



Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

**THE INFLUENCE OF BLADE PARAMETERS ON THE
PERFORMANCE OF PROPELLER PICO HYDRO TURBINE FOR
RURAL ELECTRIFICATION**

Masjuri Bin Musa @ Othman

Doctor of Philosophy

2018

**THE INFLUENCE OF BLADE PARAMETERS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF
PROPELLER PICO HYDRO TURBINE FOR RURAL ELECTRIFICATION**

MASJURI BIN MUSA @ OTHMAN

A thesis submitted

In fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2018

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “The Influence of Blade Parameters on the Performance of Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine for Rural Electrification” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature :

Name :

Date :

DEDICATION

To my beloved mother and my late father, my wife and sons

ABSTRACT

Propeller Pico hydro turbine is one of the hydro turbine schemes which is capable to produce power output up to 5 kW. This stand-alone hydro system uses the run-off-river method with the application of penstocks to deliver the necessary water flow rate to the turbine system. In Malaysia, most of the rural sites offer significant sources of river flows with annual average 100 L/s to distribute power without the need for grid. In order to ensure the propeller hydro turbine can perform as required, both head and water flow rate are the two crucial parameters that must be taken into consideration. Since propeller Pico hydro turbine is more suitable to operate under low head, the water flow rate should be high enough to ensure power output generated by the hydro turbine can reach its maximum output. However, during dry season, the level of the river water will become low and even the stream flow of the water can become slow and unsteady. This phenomenon affects the overall performance of the Pico hydro turbine. The slow flow causes the rotational speed of the blades to become slower and indirectly the generator of the propeller hydro turbine produces very little power output. Therefore, the investigation on the influence of blade parameter configurations such as the hub-to-tip ratio, number of blades, and blade angles on the performance of the propeller hydro turbine were done under these stipulated condition. In addition, the straight flat profile with constant thickness and blade width are other blade criteria which are being focused. In this particular case, in which both head and flow rate are in low conditions, the values were fixed at 2.3 m height and 13 L/s water flow rate. At the initial stage, before proceeding to the actual experiments, the implementation of CFD simulation analysis was conducted on the mentioned blade design parameter configurations to serve as a design tool and reference for a better understanding of the experimental findings. Next, a Pico hydro test rig was designed and developed for the experimental purpose. After confirmation was made with the actual experiments, all related data were compiled together to identify the influence of combination related to blade parameters. Comparison on the Cost of Energy (CoE) was conducted between the hydro turbine and the standalone fuelled generator, where hydro turbine provided better amount of CoE which was 3.77 RM/kWh. From the Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA), hydro turbine provided better Return of Investment (RoI) and Payback Period (PP) compared to standalone generator which is 44% and 5 years respectively. The final results showed the maximum electrical power output generated by the hydro turbine was 17 W, while the efficiency was 45%. In conclusion, the hub-to-tip ratio contributed the most on the performance of the hydro turbine, followed by the number of blades and lastly the blade angles. The best combination of blade parameter configurations is 0.4 for the hub-to-tip ratio, with three blades at 70° angle.

