



Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

OPTIMIZATION OF INJECTION MOULDING PARAMETERS FOR RECYCLED HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE

Zuraimi bin Ramle

Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering

2017

**OPTIMIZATION OF INJECTION MOULDING PARAMETERS
FOR RECYCLED HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE**

ZURAIMI BIN RAMLE

**A thesis submitted
in the fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science
in Manufacturing Engineering**

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2017

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Optimization of Injection Moulding Parameters for Recycled High Density Polyethylene” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature :

Name :

Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Signature :

Supervisor Name :

Date :

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate my thesis to my mother who always taught me to stay strong and believe in Allah s.w.t and my father for being my first teacher in my life.

ABSTRACT

Most plastics dispose very slowly in landfills, and these will not only occupy valuable space but will also generate toxic emissions and greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide. It can remain in the environment for a long period of time, thereby causing problems to the environment and to the health of the society. The practical solution is to recycle and reuse the plastics that have already been used. Nearly all the plastic products that can be seen in our daily life, such as mobile phone housings, automobile bumpers, lunch boxes or bottles are produced by injection moulding. However, incorrect parameter settings in injection moulding will cause bad performance on the specimens such as lack of mechanical strength. Therefore, finding the optimized parameters is highly desirable. This research investigated the usability of recycled HDPE as a substitute for pure HDPE by determines their tensile and flexural strength. The parameters evaluated were melting temperature, injection pressure, holding pressure, holding time, cooling time and injection time. Design Expert 7.0.0 software was used for the screening process by Factorial method and melting temperature, injection pressure and holding time were found as significant parameters. These three parameters then were analysed and optimized by RSM analysis and four process models (tensile of p-HDPE, flexural of p-HDPE, tensile of r-HDPE and flexural of r-HDPE) are successfully developed and validated. The ANOVA suggested that melting temperature is the most significant parameter affecting the tensile and flexural strength of both materials and it was followed by injection pressure and holding time. The optimal result of tensile strength of p-HDPE (27.405 MPa), flexural strength of p-HDPE (21.744 MPa), tensile strength of r-HDPE (15.86 MPa) and flexural strength of r-HDPE (14.353) was obtained at the melting temperature of 240 °C, injection pressure of 95 MPa and holding time of 30 s. This study also found that the comparison of tensile and flexural strength between p-HDPE and r-HDPE is 42.13% and 33.99% respectively. The specimens of r-HDPE were crushed and injected again by injection machine to produce the specimens. The specimens were tested and compared by the performance of r-HDPE where the reduction of tensile and flexural strength is 10.33% and 20.32% respectively. Some applications such toys, laboratory tubing and plastic pipe have been compared to these three materials based on their strength properties. The result shows the tensile and flexural strength of all materials in the range of the applications strength, and it automatically indicates that r-HDPE can be utilised as a substitutes of p-HDPE in some applications.

