



Faculty of Electrical Engineering

**FORCE AND POSITION BASED HAPTIC BILATERAL CONTROL
SYSTEM FOR SINGLE JOINT ROBOTIC ARMS**

Nuratiqa Natrah binti Mansor

Master of Science in Mechatronics Engineering

2019

**FORCE AND POSITION BASED HAPTIC BILATERAL CONTROL SYSTEM
FOR SINGLE JOINT ROBOTIC ARMS**

NURATIQA NATRAH BINTI MANSOR

**A thesis submitted
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science
in Mechatronics Engineering**

Faculty of Electrical Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2019

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Force and Position Based Haptic Bilateral Control System for Single Joint Robotic Arms” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature :

Name : Nuratiqa Natrah binti Mansor

Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Mechatronics Engineering.

Signature :

Supervisor Name : Dr. Muhammad Herman bin Jamaluddin

Date :

DEDICATION

To my beloved family members; Mama, Baba, Makda, Dad, Mummy, Mima, Aqeela,
Najmee, Ifnee, Raudha and my best friends; Aini and Hisham.

ABSTRACT

Haptics applying manipulation of touch sensation with the interaction of computer applications, machines or human touch. However, robots that used haptics' movement control are set up in lab-range and undevoted to works in substantial way particularly because of size factor and limited workspace. Majority of invented robot cannot recognize the surfaces textures on the object that they are handling. Application of the common force sensors have a lot of limitations and handicap to the system. There are some uncertainties, instability and delay occurred in the system. This research embarks to design a model of bilateral master-slave haptic system and simulate with controllers of Proportional (P), Proportional-Derivative (PD) and Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) also implementation of Disturbance Observer (DOB) and Reaction Force Observer (RFOB). Next, analyze the ability and performance of the proposed controller in terms of position and force reading on single joint. To cut cost and duration, a small, commercial industrial robot is used as mechanism to work with haptic bilateral control system. Additionally, DOB and RFOB managed to transmit vivid force sensation by rejecting disturbance force and attain a robust motion control. Literally, the system is required to adjust according to the target position and compensate the forces earn from surrounding. Observation and study on the feedback of new adaptive design method DOB and RFOB is presented to compare with the conventional controller P, PD, and PID inside a bilateral control system. The performances of the proposed design are measured inside a simulation platform. From experiments, results signified that $K_p=5$, $K_d=0.1$ is the best value for PD and $K_p=5$, $K_i=0.001$, $K_d=0.1$ for PID. System employed with observers are more accurate and faster when $\omega_n=50$ for Differential Mode and $\omega_n=500$ for Common Mode. Apart from that, this research is potential to be apply on surgical robots or manufacturing for industry.

