

Faculty of Electrical Engineering

IMPROVED POSITIONING CONTROL OF A ROTARY SWITCHED RELUCTANCE ACTUATOR USING MODIFIED PID CONTROLLER

Tee Siau Ping

Master of Science in Mechatronic Engineering

IMPROVED POSITIONING CONTROL OF A ROTARY SWITCHED RELUCTANCE ACTUATOR USING MODIFIED PID CONTROLLER

TEE SIAU PING

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Mechatronic Engineering

Faculty of Electrical Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled "Improved Positioning Control of a Rotary Switched Reluctance Actuator using Modified PID Controller" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature	:
Name	: Tee Siau Ping
Date	: 18/9/2019

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Mechatronic Engineering.

Signature	:
Supervisor Name	: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mariam Md Ghazaly
Date	: 18 / 9 / 2019

DEDICATION

To my parents

ABSTRACT

Over the past decade, the rotary switched reluctance actuator (SRA) has been gaining attention not only in the areas of industrial applications as well in promising research areas such as robotics and automotive engineering. The popularity can be much associated with the attractive advantages SRA has to offer such as inherent fault tolerance, simple and robust structure in addition to the ability for high frequency operations. Despite the attractive advantages it has to offer, SRA exhibits significant nonlinear characteristics due to its unpredictable magnetic flux flow and operation in saturation region. Subsequently, these dynamic behaviors often make modelling and real time motion control a challenging effort. Although various control methods have been developed, these controller design procedures frequently require exact model of mechanism and deep understanding in modern control theory which leads to their impracticability. Henceforth, in this research, a practical control strategy namely the modified proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control scheme is proposed for point-to-point motion control of the rotary SRA mechanism. The practical control scheme presented heavily emphasizes on simple structure and straightforward design framework. Hence, the proposed modified PID controller includes control elements that are derived from the measured open loop responses. Complex system modelling or high computational learning algorithms are not required in the controller design process. The performance evaluation is examined and compared to a conventional PID controller through experimental works. At fully aligned and almost aligned positions, experimental results showed that the proposed controller successfully reduced steady-state error in step positioning by an average improvement of 94%. The maximum overshoot and settling time are improved by an average 62.5% and 47%. At intermediate positions, although zero steadystate error can be enjoyed on both controllers, modified PID controller performed better by showing a reduced overshoot and settling time response of 60% and 37% improvement. Overall, the proposed controller displayed superiority compared to conventional PID controller with a smoother displacement response with reduced steady-state error, overshoot and settling time in all positioning tasks.

