



**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**TRIBOLOGICAL PERFORMANCE OF GRAPHENE  
SYNTHESISED FROM SOLID WASTE PRODUCTS  
AS CARBON SOURCES**

**Noor Ayuma binti Mat Tahir**

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**2020**

**TRIBOLOGICAL PERFORMANCE OF GRAPHENE SYNTHESISED FROM  
SOLID WASTE PRODUCTS AS CARBON SOURCES**

**NOOR AYUMA BINTI MAT TAHIR**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2020**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Tribological Performance of Graphene Synthesised from Solid Waste Products as Carbon Sources” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not currently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : ..... Noor Ayuma Binti Mat Tahir .....

Date : .....

## APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Doctor of Philosophy.

Signature : .....

Supervisor Name : Prof. Madya Dr. Mohd Fadzli Bin Abdollah

Date : .....

## **DEDICATION**

To my beloved family

## ABSTRACT

Graphene is one of the most recent carbon nanomaterials that have attracted a widespread attention due to its excellent properties. Despite intense research on graphene for various applications has been conducted, the tribological properties as self-lubricants solid in coatings technology remains relatively unexplored. There are many studies showings that graphene can be synthesised from variety of carbon-containing sources including waste and bi-products. However, there are limited studies proposed solid waste product as a carbon source. If the synthesised graphene can be readily used without any treatment, the production cost can be lowered, and a good quality coating may be produced to face the demands and challenges in industries nowadays. The objectives of this study are to characterize the chemical bonding and determine the combustion point of fruit cover plastic waste and oil palm fiber. Then, to determine the optimum parameters to synthesise and investigate its tribological performances including comparing its performance with graphene from other studies. This study focused on fruit cover plastic waste and oil palm fiber as solid source by using chemical vapour deposition method. The chemical bonding characterization were conducted by using FTIR spectroscopy analysis meanwhile the combustion point was determined by using combustion in furnace. Based on the FTIR analysis, fruit cover plastic waste was dominated by C-H bond meanwhile C-O bond was dominating the oil palm fibre. The combustion point for fruit cover plastic waste were much lower (600 °C) compared to oil palm fibre (1000 °C). The optimisation was conducted based on Taguchi L9 arrays and Raman spectroscopy analysis were used as the response. The optimum parameters to synthesise graphene from fruit cover plastic waste source are by using Argon gas, at 1020 °C, for 90 minutes, and Hydrogen gas at 1000 °C, for 30 minutes for oil palm fiber. Both graphene coatings are classified under bi-layered and few-layered graphene and provides promising potentials as friction and wear reduction materials where the coefficient of friction obtained from dry sliding test are less than 0.1 for both coating and relatively low wear rate due to the formation of tribolayer on the counter surface. By comparing the coefficient of friction of the graphene synthesised in this study with others, both graphene coatings present lower coefficient of friction compared to the others.