ABSTRAK

Haptik menggunakan manipulasi dalam sesuatu bentuk sentuhan kepada interaksi komputer, mesin mahupun sentuhan manusia. Walau bagaimanapun, robot yang menggunakan kawalan gerakan haptik biasanya diuji hanya di dalam makmal dan tidak bertumpu dalam tugas yang lebih penting disebabkan faktor saiznya dan ruang kerja yang terhad. Majoriti robot yang telah dicipta tidak mampu mengenalpasti tekstur permukaan bagi sesuatu objek yang dipegang. Aplikasi sensor daya mempunyai banyak had keupayaan dan kekurangan dalam sistem. Ini disebabkan terdapat ketidakpastian, ketidakstabilan dan kelewatan berlaku dalam sistemnya. Kajian ini dimulakan dengan merekabentuk model kawalan Tuan-Hamba untuk sistem haptik dua hala dan simulasi dengan kawalan Berkadaran (P), kawalan Terbitan Berkadaran (PD) dan kawalan Terbitan Kamilan Berkadaran (PID) juga pelaksanaan Pemerhati Gangguan (DOB) dan Pemerhati Daya Tindak Balas (RFOB). Berikutnya, menganalisis keupayaan dan menilai prestasi bagi kaedah yang dicadangkan dari segi bacaan kedudukan dan daya dari sendi tunggal robot. Untuk menjimatkan kos dan masa, robot industri yang komersial, kecil, digunakan sebagai mekanisme untuk bekerja dengan sistem kawalan haptik dua hala. Tambahan, DOB and RFOB mengendalikan kuasa yang lebih jelas dengan menyingkirkan gangguan dan mendapat kawalan gerakan yang lebih teguh. Harfiahnya, sistem ini perlu melaras berdasarkan sasaran kedudukan dan mengimbangi daya yang diterima dari persekitaran. Tinjauan dan kajian yang dilakukan untuk tindak balas reka bentuk suaian baru ini dibincangkan untuk membandingkan pengawal P, PD dan PID yang lazim didalam sistem kawalan. Prestasi untuk cadangan reka bentuk telah diukur didalam platform simulasi. Dari eksperimen, hasil menunjukkan $K_p=5$, $K_d=0.1$ ialah nilai terbaik untuk PID dan $K_p=5$, $K_i=0.001$, $K_d=0.1$ untuk PID. Sistem yang menggunakan pemerhati lebih tepat dan pantas apabila nilai untuk mod pembezaan, $\omega_n=50$ dan mod biasa, $\omega_n=500$. Selain itu, penyelidikan ini berpotensi untuk digunakan pada robot pembedahan atau industri pembuatan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation to my main supervisor Dr. Muhammad Herman bin Jamaluddin and my co-supervisor Prof. Madya Dr. Ahmad Zaki bin Hj. Shukor from Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for their supervision, continuous encouragement, understanding and patience to guide me towards the completion of this whole research project. I am also thankful with them for providing a research grant which enable me to run the research and pay my study fees with the allowance.

In addition, special thanks to all lecturers and staffs of the faculty for the knowledge and information throughout two years of my journey as a postgraduate student. I am very grateful with their effort, motivation and attention during the learning process.

Last but not least, deepest gratitude to my beloved parents, Mansor Nasurdin and Amni Nordin, my dearest aunty, Norzalena Nordin, my siblings, my best friends and fellow course mate for the mental support and assistance during thick and thin of my days to complete this project. I am really thankful to everyone for helping me in various occasions. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am beholden and grateful with them for always praying and believing in me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
APPROVAL	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xv
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Motivation	2
1.3 Problem statements	3
1.4 Research objective	5
1.5 Research scopes	5
1.6 Research contribution	7
1.7 Thesis organization	8
1.8 Summary	8
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Overview	9
2.2 Industrial robotics	9
2.3 Haptic in general	13
2.3.1 Application of haptic	16
2.3.2 Bilateral motion control	25
2.3.3 Master-slave control system	29
2.4 Disturbance Observer (DOB)	31
2.5 Reaction Force Observer (RFOB)	32
2.6 DOB and RFOB implementation to bilateral control system	34
2.7 Summary	37
3. METHODOLOGY	38
3.1 Overview	38
3.2 Algorithm	39
3.3 Design of control system	40
3.3.1 Proportional (P) controller	41
3.3.2 Proportional Derivative (PD) controller	42
3.3.3 Proportional Integrate Derivative (PID) controller	42
3.3.4 Modeling for Disturbance Observer (DOB)	43
3.3.5 Modeling for Reaction Force Observer (RFOB)	45

