



**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**IMPROVEMENT ON MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AND  
STUDY OF MANUFACTURABILITY OF PRINTED PART  
FABRICATED VIA OPEN SOURCE 3D PRINTER**

**Siti Nur Humaira Binti Mazlan**

**Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering**

**2018**

**IMPROVEMENT ON MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AND STUDY OF  
MANUFACTURABILITY OF PRINTED PART FABRICATED VIA  
OPEN SOURCE 3D PRINTER**

**SITI NUR HUMAIRA BINTI MAZLAN**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science  
in Mechanical Engineering**

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2018**

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that this thesis entitled “Improvement on Mechanical Properties and Study of Manufacturability of Printed Part Fabricated Via Open Source 3D Printer” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : .....

Date : .....

## APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering.

Signature : .....

Supervisor Name : .....

Date : .....

## **DEDICATION**

To my beloved mother and father, thank you for strengthen me whenever I felt down and giving up to finish my Master's Degree. Thank you for your endless support including financial, moral, and everything. I am dedicated this study to both of you who I loves so much with all my heart. For my supervisor, Ir. Dr. Mohd Rizal bin Alkahari, thank you so much for believing in me and always help me to finish the study. Thank you for everything.

## ABSTRACT

3D printing or also known as additive manufacturing (AM) has been introduced to fabricate the prototypes in shorter time and cost-effective. AM also capable to fabricate complex part geometry without any additional tooling and jigs required. One of the most well-known techniques in AM is fused deposition modeling (FDM). However, the main bottleneck of FDM is its design manufacturability and printed part quality in terms of surface roughness, tensile strength and dimensional accuracy. In this research, the 3D printing processing methods using FDM were discussed to study the effect of pre-process, in-process and post-processing technique on mechanical properties and manufacturability of open source 3D printed parts. As for pre-processing, Taguchi analysis was conducted to find the optimum printing parameter settings. Meanwhile, for in-processing method, inert gas assisted 3D printing was evaluated. Then, the post-processing method which involves improvement of fully completed printed part was analyzed. The laser post-treatment and blow cold acetone vapor is used for post-processing method. Based on the comparison made, inert gas assisted 3D printing technique was selected as the best improvement method because of its capability to improve the overall part's quality including surface roughness, tensile strength and dimensional accuracy. In order to identify the design limitation for FDM, the manufacturability and design attributes were studied. The manufacturability study involves some of the difference features of overhang, bridges, wall thickness, small hole diameter and wire diameter. Based on the information, test model 1, 2 and 3 was fabricated using inert gas assisted 3D printer machine. The dimensional accuracy for the test model was compared to original 3D printing technique. In general, it was found that, the 3D printed part's surface roughness was improved by 45% for inert gas assisted method, 63% for laser post-treatment and 94% for blow cold acetone vapor. For tensile strength, 36% improvement made using inert gas assisted method, while for the other post-processing method, the tensile strength is significantly reduces. The dimensional accuracy was also improved for the test model structure up to 39% improvement when using inert gas assisted method. The study has successfully identified the design limitation, and developed design guideline for open source 3D printer. The information can be useful to all 3D printer users to avoid the laborious and time consuming trials during manufacturing process of the prototype.