ABSTRAK

Menelusuri dekad yang lalu, penggerak berputar Bertukar Keengganan (SRA) semakin mendapat perhatian bukan sahaja dalam industri malahan dalam bidang-bidang penyelidikan yang prominen seperti robotik dan kereta elektrik hybrid. Populariti ini boleh dikaitkan dengan kelebihan yang ditawarkan oleh penggerak berputar SRA seperti ciri-ciri keselamatan, struktur bina yang mudah dan kebolehan beroperasi menggunakan putaran frekuensi tinggi. Walau bagaimanapun, penggerak berputar SRA mempamerkan ciri-ciri tidak linear yang ketara yang disebabkan pengaliran fluks magnet yang sukar dijangka dan operasinya dalam kawasan ketepuan. Justeru itu, kelemahan dinamik ini sering kali menyebabkan pencirian sistem dan kawalan gerakan satu usaha yang mencabar. Walau terdapat beberapa jenis sistem kawalan yang telah dicadangkan, rangka kerja sistem kawalan yang dicadangkan memerlukan model yang tepat dan juga pengetahuan yang mendalam berkaitan teori sistem kawalan moden di mana sistem kawalan sering kali menjadi tidak praktikal. Oleh itu, dalam penyelidikan ini, satu strategi kawalan praktikal yang dinamakan sebagai skema pengawal modifikasi PID dicadangkan untuk kawalan gerakan titik ke titik untuk mekanisme penggerak berputar SRA. Skema kawalan praktikal ini mempertimbangkan struktur yang mudah dan rangka kerja yang ringkas. Pengawal modifikasi PID yang dicadangkan mempunyai elemen pengawal yang dibina melalui hubungan antara input dan keluaran yang boleh didapati melalui pencirian gelung terbuka. Pemodelan yang kompleks dan algoritma pembelajaran berkiraan tinggi tidak diperlukan dalam proses rekabentuk pengawal modifikasi PID. Seterusnya, prestasi pengawal modifikasi PID dinilai dan dibandingkan dengan pengawal PID lazim melalui eksperimen. Hasil ujikaji menunjukkan bahawa sistem pengawal yang dicadangkan berjaya mengurangkan ralat keadaan mantap dalam penempatan langkah dengan pembaikan purata sebanyak 94% di posisi berjajar dan hampir berjajar. Terlajak maksimum dan masa penepatan diperbaiki sebanyak 62.5% dan 47% secara purata. Di posisi perantaraan, walaupun kedua-dua pengawal mempamerkan ralat keadaan mantap sifar, pengawal modifikasi PID mencapai terlajak maksimum terturun dan masa penepatan terturun dengan pembaikan sebanyak 60% dan 37%. Keseluruhannya, pengawal modifikasi PID menunjukkan keunggulan berbanding dengan pengawal PID lazim dengan pencapaian sambutan sesaran yang licin, ralat keadaan mantap terturun, terlajak maksimum terturun dan masa penepatan terturun dalam semua kes penempatan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mariam Md Ghazaly. It is through her prompt encouragements, timely assistance, meticulous scrutiny, scholarly advice and warm kindness that have pushed me forward to complete this research in a timely manner. Besides, I greatly appreciate the financial assistance provided that gave me this great opportunity to pursue my master's degree in Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka.

Next, I will also like to thank my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Chong Shin Horng, for her brilliant guidance, advices, moral support and financial support throughout this research. A special appreciation towards UTeM Zamalah scheme for supporting me financially throughout my two years of study.

Nevertheless, I would take this opportunity to express my gratitude to my parents for their continuous shower of love, unceasing encouragement and support throughout all these years.

Apart from that, I would like to thank the lab technicians and faculty staff for their assistance and support throughout this period. On the other hand, a big shout out should be given to members of Motion Control Laboratory for their never-ending inflow of advices and kind efforts during my stay there.

Last but not least, I place on record, my sense of gratitude to one and all who, directly or indirectly, have offered their helping hand upon the completion of this project.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

iv

3.6 Design procedure of modified PID control scheme

3.7

Summary

DECLARATION APPROVAL DEDICATION ABSTRACT i ABSTRAK ii **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** iii **TABLE OF CONTENTS** iv LIST OF TABLES vi LIST OF FIGURES viii LIST OF APPENDICES xi LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF PUBLICATIONS xiv CHAPTER **INTRODUCTION** 1 1. 1.1 Introduction 1 1.2 Background 1 1.3 Problem statement 6 1.4 Objectives 8 8 1.5 Scope 1.6 Research contribution 9 1.7 Thesis outline 10 2. LITERATURE REVIEW 11 2.1 Introduction 11 2.2 Torque control for rotary SRM 11 2.3 Speed control for rotary SRM 15 2.4 Position control 18 2.4.1 Position control for rotary SRA 18 2.4.2 Position control for linear SRA 21 2.4.3 Position control for stepper motor 28 2.5 Summary 31 3. **METHODOLOGY** 39 3.1 Introduction 39 SRA prototype and experimental setup 3.2 39 3.2.1 SRA dimensions and parameters 39 3.2.2 Excitation sequence of SRA prototype 41 3.2.3 SRA full assembly and experimental setup 44 3.3 Experimental positions 47 3.4 Concept of modified PID control scheme 50 3.5 Working principle of switching mechanism 51