ABSTRAK

Kebanyakan plastik melupus sangat perlahan di tapak pelupusan, dan ia bukan sahaja akan menduduki ruang yang berharga malah akan menghasilkan toksik dan gas rumah hijau seperti karbon dioksida. Plastik boleh kekal di alam sekitar dalam tempoh masa yang panjang, sekaligus menyebabkan pencemaran dan kesihatan masyarakat terjejas. Penyelesaian praktikal adalah dengan mengitar dan mengguna semula plastik yang telah digunakan. Hampir semua produk plastik yang dapat dilihat dalam kehidupan seharian, seperti sarung telefon bimbit, bumper kereta, bekal makanan atau botol dihasilkan oleh pengacuan suntikan. Walau bagaimanapun, tetapan parameter yang tidak betul dalam pengacuan suntikan akan menyebabkan prestasi buruk pada spesimen seperti kekurangan kekuatan mekanikal. Oleh itu, mencari parameter yang optimum adalah sangat wajar. Kajian ini menyiasat kebolehgunaan bahan r-HDPE sebagai pengganti untuk p-HDPE dengan menentukan kekuatan tegangan dan lenturan. Parameter yang dinilai adalah suhu lebur, tekanan suntikan, tekanan memegang, tempoh memegang, tempoh penyejukan dan tempoh suntikan. Design Expert 7.0.0 telah digunakan untuk proses saringan dengan kaedah Factorial dan suhu lebur, tekanan suntikan dan tempoh memegang didapati sebagai parameter yang ketara. Ketiga-tiga parameter kemudian dianalisis dan dioptimumkan oleh RSM dan empat model proses (tegangan p-HDPE, lenturan p-HDPE, tegangan r-HDPE dan lenturan r-HDPE) berjaya diperoleh dan disahkan. ANOVA mencadangkan bahawa suhu lebur adalah parameter yang paling penting mempengaruhi kekuatan tegangan dan lenturan pada kedua-dua bahan diikuti oleh tekanan suntikan dan tempoh memegang. Hasil optimum kekuatan tegangan p-HDPE (27.405 MPa), kekuatan lenturan p-HDPE (21.744 MPa), kekuatan tegangan r-HDPE (15.86 MPa), kekuatan lenturan r-HDPE (14.353 MPa) telah diperolehi di suhu lebur 240 °C, tekanan suntikan 95 MPa dan tempoh memegang 30 s. Kajian juga mendapati bahawa perbandingan antara kekuatan tegangan dan lenturan antara p-HDPE dan r-HDPE adalah 42.13 % dan 33.99 %. Spesimen r-HDPE kemudian dihancur dan disuntik semula oleh mesin suntikan untuk menhasilkan spesimen. Spesimen diuji dan dibandingkan dengan prestasi r-HDPE dimana pengurangan kekuatan tegangan dan lenturan adalah 10.33 % dan 20.32 %. Sesetengah aplikasi seperti alat permainan kanak-kanak, tiub makmal dan paip plastik telah dibandingkan dengan ketiga-tiga bahan berdasarkan sifat kekuatan mereka. Hasilnya menunjukkan kekuatan tegangan dan lenturan semua bahan berada di dalam julat kekuatan semua aplikasi tersebut, dan sekaligus menunjukkan bahawa r-HDPE boleh digunakan sebagai pengganti kepada p-HDPE.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere acknowledgement to my supervisor Dr. Mohd Amri Bin Sulaiman from the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka for his essential supervision, support and encouragement towards the completion of this thesis.

I would also like to express my greatest gratitude to my co-supervisor Associate Prof. Dr. Mohd Amran Bin Md. Ali from Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering, for his advice and suggestions in completing this project. Special thanks to UTeM grant funding for the financial support throughout this project.

Particularly, I would also like to express my deepest gratitude to Mr. Nizamul Ikbal, the technician from Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering who conducts injection moulding machine and Mr. Muhammad Helmi, the technician from material lab Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering for his assistance and efforts in the lab and analysis works.

Special thanks to all my colleagues, my beloved mother, father and siblings for their moral support in completing this degree. Lastly, thank you to everyone who had been associated to the crucial parts of realization of this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xix
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Scope of Research	5
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Polymers	7
2.1.1 HDPE	10
2.1.2 Fracture of Polyethylene	12
2.1.2.1 Ductile Failure	13
2.1.2.2 Brittle Failure	14
2.1.3 Effect of Plastic in Environment	15
2.2 Injection Moulding	17
2.2.1 Injection Moulding Machine	18
2.2.1.1 Injection Unit	19
2.2.1.2 Mould	20
2.2.1.3 Clamping Unit	20
2.2.2 Injection Moulding Parameters	21
2.2.2.1 Temperature	22
2.2.2.2 Pressure	22
2.2.2.3 Time	23
2.2.2.4 Distance	24
2.2.3 Injection Moulding Process	24
2.3 Design of Experiment (DOE)	27
2.3.1 Two-Level Factorial Designs	31
2.3.2 Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	32
2.3.3 Comparison of DOE Method	35
2.3.4 Application of The DOE	37
2.4 Design and Simulation	39