## ABSTRAK

Percetakan 3D atau juga dikenali sebagai pembuatan secara tambahan telah diperkenalkan untuk mengurangkan masa pembuatan prototaip dan mengurangkan kos secara efektif. Selain itu, percetakan 3D juga mampu untuk menghasilkan produk dengan reka bentuk kompleks geometri tanpa menggunakan sebarang kaedah peralatan dan jig tambahan. Salah satu teknik yang terkenal dalam percetakan 3D adalah pemodelan secara pemendapan ataupun “fused deposition modeling” (FDM). Walaubagaimanapun, kaedah ini mempunyai sedikit kelemahan dimana kualiti produknya tidak terlalu baik berbanding dengan teknik percetakan 3D yang lain. Oleh itu, dalam kajian ini, kaedah memproses percetakan 3D secara FDM telah dibincangkan untuk mengkaji kesan secara teknik pra-proses, semasa proses dan pasca-proses terhadap kualiti produk yang dihasilkan. Kajian ini adalah untuk menguji kualiti produk yang dihasilkan dari segi sifat-sifat mekanikal seperti kekuatan produk, dan kualiti bahagian permukaan produk. Bagi pra-pemprosesan, analisa Taguchi telah dijalankan untuk mendapatkan nilai optima untuk parameter mesin 3D cetak yang sesuai sepanjang eksperimen dijalankan. Sementara itu, untuk kaedah pemprosesan, percetakan 3D yang dibantu dengan penglibatan gas lengai telah dinilai. Kemudian, pasca-pemprosesan melibatkan proses seperti rawatan pasca menggunakan laser dan menggunakan kaedah tiupan wap aseton sejuk telah digunakan. Berdasarkan perbandingan yang telah dibuat, teknik yang menggunakan gas lengai telah dipilih sebagai kaedah penambahbaikan yang terbaik kerana mampu untuk meningkatkan mutu produk secara keseluruhannya. Untuk mengenal pasti kekangan reka bentuk untuk FDM, kebolehpembuatan dan kebolehan FDM menghasilkan bentuk-bentuk tertentu dikaji. Berdasarkan maklumat tersebut, model ujian telah dibangunkan dengan menggunakan model ujian 1, 2 dan 3 dicetak menggunakan mesin pencetak 3D yang menggunakan gas lengai. Ketepatan dimensi untuk model ujian yang dicetak menggunakan 3D cetak secara gas lengai telah dibandingkan dengan 3D cetak secara normal. Berdasarkan eksperimen yang dijalankan, didapati bahawa dengan menggunakan kaedah secara gas lengai, permukaan kasar produk dapat ditingkatkan sehingga 45%, manakala 63% secara rawatan laser dan 94% dengan menggunakan kaedah tiupan wap aseton sejuk. Untuk ujian ketepatan dimensi, peningkatan secara 39% dengan menggunakan kaedah bantuan gas lengai telah dijalankan. Kajian ini berjaya mengenal pasti kekangan reka bentuk produk, serta membangunkan rujukan ketika rekabentuk untuk percetakan 3D. Maklumat ini boleh digunakan untuk semua pengguna mesin percetakan 3D untuk mengelakkan berlakunya susah payah di makmal dan dapat menjimatkan masa semasa proses pembuatan prototaip.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere acknowledgement to my supervisor Ir. Dr. Mohd Rizal bin Alkahari from the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for his essential supervision, support and encouragement towards the completion of this thesis.

I would also like to express my greatest gratitude to Puan Nurul Ain binti Maidin from Faculty of Engineering Technology, co-supervisor of this project for her advice and suggestions. Special thanks to UTeM and Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia for the grant funding (RAGS) for the financial support throughout this project.

Special thanks to all my peers, my parents, my husband and siblings for their moral support in completing this Master's degree. Lastly, thank you to everyone who has help me throughout the project.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>APPROVAL</b>	
<b>DEDICATION</b>	
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>xxiv</b>
<b>LIST OF AWARDS</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Research objectives	4
1.4 Research scopes	4
1.5 Summary	5
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Additive manufacturing (AM) technologies	7
2.2 Fused deposition modeling (FDM) technique	14
2.2.1 New technology development in FDM 3D printer	15
2.3 Pre-processing technique : FDM process parameter	22
2.3.1 Process parameter optimization	23
2.3.1.1 Layer thickness	23
2.3.1.2 Build orientation	24
2.3.1.3 Raster angle	24
2.3.1.4 Temperature	25
2.3.2 Taguchi analysis	26
2.3.3 Research on FDM process optimization on quality	28
2.3.3.1 Surface roughness	28
2.3.3.2 Mechanical strength in FDM	29
2.3.3.3 Dimensional accuracy	30
2.3.3.4 Build time	31
2.4 Post-processing technique in FDM	33
2.4.1 Introduction to post-processing treatment	33
2.4.2 Mechanical finishing technique	34