PAGE

53

4.	. RESULT AND DISCUSSION				
	4.1	Introduction	59		
	4.2	Construction of linearizer unit	59		
		4.2.1 SRA reciprocating motion	59		
		4.2.2 Curve fitting for current-displacement relationship	63		
	4.3	Design of conventional PID controller	73		
	4.4	Performance evaluation for point-to-point motion	74		
		4.4.1 Tuning position	75		
		4.4.2 Fully aligned positions	77		
		4.4.3 Intermediate positions	81		
		4.4.4 Specific positions	85		
	4.5	Impact of linearizer unit and anti-windup	90		
	4.6	Summary	95		
5.	CO	NCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	97		
	5.1	Summary of work	97		
	5.2	Recommendation for future work	98		
RE	FERF	ENCES	99		
AP	PEND	DICES	111		

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Comparison of general characteristics for 4 types of actuators	2
2.1	Summary of referred control methods for position control of	34
	rotary SRA and stepper motor	
2.2	Summary of referred control methods for position control of	36
	linear SRA	
2.3	Summary of research gap for various research works	38
3.1	Summarized SRA design parameters	40
3.2	Excitation phases for clockwise rotation	41
3.3	Excitation phases for counter-clockwise rotation	42
3.4	Controller parameters using Ziegler-Nichols method	54
3.5	Initial PID gain values	54
3.6	Final PID gain values	57
4.1	Controller parameters for conventional PID and modified PID	74
	controllers	
4.2	Transient parameters for modified PID and PID controller for 10	76
	repeatability at reference 50°	
4.3	Transient parameters for modified PID and PID controller for 10	79
	repeatability at reference 60°	
4.4	Transient parameters for modified PID and PID controller for 10	80
	repeatability at reference 75°	
4.5	Transient parameters for modified PID and PID controller for 10	84
	repeatability at reference 55°	
4.6	Transient parameters for modified PID and PID controller for 10	84
	repeatability at reference 65°	

4.7	Transient parameters for modified PID and PID controller for 10		
	repeatability at reference 75°		

- 4.8 Transient parameters for modified PID and PID controller for 10 88 repeatability at reference 48.5°
- 4.9 Transient parameters for modified PID and PID controller for 10 89 repeatability at reference 59.5°
- 4.10 Transient parameters for modified PID and PID controller for 10 89 repeatability at reference 74.5°

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Design of a conventional rotary SRA	3
1.2	B-H curve for various SRM materials	6
1.3	Uncompensated step response at intermediate position 50°	7
1.4	Uncompensated step response at fully aligned position 60°	7
3.1	Dimensions of SRA in different views	39
3.2	SRA design parameters	40
3.3	Insulated SRA stator teeth and coil windings	41
3.4	Proposed current excitation sequence based on inductance profile	43
3.5	Fully assembled SRA setup (a) full view (b) side view	45
3.6	Experimental setup	46
3.7	Overview of experimental setup	46
3.8	SRA expected positions through sequential phase excitation (a)	48
	counter-clockwise rotation (b) clockwise rotation	
3.9	SRA actual positions through sequential phase excitation (a)	48
	counter-clockwise rotation (b) clockwise rotation	
3.10	Setup to position the rotor at 0°	49
3.11	Block diagram of modified PID controller	50
3.12	Block diagram of switching mechanism	51
3.13	Step response at ultimate gain, $K_u = 2.7$	53
3.14	Block diagram of conditionally freeze integrator	55
3.15	Flowchart on designing the modified PID control scheme	57
4.1	Reciprocating motion using 1A current	60
4.2	Reciprocating motion using 1.5A current	60
4.3	Reciprocating motion using 2A current	61
4.4	Relationship of displacement against current for Phase A	63