## **PRESTASI TRIBOLOGI GRAPHENE YANG DISINTESIS DARIPADA PRODUK SISA BUANGAN PEPEJAL SEBAGAI SUMBER KARBON**

### **ABSTRAK**

*Graphene merupakan salah satu bahan nano-karbon terkini yang telah menarik perhatian ramai kerana sifatnya yang sangat baik. Walaupun penyelidikan intensif terhadap graphene untuk pelbagai aplikasi telah dibuat, sifat-sifat tribologi sebagai pepejal berpelincir sendiri dengan menggunakan teknologi salutan masih belum diterokai secara menyeluruh. Terdapat banyak kajian menunjukkan bahawa graphene boleh dihasilkan melalui pelbagai sumber yang mengandungi unsur karbon termasuklah bahan buangan dan sisa pengeluaran. Walau bagaimanapun, kajian untuk menggunakan sisa buangan pepejal sebagai sumber karbon adalah terhad. Jika graphene yang disintesis boleh digunakan dengan mudah tanpa sebarang rawatan, kos penghasilan graphene yang berkualiti baik boleh diturunkan. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengenalpasti jalinan kimia dan menemukan titik pembakaran bagi sampah plastik pembalut buah dan serat buah kelapa sawit. Selain itu, kajian ini juga bertujuan untuk mencari parameter optimum bagi penghasilan graphene serta mengenalpasti prestasi tribologi graphene yang dihasilkan dengan perbandingan terhadap prestasi graphene dari kajian lain. Kajian ini menumpukan kepada dua jenis bahan sisa pepejal iaitu sampah plastik pembalut buah-buahan dan serat kelapa sawit dengan menggunakan kaedah yang dikenali sebagai 'chemical vapour deposition' (CVD). Analisis jalinan kimia dilakukan menggunakan 'fourier-transform infrared spektroskopi' (FTIR) manakala analisis titik pembakaran dilakukan menggunakan kaedah pembakaran di dalam relau. Berdasarkan analisis FTIR, plastik pembalut buah-buahan didominasi oleh jalinan C-H manakala jalinan C-O mendominasi serat buah kelapa sawit. Titik pembakaran bagi plastik pembalut buah-buahan mempunyai titik pembakaran yang jauh lebih rendah (600 °C) berbanding serat kelapa sawit (1000 °C). Kaedah pengoptimuman dijalankan menggunakan kaedah Taguchi untuk menghasilkan susun atur L9 manakala analisis Raman dipilih sebagai tindak balas. Parameter optimum untuk menghasilkan graphene dari sampah plastik pembalut buah-buahan adalah dengan menggunakan gas Argon, pada 1020 °C, selama 90 minit, dan gas Hidrogen pada 1000 °C, selama 30 minit untuk serat kelapa sawit. Kedua-dua salutan graphene tersebut diklasifikasikan sebagai 'bi-layered dan few-layered graphene' dan ianya berpotensi sebagai bahan pengurangan geseran dimana pekali geserannya kurang dari 0.1 dan rendah kadar kehausan. Dengan membandingkan pekali geseran graphene di dalam kajian ini terhadap yang kajian lain, nilai yang dihasilkan bagi kedua-dua graphene dalam kajian ini adalah lebih rendah berbanding kajian yang lain.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Fadzli Bin Abdollah for his non-stop supervision, support, motivation, encouragement and immense knowledge towards the completion of research and thesis writing. I would also like to express my gratitude to Professor Ts. Dr. Noreffendy Bin Tamaldin as my co-supervisor and deepest gratitude to Dr. Mohd Rody Bin Mohamad Zin for his generous ideas and helps.

Huge gratitude to the Ministry of Education Malaysia for supporting this research by the grant (Grant No.: FRGS/1/2016/TK10/FKM-CARE/F00315) and also to Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka for the Zamalah Scheme Scholarship (2016 – 2018) and grant research (Grant No: PJP/2019/FKM(11A)/S01684).

Tremendous thank you to Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) for the opportunity given on the Japan-Asia Youth Exchange Program in Science (nicknamed as SAKURA Exchange Program in Science) to experience the advanced scientific technology of Japan. Warmest thanks to Professor Dr. Noritsugu Umehara and Associate Professor Dr. Takayuki Tokoroyama for the hospitality, guidance and lectures.

I am also gratefully acknowledged the contributions of the Green Tribology and Engine Performance (G-Tribo-E) research group members, assistance engineers and laboratory technicians. Warmest and deepest thank you to all my postgraduate fellows and faculty's members for their direct and indirect assist.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>APPROVAL</b>	
<b>DEDICATION</b>	
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>LIST OF AWARDS</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	4
1.3 Objectives	7
1.4 Scope	8
1.5 Thesis structure	8
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Friction and wear reduction technologies	11
2.1.1 Lubricants as friction and wear reduction technologies	13
2.1.2 Utilization of new and hybrid materials	16
2.2 Potentials of carbon as a friction and wear reduction materials	20
2.2.1 Tribological performance of carbon-based materials	21
2.2.2 Diamond-like carbon	38
2.2.3 Graphene	42
2.3 Synthesis of graphene	51
2.3.1 Mechanical exfoliation	52
2.3.2 Graphene oxide reduction	53
2.3.3 Chemical vapour deposition	55
2.4 Transfer of graphene	75
2.4.1 Wet transfer method	75
2.4.2 Dry transfer method	81
2.5 Characterization of Graphene	83
2.5.1 Optical imaging technique (OIT)	83
2.5.2 Fluorescence quenching microscopy (FQM)	85
2.5.3 Atomic force microscopy (AFM)	86

