



## **Faculty of Electronics and Computer Engineering**

### **STROKE LESION SEGMENTATION AND CLASSIFICATION FOR DIFFUSION-WEIGHTED IMAGING**

**Nor Shahirah binti Mohd Noor**

**Master of Science in Electronic Engineering**

**2020**

**STROKE LESION SEGMENTATION AND CLASSIFICATION FOR DIFFUSION-  
WEIGHTED IMAGES**

**NOR SHAHIRAH BINTI MOHD NOOR**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science  
in Electronic Engineering**

**Faculty of Electronics Engineering and Computer Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2020**

## **DECLARATION**

I declare that this thesis entitled “Stroke Lesion Segmentation and Classification for Diffusion-Weighted Imaging” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : Nor Shahirah binti Mohd Noor

Date : .....

## **APPROVAL**

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Electronic Engineering.

Signature : .....

Supervisor Name : Dr. Norhashimah binti Mohd Saad

Date : .....

## **DEDICATION**

Specially dedicated to:

My husband, parents, parents-in-law, siblings and family for their priceless supports and  
generous prayers.

## **ABSTRACT**

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) plays an important role in the diagnosis of brain disorders. Stroke is one of the major categories of brain disorders. Recent studies support the notion of stroke as the “time is brain” due to the fact that if the treatment is done within six hours of suffering a stroke, the patient's life can be saved and the outcome can be improved. Conventionally, the diagnosis of brain stroke is performed manually by professional neuroradiologists during a highly subjective and time-consuming process. Therefore, this study proposes a technique for automatic detection, segmentation and classification of brain stroke lesion from MRI images. The types of stroke lesion are acute hemorrhage stroke, acute ischemic stroke, chronic ischemic stroke and sub-acute ischemic stroke. Diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) sequences from the MRI is chosen for the analysis using machine learning and deep learning techniques. The machine learning technique consists of four stages which are pre-processing, segmentation, features extraction and classification. For segmentation, adaptive thresholding, gray level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM), marker-controlled watershed, fuzzy c-Means (FCM) and k-Means are proposed to segment the stroke region. Statistical features are calculated and fed into several classification techniques, which are the linear discriminant analysis (LDA), support vector machine (SVM), weighted k- Nearest Neighbor (k-NN) and bagged tree classifier. Deep learning using regional convolutional neural network (R-CNN) technique is also proposed in the analysis. The technique consists of four stages which are input image, Region Proposal Network (RPN), Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) features computation and classification. The segmentation performances are evaluated using Jaccard indices, Dice Coefficient, false positive and false negative rates. For classification, the performances are evaluated using accuracy, sensitivity and specificity. Segmentation results demonstrated that k-Means offered the best performance for stroke lesion segmentation while sub-acute ischemic stroke gave the highest rate with 0.85 Dice index. Results demonstrated that support vector machine (SVM) offered the best performance for stroke lesion classification with accuracy 98.5% and average training time is 1.8 second. In conclusion, the proposed stroke classification technique has the potential to diagnose and classify brain stroke lesions.

