

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

EFFECT OF LATHE MACHINING PARAMETERS ON MACHINING CHARACTERISTICS TOWARDS MILD STEEL (AISI 1020) USING RSM

MOHD SUFRIANSYAH BIN MANSOOR

Master of Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing System Engineering)

2019

EFFECT OF LATHE MACHINING PARAMETERS ON MACHINING CHARACTERISTICS TOWARDS MILD STEEL (AISI 1020) USING RSM

MOHD SUFRIANSYAH BIN MANSOOR

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing System Engineering)

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2019

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled "Effect of Lathe Machining Parameters on Machining Characteristics Towards Mild Steel (AISI 1020) Using RSM" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

| Signature | : | |
|-----------|---|------------------------------|
| Name | : | Mohd Sufriansyah bin Mansoor |
| Date | : | |

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality as a partial fulfillment of Master of Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing System Engineering).

| Signature | ····· |
|-----------------|--|
| Supervisor Name | : Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Mohd Amran Bin Md Ali |
| Date | · |

DEDICATION

To my wife Nur Shahirah binti Mat Sharf, my beloved parents Haji Mansoor bin Haji Kadir and Hajjah Mumin binti Haji Madia.

ABSTRACT

This project investigates the effect of lathe machining parameters on machining characteristics towards mild steel (AISI 1020) using Response Surface Method. The machining parameters selected on the experimental project are cutting speed (75m/min -125m/min), feed rate (0.2mm/rev - 0.4mm/rev) and depth of cut (0.1mm-1.5mm). RSM using Box-Behnken was used to determine the most influential paremeters affected on the experimental response, investigate corelation between process parameters towards response and also to determine the optimum cutting parameters value that give minimum surface roughness and maximum material removal rate and hardness. There were 17 numbers of experiment has been conducted using the CNC lathe machine. The result collected was to optimize using RSM meanwhile P-value and R-squared were calculated using analysis of variance (ANOVA). From the result analysis obtained feed rate was the most influential parameters towards the surface roughness which contributes 53.88% of effect. Further, depth of cut and cutting speed are the most significant factor affected the material removal rate and hardness which contributes 66.78% and 37.40% of effect respectively. Interaction between process parameters was obtain and analyse towards surface roughness, material removal rate amd hardness. It found that, surface roughness value is decrease when the machine parameters at high cutting speed with low feed rate and depth of cut. Furthur, material removal rate increase when the machine parameters are at high cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut. Meanwhile, when the machine parameters of cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut are at middle value, the hardness value is significantly at highest value. Lastly, multiple optimization is perform and it shows that The combination of 101.2626 m/min cutting speed, 0.2444 mm/rev feed rate and the depth of 1.5 mm has a desirability of 0.6982 and the predicted values of MRR, surface roughness and hardness are 240.3743 g/min, 2.0669 µm and 44.3187 HRA respectively. Thus, all the objective of this project is achived and the optimal parameters are suceffully obtained to increase efficiency of machining process.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini adalah mengenai kesan parameter mesin larik terhadap ciri-ciri pemesinan keluli lembut (AISI 1020) dengan menguunakan kaedah "response surface" (RSM). Pemboleh ubah mesin yang digunapakai adalah kelajuan pemotongan (75m/min -125m/min), kadar suapan pemotongan (0.2mm/rev – 0.4mm/rev) dan kedalaman pemotongan (0.1mm-1.5mm) manakala tindak balas mesin yang dikaji adalah kekasaran permukaan, kadar pembuangan bahan kerja dan kekerasan. Box bakhen response surface method digunapakai dalam ujikaji untuk menentukan pemboleh ubah yang paling mempengaruhi setiap tindak balas kajian, untuk mengkaji korelasi di antara pemboleh ubah terhadap tindak balas kajian dan untuk menentukan nilai pemboleh ubah mesin larik yang menghasilkan tindak balas yang optimum iaitu kekasaran permukaan yang rendah serta kadar pembunagan bahan kerja dan kekerasan bahan yang tinggi. Dalam ujikaji ini, terdapat 17 ujikaji yang akan dilakukan mengunakan mesin larik CNC. Hasil kajian yang diperolehi di optimumkan dengan menggunakan analisis rsponse surface dimana nilai "Pvalue" dan "R-squared" diperolehi menggunakan analisis ANOVA. Hasil kajian menunjukkan kadar suapan pemotongan adalah pemboleh ubah yang paling mempengaruhi kekasaran permuakaan dimana ianya menyumbang sebanyak 53.88% kesan. Manakala, pemboleh ubah kedalaman pemotongan dan kelajuan pemotongan adalah pemboleh ubah yang paling mempengaruhi kadar pembuangan bahan kerja dan kekerasan dengan masing-masing menyumbang 66.77% dan 37.40% kesan terhadap pemboleh ubanh masing-masing. Hubung kait diantara pemboleh ubah terhadap hasil tindak balas turut dianalisa. Hasil dari anasia mendapati nilai kekadaran permukaan akan menigkat jika pemboleh ubah kelajuan pemotongan adalah tinggi dan jika pemboleh ubah kelajuan kadar suapan pemotongan dan kedalaman pemotongan adalah rendah. Seterusnya, kadar pembuangan kerja akan meningkat dengan kesemua nilai pembolehubah adalah tinggi. Sementara itu, kekerasan bahan kerja akan berada pada tahap tinggi apabila nilai pemboleh ubah adalah diantara nilai maximum dan minimum bagi setiap pemboleh ubah. Diakhir sekali, semua pemboleh ubah dioptimumkan dan menunjukkan dengan gabungan 101.2626 m/min kelajuan pemotongan, 0.2444 mm/rev kadar suapan dan kedalaman pemotongan sebanyak 1.5 mm mengasilkan tahap "desirability" sebanyak 0.6982 dan nilai ramalan tindak balas untuk kekasaran permukaan, kadar pembunagan bahan kerja dan kekerasan masing- masing adalah 240.3743 g/min, 2.0669 µm and 44.3187 HRA. Kesimpulannya, objektif untuk kajian ini adalah tercapai dan nilai pemboleh ubah yang optimum dapat menikgkatkan kecekapan process memesin.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