2.4.2.1	Manual sanding	35
2.4.2.2	Abrasive milling	36
2.4.2.3	Abrasive flow machining	36
2.4.2.4	Sand blasting	37
2.4.3	Chemical finishing technique	37
2.4.3.1	Manual painting	37
2.4.3.2	Acetone dipping	38
2.4.3.3	Vapor smoothing	39
2.4.3.4	Electroplating	39
2.4.4	Chemical vapor polishing	41
2.4.4.1	Surface roughness and mechanical properties of FDM parts	44
2.4.5	Conventional finishing technologies	46
2.5	In-process technique using nitrogen gas	47
2.5.1	Nitrogen gas application in AM	47
2.5.2	Nitrogen gas application in FDM	49
2.5.2.1	Degradation of ABS polymer	49
2.6	Design for manufacture (DFM) in 3D printing	50
2.6.1	Review of benchmarking design parts	50
2.6.2	Table summary for benchmarking design review	54
2.6.3	An overview for design guideline and manufacturability of AM system	56
2.7	Summary	58
<b>3.</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>61</b>
3.1	Introduction	61
3.2	General flow chart of the research study	61
3.2.1	Pre-process, in-process and post-processing of 3D printed part	62
3.2.2	Manufacturability and design limitation of FDM process	63
3.2.3	Test model analysis of open source 3D printer	64
3.3	Processing technique in FDM 3D printer	65
3.3.1	Pre-processing technique: Parameter optimization using Taguchi analysis	65
3.3.2	In-processing technique : Inert gas assisted 3D printing machine	67
3.3.3	Post-processing technique : Laser treatment process	71
3.3.4	Post-processing technique : Blow cold acetone vapor	73
3.4	Design for manufacture (DFM) descriptions and test model structures	76
3.4.1	Mechanical structure development using various design attributes	76
3.4.2	Test model 1	78
3.4.3	Test model 2	80
3.4.4	Test model 3	82
3.5	Experimental testing of FDM fabricated parts	85
3.5.1	Surface roughness	85
3.5.2	Tensile strength	86
3.5.3	Scanning electron microscope (SEM) image	87
3.5.4	Dimensional accuracy	88
3.6	Summary	90

<b>4.</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>92</b>
4.1	Pre-process, in-process and post-processing of 3D printed part	92
4.1.1	In-processing technique : Inert gas assisted 3D printing	96
4.1.1.1	Surface roughness analysis	97
4.1.1.2	Tensile strength analysis	99
4.1.2	Post-processing technique : Laser treatment	102
4.1.2.1	Effects of laser parameter on 3D printed part	103
4.1.2.2	Surface roughness analysis	104
4.1.2.3	Tensile strength analysis	107
4.1.3	Post-processing technique : Blow cold acetone vapor	108
4.1.3.1	Acetone finishing using cold vapor process	109
4.1.3.2	DOE using experimental test procedure and test parameter	110
4.1.3.3	Surface roughness analysis	111
4.1.3.4	Tensile strength analysis	114
4.1.4	Summary	116
4.1.4.1	Surface roughness comparison between different techniques	116
4.1.4.2	Tensile strength comparison between different techniques	118
4.2	Manufacturability and design limitation of FDM process	119
4.2.1	Overhang structure	119
4.2.2	Bridge structure	124
4.2.2.1	Optimization of FDM-built bridge structure by 3D printer	127
4.2.3	Wall thickness	132
4.2.4	Vertical cylinder/ vertical wire diameter	136
4.2.5	Small hole diameter	139
4.2.6	Summary	144
4.3	Test model analysis of open source 3D printer	147
4.3.1	3D laser scanning for dimensional accuracy analysis	147
4.3.2	Scanning analysis for inert gas assisted technique	147
4.3.3	Test model 1	148
4.3.3.1	Analysis of test model 1 before inert gas assisted improvement	149
4.3.3.2	Analysis of test model 1 after inert gas assisted improvement	152
4.3.3.3	Comparison of test model 1 before and after inert gas assisted improvement	155
4.3.4	Test model 2	157
4.3.4.1	Analysis of test model 2 before inert gas assisted improvement	158
4.3.4.2	Analysis of test model 2 after inert gas assisted improvement	162
4.3.4.3	Comparison of test model 2 before and after inert gas assisted improvement	166
4.3.5	Test model 3	167
4.3.5.1	Analysis of test model 3 before inert gas assisted improvement	168
4.3.5.2	Analysis of test model 3 after inert gas assisted improvement	176

