



**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**

**PREDICTION OF TOOL WEAR CHARACTERISTICS IN TURNING  
ON INCONEL 718: EXPERIMENTATION AND SIMULATION**

**Syazzerlin binti Abd Aziz**

**Master of Manufacturing Engineering (Industrial Engineering)**

**2018**

**PREDICTION OF TOOL WEAR CHARACTERISTICS IN TURNING ON  
INCONEL 718: EXPERIMENTATION AND SIMULATION**

**SYAZXERLIN BINTI ABD AZIZ**

**A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of  
Manufacturing Engineering (Industrial Engineering)**

**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2018**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Prediction of Tool Wear Characteristics in Turning on Inconel 718: Experimentation and Simulation” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : .....

Date : .....

## **APPROVAL**

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Manufacturing Engineering (Industrial Engineering).

Signature :.....

Supervisor Name :.....

Date :.....

## **DEDICATION**

In the Name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful. Praise be to Allah S.W.T. because with His permission I am capable to complete my thesis with the title of “Prediction of Tool Wear Characteristics in Turning on Inconel 718: Experimentation and Simulation”. This thesis is dedicated to my beloved parents Sir Abd Aziz bin Hashim and Madam Miskiati binti Mohd Som. Highly grateful and thank you to my family and friends for the continuous supports, encouragements and sacrifices. The huge appreciation for my supervisor, lecturers and assistant engineer that involved in this study. The advice and guidance from Assoc. Prof. Dr Md Nizam bin Abd Rahman and Dr Mohd Shahir bin Kasim and Sir Mohd Taufik bin Abd Aziz as my reference in order to accomplish this thesis.

## ABSTRACT

Inconel 718 is a superalloy that has very strong mechanical properties due to an excellent yield strength at elevated temperature, capable to withstand thermal shock and corrosion resistance. In this study, the turning process is chosen as material removal operation. A bar stock of Inconel 718 and uncoated tungsten carbide were used as workpiece and cutting tool respectively. The turning operation evaluation was conducted in two different techniques which are experimental machining and simulation modelling. The input parameters selected in this study were spindle speed, depth of cut and feed rate. The chosen spindle speed parameters are 717 rpm, 876 rpm, 1035 rpm and 1194 rpm. The other two parameters which are depth of cut and feed rate parameters remain fixed as 1.0 mm and 0.1 mm/rev. Each set of cutting parameter underwent four repetitions of machining operation in order to access variability in this study. The aim of study is to establish and evaluate correlation between spindle speed and tool wear characteristics for both experimental machining and simulation modelling techniques in turning of Inconel 718 operation. The equipment used in this study was CNC lathe machine for experimental machining, thermal imager for capturing thermogram image of temperature distribution during turning process for maximum values. Besides, tool maker microscope and optical microscope were used for analysing and observing physical and structural changes of tool wear characteristics on the cutting tool edge. For the simulation modelling technique, DEFORM 3D software used in order to predict the tool wear characteristics that occurred after simulation process is completely done. The output response obtained from DEFORM 3D software is in terms of graphical image, graph chart and numerical values. The pre processor, simulator and post processor were generated based on the actual experimental machining characterizations which were mechanical properties, geometry dimensions and cutting conditions. The data analysis method for this study were regression and correlation analysis by using Minitab software. The hypothesis of this study is stated that the tool wear characteristics increase as the spindle speed increased. The tool wear characteristics generation are influenced by spindle speed as heat generated between contacted area of cutting tool and workpiece. The positive results obtained from the experimental machining and simulation modelling which indicated that rising in spindle speed tends to increase tool wear characteristics. In the experimental machining, result shows that flank wear length, notch wear length, crater wear length, chip formation and maximum temperature increased due to rising in spindle speed. Besides, the simulation modelling also determines that maximum temperature, total velocity, tool wear-interface temperature, tool wear-interface pressure, tool wear-sliding velocity, effective strain rate, effective strain, nodal heat, total force, folding angle, effective stress, tool wear-wear rate, tool wear-total wear depth, minimum distance, surface area, surface expansion ratio, damage and maximum shear stress increased due to rising in spindle speed.