"Bismillahirrahmanirrahim" "In the name of Allah, The Most Beneficent, The Most Merciful"

Alhamdulillah, first praise to Allah S.W.T, the Almighty whom ultimately we depend for sustenance, guidance and blessing of healh, strength and spirit in completion of this dissertation. I would like to take this opportunity to extend my gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Amran Bin Md Ali for his valuable guidance and excellent supervisions trough the completion of this dissertation.

Special thanks dedicated to my wife, my parents and all my family members for their love, support and guidance where, I would not able to complete the study without their unimagine support and guidance. I also would like to express my appreciation to all the staff, assistant engineer and lecturers at Fakulti Kejuruteraan Pembuatan, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UteM) for all their assistance and sharing of knowledge in completeing this dissertation.

Finally, I would like to extend my special thanks to all my classmates at Politeknik Muadzam Shah for their help and their support. For all their time, advice, ideas, comment and information sharing was greatly apreciated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

| DE | CLAR | RATION | |
|-----|-------|---------------------------------------|------|
| AP | ROVA | ۸L | |
| DE | DICA | TION | |
| AB | STRA | СТ | i |
| AB | STRA | K | ii |
| AC | KNOV | WLEDGMENTS | iii |
| TA | BLE (| DF CONTENTS | iv |
| LIS | ST OF | TABLES | vi |
| LIS | ST OF | FIGURES | vii |
| LIS | ST OF | APPENDICES | xi |
| LIS | ST OF | SYMBOLS | xii |
| LIS | ST OF | ABBEREVIATIONS | xiii |
| СН | АРТЕ | CR | |
| 1. | INT | TRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Background of study | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Problem statement | 2 |
| | 1.3 | Objectives | 3 |
| | 1.4 | Scope of study | 4 |
| | 1.5 | Significant of study | 4 |
| | 1.6 | Project organization | 5 |
| 2. | LIT | ERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| | 2.1 | Mild steel material | 6 |
| | 2.2 | Turning process | 7 |
| | 2.3 | Cutting parameters from pevious study | 8 |
| | 2.4 | Cutting parameters | 11 |
| | | 2.4.1 Cutting speed | 12 |
| | | 2.4.2 Feed rate | 12 |
| | | 2.4.3 Depth of cut | 13 |
| | 2.5 | Forces in turning | 14 |
| | 2.6 | Cutting tools material | 15 |
| | | 2.6.1 High speed steel | 17 |
| | | 2.6.2 Carbides | 18 |
| | | 2.6.3 Coated tools | 19 |
| | | 2.6.4 Alumina-based ceramic | 19 |
| | | 2.6.5 Cubic boron nitride | 20 |
| | 27 | 2.6.6 Diamond | 20 |
| | 2.7 | Surface integrity | 20 |
| | 2.8 | Surface roughness | 21 |
| | 2.9 | Ivialerial removal rate | 23 |
| | 2.10 | nardness | 24 |
| | 2.11 | Design of experiment | 25 |

