# Mosquito Larvae Detection using Deep Learning

# Siti Azirah Asmai, Mohamad Nurallik Daniel Mohamad Zukhairin, Abdul Syukor Mohamad Jaya, Ahmad Fadzli Nizam Abdul Rahman, Zuraida Binti Abal Abas

Dengue cases has become endemic in Malaysia. The cost of operation to exterminate mosquito habitats are also high. To do effective operation, information from community are crucial. But, without knowing the characteristic of Aedes larvae it is hard to recognize the larvae without guide from the expert. The use of deep learning in image classification and recognition is crucial to tackle this problem. The purpose of this project is to conduct a study of characteristics of Aedes larvae and determine the best convolutional neural network model in classifying the mosquito larvae. 3 performance evaluation vector which is accuracy, log-loss and AUC-ROC will be used to measure the model's individual performance. Then performance category which consist of Accuracy Score, Loss Score, File Size Score and Training Time Score will be used to evaluate which model is the best to be implemented into web application or mobile application. From the score collected for each model, ResNet50 has proved to be the best model in classifying the mosquito larvae species.

Keywords: Aedes, dengue, convolution neural network, deep learning, performance vector, performance category.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Rrecently several diseases such as Dengue fever, Chikugunya, Malaria and Zika which are transmitted through mosquito as their vectors are causing serious problems in human health. These diseases are mostly transmitted by mosquito genus Aedes, especially species Aedes Aegypti [1]. To combat this problem, various method was implemented by the local government to control the mosquito outbreak but to no avail. One of the reasons is due to lack of effective mosquito control in areas where dengue is endemic [2]. Although there was the method of fogging which is a large-scale extermination of mosquito habitat but the cost to operate this is quite high and inefficient as dengue cases must appear in that area before the operation will be executed.

Deep learning is one of the branches in machine learning where we create a model to train our data and do some

#### Revised Manuscript Received on September 05, 2019.

**Siti Azirah Asmai**, Faculty of Information and Communication Technology, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Hang Tuah Jaya, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia. Email: azirah@utem.edu.my.

**Mohamad Nurallik Daniel Mohamad Zukhairin,** Faculty of Information and Communication Technology, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Hang Tuah Jaya, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia. Email: azirah@utem.edu.my.

**Abdul Syukor Mohamad Jaya,** Faculty of Information and Communication Technology, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Hang Tuah Jaya, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia. Email: azirah@utem.edu.my.

Ahmad Fadzli Nizam Abdul Rahman, Faculty of Information and Communication Technology, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Hang Tuah Jaya, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia. Email: azirah@utem.edu.my.

**Zuraida Binti Abal Abas**, Faculty of Information and Communication Technology, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Hang Tuah Jaya, 76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka, Malaysia. Email: <u>azirah@utem.edu.my</u>. analysis, prediction or others that are suitable. The deep learning architecture has allowed a computational model that were composed of multiple processing layers to learn representations of data with multiple levels of abstraction [3]. Deep learning or artificial neural network (ANN) was modelled after human brain neural network where there are at least 3 layers of nodes. The first layer is the input layer where the data enter the neural network. Second layer usually consist of hidden nodes to do the processing of data[4]. The third layer is the output layer where output of the process is produced [5].

In deep learning there are few models that has been created using the deep learning architecture that is Convolutional Neural Network, Recurrent Neural Network and Recursive Neural Network [6], [7], [8]. In the field of image recognition and classification, CNN has created a good performance in the last decade in large scale [11] – [14]. Especially with the sprouting of image recognition competition such as ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge, Low Power Image Recognition Challenge and many more [17], [22]. The advancement of deep visual recognition architecture has been largely played by the ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC) which act as the testbed for a few generations of large-scale image classification systems [13], [22]. With image recognition model, a computer can easily identify the object using visual from camera or images.

#### **II. RELATED WORK**

The project by Sanchez-Ortiz et al [1] proposed using CNN to classify mosquito larvae species into *Aedes* and *Others*. The paper proposed to classify the larvae species by the larvae 8<sup>th</sup> segment where they can observe a comb-like figure as shown in Figure 1.