## ABSTRAK

*Inconel 718 adalah aloi terkuat yang mempunyai sifat mekanik yang kuat kerana kekuatan hasil yang cemerlang pada suhu tinggi, mampu menahan kejutan haba dan rintangan kakisan. Dalam kajian ini, proses larik dipilih sebagai operasi penyingkiran bahan. Stok bar Inconel 718 dan karbida tungsten yang tidak bersalut akan digunakan sebagai alat kerja dan alat pemotong. Operasi larik akan dijalankan dalam dua teknik yang berbeza iaitu pemesinan eksperimen dan pemodelan simulasi. Parameter input yang terpilih dalam kajian ini adalah kelajuan gelendong, kedalaman potongan dan kadar kaki. Parameter kelajuan gelendong yang dipilih adalah 717 rpm, 876 rpm, 1035 rpm dan 1194 rpm. Dua lagi parameter iaitu kedalaman potongan dan kadar suapan seperti 1.0 mm dan 0.1 mm / rev. Setiap set parameter memotong akan menjalani empat operasi pemesinan pengulangan untuk kebolehubahan akses dalam kajian ini. Tujuan kajian adalah untuk menubuhkan dan menilai hubungan antara kelajuan gelendong dan ciri kehausan mata alat untuk kedua-dua teknik pemesinan dan pemodelan dalam operasi larik Inconel 718. Peralatan yang akan digunakan dalam kajian ini adalah mesin CNC larik untuk teknik pemesinan, pengimejan termal untuk menangkap imej termogram pengedaran suhu semasa proses larik untuk nilai maksimum. Selain itu, mikroskop pembuat alat dan mikroskop optik digunakan untuk menganalisis dan memerhatikan perubahan fizikal dan struktur kehausan mata alat. Untuk teknik pemodelan simulasi, perisian DEFORM 3D akan digunakan untuk meramalkan ciri-ciri haus alat yang berlaku selepas proses simulasi selesai sepenuhnya. Tanggapan pengeluaran akan diperolehi dari perisian DEFORM 3D adalah dari segi grafik, dan nilai berangka. Pra pemproses, simulasi dan pemproses pasca dihasilkan berasaskan situasi pemesinan sebenar yang sifatnya mekanikal, dimensi geometri dan keadaan pemotongan. Analisis data yang akan digunakan dalam kajian ini adalah analisis regresi dan korelasi dengan menggunakan perisian Minitab. Hipotesis kajian ini menyatakan bahawa ciri haus alat akan meningkat apabila kelajuan gelendong meningkat. Hasil positif diperolehi daripada model pemesinan dan pemodelan simulasi yang menunjukkan bahawa peningkatan dalam kelajuan gelendong akan cenderung meningkat dalam ciri-ciri pakaian alat. Dalam pemesinan eksperimen, hasil menunjukkan bahawa memakai panjang memakai, panjang memakai takik, panjang memakai kawah, pembentukan cip dan suhu maksimum meningkat disebabkan peningkatan dalam kelajuan gelendong. Selain itu, pemodelan simulasi juga menentukan suhu maksimum, jumlah halaju, suhu pakai alat antara muka, tekanan pakai antara muka alat, halaju gelongsor alat, kadar ketegangan berkesan, ketegangan yang berkesan, haba nod, kekuatan keseluruhan, sudut lipatan, tekanan berkesan, kadar pakai pakai alat, kedalaman memakai jumlah pakai alat, jarak minimum, kawasan permukaan, nisbah pengembangan permukaan, kerosakan dan tegasan ricih maksimum dinaikkan kerana kenaikan kelajuan gelendong.*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere acknowledgement to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr Md Nizam bin Abd Rahman for the guidance and great supervision during my journey to complete my thesis. Besides, my acknowledgement also dedicated to lecturers and assistant engineers from Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka for their essential supervision, support and encouragement towards the accomplishment of this study. I would like to express my greatest gratitude to Dr Mohd Shahir bin Kasim and Sir Mohd Taufik bin Abd Aziz for the advices and suggestions given along the project. Furthermore, my special thanks to all my colleagues, my beloved father Sir Abd Aziz bin Hashim, my beautiful mother Madam Miskiati binti Mohd Som, my siblings for their moral and financial supports in order to complete this degree. Last but not least, my deeply gratitude to everyone who had been associated to the crucial parts of realization of this project.