**SEGMENTASI DAN KLASIFIKASI LESI STROK UNTUK PENGIMEJAN  
PEMBERAT-RESAPAN**

**ABSTRAK**

*Pengimejan resonan magnet (MRI) memainkan peranan penting dalam diagnosis gangguan otak. Strok adalah salah satu daripada kategori utama gangguan otak. Kajian terkini menyokong tanggapan strok sebagai "masa adalah otak" kerana hakikat bahawa jika rawatan itu dilakukan dalam masa enam jam mengalami strok, kehidupan pesakit dapat diselamatkan dan hasilnya dapat ditingkatkan. Secara konvensional, diagnosis strok otak dilakukan secara manual oleh pakar neuroradiologi semasa proses yang sangat subjektif dan memakan masa. Oleh itu, kajian ini mencadangkan teknik pengesanan automatik, segmentasi dan klasifikasi lesi strok otak dari imej MRI. Jenis lesi strok adalah strok pendarahan akut, strok iskemia akut, strok iskemia kronik dan strok iskemia sub-akut. Imej berwajar difusi (DWI) dari MRI dipilih untuk analisis menggunakan teknik pembelajaran mesin dan teknik pembelajaran dalam. Teknik pembelajaran mesin terdiri daripada empat peringkat iaitu pra-pemprosesan, pengsegmenan, ciri-ciri pengekstrakan dan pengkelas. Untuk pengsegmenan, teknik ambang adaptif, matrik gray level co-occurrence (GLCM), fuzzy c-Means (FCM) dan k-Means dicadangkan untuk pengsegmenan rantau lesi. Ciri-ciri statistik diekstrak daripada rantau tarikan dan diinputkan kepada pengelas dari prestasi pengsegmenan lesi terbaik. Ciri-ciri statistik dikira dan dimasukkan ke dalam beberapa teknik klasifikasi, iaitu analisis diskriminasi linier (LDA), mesin vektor sokongan (SVM), berwajaran k- Nearest Neighbor (k-NN) dan bagged tree. Pembelajaran dalam menggunakan teknik pengesan serantau jaringan saraf konvolusi (R-CNN) juga dicadangkan dalam analisis. Teknik ini terdiri daripada empat peringkat iaitu imej input, jaringan cadangan rantau (RPN), ciri-ciri pengiraan jaringan saraf konvolusi (CNN) dan pengkelas. Keputusan segmentasi dinilai menggunakan indeks Jaccard, koefficient Dice, dan kadar positif palsu dan negatif palsu. Untuk klasifikasi, keputusan dinilai dengan menggunakan ketepatan, kepekaan dan kekhususan. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa k-Means memberikan prestasi terbaik untuk pengsegmenan lesi strok sementara strok iskemia sub-akut memberikan kadar pekali Dice tertinggi dengan 0.85. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa mesin vektor sokongan (SVM) menawarkan prestasi terbaik untuk pengkelasan lesi strok dengan ketepatan 98.5% dan purata masa pelaksanaan ialah 1.8 saat. Sebagai kesimpulan, teknik pengkelasan strok yang dicadangkan mempunyai potensi untuk mendiagnosis dan mengklasifikasikan lesi strok otak.*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First and foremost, I would like to thank ALLAH in the first place, who created suitable circumstances for me to accomplish this research including putting me in contact with many people who were keen to provide help and support to me to finalize and complete my thesis. Many thanks to Dr. Norhashimah binti Mohd Saad from Faculty of Electronics Engineering and Computer Engineering Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for her essential supervision, support and encouragement towards the completion of this thesis. I would like to express my greatest gratitude to Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Abdul Rahim bin Abdullah from Faculty of Electrical Engineering, co-supervisor of this research for his advice and suggestions regarding my research. Special thanks to UTeM short term grant funding from FRGS/1/2017/TK04/FKE-CERIA/F00334 and PJP/2017/FKEKK/HI9/SO1526 for the financial support throughout this research. I share the credit of my work with Profesor Dr. Ahmad Sobri bin Muda from Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) for providing me with the knowledge of medical practices, medical data and the ground truth required for my research. His invaluable contribution helped me understand the clinical significance of my research and helped me design the proposed framework. Special thanks to the advance digital signal processing (ADSP) lab members for their moral support in completing this degree. A special thanks to my dear husband, parents, parents-in-law and siblings who provided a lot of encouragement, patience, and sacrificed a lot of time that I could not spend with them. Lastly, I extend my sincere appreciation to many people who made this research possible.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>APPROVAL</b>	
<b>DEDICATION</b>	
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>xxiv</b>
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research background	1
1.2 Problem statements	2
1.3 Research objectives	4
1.4 Research scopes	5
1.5 Research system design	6
1.6 Research contribution	9
1.7 Thesis organization	10
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Stroke	12
2.2.1 Ischemic stroke	13
2.2.2 Hemorrhagic stroke	13
2.3 Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)	14
2.3.1 MRI scanning process	15
2.3.2 Diffusion weighted imaging (DWI)	16
2.3.3 Stroke MRI imaging	18
2.3.3.1 Ischemic stroke MRI imaging	19
2.3.3.2 Hemorrhagic stroke MRI imaging	19
2.4 MRI brain image segmentation technique	20
2.4.1 Manual segmentation	20
2.4.2 Thresholding	22
2.4.3 Edge detection	23
2.4.4 Watershed transform	23
2.4.5 Clustering	24
2.4.5.1 K-means	24
2.4.5.2 Fuzzy c-mean (FCM)	24
2.4.6 Level set approach	25
2.5 Feature extraction	27
2.6 MRI brain image classification technique	30
2.7 MRI brain image segmentation and classification techniques	37