iv

| AP | APPENDICES 10 | | 101 |
|----|---------------|--|----------|
| RE | FERF | NCES | 94 |
| | 5.2 | Recommendations for Future Works | 92 |
| | 5.1 | Conclusions | 90 |
| 5. | COI | NCLUSION ADN RECOMMENDATIONS | 90 |
| | 4.5 | Multiple Response Optimization | 88 |
| | | 4.4.3 Optimization Parameters of Hardness | 88 |
| | | 4.4.2 Mathematical Model For Hardness | 84 |
| | | 4.4.1 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Hardness | 78 |
| | 4.4 | Analysis Result of Hardness | 75 |
| | | 4.3.3 Optimization Parameters of Material Removal Rate | 75 |
| | | 4.3.2 Mathematical Model For Material Removal Rate | 71 |
| | | Rate | 65 |
| | | 4.3.1 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Material Removal | |
| | 4.3 | Analysis Result of Material Removal Rate (MRR) | 63 |
| | | 4.2.3 Optimization Parameters of Surface Roughness | 62 |
| | | 4.2.2 Mathematical Model For Surface Roughness | 58 |
| | т.∠ | 4.2.1 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Surface Roughness | 53 |
| | 4.1 4.2 | Analysis Result of Surface roughness (Ra) | |
| т. | <u>4</u> 1 | Result of the Experiment | رب 49 |
| 4 | RES | SULT AND DISCUSSION | 49 |
| | | 3.4.1 Minitab software version 17 | 44 |
| | 3.4 | Experimental design | 43 |
| | | 3.3.6 Workpiece material | 42 |
| | | 3.3.5 Tool holder | 41 |
| | | 3.3.4 Cutting tool | 40 |
| | | 3.3.3 Rockwell hardness test | 39 |
| | | 3.3.2 Surface roughness profilometer | 38 |
| | | 3.3.1 Young Tech YT-20L CNC Lathe | 36 |
| | 3.3 | Experimental Apparatus and Material | 36 |
| | 3.2 | Experimental procedure | 34 |
| | 3.1 | Overall process flow | 32 |
| 3. | ME | THODOLOGY | 32 |
| | | 2.12.3 Central Composite design | 31 |
| | | 2.12.2 Box-Behnken design | 30 |
| | | 2.12.1 Full three-level factorial designs | 29 |
| | 2.12 | Response surface methodology (RSM) | 26 |

LIST OF TABLES

PAGE

TITLE

TABLE

| 2.1 | Cutting parameters on previous research | 11 |
|------|--|----|
| 2.2 | Depth of cut value by previous researcher | 14 |
| 2.3 | Cutting tools material used on mild steel | 16 |
| 2.4 | Sequence stage of application of RSM | 28 |
| 2.5 | Example of coded value of Box-Behnken design | 30 |
| 2.6 | Example value of coded central composite design | 31 |
| 3.1 | Specification of Young Tech YT-20L CNC lathe machine | 37 |
| 3.2 | CNMG120408-FO BEF707 Specification | 40 |
| 3.3 | Specification of MCLNR-2525M12 | 41 |
| 3.4 | Chemical composition of mild steel AISI 1020 | 42 |
| 3.5 | Mechanical properties of mild steel AISI 1020 | 42 |
| 3.6 | Cutting parameter for experiment work | 44 |
| 3.7 | Step by step procedure using the Design expert software | 45 |
| 3.8 | Design Matrix | 47 |
| 4.1 | Experimental result of Ra, MRR and Hardness | 50 |
| 4.2 | Experimental result for surface roughness (Ra) | 51 |
| 4.3 | Main effect ranking for surface roughness | 53 |
| 4.4 | ANOVA analysis of the surface roughness using full quadratic | |
| | model | 54 |
| 4.5 | ANOVA Analysis after Elimination of Insignificant Terms | 55 |
| 4.6 | Coefficient of Regression (Ra) | 59 |
| 4.7 | Comparison between Experimental and Predicted Result for Ra | 60 |
| 4.8 | Experimental result for Material Removal Rate (MRR) | 63 |
| 4.9 | Main effect ranking for surface roughness | 65 |
| 4.10 | ANOVA analysis of the MRR using full quadratic model | 66 |
| | | |