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>DEDICATION</b>	
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	ii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	iii
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	iv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF EQUATIONS</b>	xv
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives	6
1.4 Scope	6
1.5 Chapter Overview	7
<b>2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Fundamental of Turning Process	10
2.2.1 Cutting Parameters in Turning Process	12
2.2.2 Performance Evaluation of Turning Process	14
2.2.3 Effect of Cutting Speed in Turning Process	15
2.3 Tool Wear Mechanism	16
2.4 Effect of Temperature	18
2.5 Inconel 718	19
2.5.1 Machinability of Inconel 718	20
2.6 Cutting Tool for Inconel 718	22

2.6.1	Cutting Tool Material	23
2.7	Machining Simulation	24
2.7.1	DEFORM 3D Software	25
2.7.2	Simulation of Turning Process	26
2.8	Finite Element Model (FEM)	28
<b>3.0</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1	Introduction	35
3.1.1	Gantt and Flow Chart of Project	35
3.2	Experimental Setup	37
3.2.1	Machining Tool	37
3.2.2	Workpiece Material	38
3.3	Equipment	39
3.3.1	CNC Turning Machine	39
3.3.2	Tool Maker Microscope	41
3.3.3	Optical Microscope	42
3.3.4	Thermal Imager	44
3.4	Preparation of Machining Test	45
3.4.1	Machining Parameters	45
3.4.2	Machining Procedure	46
3.5	Finite Element Model (FEM) Analysis	48
3.5.1	Simulation Program	49
3.5.2	Define Cutting Tool	50
3.5.3	Define Work piece	51
3.5.4	Define Meshing	52
3.5.5	Simulation Control	54
3.5.6	Boundary Condition	54
3.5.7	Johnson-Cook Material Model	55
3.5.8	Simulation Result	56
3.6	Regression and Correlation Analysis	59
<b>4.0</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Data Collection for Simulation Modelling and Experimental Machining	62
4.2.1	Data Collection for Simulation Modelling	64
4.2.2	Data Collection for Experimental Machining	66
4.3	Tool Wear Characteristics	68

4.3.1	Data Collection for Simulation Modelling	69
4.3.2	Data Collection for Experimental Machining	79
4.3.3	Effect of Spindle Speed On Maximum Temperature	80
4.3.4	Maximum Temperature Comparison Between Experimental Machining and Simulation Modelling	82
4.4	Tool Wear Characteristics in Simulation Modelling	84
4.4.1	Effect of Spindle Speed On Maximum Temperature	85
4.4.2	Effect of Spindle Speed On Total Velocity	87
4.4.3	Effect of Spindle Speed On Tool Wear – Interface Temperature	89
4.4.4	Effect of Spindle Speed On Tool Wear – Interface Pressure	91
4.4.5	Effect of Spindle Speed On Tool Wear – Sliding Velocity	93
4.4.6	Effect of Spindle Speed On Effective Strain Rate	95
4.4.7	Effect of Spindle Speed On Effective Strain	97
4.4.8	Effect of Spindle Speed On Nodal Heat	99
4.4.9	Effect of Spindle Speed On Total Force	101
4.4.10	Effect of Spindle Speed On Folding Angle	103
4.4.11	Effect of Spindle Speed On Effective Stress	105
4.4.12	Effect of Spindle Speed On Tool Wear – Wear Rate	107
4.4.13	Effect of Spindle Speed On Tool Wear – Total Wear Depth	109
4.4.14	Effect of Spindle Speed On Minimum Distance	111
4.4.15	Effect of Spindle Speed On Surface Area	113
4.4.16	Effect of Spindle Speed On Surface Expansion Ratio	115
4.4.17	Effect of Spindle Speed On Damage	117
4.4.18	Effect of Spindle Speed On Maximum Shear Stress	119
<b>5.0</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>121</b>
5.1	Conclusion	121
5.2	Recommendations	124
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>125</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>131</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Machining Parameters in Turning Operation (Sharman et al., 2015)	12
2.2	Chemical Compositions of Inconel 718, Weight Basis (Senthilkumaar et al., 2012)	19
2.3	Mechanical Properties of Inconel 718 (Senthilkumaar et al., 2012)	20
2.4	Summary of Literature Review	29
3.1	Tool Nomenclature of Cutting Tool	38
3.2	The Proposed Set of Cutting Parameters for Turning Operation	46
3.3	Data Collection Form for Maximum Temperature Flank, Notch and Crater Wear Length	47
3.4	Material Constants Used in FEM Simulation (Uhlmann et al., 2007)	48
3.5	Input Parameter in Simulation Template	50
3.6	Insert Properties CNMG	51
3.7	Johnson-Cook Material Model Constant for Inconel 718 (Wang et al., 2013)	56
3.8	Data Collection Form for Tool Wear Characteristics in Simulation Modelling	52
4.1	Data Collection for Tool Wear Characteristics	65
4.2	Data Collection for Maximum Temperature	66
4.3	Data Collection for Flank Wear Length	67
4.4	Data Collection for Notch Wear Length	67
4.5	Data Collection for Crater Wear Length	67
4.6	Observation of Crater Wear at 50 $\mu$ m	74
4.7	Observation of Flank Wear and Notch Wear at 717 rpm Under 50 $\mu$ m	75
4.8	Observation of Flank Wear and Notch Wear at 876 rpm Under 50 $\mu$ m	76
4.9	Observation of Flank Wear and Notch Wear at 1035 rpm Under 50 $\mu$ m	77
4.10	Observation of Flank Wear and Notch Wear at 1194 rpm Under 50 $\mu$ m	78