2.8 Summary	44
<b>3. METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>46</b>
3.1 Introduction	46
3.2 Data collection	47
3.3 DWI image analysis using machine learning technique	50
3.3.1 Image pre-processing	51
3.3.1.1 Image normalization	51
3.3.1.2 Background removal	51
3.3.1.3 Image enhancement	53
3.3.2 Image segmentation	54
3.3.2.1 Adaptive threshold	54
3.3.2.2 Gray level co-occurrence technique (GLCM)	60
3.3.2.3 Marker-controlled watershed with correlation template	66
3.3.2.4 Fuzzy c-means (FCM) with active contour	74
3.3.2.5 K-means with fast marching technique (FMM)	81
3.3.3 Performance evaluation for segmentation technique	86
3.3.4 Feature extraction	87
3.3.5 Classification technique	91
3.3.5.1 Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)	91
3.3.5.2 Weighted k-nearest neighbor (k-NN)	94
3.3.5.3 Support vector machine (SVM)	96
3.3.5.4 Bagged tree	97
3.3.5.5 Performance evaluation for machine learning classification technique	98
3.4 DWI image analysis using deep learning technique	100
3.4.1 Input image	101
3.4.2 Region proposal network (RPN)	101
3.4.3 CNN features computation	102
3.4.3.1 Image input layer	102
3.4.3.2 2D convolutional layer	103
3.4.3.3 Max- and average-pooling layers	103
3.4.3.4 Rectifier linear unit (ReLU) layer	104
3.4.3.5 Fully connected layer	105
3.4.4 Classification output layer	106
3.4.4.1 Softmax layer	107
3.4.4.2 Classification layer	107
3.5 Performance evaluation for classification technique	108
3.6 Execution time	109
3.7 Summary	110
<b>4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>112</b>
4.1 Introduction	112
4.2 DWI image analysis using machine learning technique	113
4.2.1 Image pre-processing	113
4.2.2 Image segmentation	115
4.2.2.1 Adaptive threshold	116
4.2.2.2 Gray level co-occurrence technique (GLCM)	117

4.2.2.3	Marker-controlled watershed with correlation template	118
4.2.2.4	Fuzzy c-means (FCM) with active contour	119
4.2.2.5	K-means with fast marching technique (FMM)	120
4.2.3	Performance evaluation for segmentation technique	121
4.2.3.1	Acute hemorrhagic stroke	122
4.2.3.2	Acute ischemic stroke	123
4.2.3.3	Chronic ischemic stroke	124
4.2.3.4	Sub-acute ischemic stroke	125
4.2.4	Classification technique	126
4.2.4.1	Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)	127
4.2.4.2	Weighted k-nearest neighbor (k-NN)	134
4.2.4.3	Support vector machine (SVM)	141
4.2.4.4	Bagged tree	148
4.3	DWI image analysis using deep learning technique	155
4.4	Performance evaluation for classification technique	158
4.5	Performance benchmarking with other techniques	159
4.5.1	Stroke lesion segmentation benchmarking	159
4.5.2	Stroke lesion classification benchmarking	161
4.6	Execution time	163
4.7	Summary	163
<b>5.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>165</b>
5.1	Conclusion	165
5.2	Future work / recommendation	166
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>168</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>		<b>186</b>

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Guide to dating an ischemic stroke on the basis of MRI findings (Lansberg et al., 2016)	19
2.2	Guide to dating a hemorrhagic stroke on the basis of MRI findings (Lansberg et al., 2016)	20
2.3	The advantage and disadvantage of MRI brain image segmentation method	26
2.4	Lists all elements reported by neuroradiologists in investigating the brain images	29
2.5	The overview of the CNN layer	35
2.6	The summary of the MRI brain segmentation and classification techniques proposed by other researches	42
3.1	Stroke dataset	47
3.2	Guideline for describing correlation strength	74
4.1	The segmentation results of the DWI stroke lesion from the original image using adaptive threshold segmentation technique	116
4.2	The segmentation results of the DWI stroke lesion from the original image using GLCM segmentation technique	117

4.3	The segmentation results of the DWI stroke lesion from the original image using marker-controlled watershed with correlation template segmentation technique	118
4.4	The segmentation results of the DWI stroke lesion from the original image using FCM with active contour segmentation technique	119
4.5	The segmentation results of the DWI stroke lesion from the original image using k-Means with FMM segmentation technique	120
4.6	The classification results of the original image from DWI stroke lesion using R-CNN detector technique	156
4.7	The performance verification for stroke lesion segmentation	160
4.8	The comparison result of performance verification for the stroke lesion segmentation benchmarking	161
4.9	The performance verification for stroke lesion classification	161
4.10	The comparison result of performance verification for the stroke lesion classification benchmarking	162

