

Faculty of Technology Management and Technopreneurship

ENTREPRENEURIAL CHARACTERISTICS AND FIRM PERFORMANCE OF SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN MALAYSIAN FOOD MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY

NorzalizahbintiBahari

Doctor of Philosophy

2018

ENTREPRENEURIAL CHARACTERISTICS AND FIRM PERFORMANCE OF SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN MALAYSIAN FOOD MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY

NORZALIZAH BINTI BAHARI

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Technology Management and Technopreneurship

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2018

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled "Entrepreneurial Characteristics and Firm Performance of

Small and Medium Enterprises in Malaysian Food Manufacturing Industry" is the result of

my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been for any degree

and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Name : NorzalizahBintiBahari

Date : 18 July 2018

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Doctor of Philosophy.

Signature :

Supervisor Name : Assoc. Prof. Dr. AhmadRozelanYunus

Date : 18 July 2018

DEDICATION

This thesis is specially dedicated to:

My beloved parents, Bahari Abu Bakar and HalimahBaharomand my family.

ABSTRACT

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) make a significant contribution to the national economy in Malaysia and there have been concerted efforts by the government over the years to assist SMEs in setting up and expanding their business. With their smaller operations, lower capital outlay and limited human resources, the business models of SMEs are significantly different from large corporations and require a different approachThis study draws upon the concept of entrepreneur characteristics, strategic orientation and attempts to examine how such approaches help improve the performance of SMEs in Malaysia. Using the Resource-Based View as the theoretical foundation, this study has combined the entrepreneur's entrepreneurial characteristics such as internal locus of control, need for cognition and need for achievement as enabling elements for firms to develop their sustained competitive advantage. As a consequence, this study employs market and entrepreneurial orientation as mediating variable and GSPs is employed as moderator on each variable in this research in order to reflect factors that can intervene in the actions of a firm and its performance in real life. Data were collected from SME food manufacturing firms in Malaysia using a cross sectional study design and stratified random sampling method. A total of 396 usable questionnaires were collected using conducting face to face question and answer session through the set of questionnaire and online survey method and analyzed with Covariance-Based SEM (CB-SEM). 12 out of 18 hypothesized relationships were supported. This study found internal locus of control and need for cognition have direct relationship with firm performance, while need for achievement has non-significant relationship with firm performance. Furthermore, market and entrepreneurial orientation have strong influence with firm performance that revealed significant mediating effect. GSPs also moderates the relationship between entrepreneur's entrepreneurial characteristics, market orientation, entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance. This research makes several theoretical contributions and provides further insights on the relationship between entrepreneur's entrepreneurial characteristics and impacts on performance of food manufacturing industry. From the managerial viewpoint, this study provides a valid and applicable framework for food manufacturing industries to have entrepreneur who able to be competitive and enable the adoption of market and entrepreneurial orientation and the role of GSPs as moderator in their organizations. Practical contribution indicates that the reflection of entrepreneur's characteristics and will influence on the firm's strategy and outcomes. The implications of this study to the policy makers, academics and food manufacturing practitioners is enhancing the awareness of the intangible resources already owned by the firm and the impact of market and entrepreneurial orientation towards achieving better firm performance. This study could also be a useful tool in selecting team members for management team and evaluating applicants for partnership and joint venture potential. In other words, the selection of the business strategies and the cultural development are the practices of "actions" or the entrepreneurial characteristics of the managers/owners.