viii

4.5	Relationship of displacement against current for Phase B	64
	(clockwise)	
4.6	Relationship of displacement against current for Phase B	64
	(counter-clockwise)	
4.7	Relationship of displacement against current for Phase C	65
4.8	Curve fitted graph for displacement against current for phase A	66
4.9	Curve fitted graph for displacement against current for phase B	67
	(clockwise)	
4.10	Curve fitted graph for displacement against current for phase B	68
	(counter-clockwise)	
4.11	Curve fitted graph for displacement against current for phase C	69
4.12	Phase A linearizer for current-displacement relationship	71
4.13	Phase B linearizer for current-displacement relationship	71
4.14	Phase C linearizer for current-displacement relationship	72
4.15	Block diagram of conventional PID controller	73
4.16	Comparative positioning result for modified PID and PID at	75
	reference 50°	
4.17	Comparative positioning result for modified PID and PID at	77
	reference 60°	
4.18	Comparative positioning result for modified PID and PID at	78
	reference 75°	
4.19	Comparative positioning result for modified PID and PID at	81
	reference 55°	
4.20	Comparative positioning result for modified PID and PID at	82
	reference 65°	
4.21	Comparative positioning result for modified PID and PID at	82
	reference 70°	
4.22	Comparative positioning result for modified PID and PID at	86
	reference 48.5°	
4.23	Comparative positioning result for modified PID and PID at	86
	reference 59.5°	
4.24	Comparative positioning result for modified PID and PID at	87
	reference 74.5°	

4.25	Improvement in transient parameters for different reference	90
	positions	
4.26	Comparative excitation current for different phases at reference	91
	55°	
4.27	Comparative excitation current for different phases at reference	92
	60°	
4.28	Comparative excitation current for different phases at reference	92
	70°	
4.29	Comparative PID signal for modified PID controller with and	94
	without anti-windup at reference 60°	
4.30	Comparative PID signal for modified PID controller with and	94
	without anti-windup at reference 70°	

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

TITLE

PAGE

А	Additional uncompensated closed-loop results	111
В	Formula for performance evaluation	113
С	Gantt chart	114
D	Research flow and developments	117
E	Switching mechanism coding	118

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ANFIS	-	Adaptive neural fuzzy inference system
DITC	-	Direct instantaneous torque control
DSP	-	Digital signal processor
DTC	-	Direct torque control
e_x	-	Error
FLC	-	Fuzzy Logic Control
FOPI	-	Fractional order Proportional-Integral element
HEV	-	Hybrid electrical vehicle
ISE	-	Integral Squared Error
K_p	-	Proportional gain
K_i	-	Integral gain
K_d	-	Derivative gain
K_u	-	Ultimate gain for Ziegler-Nichols tuning
MEMS	-	Microelectromechanical systems
MOEMS	-	Optical electromechanical systems
NGSA-II	-	Non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm-II
Р	-	Proportional element
PC	-	Personal computer
PD	-	Proportional-Derivative element
PI	-	Proportional-Integral Element
PID	-	Proportional-Integral-Derivative element
PM	-	Permanent magnet
P_u	-	Ultimate period for Ziegler-Nichols tuning
rpm	-	Revolution per minute
SRA	-	Switched reluctance actuator
SRM	-	Switched reluctance motor

xii

u_D - Derivative control signal	
u_i - Integral control signal	
Δu_i - Change rate of u_i	
u_P - Proportional control signal	
u_{PID} - Maximum value of PID signal at	2A

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal:

- <u>Tee, S. P.</u>, Ghazaly, M. M., Chong, S. H. and Jamaludin, I. W., 2017. Rotary Switched Reluctance Actuator: A Review on Design Optimization and Its Control Methods, *International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive System*, Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 1087-1100.
- <u>Tee, S. P.</u>, Ghazaly, M. M., Tan, P. K., Chong, S. H., Amran, A. C., Jamaluddin, M. H. and Aras, M. S. M., 2016. Tracking Control Performances a Dual-Limb Robotic Arm System, *International Journal of Mechanical & Mechatronics Engineering*, Vol. 16, No. 05, pp. 48-53.