2.5.4	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)	86
2.5.5	Raman spectroscopy (RS)	88
2.6	Tribological Performance of Graphene	91
2.6.1	Friction and wear at nano-scale level	92
2.6.2	Friction and wear at macro-scale level	93
2.7	Summary	96
<b>3.</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>102</b>
3.1	Experimental flowchart	102
3.2	Material selection and characterisation	105
3.3	Combustion point determination	108
3.4	Furnace setting (synthesis)	109
3.5	Design of experiments (DOE)	111
3.6	Graphene synthesis process	112
3.7	Crystallinity analysis	114
3.8	Parameter optimisation	117
3.9	Analysis of surface morphology	119
3.10	Tribological analysis	121
3.10.1	Friction analysis	124
3.10.2	Wear analysis	124
3.10.3	Comparative analysis	126
<b>4.</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>128</b>
4.1	Characterization on chemical bonding properties and combustion point	128
4.1.1	Chemical bonding determination	128
4.1.2	Combustion point determination	130
4.1.3	Furnace setting (synthesis)	131
4.2	Optimisation of synthesis parameters	133
4.2.1	Graphene synthesise optimisation by using FCPW source	134
4.2.2	Graphene synthesise optimisation by using OPF source	140
4.3	Tribological performance of graphene synthesised from FCPW and OPF source	146
4.3.1	Surface characterization of graphene coating	147
4.3.2	Effect of sliding speed on COF and wear rate of graphene coatings	153
4.3.3	Effect of applied load on COF and wear rate of graphene coatings	165
4.3.4	Comparison of coefficient of friction and wear rate of graphene synthesised from various sources	173
<b>5.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>179</b>
5.1	Conclusion	179
5.2	Recommendations	181
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>183</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Application of DLC coating in automotive parts (Kano, 2014)	41
2.2	Summary of macro-scale tribological study	94
2.3	Comparison of the synthesis, transfer, and analysis method	98
3.1	Properties of copper sheet	108
3.2	Source feed timing arrangements	110
3.3	Parameters and levels of the experiment	112
3.4	L <sub>9</sub> orthogonal Taguchi arrays created from (3 factors x 3 levels)	112
3.5	Mechanical properties of counter surface (ball bearing)	123
3.6	Micro ball-on-disc test parameters	124
4.1	Observation on combustion test for both FCPW and OPF	130
4.2	Observation on different source feed timing	131
4.3	Data obtained from the gaussian analysis for FCPW source	136
4.4	Response table for both FCPW sourced coating	138
4.5	Data obtained from the gaussian analysis for OPF sourced coating	142
4.6	Response table for OPF sourced coating	143
4.7	Contribution percentage based on ANOVA for both FCPW and OPF sourced coatings	146
4.8	EDX element content composition for both FCPW and OPF coating	148
4.9	Surface roughness analysis of uncoated and coated surfaces	150

4.10	Comparison of surface properties for uncoated and coated surfaces	151
4.11	Comparison of the coefficient of friction and wear rate obtained from previous study and this study	175

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Breakdown of a passenger car energy consumption (Holmberg et. al., 2012)	2
1.2	Breakdown of palm oil exporter (Exportgenius.in.com)	4
1.3	Breakdown composition of palm oil production process (mpob.gov.my)	5
1.4	Breakdown of waste composition in Malaysia (Thestar, 2018)	6
1.5	Thesis flow structure	10
2.1	Global energy consumption in economic sector (Holmberg and Erdemir, 2017)	12
2.2	Schematic diagram of Stribeck curve	14
2.3	Illustration of hybridisation grouping locations	21
2.4	Image of multi-walled carbon nanotubes by using (a) SEM and (b) TEM (Ettfaghi et al., 2013)	22
2.5	Effect of the nanoparticles on the welding scar diameter on different lubricating oil (Choi et al., 2011)	23
2.6	Friction coefficients for base oil with different SWNT concentrations (Cursaru et al., 2012)	24
2.7	Friction coefficients of base oil with additives (Cursaru et al., 2012)	24