ABSTRAK

Turbin bilah hidro Piko merupakan salah satu daripada skim turbin hidro yang berkemampuan menghasilkan kuasa sehingga 5 kW. Sistem turbin hidro ini melibatkan penggunaan aliran air sungai secara terus di mana sistem perpaipan diguna pakai untuk mengarahkan aliran air sungai terus ke sistem turbin hidro. Kebanyakan kawasan pedalaman di Malaysia mempunyai purata tahunan kadar aliran sungai sekitar 100 L/s sebagai sumber bekalan kuasa. Untuk memastikan turbin bilah hidro Piko ini boleh berfungsi seperti mana yang diperlukan, dua faktor penting iaitu ketinggian dan juga kadar aliran air perlu dipertekankan. Memandangkan turbin bilah hidro Piko adalah sesuai diaplikasikan di kawasan yang rendah, oleh yang demikian, kadar aliran air yang perlu dibekalkan haruslah cukup deras bagi memastikan ianya berkemampuan untuk menjana kuasa semaksimum mungkin. Walau bagaimanapun, semasa musim panas dan kering, paras air sungai akan menurun malahan kadar aliran air sungai akan turut menjadi perlahan dan tidak menentu. Fenomena ini menjejaskan keseluruhan prestasi turbin piko hidro. Daripada kajian yang telah dijalankan, ketinggian yang rendah dan kadar aliran air yang juga rendah telah menyebabkan generator turbin menghasilkan kuasa yang begitu rendah. Dalam penyelidikan ini, turbin bilah kipas (salah satu daripada jenis turbin piko hidro) telah dipilih sebagai subjek yang akan diperbincangkan. Kajian telah dilaksanakan ke atas pengaruh parameter-parameter bilah seperti nisbah hab ke hujung bilah, bilangan bilah, dan sudut bilah ke atas prestasi turbin hidro. Di samping itu, bilah yang berprofil rata dengan ketebalan dan kelebaran yang seragam adalah merupakan kriteria yang turut diberikan perhatian. Di dalam kes ini, ketinggian yang akan diaplikasikan adalah 2.3 m manakala kadar aliran airnya adalah 13 L/s. Sebelum melaksanakan eksperimen sebenar, peraksanaan analisis simulasi CFD telah dilakukan sebagai panduan bagi mendapatkan gambaran yang lebih jelas berkenaan dengan eksperimen yang dikendalikan. Kesemua data yang berkaitan (daripada analisis CFD dan juga eksperimen) akan dikumpulkan dan dibuat perbandingan untuk mengenal pasti sejauh mana pengaruh parameter-parameter bilah yang terlibat ke atas prestasi keluaran. Perbandingan Kos Tenaga (CoE) turut dilakukan di antara hidro turbine dan generator, di mana hidro turbin mempunyai jumlah Kos Tenaga yang lebih baik iaitu 3.77 RM/kWh. Daripada Analisis Kos Kitaran Hayat (LCCA), turbin hidro memberikan pulangan yang lebih baik dalam tempoh pelaburan (RoI) dan pembayaran balik (PP) berbanding dengan jenerator di mana nilainya adalah sebanyak 44% dan 5 tahun. Keputusan akhir menunjukkan kuasa keluaran maksimum elektrik yang dijanakan oleh hidro turbin adalah 17 W manakala kuasa keluaran maksimum mekanikal adalah 37 W. Kecekapan turbin hidro adalah 45%. Kesimpulan daripada penyelidikan ini mendapati nisbah hab ke hujung bilah adalah parameter yang paling mempengaruhi prestasi turbin hidro, diikuti dengan sudut bilah dan akhir sekali adalah jumlah bilangan bilah. Kombinasi parameter bilah yang paling sesuai adalah 0.4 nisbah hab ke hujung bilah, dan 3 bilah pada sudut 70°.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Juhari bin Ab. Razak from the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (FKM, UTeM) for the supervision, support, guidance, and valuable advice in all aspects throughout the completion of this research and the thesis. I also wish to express my thanks to Dato' Professor Dr. Kamaruzzaman bin Sopian from the Solar Energy Research Institute, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (SERI, UKM), as my co-supervisor for sharing additional information and knowledge towards the completion of this research.

Special thanks go to my employer, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), and Jabatan Perkhidmatan Awam Malaysia (JPA) for their fundings.

Special thanks also go to my family, especially my late father, beloved mother, wife and sons, for their moral support and tolerance towards the completion of my thesis.

Finally, thank you to those who directly or indirectly contributed to the completion of this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xix
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Hydropower as an Alternative Electrical Energy Source	1
1.2 The Importance of Head and Flow Rate in Hydropower	3
1.3 Hydro Power Classification	5
1.4 Overview of Rural Electrification in Malaysia	6
1.4.1 Potential Sites of Pico Hydro Scheme in Malaysia	11
1.4.2 Application of Pico Hydro Power Scheme in Malaysia	16
1.5 A General Overview of Pico Hydro Turbine	20
1.6 An Overview of Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine	21
1.7 The Working Principle of a Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine	23
1.8 Factors for Selection of Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine	25
1.9 Turbine Selection Chart	27
1.10 Research Background	29
1.11 Problem Statement of the Research	31
1.12 Research Questions	32
1.13 Hypothesis	32
1.14 Objective of the Research	33
1.15 Scopes of the Research	33
1.16 Motivation for the Research Works	34
1.17 Research Methodology	35
1.18 Thesis Outline	39
1.19 Summary	42
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	43
2.1 Introduction	43
2.2 Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine Runner Blades	43
2.2.1 Experiments of Runner Blades Under Low Head with Low Flow Conditions	44