ABSTRAK

(PKS) Perusahaan Kecil danSederhana telahmembuatsumbanganpentingkepadaekonominegara Malaysia dansejakbeberapatahunkebelakanganini, pelbagaiusahabersepadutelah di laksanakanolehkerajaandalammembantupenubuhan PKS dancarauntukmengembangkanperniagaanmereka. Denganoperasimereka yang lebihkecil, alirankeluar modal yang lebihrendahdansumbermanusia yang terhad, model perniagaan PKS adalahjauhberbezadaripadasyarikat-syarikatbesardanmemerlukanpendekatan yang strategic Kajianinimengenegahkankonsepciri-ciriusahawan, orientasi dancubauntukmengkajibagaimanapendekatansepertiinidapatmembantumeningkatkanprest asi PKS Malaysia. Kajianinimenggunakan 'Resource-Based View' sebagaiteoriasasdanmenggabungkanciri-ciriusahawanuntukmenggambarkanjenistindakan vang berkaitandenganpendekatanperniagaanseperti "internal locus of control", "need for cognition" dan "need for achievement". Di sampingitu, kajianinimenggunakanorientasi sebagaipengantaradan sokongankerajaansebagai program moderator padasetiappembolehubahdalamkajianiniadalahuntukmencerminkan factor persekitaranluaran bolehcampurtangandalamtindakan firma yang danprestasinyadalamkehidupansebenar. Data dikutipdaripada PKSfirma-firma pembuatanpemakanan Malaysia menggunakanrekabentukkajian keratin rentasdankaedahpersampelanrawakberstrata. Sejumlah 396 borangsoalselidik yang boleh digunakan telah dikumpul menggunakan kaedah temuduga dan dalam talian dan dian alisa(CB-SEM). dengan 'Covariance-Based 12 daripada 18hubunganhipotesistelahdisokong.Kajianinimendapaticiricirikeusahawananmempunyaihubunganlangsungdenganprestasi firma, manakalaorientasikeusahawanandanorientasipasaranmempunyaihubungan yang tidaksignifikandenganprestasi Selainitu, orientasikeusahawanandanorientasipasaranmempunyaipengaruh yang kuatdenganprestasi firma yang menunjukkankesanpengantaraan yang signifikan. Program sokongankerajaanjugamenyederhanakanhubunganantaracirikeusahawanan, orientasi strategic danprestasi firma. Penyelidikaninimembuatbeberapasumbanganteoritisdanmemberikangambaranlebihlanjutt entangciri-cirikeusahawanandanimpakterhadapprestasi industry pembuatanmakanan. Dari segipengurusan, kajianinimenyediakanrangkakerja yang sahdansesuaiuntuk industry pembuatanmakananuntukmempunyaiusahawan mampubersaingdanmembolehkanpenerapanorientasi strategic dalamorganisasimereka. Sumbanganpraktikalmenunjukkanbahawawujudnyainteraksiantara sokongankerajaan, ciri-cirikeusahawanandanstrategidalammeningkatkanprestasi firma. Implikasidalamkajianinikepadapembuatdasar, ahliakademikdanpengamalpembuatanmakananadalahmeningkatkankesedarantentangmanf aat yang dimilikiolehsyarikatdanimpakorientasi strategic kearahpencapaianprestasi firma vang lebihbaik, danmengkajisemuladasardanperaturankemapanan Malaysia.Kajianinijugabolehdijadikansebagaialatuntukmemilihahlikumpulandalamkumpu lanpengurusansertabolehjugadigunakandalammenilaipermohonanuntukperkongsiandanus

ahasama. Dengan kata pemilihanstrategiperniagaandanpembangunanbudayaadalahamalan ataucirikeusahawananpengurus / pemilik.

lain, "tindakan"

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah. Praise be to Allah (SWT) the Almighty for the successful completion of this thesis as through His Grace and the prayers of my loved ones, this challenging journey in the pursuit of my PhD study has finally reached its destination. I could have never done this alone without the support of loving people around me.

This dissertation would not have been possible without the invaluable guidance, support, love and encouragement of many individuals. I would firstly like to take this opportunity to gratefully acknowledge my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Ahmad RozelanYunus and my co-supervisor Associate Professor Dr. JuhainiJabar who has provided valuable feedback and encouragement throughout the course of composing this thesis. The completion of this dissertation would not have been possible without their direction and mentoring.

Secondly, I owe this determination to my aunt Associate Professor Dr. Norasiken Bakar who had given constructive guidance and intellectual inspiration throughout the process and my entire doctoral program. Above all, my heartfelt thanks go to members of my exceptional family including my beloved parents, Zaiha, Haslina, Hidayah, Taufiq, Tarmizi, Mazni, Azwan, Nizam and others family members. Their love and support have enabled me to achieve this academic milestone. Besides, I would like to express my gratitude to Noor A'isyah who has furnished me with her support, encouragement, thoughts, and prayers.