**Figure 1: Classes of larva based on 8<sup>th</sup> segment [14]** The paper proposed a rather simple methods in their project that is data acquisition, image pre-processing, training the CNN and real-time classification [1]. The result from the classification is the system is capable to recognize the larvae of *Aedes* mosquitos with an accuracy of approximately 96.88% in average while for *Others* is 64.95%.

And Exploring Engineers UJITEE UVIC INTEREST WWW.IIIte.org Exploring Intervaliant

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering & Sciences Publication

804

## **III. CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORK**

Deep learning is a subfield of machine learning methods based on artificial neural network. This learning can be supervised, unsupervised or semi-supervised [15]. Convolution Neural Network (CNN) is a class of deep learning which is commonly applied to analysing visual imagery. It was inspired by biological processes where connectivity pattern between neurons are resembling the animal visual cortex [23]. Basically, CNN perceive the images the same as brain process visual that is in 3-dimensional.

CNN are widely used architecture in detecting, recognizing, or classifying images. The most popular CNN for object detection and object category classification from images are AlexNet, GoogLeNet, and ResNet50 [6]. CNN constitute many classes of models such as generic object recognition, convolutional deep belief network, handwritten digit recognition and many more related to images [9], [16], [19]. CNN learns the filters that in traditional image processing algorithm which make it independence from prior knowledge and human effort in feature design.

CNN has many applications in the field of computer learning, which is image and video recognition, recommender system, image classification, medical image analysis and natural language processing [9], [10], [20].

## A. VGG16

VGG16 is a CNN model that was proposed by K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman from the University of Oxford. It was one of the famous models that was submitted to ILSVRC-2014 which has achieved 92.7% top-5 accuracy test in ImageNet which has a dataset of over 14 million images that belong to 1000 classes [13].

### **B. VGG19**

VGG19 is a CNN model which was also proposed by K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman from the University of Oxford to compete in ILSVR-2014 along with VGG16. The differences of VGG16 and VGG19 is only on their number of weight layers which is 16 layers for VGG16 and 19 layers for VGG19. VGG19 also has achieved results of Top-5 Error Rate with value of 9.0% [13].

# C. InceptionV3

InceptionV1 or GoogLeNet was developed by Google to compete in ILSVRC 2014 and become the winner. It achieved a top-5 error rate of 6.67% which was very close to human level performance. The network used the architecture of LeNet but implemented a novel element which is the inception module. It used batch normalization, image distortions and RMSprop in the architecture.

This architecture allows for increasing the number of units at each stage significantly without an uncontrolled blow-up in computational complexity [21]. The inception architecture is based on finding out how an optimal local sparse structure in a convolutional vision network can be approximated and covered by readily available dense components [21]. InceptionV3 is an upgrade of InceptionV1 architecture where there is an addition of batch normalization of InceptionV2 and additional factorization ideas in third iteration of InceptionV3 [21].

## D. ResNet50

ResNet50 is a 50 layers Residual Network where Residual Network is a type of residual learning where its purposes are to solve the difficulty of network training and saturating of network accuracy. It is explicitly letting these layers fit a residual mapping. Formally, we let the stacked nonlinear layers fit another mapping of F(x):=H(x)-x where H(x) is the desired underlying mapping. The original mapping is recast into F(x)+x. It is hypothesized that it is easier to optimize the residual mapping than to optimize the original, unreferenced mapping. If an identity mapping were optimal, it would be easier to push the residual to zero than to fit an identity mapping by a stack of nonlinear layers [18].

### **IV. METHODOLOGY**

The methodology used in this project is as follow. First, we acquire the dataset to train the models. Then, we pre-processed the acquired dataset to perform the functionality of data mining and train the model. Then, the classification task will be performed using the 4 selected models; VGG16, VGG19, InceptionV3 and ResNet50. The individual model will be evaluated using performance vector; Accuracy, Log-Loss and AUC-ROC graph and using this performance vector each model will be scored using the Performance Category Score; Accuracy Score, Loss Score, File Size Score, and Training Time Score. This scoring is using tournament rule where we rank the Performance Category of each model.

## A. Data Collection

The data collected was from various image collection platform such as Flickr.com and Shutterstock.com while some of the images are captured in Pusat Kesihatan Melaka Tengah using live Aedes larvae sample.