4.11	Percent of Error for Maximum Temperature	83
4.12	Regression for Maximum Temperature Versus Spindle Speed	86
4.13	Regression for Total Velocity Versus Spindle Speed	88
4.14	Regression for Tool Wear – Interface Temperature Versus Spindle Speed	90
4.15	Regression for Tool Wear – Interface Pressure Versus Spindle Speed	92
4.16	Regression for Tool Wear – Sliding Velocity Versus Spindle Speed	94
4.17	Regression for Effective Strain Rate Versus Spindle Speed	96
4.18	Regression for Effective Strain Versus Spindle Speed	98
4.19	Regression for Nodal Heat Versus Spindle Speed	100
4.20	Regression for Total Force Versus Spindle Speed	102
4.21	Regression for Folding Angle Versus Spindle Speed	104
4.22	Regression for Effective Stress Versus Spindle Speed	106
4.23	Regression for Tool Wear – Wear Rate Versus Spindle Speed	108
4.24	Regression for Tool Wear – Total Wear Depth Versus Spindle Speed	110
4.25	Regression for Minimum Distance Versus Spindle Speed	112
4.26	Regression for Surface Area Versus Spindle Speed	114
4.27	Regression for Surface Expansion Ratio Versus Spindle Speed	116
4.28	Regression for Damage Versus Spindle Speed	118
4.29	Regression for Maximum Shear Stress Versus Spindle Speed	120

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	The Report Organization for Master Project I and Master Project II.	8
2.1	Relationship Between Independent and Dependent Variables to Machining Output (Alauddin and Baradie, 1996).	10
2.2	Adjustable Parameters in Turning Operation (Swamy, Raju and Teja, 2012).	11
2.3	Turning of Cylindrical Shafts with the Principal Parameters (Di and In, 2011).	13
2.4	Flank Wear Versus Cutting Time at Various Cutting Speed (Astakhov and Outeiro, 2005)	15
2.5	The Causes of Tool Failure (Trent & Wright, 2000).	17
2.6	(a) zone A: crater wear on the rake face; zone B: flank wear, zone C and D: notch wear. (b) section parallel to the end clearance face, KT is the maximum crater depth, E is the edge depression, VB flank wear land; (c) view of flank clearance face with N notch depth flank face (Di and In, 2011).	18
2.7	Types Of Superalloys and Their Effect on Tool Wear (Zetek et al., 2014).	21
2.8	Hot Hardness Characteristics of Some Cutting Tool Material (Zhu et al., 2013a).	23
2.9	Links Between Modules Of DEFORM 3D Program, 3D Deformations (Popovici et al., 2011).	25
2.10	Modelling Component for Turning Machining In DEFORM 3D (Popovici et al., 2011).	27
3.1	Flow Chart of Project.	36
3.2	Dimension of Uncoated Tungsten Carbide Insert Cutting Tool.	37
3.3	Uncoated Tungsten Carbide Insert Cutting Tool.	37
3.4	Turning Insert Holder.	38