2.2.2	Experiments of Runner Blades Under Low Head with High Flow Conditions	50
2.3	Simulation Analysis of Runner Blades	56
2.4	Novelty of this Research	63
2.5	Summary	65
3.	MATHEMATICAL EQUATIONS AND NUMERICAL MODELLING THROUGH CFD	67
3.1	Introduction	67
3.2	Blade Design	68
3.3	Mathematical Equations	70
3.3.1	Velocity Diagram	70
3.3.2	Fundamental of Blade Dimensions	73
3.3.3	Measuring Power Output Through Mathematical Equations	75
3.3.4	Efficiency of the Hydro Turbine	77
3.3.5	Euler's Shaft Equation	79
3.3.6	Turbine Specific Speed Equation	80
3.4	Blade Optimization Through 3D Solid Modelling	81
3.4.1	3D Solid Modelling Runner Blades	81
3.5	Computational Analysis of Runner Blades	86
3.5.1	Process Flow of CFD Simulation Analysis	88
3.6	Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA)	96
3.6.1	Payback Period (PP)	96
3.6.2	Return of Investment (RoI)	97
3.6.3	Cost of Energy (CoE)	97
3.7	Summary	97
4.	EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP AND TEST PROCEDURE	99
4.1	Introduction	99
4.2	Prototype Development	99
4.2.1	Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine System	100
4.2.2	Pico Hydro Turbine Test-rig System	101
4.3	Operation of the Pico Hydro Test-rig	103
4.4	Proposed Runner Blades Selection for the Experiments by Sampling Technique	105
4.5	Procedure for the Head and Flow Rate Measurement	106
4.5.1	Volumetric Flow Rate of Water Measurement	106
4.5.2	Head Measurement	109
4.6	Conducting the Experiments	111
4.6.1	The Power Output Measurement	112
4.7	Summary	116
5.	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	117
5.1	Introduction	117
5.2	Mathematical Power Output Results and Discussion	117

5.3	Experiments Power Output Results of the Six Sampling Runner Blades	130
5.4	Velocity Profile of the Runner Blades	132
5.5	Experimental Results and Discussion of the Power Output	136
5.6	Relationship Between Power Output, Efficiency, Torque and Rotational Speed	141
5.7	Influence of Blade Design Parameters on the Hydro Turbine Performance	145
5.7.1	Hub-to-tip Ratio	146
5.7.2	Number of Blades	147
5.7.3	Blade Angle	148
5.8	Power Output Comparison Between Twisted Blade and Straight Flat Blade Under Low Head with Low Flow Rate Conditions	150
5.9	Manufacturing Techniques and Costs for Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine	154
5.10	Economic Analysis	159
5.10.1	Capital Cost/Investment Cost	160
5.10.2	Operation and Maintenance Cost	160
5.10.3	Replacement Cost	161
5.11	Present Value (PV)	161
5.12	Future Value (FV)	162
5.13	Net Present Value (NPV)	162
5.14	Energy Production	163
5.15	Paypack Period (PP) of the Systems	164
5.16	Return of Investment (RoI) of the Sytems	165
5.17	Cost of Energy (CoE) of the Systems	167
5.18	Summary	168
6.	CONCLUSION	170
6.1	Research Achievement	171
7.	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS	174
7.1	Future Recommendation	174
	REFERENCES	177
	APPENDICES	188

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Hydro Turbine Schemes Based on the Power Output Produced	5
1.2	Hydro Turbine Potential Sites by Region in Malaysia	12
1.3	Examples of Installed Pico Hydro Scheme in Rural Areas in Malaysia	18
2.1	Summary of the Blade Specifications for Experiments Under Low Head Low Flow Rate	44
2.2	Summary of the Blade Specifications for Experiments Under Low Head High Flow Rate	50
2.3	Summary of the Runner Blade Specifications for Simulation Analysis	57
3.1	Runner Blade Design Parameters for the Investigations	67
3.2	Hydro Turbine Specific Speed	81
3.3	Existing Runner Twisted Blade (Benchmark Runner Blade)	82
3.4	Examples of Optimized Straight Flat Runner for 3 Blades	83
3.5	Examples of Optimized Straight Flat Runner for 4 Blades	83
3.6	Examples of Optimized Straight Flat Runner for 5 Blades	84
3.7	Examples of Optimized Straight Flat Runner for 6 Blades	84
3.8	Example of an Average Axial Velocity on Each Plane for Straight Flat Runner Blade	93