### B. Data Pre-Processing

The acquired data will be separated into different folders named 'test\_set', 'training\_set' and 'validation\_set'. Each folder set has 2 subfolders called *Aedes* and *Non-Aedes* containing their respective images. All the data will be standardized by converting the size to  $244 \times 244 \times 3$  for all images with RGB color channel. Data augmentation will be applied to the images before being fed to the model. The augmentation technique is very important to increase the training dataset as each augmented image has 20 random orientations of images.

### C. CNN Model Training

During this step, the log-loss, training time and model file size after training will be recorded for score evaluation using Performance Category.

### D. Classification using Selected Model

In this step, the trained model will be tested using 'testing\_set' and validated using 'validation\_set'.

The accuracy of 15 random images of *Aedes* and *Non-Aedes* will be feed into the trained model where their classification accuracy and AUC-ROC graph will be evaluated and recorded.



*Retrieval Number:* L32131081219/2019©BEIESP DOI: 10.35940/ijitee.L3213.1081219

805

Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering & Sciences Publication The model needed to be fine-tuned before training as we currently have only very small dataset with low computational power and the augmentation technique was not enough as to avoid the overfitting problem.

- To fine-tuned VGG16 and VGG19, all the layers except for the last 4 layers will be freeze (untrainable) as the initial layers learned the general features.
- To fine-tuned ResNet50, I freeze all the layers except the fully connected SoftMax layers in the last layers.
- For fine-tuning InceptionV3, all convolutional InceptionV3 layer will be trained except for top layers.
- E. Model Performance Evaluation Vector Scoring

The performance evaluation vector was used to measure the performance of each individual models in terms of classifying the mosquito larvae. Three elements that was used are accuracy, log loss and the value of AUC-ROC where all these results derived from the graph. Description is shown in Table 1.

Performance Evaluation Vector	Description
Accuracy	Epochs in which prediction accuracy and validation accuracy differences is $\pm 0.01$ .
Log Loss	Epochs in which prediction loss and validation loss differences is $\pm 0.01$
AUC-ROC	Value of AUC-ROC of tested model

### F. Tournament Selection using Performance Category

Using the recorded result of Performance Vector of each model, the models Performance Category (Accuracy Score, Loss Score, File Size Score and Training Time Score) will be ranked between 1 (the best) and 4 (the worst) for each model. Table 2 shows the description for Performance Category. The scoring for each model based on the Performance Category will be added as shown in (1) and the best model has the lowest  $\sum$ Score among them.

$\sum \text{Score} = \text{score}(a) + \text{score}(l) + \text{score}(f) + \text{score}(t) $ (1)	1)	)
--	----	---

Where,	а	=	Accuracy
	l	=	loss
	f	=	file size
	t	=	training time

	<b>Fable</b>	<b>2:Performance</b>	Category
--	--------------	----------------------	----------

Performance Category	Description
Accuracy, a	Average classification accuracy of 10 images testing, <i>TP+TNTP+TN+FP+FN</i>
Loss, l	Average Loss
File Size, f	Size of trained model in Megabyte
Training Time, t	Time taken to train the model in hour and minute

## V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result will be divided into few parts. The first one is the individual model comparison which compare the model's individual accuracy, loss, and AUC-ROC. Next will be the

*Retrieval Number:* L32131081219/2019©BEIESP DOI: 10.35940/ijitee.L3213.1081219 result for 20 images testing (10 images of *Aedes* class and 10 images of *Non-Aedes* class). The last part is the scoring result to evaluate which model is the best to be implemented into web or mobile application.

## A. Individual Model Comparison

Each model will be evaluated according to accuracy graph, log loss graph, the value of AUC-ROC and loss during training. The training dataset was imported into the system and the training result will be analysed. Their individual performance will be evaluated using the performance evaluation vector.

From the results in Table 5, in terms of log-loss during training VGG16 yield the best results compared to other models where the loss is 0.3128 which is lower than other models. ResNet50 has the highest value of AUC-ROC compared to VGG16, VGG19, and InceptionV3. The high value of AUC-ROC indicates its capability to perform better for classification task. In terms of high accuracy achieved per epoch, ResNet50 performs the best as it achieved high training and validation accuracy in less than 40 epochs.