3.5	A Bar Stock of Inconel 718.	39
3.6	CNC Turning Machine.	40
3.7	G-Code and M-Code Languages.	41
3.8	Tool Maker Microscope	42
3.9	Optical Microscope	43
3.10	Example of Graphical Image for Flank Wear and Crater Wear Under Optical Microscope (Hoier et al., 2017)	43
3.11	Thermal Imager Equipment	44
3.12	Example of Graphical Thermogram Image ('Thermal imaging guidebook for industrial applications', no date)	45
3.13	The Example of G-Code and M-Code Generated	47
3.14	Example of A Mesh Over Plate Component (Attanasio et al., 2017)	48
3.15	Iterations of A Mesh Refinement: a) Coarse, b) Semi Coarse and c) Fine Mesh (Klocke et al., 2013)	52
3.16	Tool Mesh Generation at Size Ratio of Four and 25000 Relative Mesh Size	53
3.17	Workpiece Mesh Generation at Size Ration of Seven, 25% Of Feed and 0.075 mm Input Size Directly	53
3.18	Advance of Simulation Controls in DEFORM 3D Software	54
3.19	Boundary Condition for Cutting Tool and Workpiece in DEFORM 3D Software	55
3.20	The Output Variables Available in Post Processor of DEFORM 3D Software	57
3.21	Graphical Image for Tool Wear Characteristics in DEFORM 3D Software	59
3.22	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Maximum Temperature Versus Spindle Speed)	60
3.23	Prediction Plot for Maximum Temperature Versus Spindle Speed	61
4.1	(a) (b) Graphical Figure and Image from Simulation Modelling in DEFORM 3D Software	63
4.2	(a) (b) (c) Optical Microscope Image for Crater, Notch and Flank Wears	63
4.3	(a) Helical Chip Formed in Turning Process. (b) Thermogram Image from Thermal Imager	64
4.4	Flank Wear Length Versus Spindle	70
4.5	Notch Wear Length Versus Spindle Speed	71
4.6	Crater Wear Length Versus Spindle Speed	73
4.7	Chip Formation at (a) 717 rpm (b) 876 rpm (c) 1035 rpm and (d) 1194 rpm	80

4.8	Maximum Temperature Versus Spindle Speed	81
4.9	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Maximum Temperature Versus Spindle Speed)	85
4.10	Prediction Plot for Maximum Temperature Versus Spindle Speed	86
4.11	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Total Velocity Versus Spindle Speed)	87
4.12	Prediction Plot for Total Velocity Versus Spindle Speed	88
4.13	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Tool Wear – Interface Temperature Versus Spindle Speed)	89
4.14	Prediction Plot for Tool Wear – Interface Temperature Versus Spindle Speed	90
4.15	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Tool Wear – Interface Pressure Versus Spindle Speed)	91
4.16	Prediction Plot for Tool Wear – Interface Pressure Versus Spindle Speed	92
4.17	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Tool Wear – Sliding Velocity Versus Spindle Speed)	93
4.18	Prediction Plot for Tool Wear – Sliding Velocity Versus Spindle Speed	94
4.19	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Effective Strain Rate Versus Spindle Speed)	95
4.20	Prediction Plot for Effective Strain Rate Versus Spindle Speed	96
4.21	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Effective Strain Versus Spindle Speed)	97
4.22	Prediction Plot for Effective Strain Versus Spindle Speed	98
4.23	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Nodal Heat Versus Spindle Speed)	99
4.24	Prediction Plot for Nodal Heat Versus Spindle Speed	100
4.25	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Total Force Versus Spindle Speed)	101
4.26	Prediction Plot for Total Force Versus Spindle Speed	102
4.27	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Folding Angle Versus Spindle Speed)	103
4.28	Prediction Plot for Folding Angle Versus Spindle Speed	104
4.29	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Effective Stress Versus Spindle Speed)	105
4.30	Prediction Plot for Effective Stress Versus Spindle Speed	106
4.31	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Tool Wear – Wear Rate Versus Spindle Speed)	107
4.32	Prediction Plot for Tool Wear – Wear Rate Versus Spindle Speed	108
4.33	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Tool Wear – Total Wear Depth Versus Spindle Speed)	109