3.4	Bilateral master-slave manipulator system	47
3.4.1	Bilateral master-slave control design for Experiment A	47
3.4.2	Bilateral master-slave control design for Experiment B	49
3.4.3	Bilateral master-slave control design for Experiment C	50
3.5	Simulation of experiment setup	50
3.5.1	Scene properties of robotic arm in simulation	53
3.5.2	Simulation setup for Experiment A, Experiment B and Experiment C	55
3.6	Experiment evaluation	58
3.7	Summary	59
4.	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	60
4.1	Overview	60
4.2	Experiment A - P, PD and PID Controllers	60
4.2.1	Experiment A1 (Common Mode Test)	61
4.2.2	Experiment A2 (Differential Mode Test)	63
4.2.3	Graphs for controller P	64
4.2.4	Graphs for controller PD	68
4.2.5	Graphs for controller PID	72
4.3	Experiment B – DOB	76
4.3.1	Force control in DOB	77
4.3.2	Position control in DOB	82
4.4	Experiment C – RFOB	88
4.4.1	Force control in RFOB	88
4.4.2	Position control in RFOB	93
4.5	Summary	99
5.	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	103
5.1	Conclusion	103
5.2	Recommendations for future work	105
	REFERENCES	106

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Block diagram symbols	43
4.1	Maximum torque of external arm and KUKA youBot Arm	61
4.2	Parameter value of K_p , K_d and K_i	64
4.3	Accuracy and time delay P control system	67
4.4	Accuracy and time delay for PD control system	71
4.5	Accuracy and time delay for PID control system	75
4.6	ω_n , K_p and K_d values for DOB and RFOB experiment	77
4.7	Accuracy for control system with DOB	87
4.8	Accuracy for control system with RFOB	98
4.9	Best and least gain values for each controller	99
4.10	Comparison between DOB and RFOB in Common Mode law	100
4.11	Comparison between DOB and RFOB in Differential Mode law	100
5.1	Suitable value for controller each design system	104

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Classification of robotics	10
2.2	Industrial robotic arms; WAM, Mitsubishi (RV-2A), Motoman (SIA10D), Fanuc (Am50IC/5L), Staubli (TX40), ABB (IRB120), Adept Viper (S650), KUKA (KR5 R650), Kawasaki (RS03N)	11
2.3	Industrial robots manipulate products quickly and delicately in applications such pick and place from conveyor line to packaging	11
2.4	Estimated annual worldwide supply of industrial robots 2009-2021*	12
2.5	Number of multipurpose industrial robot per 10000 employees in industry	12
2.6	Block diagram of machine haptic	15
2.7	Human-machine interaction of haptic	16
2.8	Different surfaces which humans feel like touching through photographs	24
2.9	Phantom manipulator for texture recognition with linear vibrator	27
2.10	Schematic diagram of the teleoperation construction robot system with the virtual reality	28
2.11	Disturbance compensation by DOB	32
2.12	Reaction force by RFOB	34
2.13	Types of damping	36

3.1	Overview flowchart for work process and the 3-sub algorithm	40
3.2	Block diagram of motor	41
3.3	Block diagram adding P control to the manipulator system	42
3.4	Block diagram adding PD control to the manipulator system	42
3.5	Block diagram adding PID control to the manipulator system	43
3.6	Disturbance compensation by DOB	44
3.7	Robust acceleration control	45
3.8	Reaction force estimation by RFOB	46
3.9	Acceleration based force control	46
3.10	Block diagram of master-slave control system with PID controller	48
3.11	Block diagram of bilateral control for master and slave system	48
3.12	Block diagram of overall bilateral system with construction of DOB	49
3.13	Block diagram of overall bilateral system with construction of DOB and RFOB	50
3.14	KUKA youBot arm and simulation model inside VREP Pro Edu	51
3.15	Programming in Python	51
3.16	Perspective view of bilateral master-slave robot for experiment setup	52
3.17	Top view of bilateral master-slave robot for experiment setup	52
3.18	Front view of bilateral master-slave robot for experiment setup	53
3.19	Scene object properties for joint0 in youBot arm	54
3.20	(a) Adding an object to the workspace (b) Adding a joint to the workspace	55
3.21	Scene object properties for revolute joint of external manipulator	55
3.22	Bilateral control system working steps flowchart	57

