



**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**INVESTIGATION ON THE EFFECT OF MULTI FILLER LOADING  
IN GRAPHITE-POLYPROPYLENE COMPOSITE AS BIPOLAR  
PLATE**

**Muhammad Yusri bin Md Yusuf**

**Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering**

**2018**

**INVESTIGATION ON THE EFFECT OF MULTI FILLER LOADING IN  
GRAPHITE-POLYPROPYLENE COMPOSITE AS BIPOLAR PLATE**

**MUHAMMAD YUSRI BIN MD YUSUF**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Mechanical  
Engineering**

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2018**

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that this thesis entitled “Investigation on The Effect of Multi Filler Loading In Graphite-Polypropylene Composite as Bipolar Plate” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : Muhammad Yusri Bin Md Yusuf

Date : .....

## **APPROVAL**

I hereby declare that I have read this report and in my opinion this report is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering.

Signature : .....

Supervisor Name : Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Zulkefli Bin

Selamat

Date : .....

## **DEDICATION**

To my beloved family

## ABSTRACT

Materials used to fabricate the bipolar plates for Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) need to have a good set of criteria such as light, strong, low-cost, easily fabricated, mechanically stable, and have low surface contact resistance. Additionally, PEMFC's performance is much influenced by the materials used, type of flow channel design and shape to be fabricated on the bipolar plate surface. In this study, the fabrication of flow channel through hot compression molding method is developed. All materials used were in powder form, which are Graphite (G), Carbon black (CB) and Ferum (Fe) as fillers and the Polypropylene (PP) that acts as binder. The ratio of fillers (G/CB/Fe) and binder (PP) was fixed at 80:20. The fillers ratio was fixed in the range of (25 up to 65 wt%) G, (10 up to 30 wt%) CB and (5 up to 25 wt%) Fe and all fillers were mixed by using the ball mill machine. The second stage of mixing process is between the mixer of fillers and binder, which was mixed by using internal mixer machine. Subsequently, the compaction process through hot compression molding is done to produce G/CB/Fe/PP composite. Then, the in-plane electrical conductivity and mechanical properties such as flexure strength, bulk density and shore hardness is measure. Based on electrical conductivity, flexure strength, bulk density and shore hardness, sample with 15 wt% of Fe, has shown as the best result that is  $137.39 \text{ S/cm}^3$ ,  $34.04 \text{ MPa}$ ,  $1.582 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ,  $53.14$  respectively. During hot compression molding process, at the same time the flow channel of serpentine type, cooling channel and the shapes of U or V shapes is pressed on the surface of the sample of bipolar plate. Thus, flow channel was investigated for accuracy of surface condition of flow channel dimensions (used coordinate measurement machine) and subsequently, compared with the actual drawings and it's process ability. Meanwhile based on the analysis of flow channel dimensions (width, depth, rib, angle draft), the V shape is shown to give a smooth surface, with the dimensions difference between samples and drawing of about 0.118 up to 0.27%. While for the process ability, the V shape is much easier to release from the mold. As a summary, this study revealed that the flow channel dimensions (width, depth, rib, angle draft) and coling channel with V shape can be fabricated through hot compression molding method with high accuracy.