4.34	Prediction Plot for Tool Wear – Total Wear Depth Versus Spindle Speed	110
4.35	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Minimum Distance Versus Spindle Speed)	111
4.36	Prediction Plot for Minimum Distance Versus Spindle Speed	112
4.37	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Surface Area Versus Spindle Speed)	113
4.38	Prediction Plot for Surface Area Versus Spindle Speed	114
4.39	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Surface Expansion Ratio Versus Spindle Speed)	115
4.40	Prediction Plot for Surface Expansion Ratio Versus Spindle Speed	116
4.41	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Damage Versus Spindle Speed)	117
4.42	Prediction Plot for Damage Versus Spindle Speed	118
4.43	Fitted Line Plot for Linear Model (Maximum Shear Stress Versus Spindle Speed)	119
4.44	Prediction Plot for Maximum Shear Stress Versus Spindle Speed	120

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Appendix A: Gant Chart of Project.	132
B	Appendix B: Thermogram Image of Maximum Temperature	134
C	Appendix C: Graphical Image Result from DEFORM 3D	136

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CNC	-	Computer Numerical Control
FEM	-	Finite Element Model
3D	-	Three Dimensional
ISO	-	International Standards Organization
WC	-	Tungsten Carbide
MDI	-	Manual Data Input

## LIST OF EQUATIONS

<b>EQUATION</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Equation 3.1	56
Equation 4.1	85
Equation 4.2	87
Equation 4.3	89
Equation 4.4	91
Equation 4.5	93
Equation 4.6	95
Equation 4.7	97
Equation 4.8	99
Equation 4.9	101
Equation 4.10	103
Equation 4.11	105
Equation 4.12	107
Equation 4.13	109
Equation 4.14	111
Equation 4.15	113
Equation 4.16	115
Equation 4.17	117
Equation 4.18	119

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

This chapter presents the background of project, problem statement, objectives, scope and significance of the study. Besides, it briefs about the data accuracy issues between experimental machining and simulation modelling of turning Inconel 718 operation. Then, the relationship between cutting parameters and tool wear characteristics of Inconel 718 will be determined.

#### **1.1 Background**

Turning is one of the metal removal cutting operation which has been used widely in various type of manufacturing industries. The machining process of turning produced a cylindrical parts or products. Metal cutting operations has been representing the largest class of manufacturing operations that make turning process is the most commonly employed in material removal process (Swamy et al., 2012). As the basic form, turning process could be defined as the machining of an external surface of work piece as it is rotating at a specific cutting or spindle speed. The advances in lathe CNC machining technologies has been boosting the productivity of the turning machining process. The three main variables in any basic turning operation are cutting speed or spindle speed, depth of cut and feed rate. Other variable also has a significant in operating turning process such as type of work piece material and type of tool. Tool of turning operation are insert and tool holder. The material either coated or coated of insert also make a different during turning process. However, these

three variables have a large influence in turning operation that could be changed and controlled by adjusting the manipulated variable. As the curiosity of the effect of cutting parameters towards the result of turning operation; then it became one of the encouragement in order to have a thorough study about this issues.

Inconel 718 is the nickel based alloy that have been used widely as an engineering material in space vehicles, aircraft gas turbines, nuclear reactors, reciprocating engines, petroleum industries and thermal exchangers applications (Olovsjö et al., 2012). Inconel 718 has a low machinability characteristic that makes a turning process become a challenging machining operation due to excessive of tool wear as the result of excessive heat generation and poor surface finish (Khidhir and Mohamed, 2010). The power required for turning operation of Inconel 718 is normally fed through a central power of the distribution system (Zhu et al., 2013). The cutting force is an essential component needed to perform the metal removal cutting operation (Vijayaraghavan et al.,2016). Various combination of turning process parameters will result many different of mechanical properties such as tool wear, surface roughness temperature and so on. The effectiveness of a material removal cutting process is depending on the large extend on the machinability characteristics of the material (Olovsjö et al., 2012). Thus, the machinability of Inconel 718 in not only based on cutting parameters but it is also depending on the cutting tool properties which will determine the quality of finished product or component. So as stated by previous studies, cutting tool properties also is an important matter in this study.