4.3.5.3	Comparison of test model 3 before and after inert gas assisted improvement	183
4.3.6	Summary	184
<b>5.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>185</b>
5.1	Conclusion	185
5.2	Future works and recommendations	188
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>190</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>206</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Comparison of additive manufacturing technology	10
2.2	Comparison of AM technique for manufacturability	12
2.3	Summary on new technology in FDM 3D printer	19
2.4	Summary of published work on FDM process optimization using Taguchi method	27
2.5	Summary for post-processing technique in FDM	42
2.6	Conventional finishing technologies	46
2.7	AM benchmarking design from preliminary studies	54
3.1	Parameter setting value proposed for optimization	66
3.2	Control log of experiment	66
3.3	FDM parameter for in-process method using nitrogen gas	67
3.4	Parameter for printing condition present inside the chamber	70
3.5	FDM parameter for 3D printer part	72
3.6	Laser setting	72
3.7	FDM settings for 3D printed part fabrication	73
3.8	Experimental setup for blow cold acetone vapor	75
3.9	Details description of the structures used in the study	76
3.10	FDM process parameters of entry level 3D printer and specifications	77

3.11	Test model 1 feature descriptions	79
3.12	Test model 2 feature descriptions	81
3.13	Test model 3 feature descriptions	83
3.14	Geomagic software procedure	89
4.1	Control logs of experiment fabrications	92
4.2	S/N ratio for surface roughness, tensile strength and deviations	93
4.3	FDM parameter settings for printed part	96
4.4	Experimental` parameter for inert gas assisted 3D printer	97
4.5	FDM parameter settings for laser printed parts	103
4.6	Laser engraving settings parameter for laser treatment process	103
4.7	FDM parameter settings for blow cold vapor 3D printed part fabrication	110
4.8	Blow cold acetone vapor settings	110
4.9	Details descriptions of the overhang feature	120
4.10	Visual inspection of overhang quality	120
4.11	Recommended length of overhang structure	122
4.12	Visual images of the 3D printed overhang structure	122
4.13	Descriptions of bridge feature	124
4.14	Measured length, $y$ with respect to the quality of bridges	125
4.15	Recommended length of bridges structure, $y$	126
4.16	Visual images on the bridge quality fabricated parts	126
4.17	Parameter setting value proposes for optimization	128
4.18	Controls log of specimens fabrications	128
4.19	Experiemental results obtained from nine test case of L9 array	129
4.20	ANOVA for flexural strength	131
4.21	Image of overhang fabricated structure and quality descriptions	131

4.22	Descriptions on supported wall thickness features	133
4.23	Quality descriptions on the supported wall thickness	134
4.24	Recommended thickness of wall thickness structure, $t$	135
4.25	Visual images of wall thickness structure, $t$ quality	135
4.26	Descriptions on the vertical wire diameter height, $h$	136
4.27	Recommended height of vertical cylinder, $h$	138
4.28	Visual images of vertical cylinder fabrication by referring to the height, $h$	139
4.29	Descriptions on the small holes diameter, $d$	140
4.30	Quality evaluated on the vertical and horizontal axis of small holes diameter	140
4.31	Recommendation diameter for small hole features, $d$ in vertical axis	142
4.32	Recommendation diameter for small hole features, $d$ in horizontal axis	143
4.33	Overall dimensions and deviation of cube and cube with wall thickness before inert gas assisted improvement	151
4.34	Overall dimensions and deviation of basic shape before inert gas assisted improvement	151
4.35	Overall dimensions and deviation of cube and cube with wall thickness after inert gas assisted improvement	154
4.36	Overall dimensions and deviation of basic shape after inert gas assisted improvement	155
4.37	Comparison of test model 1 before and after inert gas assisted improvement	156
4.38	Overall dimensions and deviation of pin before inert gas assisted improvement	160