Thirdly, I am most indebted to Dr. SitiHajar, Dr. Mastura, Dr. Maizura, and Dr. NurulIzwa who have assisted me in the Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) analysis and other statistical aspects of this thesis. Also to help in any way they could, and to remind me not to give up in spite of many adversities. Along with those mentioned above, thanks go to my colleagues and friends for their love and companionship throughout this process. Finally, I express my sincere thanks to Malaysian Ministry of Education for the financial support and opportunity to bring an aspiration to reality.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | PAGE |
|-----|--------|---|-------------|
| DEC | LARAT | TION | 11102 |
| | ROVAL | | |
| | ICATI(| | |
| | ΓRACT | | i |
| | ΓRAK | | ii |
| | | EDGEMENTS | iii |
| | | CONTENTS | iv |
| | OF TA | | viii |
| | | GURES | xi |
| | | PPENDICES | xiii |
| | | BBREVIATIONS | xiv |
| | | BLICATIONS | xvi |
| СНА | PTER | | |
| 1. | | RODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Research Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Problem Statement | 4 |
| | 1.3 | Research Questions | 11 |
| | 1.4 | Research Objectives | 12 |
| | 1.5 | Research Scope | 13 |
| | 1.6 | Significance of Research | 15 |
| | 1.7 | Operational Definition of Key Terms | 17 |
| | 1.8 | Organization of Thesis | 18 |
| | 1.9 | Summary | 20 |
| 2. | LIT | ERATURE REVIEW | 22 |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | 22 |
| | 2.2 | Definition of SMEs in Malaysia | 22 |
| | | 2.2.1 Number of Employees | 23 |
| | | 2.2.2 Annual Sales Turnover | 24 |
| | | 2.2.3 Classification of Sectors of SMEs | 26 |
| | 2.3 | Firm performance | 26 |
| | | 2.3.1 Firm performance and Malaysian SMEs: Constraints and | |
| | | Challenges | 28 |
| | | 2.3.2 Food Manufacturing Industry in Malaysia | 29 |
| | | 2.3.2.1 SMEs in the Malaysian Food Manufacturing | |
| | | Industry (FMI) | 31 |
| | | 2.3.3 Firm performance and Food Manufacturing Industry (FMI): | |
| | | Constraints and Challenges | 32 |
| | 2.4 | Theoretical foundation | 35 |
| | | 2.4.1 Resource-Based View (RBV) | 37 |
| | | 2.4.2 RBV Perspective | 39 |
| | | 2.4.3 Resource-Based View (RBV), Upper Echelon Theory | |
| | | (UET), and Entrepreneurial Characteristics | 41 |
| | | · // | |

| | 2.5 | Entrepreneurial Characteristics | 44 |
|-----------|-------|--|-----|
| | | 2.5.1 Internal Locus of Control | 47 |
| | | 2.5.2 Need for Cognition | 48 |
| | | 2.5.3 Need for Achievement | 50 |
| | | 2.5.4 Entrepreneurial Characteristics and Firm Performance | 51 |
| | 2.6 | Strategic Orientation Based Model of SME Performance | 57 |
| | | 2.6.1 Market Orientation | 58 |
| | | 2.6.2 Entrepreneurial Orientation | 62 |
| | | 2.6.3 Entrepreneurial Characteristics, Market Orientation | |
| | | and Entrepreneurial Orientation | 65 |
| | | 2.6.4 Market Orientation, Entrepreneurial Orientation and | |
| | | Firm Performance | 66 |
| | 2.7 | Government Support Programs for the Development of SMEs | 73 |
| | | 2.7.1 Government Financial Assistance for Malaysian SMEs | 78 |
| | | 2.7.2 Government Training Assistance for Malaysian SMEs | 82 |
| | | 2.7.3 Government Marketing Assistance for Malaysian SMEs | 84 |
| | | 2.7.4 Government Extension and Advisory Services | |
| | | Assistance for Malaysian SMEs | 86 |
| | | 2.7.5 Government Infrastructure Facilities Assistance for | |
| | | Malaysian SMEs | 87 |
| | | 2.7.6 Government Support Program and Firm Performance | 87 |
| | | 2.7.7 Government Support Program as Moderating Effect | 89 |
| | 2.8 | 1 | 92 |
| | 2.9 | 1 | 94 |
| | | Effect of Entrepreneurial Characteristics on Firm Performance | 95 |
| | 2.11 | Effect of Entrepreneurial Characteristics on Market Orientationand | |
| | | Entrepreneurial Orientation | 97 |
| | 2.12 | Effect of Market Orientationand Entrepreneurial Orientationon | 00 |
| | 0.10 | Performance | 99 |
| | 2.13 | Mediation Effect of Market Orientationand Entrepreneurial | |
| | | Orientation on the Relationship between Entrepreneurial | 100 |
| | 2 1 4 | Characteristics and Firm Performance | 100 |
| | 2.14 | Moderation Effect of Government Support Program on the | |
| | | Relationship between Entrepreneurial Characteristics, Market | 102 |
| | 2 15 | Orientation, Entrepreneurial Orientation, and Firm Performance | 102 |
| | 2.13 | Summary | 106 |
| 3. | DEC | EARCH METHODOLOGY | 107 |
| J. | 3.1 | Introduction | 107 |
| | 3.1 | Research Paradigm | 107 |
| | 3.4 | 3.2.1 Research Philosophy | 107 |
| | | 3.2.2 Approaches | 109 |
| | | 3.2.3 Strategies | 109 |
| | | 3.2.4 Choice | 110 |
| | | 3.2.5 Time Horizons | 110 |
| | | 3.2.6 Techniques and Procedures | 110 |
| | | J.2.0 Toominguos una Frocautos | 110 |