4.1	Sampling of Proposed Six Straight Flat Runner Blades for Experiments	105
4.2	Time Taken to Fill the Water Tank and its Average	108
5.1	Average Axial Velocity from CFD Analysis and Calculated Power Output for Straight Flat Runner Blade with 0.4 Hub-to-Tip Ratio	118
5.2	Average Axial Velocity from CFD Analysis and Calculated Power Output for Straight Flat Runner Blade with 0.5 Hub-to-Tip Ratio	119
5.3	Average Axial Velocity from CFD Analysis and Calculated Power Output for Straight Flat Runner Blade with 0.6 Hub-to-Tip Ratio	120
5.4	Average Axial Velocity from CFD Analysis and Calculated Power Output For Straight Flat Runner Blade with 0.7 Hub-to-Tip Ratio	121
5.5	Power Output Summary Based on Each Blade Angle Configurations	124
5.6	Power Output Summary Based on Each Hub-to-Tip Ratio Configurations	126
5.7	Power Output Summary Based on Each Number of Blades Configurations	128
5.8	Maximum Power Output Produced by Each Hub-to-Tip Ratio	129
5.9	Experiment Data for Twisted and Six Sampling Blade Configurations	130
5.10	Average Axial Velocity Value on Each Plane for Selected Six Sampling Straight Flat Runner Blades	132
5.11	Average Axial Velocity Value on Each Plane for Twisted Curved Runner blade	135
5.12	Six Straight Flat Runner Blades for the Experiments	137
5.13	Summary of the Experiments Results	140

5.14	Summary of Comparison on Manufacturing Process Methods and it Capability	155
5.15	Summary of Manufacturing Methods and Fabrication Costs to Form a Complete Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine System in this Research Work	158
5.16	Summary of the Life Cycle Cost Of Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine and Standalone Diesel Generator	166
5.17	Summary of Cost of Energy for Hydro Turbine and Standalone Generator	168

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	The Percentage of Global Energy Consumption in Year 2014	3
1.2	Illustrative Diagram of the Definition of Head in Hydro Turbine	4
1.3	Malaysia Energy Generation Capacity in Year 2015	11
1.4	Schematic Diagram of a Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine System	22
1.5	The Working Principle of a Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine	24
1.6	Cross Section of Water Flow Direction Through the Stator and Rotor	24
1.7	Variation of Efficiency with Specific Speed of Hydro Turbine	27
1.8	Turbine Selection Chart Based on its Head and Flow Rate	28
1.9	Flow Chart of the Whole Processes of the Research Work	39
2.1	Propeller Blades Designed by Ho-Yan	45
2.2	Assembly of a Simple Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine	54
2.3	Runner Blade with Nose Cone	58
2.4	3D Drawing of the Runner Blade	60
2.5	Previous Works on Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine Runner Blades	64
3.1	An Example of Straight Flat Shape Runner Blades with 45° Angle	69
3.2	An Example of Twisted Shape of Runner Blades	69
3.3	Velocity Diagram for a Straight Flat Runner Blade	71

3.4	Fundamental Blade Dimensions of a Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine	74
3.5	Diagram for Euler's Shaft	79
3.6	Images Between Domain and Blade Under 3D Solid Modelling	85
3.7	Process Flow Chart for CFD Analytical Process of Propeller Turbine Blades	89
3.8	Image Examples of Meshing in CFD Analysis	90
3.9	An Image of Simulation Analysis to Obtain the Average Axial Velocity at the Outlet Plane of the Rotor Housing	91
3.10	An Example of CFD Image on the Plane Locations to Determine the Velocity Profile of the Average Axial Velocity for Straight Flat Blade	92
3.11	An Example of Velocity Profile of the Runner Blade	93
3.12	An Example of Simulation Analysis Image for the Twisted Blade to Obtain the Average Axial Velocity at the Outlet Plane of the Rotor Housing	95
3.13	An Example of CFD Image on the Plane Locations to Determine the Velocity Profile of the Average Axial Velocity for Twisted Runner Blade	95
4.1	Complete Set of Fabricated Parts of the Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine System	101
4.2	Schematic Diagram of the Pico Hydro Test-Rig	102
4.3	Pico Hydro Turbine Test-Rig for Experimental Activities	102
4.4	Water Flow Direction for Experiment Involving the Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine	104
4.5	Method to Determine Water Tank Volume	107

