0.03}\text{MnPO}_4$ demonstrated the maximum cycling stability compared with other samples with moderate doping of Na.

Figure 6 displays the capacity retention of $Li_{0.97}Na_{0.03}$ MnPO₄ for 60 cycles. Initial charge capacity of $Li_{0.97}Na_{0.03}$ MnPO₄ was found to be 105.4 mAh g⁻¹, which results in irreversible capacity of 12.9 mAh g⁻¹. Capacity retention based on initial discharge capacity reaches about 97.89% at the 10th cycle, 96.12% at the 20th cycle, 92.96% at the 30th cycle, 90.49% at the 40th cycle and 87.32% at the 50th cycle. It delivers discharge capacity of 77.80 mAh g⁻¹ at the 60th cycle, which is able to retain 84.15% of its initial discharge capacity of 92.4 mAh g⁻¹.



Figure 6. Capacity retention of Li_{0.97}Na_{0.03}MnPO₄ at current 0.5 mA.



Figure 7. Impedance spectra of LiMnPO₄ and Li_{0.97}Na_{0.03}MnPO₄ from EIS measurements.

Impedance spectra of LiMnPO₄ and Li_{0.97}Na_{0.03}MnPO₄ can be observed in figure 7. It is noteworthy that semicircles of Na-substituted LiMnPO₄ samples exhibit smaller radius compared with LiMnPO₄. This ascertains increased electronic conductivity and hence facilitates smooth lithium ion movement into active materials [32]. The observed R_{ct} value for LiMnPO₄ is around 57 Ω , while R_{ct} of Li_{0.97}Na_{0.03}MnPO₄ is found to be about 19 Ω . This describes that partial Na substitution is able to reduce charge transfer resistance [25].

4. Conclusion

This work demonstrated the effect of Na doping in LiMnPO₄. Na of different concentrations was substituted in the LiMnPO₄ system via the sol-gel method. The XRD pattern confirmed the well-indexed crystalline structure. Addition of Na ions into the crystal structure expands the Li slab space. The maximum discharge capacity of 92.45 mAh g⁻¹ was achieved in Li_{0.97}Na_{0.03}MnPO₄, compared with pristine LiMnPO₄ (86.26 mAh g⁻¹), with the maximum cyclic stability of 84.15% up to 60 cycles. Irreversible capacity loss was reduced by natrium addition (Li_{0.97}Na_{0.03}MnPO₄ compared with pristine LiMnPO₄). Therefore, these experimental results suggested that superior electrochemical performance can be attained through optimizing adequate Na doping in LiMnPO₄ towards lithium ion battery application.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank financial support from the University of Malaya via PPP grant PG 099 – 2014B and Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FP012-2015A) from the Ministry of Education, Malaysia.

References

- [1] Kim J, Seo D, Kim S, Park Y and Kang K 2010 *Chem. Commun.* **46** 1305
- [2] Koleva V, Zhecheva E and Stoyanova R 2011 Dalton Trans. 40 7385
- [3] Zhang W, Shan Z, Zhu K, Liu S, Liu X and Tian J 2015 *Electrochim. Acta* **153** 385
- [4] Yoshida J, Stark M, Holzbock J et al 2013 J. Power Sources 226 122
- [5] Qin Z, Zhou X, Xia Y, Tang C and Liu Z 2012 J. Mater. Chem. 22 21144
- [6] Aono S, Urita K, Yamada H and Moriguchi I 2012 Solid State Ion 225 556
- [7] Dong Y, Wang L, Zhang S et al 2012 J. Power Sources 215 116
- [8] Zhao M, Fu Y, Xu N, Li G and Gao X 2014 J. Mater. Chem. A 2 15070
- [9] Doi T, Yatomi S, Kida T, Okada S and Yamaki J 2009 Cryst. Growth Des. 9 10

- [10] Zhu K, Zhang W, Du J et al 2015 J. Power Sources **300** 139
- [11] Su K, Liu F and Chen J 2013 J. Power Sources 232 234
- [12] Moon S, Muralidharan P and Kim D K 2012 Ceram. Int. 38 S471
- [13] Jo M, Yoo H, Jung Y S and Cho J 2012 *J. Power Sources* 216 162
- [14] Gan Y, Chen C, Liu J, Bian P, Hao H and Yu A 2015 J. Alloys Compd. 620 350
- [15] Ottmann A, Jähne C, Meyer H-P and Klingeler R 2015 Mater. Res. Bull. 63 6
- [16] Gu Y, Wang H, Zhu Y, Wang L, Qian Y and Chu Y 2015 Solid State Ion 274 106
- [17] Kwon N H and Fromm K M 2012 *Electrochim. Acta* **69** 38
- [18] Cui Y-T, Xu N, Kou L-Q, Wu M-T and Chen L 2014 J. Power Sources 249 42
- [19] Nam T, Doan L, Bakenov Z and Taniguchi I 2010 Adv. Powder Technol. 21 187
- [20] Kou L, Chen F, Tao F, Dong Y and Chen L 2015 Electrochim. Acta 173 721
- [21] Lee J, Park M, Anass B, Park J, Paik M and Doo S 2010 *Electrochim. Acta* 55 4162
- [22] Hu C, Yi H, Fang H *et al* 2010 *Electrochem. Commun.* **12** 1784
- [23] Wang J, Lin W, Wu B and Zhao J 2014 Electrochim. Acta 145 245
- [24] He Wei, Yuan D, Qian J, Ai X, Yang H and Cao Y 2013 J. Mater. Chem. A 1 11397
- [25] Chen Z, Xie T, Li L and Xu M 2014 Ionics 20 629
- [26] Hee W, Yuan D, Qian J, Ai X, Yang A and Cao Y 2013 J. Mater. Chem. A 1 11397
- [27] Oh R-G, Hong J-E, Jung H-W and Ryu K-S 2015 J. Power Sources 295 1
- [28] Qiu B, Wang J, Xia Y, Liu Y, Qin L, Yao X and Liu Z 2013 J. Power Sources 240 530
- [29] Park S H, Shin S S and Sun Y K 2006 Mater. Chem. Phys. 95 218
- [30] Sun F and Xu Y 2014 J. Alloys Compd. 584 538
- [31] Gong C, Lv W, Qu L et al 2014 J. Power Sources 247 151
- [32] Hu G, Zhang M, Liang L, Peng Z, Du K and Cao Y 2016 Electrochim. Acta 190 264