2.8	Worn surface morphology image of (a) pure Al 7075, (b) Al 7075/5 wt% graphite, (c) Al 7075/10 wt% graphite, and (d) Al 7075/20 wt% graphite (Baradeswaran and Perumal, 2014)	28
2.9	Worn morphology of A356.2 Al alloy (a) pure, (b) Al alloy/2 wt% Gr, (c) Al alloy/4 wt% Gr, and (d) Al alloy/8 wt% Gr (Yang et al., 2004)	29
2.10	Worn morphology of (a) Cu/C composite and (b) Cu/C/RBC composite (Shibata et al., 2008)	31
2.11	Schematic diagram of sliding contact interface between disk and composite (Shibata et al., 2008)	31
2.12	Worn morphology image of 75% PTFE + 18% carbon + 7% graphite (a) before, (b) after wear testing (Khedkar, Negulescu and Meletis, 2002)	33
2.13	Worn morphology at 500x magnification for (a) pure PTFE, (b) 0.5wt% EG, (c) 2 wt% EG, and 2000x magnification for (d) pure PTFE, (e) 0.5 wt% EG, (f) 2 wt% EG (Goyal and Yadav, 2014)	34
2.14	Worn morphology of (a) 5% PSAC, (b) 10% PSAC, (c) 15% PSAC, and (d) 20% PSAC (Zamri and Shamsul, 2011)	35
2.15	Worn morphology of PKAC/E composite at high temperature (Mat Tahir et al., 2016)	37
2.16	SEM micrograph and EDX spectrum shows that the transfer film of carbon element was generated at the counter surface (Mat Tahir et al., 2016)	38
2.17	Image of (a) Scanning Electron Microscope and (b) hexagonal 2D structure of graphene crystal (Choi et al., 2010; Sharma et al., 2014)	43
2.18	Schematic of the in-plane $\sigma$ bonds and the $\pi$ orbitals perpendicular to the plane of the graphene sheets (Choi et al., 2010)	44

2.19	Schematic of light reflection from a graphene sheet on a substrate coated with a PMMA overlayer (Teo et al., 2008)	45
2.20	Contrast of graphene on different types of substrates or layers for (a) SiO <sub>2</sub> , (b) Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> , (c) HfO <sub>2</sub> , (d) Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , (e) MgO, and (f) TiO <sub>2</sub>	46
2.21	Most common structures and stacking sequences of graphene (Choi et al., 2010)	48
2.22	Representation of the electronic band structure and Brillouin zone of graphene (Avouris and Dimitrakopoulos, 2012)	49
2.23	Schematic diagram for hydrocarbon liquid source CVD method (Gadipelli et al., 2011)	56
2.24	Schematic diagram of AP-CVD by using horizontal tube (Sharma et al., 2014)	58
2.25	Process diagram for heating, annealing, growth, and cooling (Sharma et al., 2014)	58
2.26	Schematic diagram of RH-CVD (Chen et al., 2015)	59
2.27	Process comparison for RH-CVD and AP-CVD (Chen et al., 2015)	60
2.28	Raman spectra of SLG on different substrates on SiC (Ni et al., 2008)	62
2.29	Summary of heating atmosphere effect on graphene growth (Çelik et al., 2016)	66
2.30	Raman spectra of graphene on SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si substrates with similar growth conditions synthesised from (a) solid camphor and, (b) mixture of melamine and camphor (Shinde et al., 2016)	68
2.31	Highly crystalline nature of a monolayer graphene of transferred graphene crystal taken randomly at four different points (Sharma et al., 2014)	69