### B. 20 Images Testing Results

Table 3 record the confidence of classification in classifying 10 images of *Aedes* larva while Table 4 record the confidence of classifying 10 images of *Non-Aedes* class. According to the result in Table 4, VGG19 has the highest average accuracy with 87.26% for classifying Aedes larvae followed by VGG16 at 81.29% accuracy. As shown in the Table 5 ResNet50 has the highest accuracy in classifying non-Aedes class with 86.38% classification confidence followed by VGG16.

# C. Performance Tournament Results

In Table 6, the value to be used in the performance criteria are taken from Table 3 until Table 5. To get a value, we use (2) to get the value for each model. Then we will rank their performance category from 1 until 4, from best to worst.

$$Accuracy, a = \frac{avg \ Aedes(p) + avg \ Non - Aedes(p)}{200} \times 100 \quad (2)$$
Where,  $avg \ Aedes(p) = Avg. \ accuracy Aedes \ class Avg. \ accuracy avg \ Non-Aedes(p) = Non-Aedes \ class \\ class \end{bmatrix}$ 

From Table 7, we can see the respective ranking of each models according to the performance category. From Table 8 we can conclude that ResNet50 is the best model to be employed into mobile application or web application. The accuracy for this model is quite high with little loses. In terms of deployment into application its file size is still quite small compare to VGG models with training time that's only second to InceptionV3.



# **Mosquito Larvae Detection using Deep Learning**

s Img	Aede s	Non- Aede	Aede s	Non- Aede s	Aede s	Non- Aede	Aede s	Non- Aede
Img 1	22.45	77.55	79.90	20.10	25.86	74.14	22.20	77.80
Img 2	99.99	0.01	100.0 0	0.00	100.0 0	0.00	95.04	4.96
Img 3	90.47	9.53	93.60	6.40	76.81	23.19	48.19	51.81
Img 4	0.38	99.62	0.99	99.01	1.68	98.32	21.72	78.28
Img 5	99.99	0.01	98.12	1.88	100.0 0	0.00	24.68	75.32
Img 6	99.99	0.01	99.99	0.01	100.0 0	0.00	99.74	0.26
Img 7	99.70	0.30	99.99	0.01	100.0 0	0.00	99.82	0.18
Img 8	99.99	0.01	99.99	0.01	100.0 0	0.00	99.99	0.01
Img 9	99.99	0.01	99.99	0.01	100.0 0	0.00	99.98	0.02
Img 10	99.99	0.01	99.99	0.01	100.0 0	0.00	99.90	0.10
Avg Accu racy	81.29	18.71	87.26	12.74	80.44	19.56	71.13	28.87
Т	Table 4 : 10 Non-Aedes Images Test Result (%)							
Non- VGG16 VGG19		G19	ResNet50 Inception			tionV3		

Aede s Img	Aede s	Non- Aede s	Aede s	Non- Aede s	Aede s	Non- Aede s	Aede s	Non- Aede s
Img 1	5.19	94.81	12.34	87.66	0.46	99.54	5.12	94.88
Img 2	99.51	0.49	99.23	0.76	99.99	0.01	97.47	2.53
Img 3	96.77	3.23	51.96	48.04	99.93	0.07	99.52	0.48
Img 4	99.70	0.30	99.66	0.34	99.99	0.01	85.61	14.39
Img 5	61.49	38.51	68.85	31.15	63.46	36.54	49.58	50.42
Img 6	99.99	0.01	99.96	0.04	100.0 0	0.00	99.96	0.04
Img 7	99.59	0.41	99.97	0.03	100.0 0	0.00	99.83	0.17
Img 8	92.61	7.39	99.98	0.02	100.0 0	0.00	99.98	0.02
Img 9	99.94	0.06	97.61	2.39	100.0 0	0.00	98.19	1.81
Img 10	99.99	0.01	99.98	0.02	99.99	0.01	99.69	0.31
Avg Accu racy	85.48	14.52	82.95	17.05	86.38	13.62	83.50	16.50

# Table 5 : Individual Model Comparison





Retrieval Number: L32131081219/2019©BEIESP DOI: 10.35940/ijitee.L3213.1081219

Published By:

& Sciences Publication

Model	Accuracy, a	Loss, l	File Size, f	Training Time, t
VGG16	83.3850	0.3128	513 MB	5 hours 33 minutes
VGG19	85.1050	0.5219	533 MB	6 hours 55 minutes
ResNet50	83.4100	0.4327	115 MB	3 hours
InceptionV3	77.3150	0.6623	122 MB	2 hours

inception v 5	77.5150	0.0025	122 WID	2 110013				
Table 7 : Score of Performance Category								
Model	Accuracy,	Loss, 1	File	Training				
	а		Size, f	Time, t				
VGG16	3	1	3	3				
VGG19	1	3	4	4				
ResNet50	2	2	1	2				
InceptionV3	4	4	2	1				

Table	8:	Performance	Category	Scoring
	•••		Currender J	~~~~

Performance Criteria	VGG16	VGG19	ResNet50	InceptionV3
Accuracy, a	3	1	2	4
Loss, 1	1	3	2	4
File Size, f	3	4	1	2
Training Time, t	3	4	2	1
Total Score, ∑Score	10	12	7	11

#### **VI. CONCLUSION**

This study empirically evaluates the performance of four CNN models (VGG16, VGG19, ResNet50, and InceptionV3) in classifying mosquito larvae images based on their class (Aedes and Non-Aedes). From the results we can conclude that ResNet50 is the best model to be implemented into web app or mobile app. For future improvement we hope that we can improve the accuracy of each models by increasing the dataset and adding algorithm to increase the performance of models.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge, Centre for Advanced Computing Technology, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for contribution to this study. Special thanks to Melaka Tengah District Health Office for the support and expertise in gathering information to this study.

#### REFERENCES

- Sanchez-Ortiz, A. Fierro-Radilla, A. Arista-Jalife, M. 1. A. Cedillo-Hernandez, M. Nakano-Miyatake, D. Robles-Camarillo, and V. Cuatepotzo-Jimenez. (2017). "Mosquito Larva Classification Method Based on Convolutional Neural Networks." 2017 International Conference on Electronics, Communications and Computers (CONIELECOMP), 1-6.
- D. J. Gubler. (1998). "Dengue & Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever." Clinical Microbiology Reviews 1998; 11: 480-496.

Y. LeCun, Y. Bengio, and G.E. Hinton. (2015). "Deep Learning." Nature Volume 521, 436-444.

- S. A. Asmai, A. S. H. Basari, A. S. Shibghatullah, N. K. Ibrahim and B. Hussin (2011). "Neural Network Prognostics Model for Industrial Equipment Maintenance." 11th International Conference on Hybrid Intelligent Systems (HIS), Melaka, 2011, pp. 635-640.
- 5. Azirah, S. A., Hussin, B., & Mohd-Yusof, M. (2013). A data-driven prognostic model for industrial equipement using time series prediction methods. J.Eng.Technol., 4, 1-12.
- N. Sharma, V. Jain, and A. Mishra. (2018). "An Analysis of Convolutional Neural Networks for Image Classification." International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Data Science. 132. 377-384.
- 7. A. Chinea. (2009). "Understanding the Principles of Recursive Neural Networks: A Generative Approach to Tackle Model Complexity." International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks, Springer, 952-963.
- 8. A. Sherstinsky. (2018). "Fundamentals of Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Network." arXiv preprint arXiv: arXiv:1808.03314. Accessed on May 2019 from https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.03314
- 9 Y. LeCun, F.J. Huang, and L. Bottou. (2004). "Learning Methods for Generic Object Recognition with Invariance to Pose & Lighting. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE Computer Society Conference Volume 2, 97-104.
- 10. R. Collobert, and J. Weston. (2008). "A Unified Architecture for Natural Language Processing: Deep Neural Networks with Multitask Learning.' Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Machine Learning. 160-167.
- 11. A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G.E. Hinton. (2012). "ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 1097-1105.
- 12. P. Sermanet, D. Eigen, X. Zhang, M. Mathieu, R. Fergus, and Y. LeCun. (2014) "Overfeat: Integrated Recognition, Localization and Detection using Convolutional Networks." 2014 International Conference on Learning Representations, 16.
- 13. K. Simonyan, and A. Zisserman. (2015). "Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition." arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556. Accessed May 2019 on from https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556
- 14. M. D. Zeiler, and R. Fergus (2013). "Visualizing and Understanding Convolutional Networks." arXiv preprint arXiv:1311.2901. Accessed on May 2019 from https://arxiv.org/pdf/1311.2901v3
- 15. Y. Bengio, A. Courville, and P. Vincent. (2013). "Representation Learning: A Review and New Perspectives". IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence. 35(8). 1798-1828.
- 16. Y. LeCun, B. Boser, J. S. Denker, D. Henderson, R. E. Howard, W. Hubbard and L. D. Jackel. (1990). "Handwritten Digit Recognition with a Back-Propagation Network." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 396-404.
- 17. K. Gauen, R. Rangan, A. Mohan, Y.H. Lu, W. Liu, and A.C. Berg. (2017). "Low-power Image Recognition Challenge." Design Automation Conference (ASP-DAC), 2017 22nd Asia and South Pacific, 99-104.
- 18. K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun. (2016). "Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition." Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR).
- 19. H. Lee, R. Grosse, R. Rangarath, and A. Y. Ng. (2009). "Convolutional Deep Belief Networks for Scalable Unsupervised Learning of Hierarchical Representations." Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Conference on Machine Learning, 609-616.
- 20. A. Van Der Ood, S. Dieleman and B. Schrauwen. (2013). "Deep content-based music recommendation". Curran Associates, Inc. 2643-2651.
- 21. C. Szegedy, W. Liu, Y. Q. Jia, P. Sermanet, S. Reed, D. Anguelov, D. Erhan, V. Vanhoucke, and A. Rabinovich. (2014). "Going Deeper with Convolutions." arXiv e-prints arXiv:1409.4842. Accessed on 8th August 2019 from https://arxiv.org/pdf/1409.4842
- 22. O. Russakovsky, J. Deng, H. Su, J. Krause, S. Satheesh, S. Ma, Z.H. Huang, A. Karpathy, A. Khosla, M. Bernstein, A.C. Berg and L. Fei-Fei. (2014). "ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge." arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0575. Accessed on May 2019 from https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.0575
- 23. K. Fukushima. (2007). "Neocognitron". Scholarpedia. 2(1). 1717.