Conference:

 <u>Tee, S. P.</u>, Ghazaly, M. M., Chong, S. H. and Jamaludin, I. W., 2017. Optimization of Hollow Rotor for Switched Reluctance Motor. In: 2017 International Symposium on Research in Innovation and Sustainability (ISoRIS).

xiv

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter highlights the background of the study, problem statement, objectives and the scope of the research. The background study includes a brief exposition on the structure, working principle and advantages of the actuator in this project. The problem statement dictates the core issues to be addressed through this research. Meanwhile, the objectives serve as benchmark and the scope defines the boundaries and limit in overseeing the completion of the project.

1.2 Background

Switched reluctance actuator (SRA) is a subdivision of electromagnetic machines which fundamentally, operate by converting electrical energy to magnetic field, then through magnetic field interactions, produces electromagnetic force that drives a mechanical part (rotational or linear motion). Electromagnetic actuation can be applied in optical electromechanical systems (MOEMS) to provide large and long-range forces for industrial applications such as magnetic matrix array of micro-switches for optical network (Helin et al., 2000) and magnetostrictive scanner for automobile obstacle detection (Bourouina et al., 2001). Electromagnetic actuators carry the ability to operate via remote control, which allows actuation of microsystems with a silicon-based structure by just reacting to an external magnetic field (Reyne, 2002). Apart from that, electromagnetic actuators can easily achieve bi-stability due to the presence of permanent magnet in its structure. Actuation positions are retained from one position to another steadily by a pulse of excitation current through the forces of magnetic field interactions. Electromagnetic actuators are also implemented in microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) for applications such as and positioning stage with nanometer precision (Ahn et al., 2015; Lv et al., 2015) and medical laser scanners (Shevchenko et al., 2018). The general characteristics of several types of actuators (Ulbrich, 1994; Yang and Xu, 2017) can be summarized as in Table 1.1.

	Characteristics			
Actuator types	Working Range	Transfer characteristics	Actuation force	Precision
Hydraulic	Large	Complex (fluid dynamics)	Large	Low
Electrostatic	Large	Simple	Small	High
Piezoelectric	Small	Simple	Large	High
Electromagnetic	Large	Simple	Large	High

Table 1.1: Comparison of general characteristics for 4 types of actuators

Electromagnetic actuators carry the advantage of high actuation force, a wide working range with high precision, simple electrical transfer characteristics and operations in high speed mode. However, the most significant drawback of electromagnetic actuators is their complex design structure which require permanent magnets (PM). The constant excitation of PM in high speed modes brings high power dissipation and lowers the actuator efficiency (Bostanci et al., 2017). Hence, the SRA steps in as a solution to this flaw. In this thesis context, the actuator used would be known as the rotary switched reluctance actuator or rotary SRA. The rotary SRA is classified as a member of the electromagnetic actuators, but it boasts a simple and robust structure with no implementation of highly expensive permanent magnets, which allow it to operate at higher temperature (Zabihi and Gouws, 2016). The rotary SRA operates based on the principle of magnetic reluctance and inductance. The rotor will rotate and align with the excited stator poles to form a path with least reluctance and highest inductance, which allows the flow of magnetic flux. Sequential excitation of stator poles will hence allow the continuous rotation of the actuator. The fabrication material for this whole actuator does not involve any rare-earth elements. Along the years, advanced design structures for rotary SRAs are introduced such as the double stator SRA (Abassian et al., 2010), multilayer SRA (Siadatan et al., 2011) and the segmental rotor SRA (Xu and Ahn, 2013) mainly to produce enhanced torque and eliminate torque ripple. However, reverting to the fundamental design structure of rotary SRA, the actuator consists of three main components, which are the rotor, stator and coil windings as shown in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1: Design of a conventional rotary SRA