3.23	Illustration movement A for bilateral master-slave youBot system	57
3.24	Illustration movement B for bilateral master-slave youBot system	58
4.1	Graph of forces for master and slave arm vs. time for 5N	61
4.2	Graph of forces for master and slave arm vs. time for 15N	62
4.3	Graph of forces for master and slave arm vs. time for 25N	62
4.4	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 1$	64
4.5	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 5$	65
4.6	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 8$	65
4.7	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 10$	65
4.8	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 20$	66
4.9	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 50$	66
4.10	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 1, K_d = 0.80$	68
4.11	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 5, K_d = 0.10$	69
4.12	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 8, K_d = 0.50$	69
4.13	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 10, K_d = 0.20$	69
4.14	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 20, K_d = 1.60$	70
4.15	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 50, K_d = 4.65$	70
4.16	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 1, K_d = 0.80, K_i = 0.0100$	72
4.17	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 5, K_d = 0.10, K_i = 0.0010$	73
4.18	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 8, K_d = 0.50, K_i = 0.0050$	73
4.19	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 10, K_d = 0.20, K_i = 0.0005$	73
4.20	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 20, K_d = 1.60, K_i = 0.0050$	74
4.21	Angle of master and slave arm for $K_p = 50, K_d = 4.65, K_i = 0.0500$	74
4.22	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 1$	78

4.23	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 2$	78
4.24	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 5$	78
4.25	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 10$	79
4.26	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 20$	79
4.27	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 50$	79
4.28	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 100$	80
4.29	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 200$	80
4.30	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 500$	80
4.31	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 1$	82
4.32	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 2$	83
4.33	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 5$	83
4.34	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 10$	83
4.35	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 20$	84
4.36	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 50$	84
4.37	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 100$	84
4.38	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 200$	85
4.39	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 500$	85
4.40	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 1$	89
4.41	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 2$	89
4.42	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 5$	89
4.43	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 10$	90
4.44	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 20$	90
4.45	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 50$	90
4.46	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 100$	91

4.47	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 200$	91
4.48	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 500$	91
4.49	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 1$	93
4.50	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 2$	94
4.51	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 5$	94
4.52	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 10$	94
4.53	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 20$	95
4.54	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 50$	95
4.55	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 100$	95
4.56	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 200$	96
4.57	Position of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 500$	96
4.47	Force of master and slave arm vs. time for $\omega_n = 200$	91

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3D	-	3-Dimension
API	-	Application Programming Interface
CAD/CAE	-	Computer Aided Design/Computer Aided Engineering
CT	-	Computed Tomography
DOB	-	Disturbance Observer
DOF	-	Degree of Freedom
EMG	-	Electromyography
fMRI	-	Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging
IFR	-	International Federation of Robotics
IoT	-	Internet of Things
IR 4.0	-	Industrial Revolution 4.0
LPF	-	Low Pass Filters
MIS	-	Minimally Invasive Surgery
MM	-	Markov Models
MRI	-	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
P	-	Proportional
PAMV	-	Power-Assisted Mobile Vehicle
PD	-	Proportional Derivative
PID	-	Proportional Integrate Derivative
PM	-	Permanence Magnet
RFOB	-	Reaction Force Observer
TCSCR	-	Teleoperation Control System of Construction Robot
VR	-	Virtual Reality

LIST OF SYMBOLS

H	-	Hadamard transformation
C_f	-	Force controller
cmd	-	Command value
cmp	-	Compensation value
C_p	-	Position controller
D	-	Viscous friction factor
F_{com}	-	Total force
F_{dis}	-	Disturbance force
F_{ext}	-	External force
F_{fric}	-	Friction force
F_{int}	-	Interactive force
g	-	Cut-off frequency
G_r	-	Gear ratio
I_a	-	Torque electric current
I_{cmp}	-	Compensation current
I_{cmp}	-	Compensating current
J_m	-	Inertia of the motor shaft
K_d	-	Derivative term
K_f	-	Force coefficients
K_i	-	Integral term
K_p	-	Position coefficients/Proportional term
K_t	-	Torque constant
K_v	-	Velocity coefficients