2.32	Ellingham diagram for standard free energies of formation of oxides	71
2.33	Quality and price comparison among some of the synthesis method (Novoselov et al., 2012)	74
2.34	Process of PMMA-mediated transfer method (Chen, Gong and Gai, 2016)	76
2.35	Optical micrographs of graphene transferred on the SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si wafers by (a) traditional method and (b) improved wet method (Chen, Gong and Gai, 2016)	77
2.36	Schematic diagram of the “polymer-free” transfer method (Chen, Gong and Gai, 2016)	78
2.37	Illustration of (a) “bubble” transfer method (b) “bubble-free” transfer method (c) schematic diagram of the electrochemical exfoliation method (d) graphene was transferred onto a wafer by the “bubble” transfer method (Chen, Gong and Gai, 2016)	80
2.38	Illustration of “roll-to-roll” transfer methods (a) process flow (b) schematic diagram (Chen, Gong and Gai, 2016)	81
2.39	Example of Fabry–Pérot interferometer by using a pair of partially reflective and slightly wedged optical flats (Singh et al., 2011)	84
2.40	Optical microscopy image of single, double and triple layer graphene with 300nm SiO <sub>2</sub> (Singh et al., 2011)	84
2.41	Image of (a) AFM G-O single layer, and (b) FQM of the same area (Singh et al., 2011)	85
2.42	Image of single layer graphene by using (a) traditional TEM (b) improvised TEM, and (c) overlay of the graphene stacked together (Singh et al., 2011)	87



2.43	Raman spectra of graphene with 1, 2, 3 and 4 layers for (a) both G-band and 2D band, (b) enlarged 2D band regions with curve fit (Ni et al., 2008)	89
2.44	Coefficient of friction for graphene samples from ball-on-disc test (Berman et al., 2014)	95
2.45	Compilation of previous study on synthesis methods and targeted applications in searching the research gap	101
3.1	Experiment flowchart	104
3.2	Image of (a) FCPW and (b) OPF	106
3.3	FTIR bonding chart (chemistry.msu)	107
3.4	Illustration of carbon and crucible placement	108
3.5	Source feed timing at 0, 60, and 90 min for (a-c)10 °C/min and (d-f) 60 °C/min respectively	110
3.6	Schematic diagram of CVD	113
3.7	Flow process for furnace 2 (Fr2)	113
3.8	Schematic diagram of Raman Spectroscopy Microscope	114
3.9	Light distribution from Rayleigh scattering	115
3.10	Illustration of Raman spectrum with peak intensity, peak shift, and FWHM indicator	117
3.11	Illustration of Nano-Indentation test	120
3.12	Configuration of the Berkovich indenter (Yamada et al., 2012)	121
3.13	Schematic diagram of ball-on-disc tribometer	122
3.14	Copper sheet attachment on rotating disc	123
3.15	Illustration of ball bearing (r =6.35 mm) contact with flat surface (R = ∞)	126
4.1	FTIR analysis for both FCPW and OPF	129

4.2	Raman spectroscopy analysis for FCPW sourced coating with label P is for the original spectrum and C.P is for the Gaussian cumulative spectrum	135
4.3	Optimum parameters condition to grow graphene from FCPW sourced coating	139
4.4	Raman spectroscopy analysis for OPF sourced coating with label F is for the original spectrum and C.F is for the Gaussian cumulative spectrum	141
4.5	Optimum parameters to grow graphene from OPF	144
4.6	SEM image for (a) uncoated copper, (b) FCPW and (c) OPF coating	147
4.7	Surface roughness analysis for (a) real image of uncoated surface roughness and pseudo image of (b) uncoated surface (c) FCPW coated surface and (d) OPF coated surface	149
4.8	Cross section image of copper sheet for (a) uncoated surface by using normal light, coated-FCPW surface by using (b) normal light (c) no light, and coated-OPF surface by using (d) normal light (e) no light	151
4.9	Average constant COF at different sliding speed	153
4.10	3D and 2D profile image for uncoated Cu surface on wear scar at (a) 1.0 mm/s, (b) 5.0 mm/s, FCPW coated surface on wear scar at (c) 1.0 mm/s, (d) 5.0 mm/s, and OPF coated surface on wear scar at (e) 1.0 mm/s, (f) 5.0 mm/s	156
4.11	Wear rate at different sliding speed	157
4.12	Mechanism of Van Der Waals bond breakage due to sliding	158
4.13	Image captured by using SEM for uncoated copper at (a) 1.0 mm/s, (b) 5.0 mm/s, FCPW coated at (c) 1.0 mm/s, (d) 5.0 mm/s, and OPF coated at (e) 1.0 mm/s, (f) 5.0 mm/s	160