The typical rotary SRA is equipped with six stator poles and four rotor poles (6/4) as shown in Figure 1.1. The number of poles differ in various rotary SRA configurations because it affects the acoustic behaviour (Hofmann et al., 2014). A greater number of stator and rotor poles delivers the advantage of noise and vibration reduction, besides minimizing radial force (Li et al., 2008). The actuation torque can also be improved with higher ratios of stator and rotor poles (Yusri et al. 2016a; Yusri et al. 2016b). SRA operates based on the principle of magnetic reluctance. When a sequential excitation scheme is initiated, the rotor will rotate accordingly to align with the excited stator poles to form a path with least reluctance for the flow of magnetic flux. An air gap exists between the rotor and stator of rotary SRA. Ideally, air gap is made to be as small as possible because air has high resistance against the flow of magnetic flux which lowers the output torque (Balaji et al., 2004). However, the gap must be sufficient to allow smooth rotational motion without contact between the rotor and stator. The example 6/4 rotary SRA in Figure 1.1 is driven by 3-phase excitation current. Opposite stator poles are wounded with winding coils which forms one phase of the excitation current. SRA does not have any windings on its rotor, the only stator windings can be formed externally and inserted into the stator which provides a simple construction process (Fairall et al., 2015). Rotary SRA is typically powered by 3-phase current but there are development projects involving up to 6-phase (Han et al., 2016). The multi-phase characteristic of SRA provides inherent fault tolerance (Parsa, 2005). The electrical configurations of each phase are independent of each other. In case one phase fails, the machine maintains continuous operation. This allows SRA to be applied in aerospace applications (Fronista and Bradbury, 1997; Schramm and Gerling, 2006) and mining equipment (Hao and Guilin, 1998). When operating under high speed modes, SRA displays a high power factor as well as enhanced efficiency. This allows SRA to be well-suited for hybrid electrical vehicle (HEV) applications (Rahman et al., 2000; Ding et al., 2017) which could be a breakthrough for the industry. HEV are environmental friendlier and provide a lower maintenance cost compared to gas-powered vehicles. Apart from that, the simple, robust, low cost structure of SRA and absence of speed multiplication system makes rotary SRA suitable for wind power systems (Ogawa et al., 2011). There are also other useful

applications for rotary SRA such as robotic joints and manipulator (Hernandez-Guzmann et al., 2013; Li et al., 2017) to replace the existing PM stepper motor.

Despite the advantages, there are a few significant weaknesses of rotary SRA where its usage is concerned. Rotary SRA intricately exhibits non-linear characteristics which is attributed to the unpredictable flow of magnetic flux linkage and magnetic saturation. The non-linear nature of the rotary SRA makes analytical modelling extremely challenging. Measurements or finite element predictions for magnetization curves are necessary to formulate control schemes which complicates controller design processes. Henceforth, these factors limit the real-time motion control of rotary SRA in which often deemed as a challenging effort with low accuracy.

At present, there are numerous control methods proposed and established for motion control of linear SRA. However, for rotary SRA it is fairly limited. Most of these early works involved model-based control which yields ameliorated performance but is highly dependent on the accuracy and quality of the system's modelling. Hence, through classical control, the proportional-derivative-integral is widely used as alternative because it has simple design procedures and high applicability well suited for industrial operations. However, this method deteriorates in performance when non-linear mechanisms are involved due to the controllers' linear structure. Various model-free controllers such as intelligent and hybrid are also employed. The complexity of control architecture is reduced compared to model-based controllers and their performance are enhanced because system uncertainties and variations can be accommodated through decision making skills of the controller. However, these controllers require sufficient knowledge in control theory and a tedious, time-consuming design procedure. Therefore, in this research, a control strategy that has a simple and practical framework is presented. The proposed control method will then be validated through a 3-phase 6/4 rotary SRA.