| 4.11 | Coefficient of regression (MRR) | 72 |
|------|--|----|
| 4.12 | Comparison between experimental and predicted result for MRR | 73 |
| 4.13 | Experimental result for Hardness (HRA) | 76 |
| 4.14 | Main effect ranking for Hardness | 78 |
| 4.15 | ANOVA analysis of the Hardness using full quadratic model | 79 |
| 4.16 | Coefficient of regression (Hardness) | 85 |
| 4.17 | Comparison between experimental and predicted result for | |
| | Hardness | 86 |
| 4.18 | Target and constraint for factor and response | 89 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE | PAGE |
|--------|-------|------|
| | | |

| 2.1 | Basic operation of turning process | 8 |
|------|---|----|
| 2.2 | Cutting speed vs feed rate value by previous researcher on mild | |
| | steel | 13 |
| 2.3 | Force acting on cutting tools | 15 |
| 2.4 | Effect of cutting tools material towards machining time | 16 |
| 2.5 | Cutting tools material development and cutting speed with time | 17 |
| 2.6 | Hardness and toughness of cutting tool materials | 18 |
| 2.7 | The effect of surface integrity produced in hard turning on rolling | |
| | contact fatigue life | 21 |
| 2.8 | Roughness and waviness profile | 22 |
| 2.9 | Profile of the surface texture | 23 |
| 2.10 | Rockwell Hardness Test illustrated Process | 25 |
| 2.11 | 3D plot interfacing variable on DOE | 26 |
| 2.12 | Sequential nature of RSM | 28 |
| 2.13 | Three variables design | 29 |
| 2.14 | Box–Behnken design for three variables | 30 |
| 2.15 | Central composite design of three variables | 31 |
| 3.1 | Overall Flow chart | 33 |
| 3.2 | Flow of experimental procedure | 34 |
| 3.3 | Experimental flow chart | 35 |
| 3.4 | Young Tech YT-20L CNC lathe machine | 36 |
| 3.5 | Mitutoyo SJ-301 surface roughness profilemeter | 38 |
| 3.6 | Measuring condition according to EN ISO 4288 | 38 |
| | | |

| 3.7 | Rockwell Hardness Scale | 39 |
|------|--|----|
| 3.8 | Mitutoyo Wizhard HR-500 machine | 39 |
| 3.9 | CNMG120408-FO BEF707 coated carbide inserts tool | 40 |
| 3.10 | Schematic Geometry of CNMG120408-FO BEF707 | 40 |
| 3.11 | MCLNR-2525M12 tool holder | 41 |
| 3.12 | Schematic of MCLNR-2525M12 | 41 |
| 3.13 | Mild steel workpiece AISI 1020 | 42 |
| 3.14 | Research gap | 43 |
| 3.15 | Graphical features on Design Expert | 44 |
| 3.16 | Data analysis process flow chart | 48 |
| 4.1 | Main effect plot for surface roughness | 52 |
| 4.2 | Normal Probability plot of Surface Roughness | 56 |
| 4.3 | Standardized Residual versus Observation Order for surface | |
| | roughness | 56 |
| 4.4 | Contour Plot of Surface Roughness vs Feed rate, Cutting Speed | 57 |
| 4.5 | Surface Plot of Surface Roughness vs Feed rate, Cutting Speed | 57 |
| 4.6 | Surface Plot of Surface Roughness vs Depth of Cut, Cutting Speed | 58 |
| 4.7 | Contour plot of Surface Roughness vs Depth of Cut, Cutting Speed | 58 |
| 4.8 | Scatter Plot of Surface Roughness versus Predicted Surface | |
| | Roughness | 61 |
| 4.9 | Graph of Actual value vs Predicted value for Ra | 61 |
| 4.10 | Optimization Plot of Surface Roughness | 62 |
| 4.11 | Main effect plot for material removal rate | 64 |
| 4.12 | Normal Probability plot of MRR | 67 |
| 4.13 | Standardized Residual versus Observation Order for MRR | 67 |
| 4.14 | Contour Plot of MRR vs Feed Rate, Cutting Speed | 68 |
| 4.15 | Contour Plot of MRR vs Depth of Cut, Cutting Speed | 69 |
| 4.16 | Contour Plot of MRR vs Depth of Cut, Feed Rate | 69 |
| 4.17 | Surface Plot of MRR vs Feed rate, Cutting Speed | 70 |
| 4.18 | Surface Plot of MRR vs Depth of Cut, Cutting Speed | 70 |
| 4.19 | Surface Plot of MRR vs Depth of Cut, Feed Rate | 71 |
| 4.20 | Scatter Plot of MRR versus Predicted MRR | 74 |
| 4.21 | Graph of Actual value vs Predicted value for MRR | 74 |
| 4.22 | Optimized Plot of MRR | 75 |