| | | 3.2.7 Unit of Analysis | 111 |
|----|------|---|-----|
| | 3.3 | Operationalization of Variables | 112 |
| | | 3.3.1 Measurement for Demographic Profile | 112 |
| | | 3.3.2 Measures for Hypothesis Testing | 113 |
| | | 3.3.2.1 Measurement for Entrepreneurial Characteristics | 114 |
| | | 3.3.2.2 Measurement for Firm Performance | 115 |
| | | 3.3.2.3 Measurement for Market Orientation | 116 |
| | | 3.3.2.4Measurement for Entrepreneurial Orientation | 117 |
| | | 3.3.3 Measurement for Moderator of Government Support Program | 118 |
| | 3.4 | Questionnaire-research Instrument | 119 |
| | | 3.4.1 Instrument Design | 120 |
| | | 3.4.1.1 The Royal "We" | 120 |
| | | 3.4.1.2 Reverse Coding | 121 |
| | | 3.4.2 Pre-testing and Pilot Testing of Questionnaire | 122 |
| | | 3.4.3 Final Questionnaire | 125 |
| | 3.5 | Population and Sampling | 126 |
| | | 3.5.1 Key Informant | 129 |
| | | 3.5.2 Data Collection Process | 130 |
| | | 3.5.3 Non-response Bias | 132 |
| | | 3.5.4 Missing Data | 133 |
| | | 3.5.5 Check for Outliers | 134 |
| | 3.6 | Data Analysis Procedures | 134 |
| | | 3.6.1 Scale Reliability and Validity | 136 |
| | | 3.6.2 Factor Analysis | 137 |
| | | 3.6.3 Structural Equation Modelling | 138 |
| | | 3.6.4 Estimation Procedure | 140 |
| | 3.7 | Testing for Mediation Role of Market Orientation and | |
| | | Entrepreneurial Orientation | 142 |
| | 3.8 | | 145 |
| | 3.9 | Assessment of Statistical Fit | 147 |
| | 3.10 | Summary | 150 |
| 4. | DAT | TA ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 152 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 152 |
| | 4.2 | Sample Size | 152 |
| | 4.3 | Respondent Demographics | 153 |
| | 4.4 | Descriptive Analysis | 157 |
| | | 4.4.1 Correlation Analysis | 158 |
| | | 4.4.2 Cross Tabulation Analysis | 159 |
| | 4.5 | Missing Data | 160 |
| | 4.6 | Multivariate Normality and Outliers | 161 |
| | 4.7 | Test for Non-response Bias | 163 |
| | 4.8 | The Assessment of Goodness-of-fit | 164 |
| | 4.9 | Analysis of the Items and Constructs | 166 |
| | | 4.9.1 EFA for Entrepreneurial characteristics | 166 |
| | | 4.9.2 CFA for Entrepreneurial characteristics | 168 |
| | | 4.9.3EFA for Firm performance | 172 |