m	-	Master system
M	-	Mass
n	-	Nominal value
ref	-	Reference value
res	-	Response value
s	-	Slave system
T_{dis} / T_{ref}	-	Motor torque
t_{fm} / t_{fs}	-	Final time taken for master / slave robot
t_{im} / t_{is}	-	Initial time taken for master / slave robot
T_m / T_s	-	Torque of master / slave manipulator
X	-	Position
\ddot{x}_{dif}	-	Total acceleration
x_m / y_m	-	Initial position for master / slave robot
y_m / y_s	-	Final position for master / slave robot
Z_c	-	Impedance of environment
θ_{cmd}	-	Command angle of motor rotation
θ_{res}	-	Respond angle of motor rotation
ζ	-	Damping ratio
ω_n	-	Natural frequency

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal

- Shukor, A.Z., Mansor, N. N. and Jamaluddin, M. H., 2018. Analysis of Sitting Posture Recognition using Pressure Sensors. *Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering (JTEC)*, 10(2-6), pp. 53-57.
- Shukor, A.Z., Mansor, N. N. and Jamaluddin, M. H., 2018. Object Tracking and Following Robot Using Color-Based Vision Recognition for Library Environment, *Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering (JTEC)*, 10(2-7), pp. 79-83.
- Mansor. N. N., Jamaluddin, M. H. and Shukor, A. Z., 2018. A Study of Accuracy and Time Delay for Bilateral Master-Slave Industrial Robotic Arm Manipulator System, In: *MATEC Web of Conferences*, EDP Science, 150, pp. 1-7.
- Mansor. N. N., Jamaluddin, M. H. and Shukor, A. Z., 2017. Concept and Application of Virtual Reality Haptic Technology: A Review, *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology (JATIT)*, 95(14), pp. 3320-3336.

Proceeding

- Mansor. N. N., Jamaluddin, M. H. and Shukor, A. Z., 2018. Haptic Robot Assist for Path Manipulation in VREP Simulation, In : *Proceedings of Innovative Research and industrial Dialogue 2018 (IRID)*. - Article in Press
- Mansor. N. N., Jamaluddin, M. H. and Shukor, A. Z., 2018. Force and Position Feedback of Bilateral Master-Slave Control System in Robotic Simulator. In: *Symposium of Electrical, Mechatronics and Applied Science 2018 (SEMA)*. - Article in Press

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

In robotic technology, haptic system is recognized to be a medium that can emulate force sensation to user from a real environment. This system refers to the application and manipulation of touch (tactile) sensation to the interaction with computer applications or human touch. In this new century, haptics has started to include human-machine/robot interactions and the environments can be real, virtual or teleoperated. Consequently, various area of sciences such as biomechanics, neurosciences, robotic surgery, psychology, robotics design and control, mathematical simulation and other engineering fields has congregated to explore and evolve with haptics.

Generally, haptic system can be in synchronous and asynchronous multilateral control for walking motion. On the other hand, haptic information can be transmitted into two directions which are unilateral and bilateral. Unilateral system is a transmission of information in one direction only without giving any feedback such as sight and hearing, while bilateral system is a transmission of information comprising action and reaction from real environment contact. For a general haptic controller, a disturbance observer (DOB) is implemented for the transmission of vivid force sensation in motion control because it has wider bandwidth than force sensor. DOB is able to make sampling brief and at same time increase the observer gain (Jamaluddin et. al, 2014). Some instance of bilateral haptic system is telerobotic and video games simulators that uses concept of master and slave to achieve same interaction via disturbance observer (DOB) and also reaction force observer

(RFOB). DOB is implemented as an acceleration control while RFOB is able to estimate value of external force from environment.