| 4.23 | Main effect plot for Hardness | 77 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.24 | Normal Probability plot of hardness | 80 |
| 4.25 | Standardized Residual versus Observation Order for Hardness | 80 |
| 4.26 | Contour Plot of Hardness vs Feed Rate, Cutting Speed | 81 |
| 4.27 | Contour Plot of Hardness vs Depth of Cut, Cutting Speed | 82 |
| 4.28 | Contour Plot of Hardness vs Depth of Cut, Feed Rate | 82 |
| 4.29 | Surface Plot of Hardness vs Feed rate, Cutting Speed | 83 |
| 4.30 | Surface Plot of Hardness vs Depth of Cut, Cutting Speed | 83 |
| 4.31 | Surface Plot of Hardness vs Depth of Cut, Feed Rate | 84 |
| 4.32 | Scatter Plot of Hardness versus Predicted Hardness | 87 |
| 4.33 | Graph of Actual value vs Predicted value for Hardness | 87 |
| 4.34 | Optimization plot of Hardness | 88 |
| 4.35 | Multiple response optimization | 89 |
| | | |

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX TITLE PAGE Gantt Chart Master Project 1 А 101 Gantt Chart Master Project 2 В 102 С Young Tech YT-20L CNC Lathe Machine 103 Cutting Tool Insert CNMG120408-FO D 104 Mild Steel (AISI 1020) Е 105 F CNC Code for Experiment 106 G Sample of Ra Result 107

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| v | - | Cutting speed |
|----|---|---|
| f | - | Feed rate |
| d | - | Depth of cut |
| D | - | Diameter of Workpiece |
| Ν | - | Spindle Speed |
| Ra | - | Arithmetic Average of Surface Roughness |
| L | - | Sampling Length |
| Y | - | Ordinate of the profile curve |
| W1 | - | Initial Weight |
| W2 | - | Final Weight |
| Т | - | Machining Time |
| | | |

LIST OF ABBEREVIATIONS

- AISI American Iron and Steel Institute
- SAE Society of Automotive Engineers
- RSM Response Surface Methodology
- CNC Computer Numerical Control
- HSS High Speed Steel
- CVD Chemical-Vapor Deposition
- PVD Physical-Vapor Deposition
- ANN Artificial Neural Network
- GA Genetic Algorithm
- DOE Design of Experiment
- MRR Material Removal Rate
- GDP Gross Domestic Product

xiii

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter explains the introduction of the research project. Further, it explains the problem statement, objectives and scopes of the research project.

1.1 Background of study

Manufacturing is known as a process of converting the raw material into product that involves various manufacturing activities. Manufacturing industries undergoes rapid increasing of development where manufacturing industries contribute to the development of economics and emerging or new developed market. Other than that, manufacturing industries also contribute to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP), external trade and job creation (Lai Wan, 2016).

One of the important considerations in manufacturing operation is surface integrity. Surface integrity is important because it influence the fatigue strength, corrosion resistance, etc. Mechanical properties, metallurgical properties, chemical properties and topological feature are also described by surface integrity. Surface integrity achieved by any means of machining process was related to the quality and performance of the product. The functional performance of a manufactured component is heavily influenced by the reliability and quality of the surface produced both in terms of topography and as well as metallurgical and mechanical state (M'Saoubi et al. 2008). Surface texture is defined by lay, surface roughness and waviness. Surface texture is important to control the friction of part where, influence of surface texture to friction has been widely studied. The most characteristic of surface texture studied is surface roughness. Machining process such as lathe machining that involves contact between tool and the material to remove the surface of material will generate various surface integrity and surface texture profile. The lathe process was generally involved the cutting tool is feed towards the rotating material and when contact between cutting tools and material will remove the material from the surface of contact. Parameters of machining process such as cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut are largely studied in order to select and optimize the process parameters that would affect the surface roughness.