| | | 4.9.4 CFA for Firm performance | 173 |
|------|------------|--|-----|
| | | 4.9.5EFA for Market Orientation | 175 |
| | | 4.9.6 CFA for Market Orientation | 172 |
| | | 4.9.7 EFA for Entrepreneurial Orientation | 180 |
| | | 4.9.8CFA for Entrepreneurial Orientation | 181 |
| | | 4.9.9 Summary of CFA Result | 184 |
| | 4.10 | Full Measurement Model | 184 |
| | 4.11 | Item Parceling | 187 |
| | 4.12 | Reliability and Validity of Constructs | 188 |
| | 4.13 | Structural Model and Hypotheses Testing | 190 |
| | 4.14 | Result of Hypotheses Testing (Mediation Effect) | 194 |
| | | 4.14.1 Summary of Mediation Analysis Result | 196 |
| | 4.15 | Result of Hypotheses Testing (Moderator Effect) | 196 |
| | | 4.15.1 Summary of Moderation Analysis Result | 197 |
| | 4.16 | Discussion of the Results | 198 |
| | 4.17 | Research Questions and Research Objectives | 201 |
| | 4.18 | Discussion of Results of Hypotheses Testing for Entrepreneur's | |
| | | Entrepreneurial Characteristics in Malaysian SMEs | 204 |
| | 4.19 | Relationship between Entrepreneur's Entrepreneurial | |
| | | Characteristics and Firm Performance | 207 |
| | 4.20 | Relationship between Entrepreneurial Characteristics and Strategic | |
| | | Orientation i.e. Market Orientation and Entrepreneurial Orientation | 210 |
| | 4.21 | Relationship between Market Orientation, Entrepreneurial Orientation | |
| | | and Firm Performance | 214 |
| | 4.22 | Mediation Effect of Market Orientation and Entrepreneurial | |
| | | Orientation on Entrepreneur's Entrepreneurial Characteristics and | |
| | | Firm Performance | 217 |
| | 4.23 | Moderating Effect of Government Support Program on Entrepreneur's | |
| | | Entrepreneurial Characteristics, Market Orientation, Entrepreneurial | |
| | | Orientation and Firm Performance | 221 |
| | 4.24 | Summary | 222 |
| 5. | CON | NCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 224 |
| | 5.1 | Introduction | 224 |
| | 5.2 | Summary of Research | 224 |
| | 5.3 | Implications for Theory and Literature on Entrepreneurship | 225 |
| | 5.4 | Implications for SMEs' Practical Operations in the Malaysian | |
| | | Food Manufacturing Industry | 227 |
| | 5.5 | Limitations of Research | 233 |
| | 5.6 | Suggestions for Future Research | 234 |
| | 5.7 | Conclusion | 236 |
| | | | |
| REFI | ERENC | ES | 238 |
| APPE | APPENDICES | | 303 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE PAGE | |
|-------|---|-----|
| 2.1 | Sectors of Malaysian SMEs | 23 |
| 2.2 | Definitions of SMEs Based On Number of Full-Time Employees | 25 |
| 2.3 | Definitions of SMEs Based On Annual Sales Turnover | 26 |
| 2.4 | Challenges Faced by the Malaysian SMEs | 29 |
| 2.5 | Factors and Assumptions of RBV and UET | 43 |
| 2.6 | Entrepreneurial Orientation Measurement Scale Adopted by Previous | |
| | Studies (from year 1995 to 2011) | 64 |
| 2.7 | Malaysian Ministries and Agencies Provide the Support Program | 78 |
| 2.8 | Constructs employed by previous studies | 94 |
| 2.9 | Summary of research objectives, questions, and hypotheses | 104 |
| 3.1 | Summary of Research design elements | 111 |
| 3.2 | Summary of demographic profile questions | 112 |
| 3.3 | Items measuring of entrepreneurial characteristics | 114 |
| 3.4 | Items measurement of firm performance | 116 |
| 3.5 | Items measurement of market orientation | 117 |
| 3.6 | Items measurement of entrepreneurial orientation | 118 |
| 3.7 | Items measurement of government support program | 118 |
| 3.8 | Pre-testing and Pilot testing | 123 |
| 3.9 | Pilot testing reliability analysis | 125 |
| 3.10 | Small and medium enterprises in 2010 | 126 |
| 3.11 | SMEs in the manufacturing industry by sub-sectors | 127 |
| 3.12 | Food manufacturing industry in Peninsular Malaysia | 128 |