2.5	Mechanical Testing	40
2.5.1	Tensile Testing	40
2.5.2	Flexural Testing	43
2.6	Literature Study	47
3.	METHODOLOGY	51
3.0	Overview	51
3.1	Experimental Setup	53
3.2	Parameters Setting	59
3.2.1	Research gap	60
3.2.2	Design and Simulation	62
3.2.3	Setting of Parameters	67
3.3	Experimental Procedure	71
3.3.1	Injection of Specimens	71
3.3.2	Testing Method	72
3.3.2.1	Trapezium Procedure	72
3.3.2.2	Tensile Testing Procedure	80
3.3.2.3	Flexural Testing Procedure	81
3.3.3	Recycling of r-HDPE	83
3.3.4	SEM Methodology	87
4.	RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS	89
4.1	Screening Analysis	90
4.1.1	Result of p-HDPE (Screening method)	90
4.1.2	Result of r-HDPE (Screening method)	94
4.1.3	Significant Parameters of Pure and Recycled HDPE (Screening)	98
4.2	RSM Analysis	99
4.2.1	RSM of p-HDPE (Tensile Strength)	101
4.2.2	RSM of p-HDPE (Flexural Strength)	108
4.2.3	RSM of r-HDPE (Tensile Strength)	115
4.2.4	RSM of r-HDPE (Flexural Strength)	122
4.2.5	Effect of Factors on Tensile and Flexural Strength	129
4.2.6	Optimization and Validation	130
4.3	Comparison of Pure and Recycled HDPE	135
5.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	144
5.1	Conclusions	144
5.2	Recommendations	145
REFERENCES		146
APPENDICES		168

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Application fields of injection moulding (Battenfeld, 2007)	2
2.1	The density ranges of polyethylene (ASTM D3350, 2014)	11
2.2	Types of model order (Minitab Inc., 2010)	31
2.3	Tensile strength and Young's modulus of polymers (eFunda, 2017; Callister, 2007)	42
2.4	Flexural strength and Young's modulus of polymers (eFunda, 2017)	46
2.5	Process parameters and their levels (Fei et al., 2011)	47
3.1	Specifications of ARBURG Allrounder 420 C 800-250	54
3.2	Specification of Shimadzu Autograph/AG-I 100kN	55
3.3	Material Properties for Etilinas HD5740UA	58
3.4	Material Properties and Description for r-HDPE	59
3.5	Research gap of parameters	61
3.6	Procedure of Moldflow analyse	63
3.7	Parameters range	68
3.8	Screening run generated by fractional factorial	70
3.9	Procedure of Tensile and Flexural Testing in Trapezium 2.0	72
3.10	Steps of recycling r-HDPE	83
4.1	Tensile and Flexural Strength of p-HDPE (Screening)	91
4.2	ANOVA Test for Tensile Strength of p-HDPE (Screening)	93
4.3	ANOVA Test for Flexural Strength of p-HDPE (Screening)	94
4.4	Tensile and Flexural Strength of r-HDPE (Screening)	95
4.5	ANOVA Test for Tensile Strength of r-HDPE (Screening)	96