1.2 Motivation

Bilateral master-slave industrial robotic arm manipulator system is an advanced technology used to help human to interact with environments that are unreachable to human, due to its remoteness or hazardous. This system has been used in different areas such as telesurgery, autonomous teleoperation for sea and space operation and handling explosive or high radiation operation fields. It is beneficial both for science and society. Commonly, researchers need to build a new model of robot to carry out the work. This needs a longer time, hardwork and money for working on a new prototype of robot. The robot also usually works in lab-scale setup and not devoted to work in substantial way. Alternatively, industrial robot is able to automate and manoeuvre the daily job tasks. Moreover, they are sturdy, programmable and capable of movement on three or more axes. Some robots are mobile and easy to be handled. Hence, two similar youBot manufactured by Keller Und Knappich Augsburg (KUKA) company are preferred as the mechanism for modelling a bilateral master and slave control system.

Inspired by the concept and the perks of applying DOB and RFOB into a system, the application of DOB and RFOB is expected to improve the feedback of bilateral haptic inside the system. By doing so, the study of the system performance in terms of force and position control can be improved. The integrated system is also able to distinguish between different range of external and internal forces as well as manipulation of touch sensory.

1.3 Problem statements

In engineering process, most of machines and controller are not 100% accurate and precise. There must be an error or glitch happens during the operation. The productivity and quality of the outcome product could be affected too. This happened when there is instability, slow processing or delay on information carried inside the control system. In this matter, these issues can happen on a bilateral control system although the transmission of data afford to be in two way of direction and the system able to reflect the feedback to another sub-system and vice versa.

On the other part, every closed-loop control system makes use of feedback and adapt to certain circumstances to some extent. The feedback loop ensures a control action to control a process variable at the same value as the set point. Nonetheless, the feedback experiences noise and time delay from various sources. This problem happens in haptic system too. It is difficult and tricky to follow the object and at the same time perform the manipulation task when the object has different texture and rigidity. For instance, the cardiac surgery requires the da Vinci robot to adapt with the heartbeat pattern of the patient to avoid wrong incisions especially on the narrow vessels.

Remarkably, industrial robot technology has been associated with manufacturing and product inspection. They can assist for material handling with high endurance, speed and precision. However, in operation of industrial robot worldwide, they have some major drawbacks. The robot cannot recognize and identify the surfaces textures on the object that they are handling. The applied pressure in contact with the object sometimes might be too high or too low. When it is too high, the object could be deformed or broken and when it is too low, the object might drop. This is the part that we need to take into account.

From the past studies made by researchers all over the world, there is technical limitations on the previous methods. The approaches are engaged on improving the control

system itself with a readymade and simple equipment such as keyboard, joystick, data glove and simple manipulator link. Many of them did not applying the DOB and RFOB techniques on a real industrial robot arm. It is impractical and waste for not implementing these techniques as DOB and RFOB have been provenly good to improve reactions on control system in numerous past studies. The integration of force control and position control to the robotic arm bilateral system should be given preference to find the disparity and novelty between the common, smaller device comparing to industrial robotic arm.

With all that said, an approach to design a bilateral control system to apply on industrial robot is necessary. Since 1980, haptic technology evolved and has been performed by many researchers to investigate about its control system, communication and applications. Studies in DOB and RFOB in last 4 decades shows that control action and the feedback inside a haptic system can be enhanced up to 90% in accuracy in compare to the common system without DOB and RFOB which suffers about 25% to reach the desired value. The effectiveness after the implementation of DOB and RFOB helps the infallibility of control process in identical time. Hence, the consideration of applying the DOB and RFOB technique in the designed system, should be given priority.

Moreover, study on development of bilateral control system with force control and position control on industrial robotic arm are not common in our country. The implementation of bilateral manipulator control system in industrial robot is perceived to be useful in the imminent industry and the development over country and human life, specifically for production yield and human operator. Furthermore, the devised method helps in the navigation and manipulation task of moving objects. The system will be able to adjust and perform accordingly on the movement of the target and structure of object surface before handling the job in actual time.