4.39	Overall dimensions and deviation of circular holes and concentric cylindrical bosses before inert gas assisted improvement	161
4.40	Overall dimensions and deviation of flat base with fillet before inert gas assisted improvement	161
4.41	Overall dimensions and deviation of pin after inert gas assisted improvement	164
4.42	Overall dimensions and deviation of circular holes and concentric cylindrical bosses after inert gas assisted improvement	165
4.43	Overall dimensions and deviation of flat base with fillet after inert gas assisted improvement	165
4.44	Comparison of test model 2 before and after inert gas assisted	166
4.45	Overall dimensions and deviation of flat base, square notches and slots before inert gas assisted improvement	173
4.46	Overall dimensions and deviation of overhang structures before inert gas assisted improvement	174
4.47	Overall dimensions and deviation of circular holes, hollow cylinder and concentric cylindrical bosses before inert gas assisted improvement	174
4.48	Overall dimensions and deviation of cube, cone and thin walls before inert gas assisted improvement	175
4.49	Overall dimensions and deviation of overhang structures after inert gas assisted improvement	179
4.50	Overall dimensions and deviation of flat base, square notches and slots after inert gas assisted improvement	180
4.51	Overall dimensions and deviation of circular holes, hollow cylinder and concentric cylindrical bosses after inert gas assisted improvement	181



4.52	Overall dimensions and deviation of cube, cone and thin walls after inert gas assisted improvement	181
4.53	Comparison of test model 3 before and after inert gas assisted improvement	183

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Distributions of various segment of 3D printing applications	2
2.1	The development of 3D printed part using AM technology	8
2.2	Principle of FDM process	15
2.3	FDM process parameter settings	25
2.4	Classifications of surface finishing technique	35
3.1	General flow chart for experimental procedure	61
3.2	ASTMD638 specimen dimension for tensile testing using Instron 8872	63
3.3	Schematic diagram of in-situ processing treatment using nitrogen gas, N <sub>2</sub>	68
3.4	Actual setup of inert gas assisted 3D printing machine	69
3.5	Oxygen meter detector, UYIGAO UA6070B	70
3.6	Schematic diagram for laser post treatment process	71
3.7	Actual setup for laser-treatment post-processing technique	72
3.8	Schematic diagram for blow cold acetone vapor treatment	74
3.9	Actual setup for blow cold acetone vapor experiment	74
3.10	CAD design of test model 1	78
3.11	CAD design of test model 2	80
3.12	CAD design of test model 3	82
3.13	Portable surface roughness tester Mitutoyo SURFTEST series	86
3.14	LCD display results	86
3.15	Tensile strength machine, Instron model 8872	87

3.16	SEM machine	88
3.17	SEM sample images for top view (left) and cross-sectional view (right)	88
3.18	3D laser scanner Rxxxxcan	89
4.1	S/N ratio graph for surface roughness	94
4.2	S/N ratio effect for tensile strength	94
4.3	S/N ratio for deviations	95
4.4	Surface roughness comparison between normal 3D printer and inert gas assisted 3D printer	98
4.5	Experimental setup for inert gas assisted in low cost 3D printer	99
4.6	Tensile strength data comparison at normal 3D printer and inert gas assisted 3D printer	100
4.7	Cross section of 3D printed part by normal 3D printer and cross section of 3D printer part by inert gas assisted 3D printer	101
4.8	FDM surface before and after laser post-processing at a laser speed of 50 mm/s and 70 W.	104
4.9	Effects of laser speed on surface roughness	105
4.10	Effect of laser power on surface roughness	106
4.11	Sectional view of FDM part at laser power of 70 W	106
4.12	Cross sectional view of FDM part at laser speed of 50 mm/s	107
4.13	Effect of laser power on tensile strength	107