## ABSTRAK

*Secara umum, bahan yang digunakan untuk fabrikasi plat dwikutub Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) hendaklah mempunyai kos yang rendah, mudah direka, ringan, kuat, mekanikal stabil, dan mempunyai rintangan sentuhan permukaan yang rendah. Selain itu, prestasi PEMFC ini banyak dipengaruhi oleh bahan-bahan yang digunakan, jenis reka bentuk saluran aliran dan bentuk yang akan dibina di atas permukaan plat bipolar. Dalam kajian ini, pembuatan saluran aliran melalui kaedah pengacuan mampatan panas. Semua bahan-bahan yang digunakan adalah dalam bentuk serbuk, Grafit (G), Karbon hitam (CB) dan Ferum (Fe) sebagai pengisi dan Polypropylene (PP) yang bertindak sebagai pengikat. Nisbah pengisi (G/CB/Fe) dan pengikat (PP) telah ditetapkan pada 80:20. Nisbah pengisi telah ditetapkan dalam julat (25 sehingga 65% berat) G, (10 sehingga 30% berat) CB dan (5 sehingga 25% berat) Fe dan semua pengisi diaduk dengan menggunakan mesin kempa bola. Peringkat kedua proses pencampuran adalah antara campuran pengisi dan pengikat, yang diaduk dengan menggunakan mesin pengadun dalaman. Selepas itu, proses pemadatan melalui pengacuan mampatan panas dilakukan untuk menghasilkan G/CB/Fe/PP komposit. Kemudian, kekonduksian dalam satah elektrik dan sifat-sifat mekanikal seperti kekuatan lenturan, ketumpatan pukal dan kekerasan diukur. Berdasarkan kekonduksian elektrik, kekuatan lenturan, ketumpatan dan kekerasan, sampel 15% Fe telah menunjukkan hasil yang terbaik iaitu 137.39 S/cm, 34.04MPa, 1.582 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, 53.14 masing-masing. Semasa proses pengacuan mampatan, pada masa yang sama saluran aliran jenis serpentine dipilih, saluran penyejukan bentuk U atau bentuk V ditekan pada permukaan sampel plat dwikutub. Oleh itu, saluran aliran telah dikenalpasti ketepatan permukaan dimensi saluran aliran (menggunakan mesin koordinat pengukuran) dan kemudiannya, dibanding dengan lukisan sebenar untuk mengetahui keupayaan proses. Sementara itu berdasarkan analisis dimensi saluran aliran (lebar, kedalaman, tulang rusuk, sudut draf), bentuk V ditunjukkan untuk memberikan permukaan yang licin, dengan perbezaan dimensi antara sampel dan lukisan kira-kira 0.118 sehingga 0.27%. Manakala bagi keupayaan proses, bentuk V adalah lebih mudah untuk melepaskan dari acuan. Sebagai ringkasan, kajian ini mendedahkan bahawa saluran aliran (Lebar, kedalaman, tulang rusuk, sudut draf) dan penyejukan saluran dengan V bentuk boleh direka melalui kaedah pengacuan mampatan panas dengan ketepatan yang tinggi.*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

All praise is due to Allah, the Beneficent the Merciful. We bear witness that there is no god except Allah, and that Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah.

It is a great pleasure to express my gratitude and indebtedness to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Zulkefli Bin Selamat for his valuable guidance, encouragement, moral support and suggestion for improvement throughout my MSc research. I am also grateful to Dr Mohd Basri Bin Ali as my second supervisor who took keen interest in the work and his supervising in shaping my ideas as well for valuable discussion.

I also appreciate the financial support of the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia for supporting the grant of FRGS(RACE)/2013/FKM/TK2/2F00203 for Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka and scholarship from MyBrain15 throughout the duration of my study. Special thanks are also due to all staff from Composite Laboratory, Material and Science Laboratory for the use of facilities of laboratories and for conducting on SEM during my experimental work. I sincerely acknowledge all the staff for their support and appreciation to all my friends for their understanding, patience and active co-operation and help during my research.

The debt I owe to my parents and family has no measure. Finally, I would like to thank my father and mother Mr. Md Yusuf Bin Abd. Rahman and Mrs. Rohana Binti Hassan, my sisters and brothers for always giving their support and love. I would have never achieved this if I did not get the moral encouragement from them



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
APPROVAL	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xiv
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xvii
 CHAPTER	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	5
1.3 Objectives	7
1.4 Scope	7
1.5 Thesis Layout	8
 <b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Overview of Fuel Cell	10
2.2 Types of Fuel Cells	11
2.3 Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC)	13
2.4 PEMFC Fuel Cell Component	15
2.4.1 Ionomer Membrane (MEA)	15
2.4.2 Electrode	17
2.4.3 Gas Diffusion Layer (Porous Transport Layer)	20
2.4.4 Bipolar Plates	22
2.5 Types of Bipolar Plates	24
2.5.1 Metallic Bipolar Plates	24
2.5.2 Pure Graphite Bipolar Plates	25
2.5.3 Conducting Polymer Composite (CPC)	25
2.6 Percolation Theory	27