4.6	ANOVA Test for Flexural Strength of r-HDPE (Screening)	98
4.7	Significant Parameters of Pure and Recycled HDPE	99
4.8	Tensile and Flexural Strength of p-HDPE (RSM)	100
4.9	Tensile and Flexural Strength of r-HDPE (RSM)	101
4.10	Sequential Model Sum of Squares of Tensile Strength for p-HDPE	102
4.11	Lack of Fit Tests of Tensile Strength for p-HDPE	102
4.12	ANOVA Test for Tensile Strength of p-HDPE (RSM)	103
4.13	Model Summary Statistics of Tensile Strength for p-HDPE	104
4.14	Sequential Model Sum of Squares of Flexural Strength for p-HDPE	108
4.15	Lack of Fit Tests of Flexural Strength for p-HDPE	109
4.16	ANOVA Test for Flexural Strength of p-HDPE (RSM)	110
4.17	Model Summary Statistics of Flexural Strength for p-HDPE	111
4.18	Modified ANOVA Test for Flexural Strength of p-HDPE	111
4.19	Modified Model Summary Statistics of Flexural Strength for p-HDPE	112
4.20	Sequential Model Sum of Squares of Tensile Strength for r-HDPE	115
4.21	Lack of Fit Tests of Tensile Strength for r-HDPE	116
4.22	ANOVA Test for Tensile Strength of r-HDPE (RSM)	117
4.23	Model Summary Statistics of Tensile Strength for r-HDPE	118
4.24	Sequential Model Sum of Squares of Flexural Strength for r-HDPE	122
4.25	Lack of Fit Tests of Flexural Strength for r-HDPE	123
4.26	ANOVA Test for Flexural Strength of r-HDPE (RSM)	124
4.27	Model Summary Statistics of Flexural Strength for r-HDPE	125
4.28	Optimization result of RSM on p-HDPE	130
4.29	Optimization result of RSM on r-HDPE	132
4.30	Model validation for p-HDPE	134
4.31	Model validation for r-HDPE	134
4.32	Comparison of Tensile Strength for p-HDPE and r-HDPE	136

4.33	Comparison of Flexural Strength for p-HDPE and r-HDPE	137
4.34	Comparison of tensile and flexural strength for p-HDPE, r-HDPE and recycled of r-HDPE	141
4.35	Tension test (N) of p-HDPE, r-HDPE, recycled of r-HDPE and toys (ASTM F963, 2016)	143
4.36	Tensile strength of p-HDPE, r-HDPE, recycled of r-HDPE and laboratory tubing (Sigma-Aldrich, 2017)	143
4.37	Mechanical properties of p-HDPE, r-HDPE, recycled of r-HDPE and plastic pipe (ASTM D3350, 2014)	143

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	General formula of polyethylene (Mills, 2005)	10
2.2	Polymer Code of HDPE (American Chemistry Council, Inc, 2005)	11
2.3	Example products of HDPE (Jenny McGrath, 2015)	12
2.4	Tensile deformation of polymer (Contreras and Andres, 2007)	13
2.5	Stages of Brittle Fracture (Lustiger and Markham, 1983)	14
	(a) Lamellae start to pull away	14
	(b) The tie-molecules are stretched tight	14
	(c) Clean break of lamellae	14
2.6	Injection Moulding Machine (Groover, M.P., 2010)	18
2.7	Categories of parameters (Bryce, 1997)	21
2.8	The three (3) phases in the injection moulding process (Procesos Plasticos Inyectados, 2013).	24
2.9	A relationship of input and output in a process under experimentation (Montgomery, 2009)	27
2.10	The Two Level Factorial Design Builder (Design Expert, user's guide, 2009)	31
2.11	Box-Behnken design (Minitab Inc., 2010)	34
2.12	Tensile testing (WebTechTix, 2014)	40
2.13	Stress-strain curve (Kalpakjian and Schmid, 2010)	41
2.14	Three-point bending (Mustafa, 2012)	43
2.15	Various curves of flexural stress against flexural strain (ASTM D790)	44
2.16	Main effects of tensile strength for virgin and recycled HDPE (Fei et al., 2011)	47
2.17	Main effects of flexural strength for virgin and recycled HDPE (Fei et al., 2011)	48