4.6	Measurement of Water Flow Rate Using Bucket Method	109
4.7	Measurement of Head for the Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine	110
4.8	Method for Measuring Power Output from Experimental Activities	113
4.9	Schematic Circuit Diagram to Measure Voltage and Current	114
4.10	Method to Measure the Rotation Speed	115
5.1	Graph Patterns of Power Output for Runner Blade Design Parameter Configurations Based on Blade Angle	122
5.2	Graph Patterns of Power Output for Runner Blade Design Parameter Configurations Based on Hub-to-Tip Ratio	125
5.3	Graph Patterns of Power Output for Runner Blade Design Parameter Configurations Based on Number of Blades	127
5.4	Experiment Power Output Graph for Six Selected Samples of Runner Blades Based on the Rotational Speed	131
5.5	CFD Images of the Average Axial Velocity Planes for Runner Blades (a) Sample #1, (b) Sample #2, (c) Sample #64, (d) Sample #65, (e) Sample #127 and (f) Sample #128	133
5.6	Velocity Profiles for Six Sample of the Straight Flat Runner Blades	134
5.7	CFD Image of the Average Axial Velocity Planes for Twisted Curved Runner Blade	135
5.8	Velocity Profile for Twisted Curved Runner Blade	136
5.9	The Graph Power Output Produced Based from Mathematical and Experiments	138
5.10	Electrical Power Output on Turbine Rotational Speed	142
5.11	Efficiency on Turbine Rotational Speed	142

5.12	Effect of Torque on Turbine Efficiency	143
5.13	Variation of Electrical Power Output with Turbine Torque	144
5.14	Variation of Efficiency with Turbine Torque	144
5.15	Fishbone Diagram for the Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine Performance	145
5.16	Hub-to-Tip Ratio Configurations for the Straight Flat Runner Blades	146
5.17	The Best Configuration of Blade Parameters for Straight Flat Runner Blade	152

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Summary of Flow Rate Data of the Rivers in Malaysia for the Year 2015	188
B	Fabrication and Standard Parts of a Complete Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine System	189
C	Power Output Calculation through Mathematical Equations	190
D	Head Loss Calculation to obtain Net Head	193
E	Examples of CFD Meshing Images for Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine Blades	200
F	Input Data in the Pre-processing Stage Activities in CFD	202
G	Engineering Drawings of the Pico Hydro Test-rig System	203
H	Bill of Materials of the Pico Hydro Test-rig System	206
I	Engineering Drawings of the Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine System	209
J	Bill of Materials of the Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine System	234
K	Water Flow Rate Calculation	238
L	Experimental Data of the Power Output from Sampling	239
M	Guidelines Criteria on the Implementation of Rural Electricity Supply Program	241
N	LCCA Calculation Using Excel for Hydro Turbine and Standalone Diesel Generator	253

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Summary of Flow Rate Data of the Rivers in Malaysia for the Year 2015	188
B	Fabrication and Standard Parts of a Complete Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine System	189
C	Power Output Calculation through Mathematical Equations	190
D	Head Loss Calculation to obtain Net Head	193
E	Examples of CFD Meshing Images for Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine Blades	200
F	Input Data in the Pre-processing Stage Activities in CFD	202
G	Engineering Drawings of the Pico Hydro Test-rig System	203
H	Bill of Materials of the Pico Hydro Test-rig System	206
I	Engineering Drawings of the Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine System	209
J	Bill of Materials of the Propeller Pico Hydro Turbine System	234
K	Water Flow Rate Calculation	238
L	Experimental Data of the Power Output from Sampling	239
M	Guidelines Criteria on the Implementation of Rural Electricity Supply Program	241
N	LCCA Calculation Using Excel for Hydro Turbine and Standalone Diesel Generator	253