2.7	Flow Channel	29
2.7.1	Pin Type Flow channel	30
2.7.2	Parallel Type Flow channel	30
2.7.3	Interdigitated Type Flow channel	31
2.7.4	Serpentine Type Flow channel	32
2.8	Shape of Flow Channel	34
2.9	Cooling Channel	35
2.10	Fabrication Method of Flow Channel	36
2.10.1	Machining	36
2.10.2	Injection Molding	38
2.10.3	Hot Compression Molding	40
2.11	Factors Affecting Flow Channel	41
2.12	Recent Development on Composite Bipolar Plate	42
2.13	Research Focus	43
<b>3.</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>45</b>
3.1	Flow Chart of Fabrication Method	46
3.2	Material Selection	47
3.3	Raw Materials of Bipolar Plate	48
3.3.1	Natural Graphite	48
3.3.2	Carbon Black (CB)	50
3.3.3	Iron Powder	52
3.3.4	Electrical Conductive Thermoplastic Composite	53
3.4	Electrical Conductive Thermosetting Composite	55
3.5	Process of Fabrication Bipolar Plate	55
3.5.1	Pre-Mixing of Raw Materials	56
3.5.2	Compounding	56
3.5.3	Pulverizing	57
3.5.4	Compression Molding	58
3.6	Flow and Cooling Channel Fabrication	60
3.6.1	Flow Channel	61
3.6.2	Cooling Channel	63
3.7	Properties Testing and Analysis	65
3.7.1	Electrical Conductivity	65
3.7.2	Density Testing	66
3.7.3	Flexural Strength	67
3.7.4	Shore Hardness	68
3.7.5	Coordinate Measurement Test	69
3.7.6	Permeability Test	69

3.7.7	Surface Morphology (EDX and SEM)	70
<b>4.</b>	<b>RESULTAND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>73</b>
4.1	Fabrication of Blank Bipolar Plate Composites	74
4.1.1	Electrical Conductivity	74
4.1.2	3-Point Bending (Flexural Test)	77
4.1.3	Density Test	78
4.1.4	Shore Hardness Test (Shore-D)	79
4.1.5	EDX Result and Analysis	80
4.1.6	Surface Morphology Analysis	81
4.1.7	Summary Process	85
4.2	Permeability Test	86
4.3	Preliminary Study Flow Channel Fabrication	87
4.3.1	Drawing and Mold Fabrication of U and V Shapes	87
4.3.2	U and V Shapes of Flow Channel Fabrication	91
4.3.2.1	Polypropylene (PP)	91
4.3.2.2	Gr/CB/Fe/PP Composite	92
4.4	Fabrication of Mold for Flow Channel (50mm x 50mm)	94
4.4.1	Observation through Nick Eye	94
4.4.2	Coordination Mesurement between Drawing and Actual Spacer	96
4.4.3	Coordinate Measurement between Mold and Sample	97
4.5	Fabrication of Mold for Flow and Cooling Channel (100mm x 100mm).	98
4.5.1	Coordination Measurement Drawing and 100mm x 100mm Mold	100
4.5.2	Fabrication V Shape Flow and Cooling Channel	100
4.5.3	Coordinate Flow Channel Sample	101
4.5.4	Cooling Channel Sample	102
4.6	Final Result 100mm x 100mm from the Best Fabrication Mold, Sample and Drawing	103
4.7	Summary of Process Fabrication	105
4.8	Comparison with others Research Finding	106
<b>5.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>107</b>
5.1	Conclusion	107
5.2	Recommendations	108
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>109</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>119</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Type of fuel cell	12
2.2	Properties for bipolar plates	24
2.3	Comparison all fabrication to produce bipolar plate	41
3.1	Properties of filler materials	47
3.2	Table of composition G/CB/Fe/PP	48
3.3	The physical properties of PP	54
3.4	Parameters of compression molding	58
3.5	Parameters of flow channel design for flow channel mold	62
3.6	Parameters of cooling channel design for flow channel mold	64
4.1	Percentage weight% and atomic% sport by EDX	81
4.2	SEM for all composition G/CB/Fe/PP	84
4.3	Summary properties for 5 compositions Fe wt%	86
4.4	Gauge pressure reading of permeability G/CB/Fe/PP composite	86
4.5	Comparison of drawing and actual mold using coordinate measurement machine	90
4.6	Comparison of spacer and samples using coordinate measurement machine	93
4.7	Comparison of drawing and actual mold	97
4.8	Difference mold and sample dimensions	98

4.9	Comparison drawing and mold	100
4.10	Comparison between mold and flow channel sample	101
4.11	Comparison between mold and cooling channel sample	103
4.12	Illustration of measurement drawing, mold and sample 100mm x 100mm	105