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1.1	Research proposed system design	6
2.1	Types of stroke (Gomes and Wachsman, 2013)	13
2.2	The MRI scanner (Roshini et. al, 2016)	14
2.3	The MRI Scanning Process (Rogers et al., 2016)	16
2.4	Diffusion Weighted Sequence (Chilla et al., 2015)	17
2.5	Semi-automatic segmentation software (a) ITK-SNAP (b) 3D-Slicer (c) MRIcron (Yushkevich et al., 2018)	21
2.6	Concentric circles of artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep learning (Ongsulee, 2017)	30
2.7	Discriminant analysis model	31
2.8	SVM analysis model	32
2.9	k-NN analysis model	33
2.10	Decision tree analysis model	33
2.11	Patch-wise CNN structure. (Akkus et al. 2017)	34
2.12	Semantic-wise CNN structure (Akkus et al. 2017)	35
2.13	Cascade CNN structure. (Akkus et al. 2017)	36
3.1	DWI images of brain and lesions indicated by neuroradiologist	49

3.2	The flowchart of DWI image analysis using machine learning technique	50
3.3	Background removal technique: (a) Normalized image (b) Background removal (c) After small pixel	52
3.4	Image response for power-law transformation	53
3.5	The pseudocode of adaptive threshold segmentation technique	54
3.6	The flowchart of adaptive threshold segmentation technique	55
3.7	8 x 8 pixels block region	56
3.8	Histogram of each 8 x 8 pixels block region	56
3.9	Histogram frequency of normal and abnormal region	57
3.10	Superimposed histogram	58
3.11	Gradient function to determine the optimal threshold	59
3.12	Offset value with specific orientation in a given distance	60
3.13	The pseudocode of GLCM segmentation technique	61
3.14	The flowchart of GLCM segmentation technique	62
3.15	GLCM for DWI image: (a) Original image, (b) Contour plot at $N_g = 128$	63
3.16	GLCM cross-section and the gradient function	64
3.17	Gray level region and boundary information	65
3.18	The pseudocode of marker-controlled watershed with correlation template segmentation technique	66
3.19	The flowchart of marker-controlled watershed with correlation template segmentation technique	68

3.20	(a) Sobel 3x3 mask matrix (b) Approximating partial derivative in x-axis matrix (c) Approximating partial derivative y-axis matrix	69
3.21	Gradient magnitude computation image after image pre-processing technique	69
3.22	Morphological operation techniques	71
3.23	Watershed techniques	72
3.24	The pseudocode of FCM with active contour segmentation technique	74
3.25	The flowchart of FCM with active contour segmentation technique	76
3.26	Objective function value	77
3.27	The pseudocode of k-Means with FMM segmentation technique	81
3.28	The flowchart of k-Means with FMM segmentation technique	83
3.29	Segmentation assessment indices	86
3.30	The flowchart of feature extraction technique	88
3.31	Features of mean and median of stroke lesion	89
3.32	Features of standard deviation and mean boundary of stroke lesion	90
3.33	Features of mode ROI and compactness of stroke lesion	90
3.34	Scatter plot type of stroke with its features	92
3.35	One-versus-one coding	96
3.36	Bagged tree diagram	98
3.37	The ROC space	99
3.38	The R-CNN detector framework analysis	100
3.39	The R-CNN features computation network layer	101
3.40	Bounding box	102

3.41	The CNN training performance for the stroke lesion samples	106
3.42	The CNN features computation network layer for stroke lesion detection	108
3.43	The code of the execution time	110
4.1	Original image	113
4.2	Image normalization with its histogram	114
4.3	Image background removal with its histogram	114
4.4	Image enhancement with its histogram	115
4.5	Average performance evaluation for acute hemorrhage stroke	122
4.6	Average performance evaluation for acute ischemic stroke	123
4.7	Average performance evaluation for chronic ischemic stroke	124
4.8	Average performance evaluation for sub-acute ischemic stroke	125
4.9	Original data of standard deviation and mean boundary	126
4.10	Model prediction of standard deviation and mean boundary for LDA classifier	127
4.11	Confusion matrix of number of observation of ROI for LDA classifier	128
4.12	TPR and FNR of ROI for LDA classifier	129
4.13	ROC space of LDA classifier for acute hemorrhage stroke	130
4.14	ROC space of LDA classifier for acute ischemic stroke	131
4.15	ROC space of LDA classifier for chronic ischemic stroke	132
4.16	ROC space of LDA classifier for sub-acute ischemic stroke	133
4.17	Model prediction of standard deviation and mean boundary for weighted k-NN classifier	134