| 3.13 | level (assumed data are collected from all cases in the sample) | 129 |
|------|---|-----|
| 3.14 | Frequency of respondents by food manufacturing sector | 132 |
| 3.15 | Types of mediating effects | 143 |
| 3.16 | Z value for Confidence Intervals | 147 |
| 3.17 | Index Category and the Level of Acceptance for Every Index | 148 |
| 3.18 | Summary of data analysis processes and assessment of fit indices | 148 |
| 4.1 | Respondent's gender | 153 |
| 4.2 | Respondent's race | 153 |
| 4.3 | Respondent's annual sales turnover | 154 |
| 4.4 | Respondent's firm size | 155 |
| 4.5 | Type of food manufacturing sector of population | 156 |
| 4.6 | Government Support Program | 157 |
| 4.7 | Descriptive statistics of all variables (N=396) | 158 |
| 4.8 | Correlation between entrepreneur's entrepreneurial characteristic, | |
| | MO, EO and firm performance (N=396) | 159 |
| 4.9 | The demographic background of the respondents who received and | |
| | not received the GSP | 160 |
| 4.10 | Multivariate assessment of normality | 163 |
| 4.11 | The non-response bias | 164 |
| 4.12 | Non-response bias assessments | 164 |
| 4.13 | Assessments of statistical fit | 165 |
| 4.14 | Descriptive statistics of entrepreneurial characteristic items | 166 |
| 4.15 | Exploratory factor analysis of entrepreneurial characteristic items | 167 |
| 4.16 | The goodness-of-fit of the model (entrepreneurial characteristic) | 169 |
| 4.17 | Factor analysis for entrepreneurial characteristics items | 171 |
| 4.18 | Descriptive statistics of firm performance items | 172 |
| 4.19 | Exploratory factor analysis of firm performance items | 173 |
| 4.20 | The goodness-of-fit of the model (firm performance) | 174 |
| 4.21 | Factor analysis for resource availability items | 175 |
| | | |

| 4.22 | Descriptive statistics of market orientation items | 176 |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.23 | The goodness-of-fit of the model (market orientation) | 178 |
| 4.24 | Factor analysisfor market orientation items | 179 |
| 4.25 | Description statistics of entrepreneurial orientation items | 180 |
| 4.26 | The goodness-of-fit of the model (entrepreneurial orientation) | 182 |
| 4.27 | Factor analysis for entrepreneurial orientation items | 183 |
| 4 28 | Summary of CFA goodness-of fit for all constructs | 184 |
| 4.29 | The goodness-of-fit of the full measurement model | 185 |
| 4.30 | CFA of full measurement model | 187 |
| 4.31 | Regression coefficient and measurement error | 188 |
| 4.32 | Reliability tests | 189 |
| 4.33 | Discriminant validity test | 190 |
| 4.34 | The goodness-of-fit of the structural model | 191 |
| 4.35 | Hypotheses and results | 192 |
| 4.36 | Degree of mediation effects | 194 |
| 4.37 | Results of hypotheses testing on market orientation and entrepreneurial orientation as a mediator | 195 |
| 4.38 | Summary of mediation analysis result | 196 |
| 4.39 | Result of hypotheses testing for moderating test | 197 |
| 4.40 | Summary of moderation analysis result | 197 |
| 4.41 | Summary of research objectives, research questions and key findings | 198 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGU | TITLE TITLE | PAGE |
|------|---|-----------|
| 1.1 | Six Focus Areas under the SME Masterplan | 4 |
| 2.1 | Resource-Based View | 38 |
| 2.2 | RBV Relations, SCA and Superior Performance | 40 |
| 2.3 | Relations Theory RBV, Intangible Assets, Sustainable Competitive | |
| | Advantage and Performance Sources: Concept Development | 41 |
| 2.4 | Psychological traits and business performance of entrepreneurs in sm construction industry in Malaysia | all 46 |
| 2.5 | Malay women entrepreneurs in the small and medium sized | |
| | ICT-related firms | 46 |
| 2.6 | Measurement of entrepreneurial personality and business performance | ee |
| | in Terengganu creative industry | 47 |
| 2.7 | Personal characteristics and strategic orientations | 54 |
| 2.8 | Relationship between strategic orientation and firm performance: | |
| | evidence from SMEs in Malaysia | 58 |
| 2.9 | Sources and Consequences of Market Orientation | 59 |
| 2.10 | Sources and effects of market orientation as a mediator | 61 |
| 2.11 | Entrepreneurial orientation and business performance of Malay nasce | ent |
| | venture and the role of GAP as the moderator | 91 |
| 2.12 | Relationship between family influence (F-PEC Scale) and entreprene | urial |
| | orientation among Malay-family SMEs at Terengganu | 91 |
| 2.13 | Conceptual Framework | 94 |
| 2.14 | Direct effect of entrepreneurial characteristics on firm performance | 96 |
| 2.15 | Effect of entrepreneurial characteristics on market orientation and | |
| | entrepreneurial orientation | 97 |
| 2.16 | Effect of market orientation and entrepreneurial orientation | |
| | on firm performance | 99 |