The aim of this project is to investigate the effect of machining parameters of lathe machining process on surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness of mild steel AISI 1020 using Box-Benhken Response Surface Method.

1.2 Problem Statement

One of the most important characteristic of quality in manufacturing of a product is a surface finish. Manufactures ideally want to produce high quality product on a short time and low cost possibly. Surface roughness R_a , is an important measurement of product quality because surface roughness influences the performance of mechanical parts as well as production cost (Rawangwong et al. 2014).

One of the most common material removal processes is turning process. Turning process able to produce a good surface quality product as well as the process of material removal rate is faster and also without affecting the hardness of the material. Cutting parameters such as speed, depth of cut and feed rate are factor that can affect the surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness of the product. These cutting parameters widely studied for investigating surface roughing (Kumaragurubaran et al. 2013).

On reality, selecting the desired value of cutting parameters usually based on experience or just following the manual book (Sahoo, 2011). Hence, the result of surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness value obtain could be varied depending on operator experience. Also, to obtain a certain value of surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness there are a need to use try and error approach, where it could affect to the increasing cost of operating.

In order to save some valuable resources, there are needs to have a standard approach on how to determine the most suitable cutting parameters that can give the desired surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness value. Therefore, a study on how the surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness value affected by the cutting parameters is popularly studied. Besides that, to determine the desired surface quality in term of surface roughness, selection of suitable cutting parameters must be taken into considerations without compromising the material removal rate and hardness of the material. The relationship between cutting parameters such as cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut towards the surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness is important to understand.

1.3 Objectives

The main objective of this project is to investigate the performance of lathe machining parameters on machining characteristics for mild steel AISI 1020 using Box-Benhken Response Surface Method. The sub-objectives of the research are;

3

- i. To determine the most influential process parameters (cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut) that affected the experiment response (surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness).
- ii. To investigate the interaction between process parameters towards the experiment response.
- iii. To optimize machining parameter setting using Box-Benhken Response Surface
 Method using single and multi-objective optimization.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of this research is to study of surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness of machined mild steel (AISI 1020) in turning process. Parameters that evaluated to obtain the surface roughness are cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut. Where, all the value of depth of cut, cutting speed and feed rate is varied. The experimental matrix is design using response surface method.

1.5 Significant of study

This research aims to enrich the understanding and knowledge regarding the lathe machining parameters towards the surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness of workpiece. The following contributions that will get form the research are listed:

- i. Analysis of the most influential parameters contributes towards surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness of mild steel AISI 1020.
- ii. Selecting the machining parameter value that will yield towards better surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness is easy.
- iii. Does not require trial and error process for getting expected surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness value.

iv. Mathematical equation for prediction of surface roughness, material removal rate and hardness can be used in future.

1.6 Project Organization

This report project consists of five chapter which in Chapter 1 describes the background of the project, problem statement, objectives and scopes of the research project. After that, in Chapter 2 reviews the literature which relevant to the previous and present study of optimizing lathe machining process towards mild steel material. Next, the experimental setup, workpiece and equipment preparation, experimental parameters used for lathe machining of mild steel are explained in Chapter 3. In Chapter 4, the result is analyzed and discussed regarding to the project. Lastly, in Chapter 5, explains the overall findings of the project and suggestion for future work.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

This chapter explains the fundamental information related to this project. Related aspect of the project reviewed and discussed are workpiece materials, turning process, cutting parameters, type of cutting tools materials, surface integrity such as surface roughness and appearance and finally, the experimental matrix using Box-Benhken of response surface methodology (RSM).

2.1 Mild Steel Material

Mild steel, is now the most common form of steel because of the low price of mild steel while it provides material properties that are acceptable for many applications (Butola et al. 2017). Mild steel or known as low carbon steel which is an AISI grade 1005 through 1025 is one of the important carbon steel among all carbon-based steel. It contains only a small percentage of carbon (low carbon steel) and is strong and easily worked but not readily tempered or hardened. Normally mild steel containing less than 0.25 percent carbon and 0.4%-0.7% manganese, 0.1%-0.5% Silicon and others elements which makes it more ductile and less hard thus, rendering it unsuitable for structural work.

American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI) and Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) are the two major numbering system used by steel industry. The first designation of digit in AISI and SAE system for carbon steel are one (1). For example of AISI 1018, the first tow digit which is 10xx is representative of plain carbon and the last two digit of 1018