4.18	Confusion matrix of number of observation of ROI for weighted k-NN classifier	135
4.19	TPR and FNR of ROI for weighted k-NN classifier	136
4.20	ROC space of weighted k-NN classifier for acute hemorrhage stroke	137
4.21	ROC space of weighted k-NN classifier for acute ischemic stroke	138
4.22	ROC space of weighted k-NN classifier for chronic ischemic stroke	139
4.23	ROC space of weighted k-NN classifier for sub-acute ischemic stroke	140
4.24	Model prediction of standard deviation and mean boundary for weighted k-NN classifier	141
4.25	Confusion matrix of number of observation of ROI for SVM classifier	142
4.26	TPR and FNR of ROI for SVM classifier	143
4.27	ROC space of SVM classifier for acute hemorrhage stroke	144
4.28	ROC space of SVM classifier for acute ischemic stroke	145
4.29	ROC space of SVM classifier for chronic ischemic stroke	146
4.30	ROC space of SVM classifier for sub-acute ischemic stroke	147
4.31	Model prediction of standard deviation and mean boundary for bagged tree classifier	148
4.32	Confusion matrix of number of observation of ROI for bagged tree classifier	149
4.33	TPR and FNR of ROI for bagged tree classifier	150
4.34	ROC space of bagged tree classifier for acute hemorrhage stroke	151
4.35	ROC space of bagged tree classifier for acute ischemic stroke	152
4.36	ROC space of bagged tree classifier for chronic ischemic stroke	153

4.37	ROC space of bagged tree classifier for sub-acute ischemic stroke	154
4.38	R-CNN detector percentage of performance evaluation	157
4.39	Percentage of accuracy, sensitivity and specificity for the classification technique	158
4.40	k-Means performances for average 28 sub-acute ischemic stroke cases	160
4.41	Average training time from the classification method	163

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Research cooperation letter between Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) and Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM)	186
B	Parameter for the DWI image analysis using machine learning technique	188
C	Parameter for the DWI image using deep learning technique	209
D	Certificate of best paper award	211

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

2D	- Two-dimensional
AO	- Area overlap
app	- Application
AUC	- Area under curve
AVM	- Arteriovenous Malformation
BFTree	- Best-First decision tree
CAD	- Computer-aided diagnosis
CNN	- Convolutional neural network
CSF	- Cerebrospinal fluid
CSM	- Cohesion based Self Merging Algorithm
CT	- Computer tomography
DC	- Dice coefficient
DICOM	- Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine
DNNs	- Deep neural networks
DWI	- Diffusion-weighted imaging
FCM	- Fuzzy c-Mean
FLAIR	- Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery
FMM	- Fast marching method
FN	- False negative

FNR	- False negative rate
FP	- False positive
FPR	- False positive rate
GLCM	- Gray Level Co-Occurrence Method
GM	- Gray matter
GMI	- Gradient Magnitude Intensity
GPU	- Graphics processing unit
HCS	- Harmony Crow Search
HKL	- Hospital Kuala Lumpur
HOG	- Histogram Oriented Gradient
ISLES	- Ischemic Stroke Lesion Segmentation
k-NN	- k- Nearest Neighbor
LDA	- Linear discriminant analysis
LSM	- Level-set method
MATLAB	- Matrix Laboratory
Max	- Maximum
mm	- Millimeter
ms	- Milliseconds
MRI	- Magnetic resonance imaging
NASAM	- National Stroke Association of Malaysia
NIIfTI	- Neuroimaging Informatics Technology Initiative
NNge	- Nearest Neighbor With Generalization
ReLU	- Rectifier linear unit
R-CNN	- Regional convolutional neural network

RF	- Resonance frequency
ROC	- Receiver operating characteristic
ROI	- Region of Interest
RPN	- Region proposal network
s	- Second
SGD	- Stochastic gradient descent
SVM	- Support vector machine
SVNN	- Support vector neural network
TE	- Time echo
TN	- True negative
TP	- True positive
TPR	- True positive rate
TR	- Time repetition
UKMMC	- Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia Medical Centre
UPM	- Universiti Putra Malaysia
UTeM	- Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka
WM	- White matter
WMA	- World Medical Association

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$b$	- Intensity of diffusion weight
$G_d$	- Diffusion gradient
$\Delta$	- Time spacing between gradient
$\delta$	- Duration of the gradient
$S_{(b)}$	- Signal received for gradient
$S_{(0)}$	- Signal strength of non- diffusion weighting
$D$	- Diffusion
$\gamma, a$	- Constant
$G$	- Gradient magnitude
$g(x, y)$	- DWI image
$f(x, y)$	- Segmented image
$\tau$	- Threshold
$N_b$	- Number of bit in input image
$I(x, y)$	- Image Normalization
$s$	- Output of image enhancement
$r$	- Input of image enhancement
$c$	- Amplitude of intensity image
$P(i)$	- Intensity level