In order to understand tool wear mechanisms, tool insert either coated or uncoated, material of insert used, machining process monitoring and tool holder and insert geometry are the crucial factors need to be understand (Vijayaraghavan et al., 2016). Apart from the study, Finite Element Model (FEM) analysis is one of the method could be used to analyse the mechanical properties of Inconel 718. FEM analysis capable to predict the machining

characteristics in turning operation of Inconel 718 (Lorentzon and Järsvstråt, 2006). Lorentzon & Järsvstråt (2006) studied the wear models and its impact on the wear profiles generated from the FE model. Moreover, Vijayaraghavan et al. (2016) stated that FE models has been used widely in order to understand and analyse the machining operation of Inconel 718 in an efficient way compared to the expensive machining experimental in the laboratory conditions. FEM analysis serves a better alternative as it has advantages of less time consuming and more precise and accurate results in determining effect of wear mechanisms and chip formations (Senthilkumaar et al., 2012). FEM is an efficient tool to predict material removal process variables such as temperature field which is difficult to be determined by performing experimental of turning operation. Yang et al. (2011) indicated that the maximum temperature in the cutting zone is located on the rake face at the distance of about 0.01 mm from the insert tip. As the cutting speed or spindle speed of turning machine and feed rate increase, the maximum temperature in the cutting are also increases. Moreover, the cutting speed or spindle speed has a significant impact on the cutting temperature compared to the depth of cut variable (Yang et al., 2011). Even though there is some of previous researchers has been studied about the effect of cutting speed in turning operation. But the studies in the temperature distribution and tool wear still not enough as the technology of machining keep changing and become more advances in future.

According to Sandeep et al., (2017) experimental method need high cost and wastages; there is a strong necessity to have another approach such as simulation model. Simulation modelling could be done in two options which are two dimensional and three dimensional models. One of the previous research which is Vincent et al., (2014) stated that two dimensional model is used because of fast computing time compared to three dimensional model which is more complex. However, data collected from three dimensional model have high accuracy towards the exact experimental machining result. It has been

proven by Ceretti et al., (2000), a three dimensional modeling is capable to simulate a FEM analysis in their study. Besides, simulation modeling by using DEFORM 3D software is able to predict the effect of various process variables as the machining performance indicator such as cutting force, surface accuracy, machining forces, temperature variations, chip flow and so on (Bhojar and Kamble, 2013). Nevertheless, the experimental machining still the real operation that need help and information from the simulation approach to have an optimum cutting parameter in order have a good and better result with a minimum cost required.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

The assessment of Inconel 718 superalloy machinability has been a topic of research over the last three decades. The previous studies have been put a lot of efforts that directed towards the assessment of cutting tool life and disclosing tool wear mechanisms in machining operation of Inconel 718 (Costes et al., 2007). The inappropriate and wrong selection of material removal cutting parameters such as cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut for turning Inconel 718 process might cause the insert of cutting tool to wear rapidly and has a tendency to break apart, which is leads to imperfect surface quality and anti-fatigue performance of Inconel 718 (Ezugwu and Bonney, 2004). Yang et al. (2011) analysed that the cutting forces in x and y directions decrease as the cutting speed increases. The tool wear characteristics has been increased with the increased of cutting speed. Then, the increasing of cutting speed leads to the decreasing of deformation coefficient and friction coefficient of the Inconel 718 material which minimize the cutting forces. Based on Yang et al. (2011) studies, the value of tool wear characteristics is increased according to the increased cutting speed which varies from 629 °C at 15 m/min to 902 °C at 60 m/min in the turning of Inconel 718 operation. Then, it explains that cutting speed or spindle speed is one of the most