O	Cost Summary of the Hydro Turbine and Generator System by HOMER software	254
P	Terms and Definition in HOMER software	260
Q	Pipe Selection Calculation	263
R	Reynold's Number Calculation	264
S	Pump Selection Calculation	266
T	Moody Diagram for Pump Selection	267

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC	-	Alternate Current
BoM	-	Bill of Materials
CoE	-	Cost of Energy
CAD	-	Computer Aided Design
DC	-	Direct Current
DIY	-	Do it Yourself
2D	-	Two Dimension
3D	-	Three Dimension
FV	-	Future Value
LCCA	-	Life Cycle Cost Analysis
PP	-	Payback Period
PV	-	Present Value
RoI	-	Return of Investment

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	-	Area of Cross-Section
A_1	-	Cross Section of Water Flow at Inlet Rotor
A_2	-	Cross Section of Water Flow at Outlet Stator/Inlet Rotor
A_3	-	Cross Section of Water Flow at Outlet Rotor
α_1	-	Angle of Absolute Velocity at Inlet Stator
α_2	-	Angle at Outlet Stator
α_3	-	Angle of Relative Velocity at Outlet Rotor
B_a	-	Blade Angle
β_3	-	Angle at Outlet Rotor
C_f	-	Fanning's Friction Factor
C_θ	-	Circumferential Fluid Velocity
C_{x1}	-	Water Flow Absolute Velocity at Inlet Stator
C_{x2}	-	Water Flow Absolute Velocity at Outlet Stator
C_{x3}	-	Water Flow Absolute Velocity at Outlet Rotor
d_i	-	Inner Diameter of the PVC Pipe
D_1	-	Exit Diameter for Straight Draft Tube
D_2	-	Inlet Diameter for Straight Draft Tube
D_b	-	Diameter of Runner Blade
E_t	-	The Rate for Work Transferred from the Fluid to the Runner
f	-	Friction Factor for Laminar Flow
g	-	Gravitational Acceleration
H	-	Head
H_{major}	-	Major Energy Losses
H_{minor}	-	Minor Energy Losses
H_f	-	Head Loss
H_i	-	Head Loss at The Entrance of the Pipe
H_o	-	Head Loss at The Exit of the Pipe
H_{fit}	-	Head Loss due to Pipe Fittings
H_N	-	Net Head

H_T	-	Total Head Losses
H_G	-	Gross Head
I	-	Current
I_x	-	Current Gained from Experiment Activities
K	-	Coefficient of the Bend Pipe
k_f	-	Loss Coefficient of the Pipe Bend
k_i	-	Loss Coefficient at Entrance
k_o	-	Loss Coefficient at Exit
L	-	Pipe Length
m	-	Mass Flow Rate
η	-	Efficiency
η_s	-	Specific Speed
$\eta_{turbine}$	-	Turbine Efficiency
$\eta_{generator}$	-	Generator Efficiency
N	-	Rotational Speed of the Turbine In Rpm
N_{blade}	-	Number of Blade
P_o	-	Power Output
P_i	-	Power Input
P_T	-	Turbine Power
ρ	-	Density (General)
ρ_w	-	Fluid (Water) Density
ρ_1	-	Water Density at Outlet Stator/Inlet Rotor
ρ_2	-	Water Density at Outlet Stator/Inlet Rotor
ρ_3	-	Water Density at Outlet Rotor
Q	-	Flow Rate
R	-	Resistor
Re	-	Reynolds Number
r	-	Radius
$r1$	-	Hub Radius of Propeller Hydro Turbine
$r2$	-	Blade Radius of Propeller Hydro Turbine
R_{ht}	-	Hub-to-Tip Ratio
σ	-	Standard Deviation
t	-	Time in Second
τ	-	Torque

U	-	Blade Speed
μ	-	Absolute or Dynamic Viscosity of the Fluid
V	-	Flow Velocity
V_{bucket}	-	Volume of the Bucket
V_{CFD}	-	Stream Velocity Gained from CFD Analysis Activities
V_{ave}	-	Mean (Average) Velocity of the Flow
V_{stream}	-	Stream Velocity of the Flow
V_x	-	Current Gained from Experiment Activities
ω	-	Angular Velocity in rad/s
W_2	-	Water Flow Relative Velocity at Inlet Rotor
W_3	-	Water Flow Relative Velocity at Outlet Rotor
+ve	-	Positive Electrical Point
-ve	-	Negative Electrical Point