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Operating principle of proton exchange membrane fuel cell	14
2.2	PEM fuel cell membrane-electrode assembly (MEA) with ionomer membrane in the electrodes and in the membrane separator (S. Lee, Jeong, Ahn, Lim, & Son, 2008).	17
2.3	Cross section of PEM fuel cell electrode	19
2.4	Gas diffusion layer schematic for a PEM fuel cell	21
2.5	Illustration of single filler and multifiller	27
2.6	Schematics of percolation pathway	28
2.7	Percolation S-Curve	29
2.8	Pin type flow channel: shown is the concentration of oxygen in Gas Diffusion Layer	30
2.9	Parallel type flow channel: shown is the concentration of oxygen in Gas Diffusion Layer	31
2.10	Interdigitated type flow channel: shown is the concentration of oxygen in Gas Diffusion Layer	32
2.11	Serpentine type flow channel: shown is the concentration of oxygen in Gas Diffusion Layer	33
2.12	Geometry of difference draft angle	34
2.13	Geometry of difference type with same area	35
2.14	Machining Process	37
2.15	Injection Molding Process	39
3.1	Flow chart the sequence of the process	46

3.2	From left Graphite, carbon black, iron ferum, and polypropylene	48
3.3	Particle size of graphite using SEM.	50
3.4	Particle size of carbon black using SEM	52
3.5	Particle size of Ferum using SEM	53
3.6	Ball milling machine	56
3.7	Shows the internal mixer	57
3.8	Filler with polypropylene	57
3.9	The output of melt compounding process	57
3.10	The pulverizer and ring sieve hole	58
3.11	Mold of sample composite	59
3.12	Hot press machine	59
3.13	Bipolar plates made of G/CB/Fe/PP compositions	60
3.14	Illustration name of measurement	61
3.15	Drawing spacer U-long Parallel & V-long Parallel	62
3.16	Body and spacer mold flow channel serpentine (50mm x 50mm) & (100mm x 100mm)	63
3.17	(a) Draft angle 0° and (b) Draft angle 30°	63
3.18	Drawing Cad for cooling channel.	64
3.19	Mold already fabricate for cooling channel.	65
3.20	Jandel Multiheight Microposition Probe	66
3.21	Electronic Densimeter	67
3.22	INSTRON Universal Testing Machine	68
3.23	Analogue TECLOCK GS -702G Shore-D Durometer.	68
3.24	Coordinate Measuring Machine (CMM)	69
3.25	Equipment of permeability test	70
3.26	Equipment of Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	72

4.1	Nine different points the measurement was taken.	75
4.2	Graph of Electrical Conductivity	76
4.3	Graph of Flexural Strength	77
4.4	Graph of Bulk Density	78
4.5	Indication of points of which the measurement was taken	79
4.6	Graph of shore hardness	79
4.7	Spectrum 1 sport using EDX analysis	80
4.8	Analysis of EDX prove Fe content	81
4.9	Fracture surface at 5000 magnification of 5% Fe.	82
4.10	Fracture surface at 5000 magnification of 25% Fe	83
4.11	Complete drawing mold assembly with flow and cooling channel using CAD software	88
4.12	Spacer drawing using CAD solid work software	89
4.13	Actual mold after fabricated	89
4.14	Front view measurement mold	90
4.15	(a) Spacer (b) PP samples with flow channel U shape with draft angle of 0° and 30° parallel flow channel	91
4.16	U shape sample after fabrication	92
4.17	V shape sample after fabrication	92
4.18	G/CB/Fe/PP composite sample for flow channel of U shape with draft angle 0° and 90° has broken and crack	94
4.19	CAD drawing for center part mold serpentine 30°.	95
4.20	Actual spacer after fabricated	96
4.21	Fabricate dimensions and indication of points where the measurement was taken and their respective pair	97
4.22	Drawing mold 100mm x 100mm	99
4.23	Comparison measurement drawing and mold 100mm x 100mm	99



4.24	Comparison measurement mold and sample 100mm x 100mm	101
4.25	Sample flow and cooling channel after fabrication through hot compression molding	102
4.26	Drawing of cooling channel mold 100mm x 100mm	102
4.27	Measurement coordinate cooling channel sample	103
4.28	Measurement taken from mold and sample 100mm x 100mm	104