3.1	Flowchart of research	52
3.2	ARBURG Allrounder 420 C 800-25 injection moulding machine	53
3.3	Two plate mould	53
3.4	Shimadzu Autograph/AG-I 100kN	54
3.5	(a) Mini sputter coater	55
	(b) Coated specimens	55
3.6	(a) TW crusher machine	56
	(b) Panalux PCB-120 blender	56
3.7	Pure HDPE resin	57
3.8	(a) Resources of Recycled HDPE resin	58
	(b) Recycled HDPE resin	58
3.9	Steps of parameters setting	60
3.10	(a) Dimension of specimen	62
	(b) Design in Moldflow	62
3.11	Import Wizard of Moldflow	63
3.12	Analysis Wizard (Sequence) of Moldflow	64
3.13	Analysis Wizard (Material) of Moldflow	65
3.14	Analysis Wizard (Process setting) of Moldflow	66
3.15	Injection locations of Moldflow	66
3.16	Start Analysis of Moldflow	67
3.17	Screening run generated by fractional factorial	69
3.18	(a) Injected specimen	71
	(b) Cut specimens	71
3.19	Start stage for both testing	72
3.20	(a) System stage of tensile testing	73
	(b) System stage of flexural testing	73
3.21	(a) Sensor stage of tensile testing	74
	(b) Sensor stage of flexural testing	74
3.22	(a) Testing stage of tensile testing	75
	(b) Testing stage of flexural testing	76
3.23	(a) Specimen stage of tensile testing	77
	(b) Specimen stage of flexural testing	77
3.24	Data processing stage for both testing	78

3.25	Chart stage for both testing	78
3.26	Report stage for both testing	79
3.27	Tensile test specimen	80
3.28	(a) Specimen placed in the grips	80
	(b) Line aligned by the grips	80
	(c) Specimen tested	81
3.29	Flexural testing specimen	81
3.30	(a) Measuring of support span	82
	(b) Specimen in position	82
	(c) Specimen tested	82
	(d) Final shape of specimen	82
3.31	Preparing of crusher machine	83
3.32	Specimen put on crusher machine	84
3.33	Locks of crusher machine	84
3.34	Crushed r-HDPE	85
3.35	Crushed r-HDPE (coarse form)	85
3.36	(a) Crushed r-HDPE (resin form)	86
	(b) Crushed r-HDPE blended	86
3.37	Samples coated by sputter coater	87
3.38	Samples prepared for SEM	87
4.1	Perturbation of Tensile Strength Performance for p-HDPE	104
4.2	Interaction between injection pressure and holding time influencing tensile strength of p-HDPE	105
4.3	(a) Plot of effect of melting temperature and injection pressure on tensile strength of p-HDPE (holding rime constant at 25 s)	106
	(b) Plot of effect of melting temperature and holding time on tensile strength of p-HDPE (injection pressure constant at 85 MPa)	107
	(c) Plot of effect of injection pressure and holding time on tensile strength of p-HDPE (melting temperature constant at 220 °C)	107
4.4	Perturbation of Flexural Strength Performance for p-HDPE	112
4.5	Interaction between injection pressure and holding time influencing flexural strength of p-HDPE	113
4.6	Plot of effect of injection pressure and holding time on flexural strength of p-HDPE (melting temperature constant at 220 °C)	114

4.7	Perturbation of Tensile Strength Performance for r-HDPE	118
4.8	Interaction between injection pressure and holding time influencing tensile strength of r-HDPE	119
4.9	(a) Plot of effect of melting temperature and injection pressure on tensile strength of r-HDPE (holding rime constant at 25 s)	120
	(b) Plot of effect of melting temperature and holding time on tensile strength of r-HDPE (injection pressure constant at 85 MPa)	121
	(c) Plot of effect of injection pressure and holding time on tensile strength of r-HDPE (melting temperature constant at 220 °C)	121
4.10	Perturbation of Flexural Strength Performance for r-HDPE	125
4.11	Interaction between injection pressure and holding time influencing flexural strength of r-HDPE	126
4.12	(a) Plot of effect of melting temperature and injection pressure on flexural strength of r-HDPE (holding time constant at 25 s)	127
	(b) Plot of effect of melting temperature and holding time on flexural strength of r-HDPE (injection pressure constant at 85 MPa)	128
	(c) Plot of effect of injection pressure and holding time on flexural strength of r-HDPE (melting temperature constant at 220 °C)	128
4.13	(a) Optimization result (A) of p-HDPE	130
	(b) Optimization result (B) of p-HDPE	131
	(c) Optimization result (C) of p-HDPE	131
4.14	(a) Optimization result (A) of r-HDPE	132
	(b) Optimization result (B) of r-HDPE	132
	(c) Optimization result (C) of r-HDPE	133
4.15	SEM on tensile specimens of p-HDPE at condition of melting temperature (240 °C), injection pressure (95 MPa) and holding time (30 s).	139
4.16	SEM on tensile specimens of r-HDPE at condition of melting temperature (240 °C), injection pressure (95 MPa) and holding time (30 s)	139
4.17	SEM on flexural specimens of p-HDPE at condition of melting temperature (240 °C), injection pressure (95 MPa) and holding time (30 s)	139