| 2.17 | Mediation effect of strategic orientation on the relationship between | |
|------|--|-----|
| | entrepreneurial characteristics and firm performance | 101 |
| 2.18 | Moderation effect of government support program on the relationship | |
| | between entrepreneurial characteristics, strategic orientation, and | |
| | firm performance | 103 |
| 2.19 | Research framework and hypotheses for elements of entrepreneurial | |
| | characteristics and firm performance through market orientation, | |
| | entrepreneurial orientation, and government support program | 104 |
| 4.1 | Years of Operation | 154 |
| 4.2 | Respondents' Position | 155 |
| 4.3 | Respondents' Level of education | 156 |
| 4.4 | Scree plot of entrepreneurial characteristics items | 168 |
| 4.5 | Standardized parameters estimated in one-factor congeneric validation | |
| | model for entrepreneurial characteristics (n=200) | 170 |
| 4.6 | Scree plot of firm performance items | 173 |
| 4.7 | Standardized parameters estimated in one-factor congeneric validation | |
| | model for firm performance (n=200) | 174 |
| 4.8 | Scree plot market orientation items | 177 |
| 4.9 | Standardized parameters estimated in one-factor congeneric validation | |
| | model for market orientation ($n = 200$) | 178 |
| 4.10 | Scree plot of entrepreneurial orientation items | 181 |
| 4.11 | Standardized parameters estimated in one-factor congeneric validation | |
| | model for entrepreneurial orientation ($n = 200$) | 182 |
| 4.12 | Full measurement model for elements of entrepreneurial characteristics, | |
| | strategic orientation and its impact on Malaysian food manufacturer | |
| | industry ($N = 396$) | 186 |
| 4.13 | Standardized parameters estimated in structural equation model for | |
| | elements of entrepreneurial characteristics, strategic orientation and | |
| | its impact on Malaysian food manufacturer industry $(N = 396)$ | 191 |
| 4.14 | Results of a path analysis for the elements and impacts of entrepreneurial | |
| | characteristics of Malaysian food manufacturer | 193 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|-----------------------|------|
| A | Invitation Letter | 303 |
| В | Research Instrument | 304 |
| C | Missing Data Analysis | 308 |
| D | Assesment of Outliers | 310 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ILOC - Internal locus of control