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	EDX Full Results	119

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

PEMFC	-	Proton exchange membrane fuel cell
CPCs	-	Conductive polymer composite
ICPs	-	intrinsically conducting polymers
G	-	Graphite
CB	-	Carbon Black
CNTs	-	Carbon Nanotubes
CF	-	Carbon Fiber
PP	-	Polypropylene
DOE	-	Department of Energy
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
DMFC	-	Direct methanol fuel cell
AFC	-	Alkaline fuel cell
PAFC	-	Phosphoric acid fuel cell
MCFC	-	Molten carbonate fuel cell
SOFC	-	Solid oxide fuel cell
MEA	-	Membrane electrode assemblies
GDL	-	Gas diffusion layer
PVDF	-	Polyvinylidene fluoride
PEEK	-	Polyether ether ketone
PET	-	Polyethylene terephthalate
DSC	-	Differential Scanning Calorimetry
TGA	-	Thermo-Gravimetry Analysis
MWNTs	-	Multi-walled Nanotubes
SWNTs	-	Single-walled Nanotubes
MA	-	Maleic anhydride
EG	-	Exfoliated graphite

xGnPs	-	Exfoliated graphite nanoplatelets
TEM	-	Transmission electron microscopic
ASTM	-	American Standard Test Method
LC	-	Low degree of crystallinity
MC	-	Medium degree of crystallinity
SSs	-	Stainless steels
Eq.	-	Equation
H <sub>2</sub>	-	Hydrogen
O <sub>2</sub>	-	Oxygen
e <sup>-</sup>	-	Electron
wt. %	-	Weight percentage
Scm <sup>-1</sup>	-	Siemen/centimeter
cm	-	centimeter
μA	-	micron Ampere
MPa	-	Mega Pascal
mK	-	mili Kelvin
°C	-	Degree Celcius
g/cm <sup>3</sup>	-	gram/centimeter <sup>3</sup>
~	-	is equavalent to
<	-	is less than
>	-	is greater than
Φ <sub>c</sub>	-	percolation threshold
σ <sub>m</sub>	-	maximum electrical conductivity
F	-	filler
σ <sub>p</sub>	-	conductivity of the polymer matrix
vol. %	-	Volume Percentage
psi	-	pound per square inch
e.g.	-	example
Ωm	-	ohm meter
nm	-	nanometer
Ω cm	-	ohm centimeter
g	-	gram
rpm	-	Revolutions per Minute

mm - milimeter  
x - multiplied by

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### JOURNAL PAPER

1. **M.Y M. Yusuf**, M.Z. Selamat, J. Sahari, M.A M. Daud, M. M. Tahir, H.A Hamdan. *Fabrication Of A Flow Channel For Production Of Polymer Composite Bipolar Plate Through Hot Compression Molding*. Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Sciences (JMES) UMP. 2017. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.15282/jmes.11.1.2017.3.0224>
2. Mohd Zulkefli Selamat, Farhana Masron, **Muhammad Yusri Md Yusuf** and Anita Akhmar Kamarolzaman Musthafa Mohd Tahir and Safaruddin Gazali Herawan *Effect Of Stannum On Properties Of Graphite/Stannum Composite For Bipolar Plate*. Applied Mechanics and Materials, Vol. 699, pp. 157-162, 2015. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.699.157>

## CONFERENCES PROCEEDING

1. **M.Y M. Yusuf**, M.Z. Selamat, J. Sahari, M.A M. Daud, M. M. Tahir, H.A Hamdan  
*Manufacturing Of Flow Channel For Production Of Polymer composite Bipolar Plate Through Hot Compression Molding ICEI2016.*
2. Mohd Zulkefli Selamat, **Muhammad Yusri Md Yusuf**, Tio Kok Wer, Siti Norbaya Sahadan, Sivakumar Dhar Malingam, and Noraiham Mohamad *Effect of formation temperature on properties of graphite/stannum composite for bipolar plate. AIP Conference Proceedings 1717, 040022 (2016).*

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4943465>

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

The Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) is a zero production control source, which has high proficiency, low temperature operation, and high power density. Bipolar Plate is one of the crucial piece of PEMFC which supplies hydrogen (reactant) and oxygen (oxidant) to responsive region, evacuate water, gather delivered momentum and gives mechanical support to Membrane Electrode Assembly (MEA) in power device stack (Aiyejina et al., 2012). Bipolar plate serves as one of the main components in PEMFC. It serves many functions such as connecting cells, separating reactants and oxidants in adjoining cells, providing mechanical strength to the fuel cell and housing the flow channel for the pathways of gases. (Heinzel, et al, 2009)

The fuel cell performance heavily depends on the design of the fuel stack and choice of materials. The components of the fuel stack such as the catalyst, gas diffusion layer (GDL), Membrane Electrode Assembly (MEA) and bipolar plates affect the performance of the fuel cell (Antunes et al., 2011). Developing and producing these components consume time. Additionally, they are expensive. Thus, using other variation such as stack compression, flow channel geometry, manifold design and operating protocol give better result as compared to varying the main components (Kim et al., 2007).