Retrieval Number: L32131081219/2019@BEIESP DOI: 10.35940/ijitee.L3213.1081219

Published By:

& Sciences Publication

# **AUTHORS PROFILE**



Dr. Siti Azirah Asmai is a senior lecturer at Faculty of Information and Communication at Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malaysia. She obtained her BSc in Computer Science from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and MSc. in Information and Communication Technology for Engineer from Coventry University. She

received her Ph.D. in Information and Communication Technology from UTeM in 2014. Her current research work focuses predictive analysis, Data analytics and Visualization, Time Series, AI and Image Processing.



Mohamad Nurallik Daniel Mohamad Zukhairin is an undergraduate student at Faculty of Information and Communication at Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malaysia. He obtained his BSc in Computer Science from Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka. His current project focuses on data analytic,

predictive analysis and natural language processing.



Dr. Abdul Syukor Mohd Jaya is a senior lecturer in Faculty of Information and Communication Technology, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Malaysia. He got his Bachelor of IT (Industrial Computing) from Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia and Master of Mechanical Engineering (Advanced Manufacturing

Technology) from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. He received his Ph.D. in Computer Science also from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia in 2014. His research interest focuses on Intelligent Manufacturing and Data Analytics.



Ahmad Fadzli Nizam bin Abdul Rahman, is currently a Senior Lecturer in Department of Intelligent Computing & Analytics (ICA), Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi (FTMK). He received his MSc in Information Technology from Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Shah Alam, Selangor and his degree is in Bachelor of

Applied Science (Computer Modeling) from Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Pulau Pinang. He has joined OptiMAS research lab since 2013 and his research interests, including Modeling and Simulation, Analytics, AI and Image Processing.



Zuraida Abal Abas, PhD is an associate professor Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM). Graduated with first class degree in BSc in Industrial Mathematics from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), obtained

MSc in Operational Research from London School of Economics (LSE) and received PhD in Mathematics from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). Very passionate in research in which obtained more than 15 grants from the university and several government agencies. Has authored and co-authored more than 100 academic papers and presented in local and international conferences. Has been invited as keynote speaker in some of the international conferences



Retrieval Number: L32131081219/2019@BEIESP DOI: 10.35940/ijitee.L3213.1081219

809