NFC - Need for cognition

NFA - Need for achievement

MO - Market orientation

EO - Entrepreneurial orientation

FP - Firm performance

GSP - Government support program

RBV - Resources-based view

UET - Upper Echelon Theory

SMEs - Small and medium enterprises

MATRADE - Malaysian External Trade Development Corporation

FMI - Food manufacturing industry

GDP - Gross domestic product

χ2 - Chi-square

p - Significant value

df - Degree of freedom

RMSEA - Root mean square error of approximation

SRMR - Standardized root-mean-square

GFI - Goodness of fit index

NFI - Normal fit index

TLI - Tucker Lewis index

CFI - Comparative fit index

GOF - Goodness-of-fit

SEM - Structural equation modeling

AMOS - Analysis of moment structures

EM - Expectation-maximization

MCAR - Missing completely at random

cr - Critical ratio

Sig - Significant

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- N. Bahari, A. R. Yunusand J. Jabar (2018), Entrepreneur Personal Characteristics, Market Orientation and SME's Firm Performance: Moderating Effect of Secondary and Tertiary Education Level. Advanced Science Letter, 24(4), pp.2789-2792 Scopus indexed
- N. Bahari, A. R.Yunus, J. Jabar and M.Yusof, S.Wardatulaina (2018), Entrepreneur Characteristics and Firm Performance: A Study on Malaysian Food Manufacturing Industry SME's. IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 23(2), pp.92-98.
- **N. Bahari**, J. Jabar and A. R. Yunus (2017), *Malaysian women entrepreneurial characteristics, strategic orientation and firm performance: The moderator role of government support programs*. International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences, 4(12), pp.257-262 ISI indexed
- **N. Bahari**, A. R. Yunusand J. Jabar (2017), Effective Success Factors of Malaysian SMEs Firm Performance Influenced by Entrepreneur Personal Characteristics, Entrepreneurial Orientation and Government Support Program. The Social Sciences, 12(7), pp. 1157-1162.

Refereed Conference Papers

N.Z. Bahari, A. R.Yunus and J. Jabar (2017, 15 August). Entrepreneur Personal Characteristics, Market Orientation and SME's Firm Performance: Moderating Effect of Secondary and Tertiary Education Level. Paper presented at the 2017 International Conference on Art, Business, Education and Social Sciences (ABESS 2017). Langkawi, Malaysia.

N.Z. Bahari, A. R.Yunus and J. Jabar (**2016**, **17 August**) *Effective Success Factor of Malaysian Entrepreneur and Firm Performance: Influence Entrepreneurial Orientation and Government Support Program*. Paper presented at the International Conference on Humanities and Technology (ICOHAT 2016). Melaka, Malaysia.

N.Z. Bahari, A. R.Yunusand J. Jabar (**2014**, **17 December**)*Entrepreneur's Personal Characteristics, Strategic Orientation and Government Support Program in Malaysian SMEs*. The 6th International Conference on Postgraduate Education (ICPE-6 2014). ISBN 978-967-0764-10-8. Melaka, Malaysia. (CD Rom)

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter discusses the research background, problem statement, research objectives, research questions, as well as the scope and significance of study. It also underlines the need for more research on government support program, entrepreneurial characteristics and the choice of market orientation and entrepreneurial orientation in developing countries to help entrepreneurs remain competitive and able to achieve higher firm performance. Additionally, this chapter examines the moderating effect of government support program in the relationship between entrepreneurial characteristics and firm performance in the food manufacturing industry (FMI). Finally, the thesis organization is presented in this chapter.

1.1 Research Background

The Small and Medium Enterprises have a strong influence on globalized and competitive business environment in developing and developed economies (Ladzani and Van Vuuren, 2002). SMEs are among the major contributors in a country's economic activities, playing an important role in its economic growth, innovation, and employment creation. A reportfrom the OrganizationforEconomic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2009) Turin Roundtable identified SMEs as a major economic player in the OECD countries. Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) advises its member

countries to place high priority on nurturing SMEs as they help in the economic development of individual nation and encourage the flow of trade and investment activities among different economies in the APEC region (Karikomi, 1998). According to OECD (1997), SMEs are a valuable source of employment with future growth prospect for many countries across the globe. In some countries such as Italy, South Korea, and China, SMEs contribute up to 60% of their total national exports (Knight, 2000). Also, SMEs are accounted for about 35% of exports from Asia and 26% of exports from developed countries (OECD, 1997). Not only do SMEs contribute to the economic development of a country, the level of their success also acts as a measure of efficacy of government policy in nurturing entrepreneurial culture in an economy. For instance, Singapore launches a comprehensive policy called SME Master Plan in 1989 to promote entrepreneurship by assisting SMEs in areas like tax incentives, financial assistance, technology adaptation, business development and marketing (Volery and Schaper, 2007).

In the context of developing countries, the SMEs assist in economic growth, improve productivity, efficiency, and create more job opportunities (Abdullah et al., 2011). Nonetheless, only successful SMEs are able to influence the economic growth of a country. Therefore, it is important to understand the factors leading towards their success, especially in the context of developing countries like Malaysia. The outstanding performance of SMEs would largely contribute in creating more jobs, increasing trading activities, as well as improving gross domestic product (GDP). However, there is a lack of consistency in regard to the important factors towards achieving SMEs' superior performance (O'Regan and Ghobadian, 2004). For example, some researchers consider the internal factors or the entrepreneurial characteristics in determining firm performance, while others highlighted the strong impacts of external factors such as business environment, government policy, and financial assistance.