4.14	Effect of laser speed on tensile strength	108
4.15	Top surfaces of ABS treated sample using blow cold acetone vapor method	111
4.16	Effect of blow cold acetone vapor process on surface roughness	112
4.17	Cross section of printed part before and after using blow cold acetone vapor treatment	113
4.18	Effect on blow cold acetone vapor treatment on tensile strength value	115
4.19	Comparison of surface roughness of different methods	117
4.20	Comparison of tensile strength using different methods	118
4.21	Measured length of successfully fabricated overhang structure, $x$	121
4.22	Overhang structure of bridge on the top view	127
4.23	Loading scheme used in experiments for three-point bending	129
4.24	S/N effects on the process parameter on the flexural strength	130
4.25	Measured length of successfully fabricated wall thickness structure, $t$	135
4.26	Measured CAD and nominal comparison of vertical cylinder height, $h$	137
4.27	Comparison on the CAD data with theoretical and measurable diameter of small hole diameter, $d$ for vertical axis plane	141
4.28	Comparison on the CAD data with theoretical and measurable diameter of small hole diameter, $d$ for horizontal axis plane	141
4.29	Specimens for small hole diameter in horizontal and vertical view	143
4.30	The vertical view of the small hole diameter fabricated parts	143
4.31	The horizontal view of the small hole diameter fabricated parts	144
4.32	Map error distribution on test model 1 before inert gas assisted improvement	150

4.33	Map error distribution on test model 1 after inert gas assisted improvement	153
4.34	Map error distributions on test model 2 before inert gas assisted Improvement	159
4.35	Map error distributions on test model 2 after inert gas assisted improvement	163
4.36	Map error distributions of test model 3 before inert gas assisted improvement	170
4.37	Measured notches length, XN (mm) before inert gas assisted improvement	171
4.38	Measured slot length, SL (mm) before inert gas assisted improvement	172
4.39	Measurements of hole diameter, HD (mm) before inert gas assisted improvement	175
4.40	Map error distributions for test model 3 after inert gas assisted improvement	177
4.41	Measurements of notches length, XN (mm) after inert gas assisted improvement	178
4.42	Measurements of slot length, SL (mm) after inert gas assisted improvement	179
4.43	Measurements of hole diameter, HD (mm) after inert gas assisted improvement	182

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

FDM	-	Fused deposition modeling
DFM	-	Design for Manufacture
AM	-	Additive manufacturing
N <sub>2</sub>	-	Nitrogen gas
O <sub>2</sub>	-	Oxygen gas
SLS	-	Selective laser sintering
LOM	-	Laminated object manufacturing
SLA	-	Stereolithography
VOL	-	Volume
%	-	Percentage
S/N	-	Signal to noise ratio
CAD	-	Computer aided design

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Geomagic qualify report	206

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- 1 **S.N.H.Mazlan**, M.R.Alkahari, F.R. Ramli. and M.N.Sudin.,2018.  
Manufacturability of mechanical structure fabricated using entry level 3D printer,  
*Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, 5(3), pp. 98-122.
- 2 **S.N.H.Mazlan**, M.R.Alkahari, F.R. Ramli, N.A.Maidin, M.N.Sudin, 2018.  
Surface finish and mechanical properties of parts after blow cold vapor treatment,  
*Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Science*, 48(2),  
pp.148-155.
- 3 **S.N.H.Mazlan**, M.R.Alkahari, F.R. Ramli, N.A.Maidin, Inert gas assisted 3D  
printing machine, *Proceedings of Mechanical Engineering Research Day 2018*,  
Accepted.
- 4 M.R.Alkahari, **S.N.H.Mazlan**, F.R. Ramli, N.A.Maidin, M.N.Sudin,  
“Manufacturability of overhang structure using open source 3D printer,”  
*Proceedings of Mechanical Engineering Research Day 2017*, 158-159 (2017).
- 5 **S.N.H.Mazlan**, M.R.Alkahari, F.R. Ramli, N.A.Maidin, M.N.Sudin, “Effect of  
Laser post-processing on surface roughness of fused deposition modeling (FDM),”  
*Proceedings of Innovative Research and Industrial Dialogue*, 101-102 (2016).