4.18	SEM on flexural specimens of r-HDPE at condition of melting temperature (240 °C), injection pressure (95 MPa) and holding time (30 s).	140
4.19	Example of products	141
	(a) toys	141
	(b) laboratory tube	141
	(c) plastic pipe	142

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Material Selected	168
B	Setup of Parameters	169
C	Pilot Test	171
D	Testing Results	175

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABS	-	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene
ANOVA	-	Analysis of variance approach
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
BBD	-	Box-Behnken Design
BPA	-	Bisphenol A
BS	-	British Standards
BS EN ISO	-	British, European and International Standards
CAD	-	Computer-aided design
CAE	-	Computer-aided engineering
CCD	-	Central Composite Design
DDT	-	Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
DNA	-	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DOE	-	Design of Experiment
FEA	-	Finite element analysis
ICI	-	Imperial Chemical Industries
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
L/D	-	Ratio of length to diameter
LDPE	-	Low Density Polyethylene
MDPE	-	Medium Density Polyethylene

MSW	-	Municipal Solid Waste
NP	-	Nonylphenol
p-HDPE	-	pure High Density Polyethylene
PAHs	-	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PBDEs	-	Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers
PC	-	Polycarbonate
PCBs	-	Polychlorinated Biphenyls
PE	-	Polyethylene
PET	-	Polyethylene Terephthalate
phr	-	Parts per hundred rubber
PL	-	Polyesters
PLA	-	Polylactic Acid
PP	-	Polypropylene
PS	-	Polystyrene
PU	-	Polyurethanes
PVC	-	Polyvinyl Chloride
r-HDPE	-	recycled High Density Polyethylene
RSM	-	Response Surface Methodology
SAN	-	Styrene acrylonitrile
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
SI	-	International System of Units
UV	-	Ultraviolet

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$^{\circ}\text{C}$	-	Degree Celsius
$\%$	-	Percentage
ϵ	-	Strain
ϵ_f	-	Strain at failure
σ	-	Stress
σ_f	-	Stress at failure
b	-	Width of tested beam
D	-	Midspan deflection
d	-	Depth of tested beam
E	-	Modulus
g	-	Gram
g/cm^2	-	Gram per centimetre square
g/cm^3	-	Gram per centimetre cube
H	-	Height
Kg	-	Kilogram
kJ/m^2	-	Kilojoule per square meter
KPa	-	Kilo Pascal
L	-	Length
L	-	Support span

m	-	Meter
m/s	-	Meter per second
mm	-	Millimeter
mm/s	-	Millimeter per second
MPa	-	Mega Pascal
N	-	Newton
P	-	Load at a given point on the load-deflection curve
r	-	Strain
W	-	Width

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal

Zuraimi, R., Sulaiman, M.A., Joseph, S.A.E., Mohamad, E. and Che Haron, C.H., 2015. Tool Life Performance of Coated Carbide Tool on Titanium Alloy Extra Low Insterstitials. *Jurnal Teknologi Science & Engineering*, 77 (1), pp. 85-93.