4.14	Elemental mapping analysis on wear scar of uncoated copper surface at 5.0 mm/s sliding speed	162
4.15	Worn image of the counter-surface for uncoated copper at (a) 1.0 mm/s, (b) 5.0 mm/s, FCPW coated at (c) 1.0 mm/s, (d) 5.0 mm/s, and OPF coated at (e) 1.0 mm/s, (f) 5.0 mm/s	163
4.16	Average constant COF at different applied load	165
4.17	3D and 2D profile image for uncoated copper surface on wear scar at (a) 1.0 N, (b) 3.0 N, FCPW coated surface on wear scar at (c) 1.0 N, (d) 3.0 N, and OPF coated surface on wear scar at (e) 1.0 N, (f) 3.0 N	167
4.18	Wear rate at different applied load	168
4.19	Image captured by using SEM for uncoated copper at (a) 1.0 N, (b) 3.0 N, FCPW coated at (c) 1.0 N, (d) 3.0 N, and OPF coated at (e) 1.0 N, (f) 3.0 N	170
4.20	Worn image of the counter-surface for uncoated copper at (a) 1.0 N, (b) 3.0 N, FCPW coated at (c) 1.0 N, (d) 3.0 N, and OPF coated at (e) 1.0 N, (f) 3.0 N	172
4.21	Comparison of coefficient of friction between study conducted by others with this study	176
4.22	Comparison of wear rate between study conducted by others with this study	177
4.23	Classification of application based on speed and load (Friedrich et al., 2018)	178

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

0D	-	zero-dimension
1D	-	one-dimension
2D	-	two-dimension
3D	-	three-dimension
AFM	-	atomic force microscope
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	aluminium oxide
AP-CVD	-	atmospheric pressure chemical vapor deposition
Ar	-	argon gas
BF <sub>4</sub>	-	1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate
BL	-	boundary layer
BLG	-	bi-layered graphene
CH <sub>4</sub>	-	methane
Co	-	cobalt
CO	-	carbon monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	-	carbon dioxide
COF	-	coefficient of friction
CVD	-	chemical vapor deposition
Cu	-	copper
DOE	-	design of experiment
DLC	-	diamond like carbon

ECR-CVD	-	electron cyclotron resonance chemical vapor deposition
EDX	-	energy dispersive x-ray
EHD	-	elasto-hydrodynamic
EG	-	expanded graphite
FCPW	-	fruit cover plastic waste
FLG	-	few layered-graphene
FQM	-	fluorescence quenching microscopy
FTIR	-	Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy
FWHM	-	full-width half maximum
GNP	-	graphene nano-plates
GO	-	graphene oxide
H	-	hydrogen atom
H <sub>2</sub>	-	hydrogen gas
H <sub>2</sub> O	-	water
HD	-	hydrodynamic lubrication
HfO <sub>2</sub>	-	hafnium oxide
HOPG	-	highly oriented pyrolytic graphite
LP-CVD	-	low pressure chemical vapor deposition
ME	-	mechanical exfoliation
MgO	-	magnesium oxide
MLG	-	multi-layered graphene
MoO <sub>3</sub>	-	molybdenum trioxide
MoS <sub>2</sub>	-	molybdenum disulphate
MPOB	-	Malaysian Palm Oil Board
MWNT	-	multi-walled nanotubes

NaOH	-	sodium hydroxide
Ni	-	nickel
NMSC	-	Ni <sub>3</sub> Al matrix self-lubricating composites
NP	-	nano-platelets
OIT	-	optical imaging technique
OPF	-	oil palm fiber
PBM	-	polymer-based material
PB-CVD	-	pulse-biased chemical vapor deposition
PDMS	-	polydimethylsiloxane
PE-CVD	-	plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition
PET	-	polyethylene terephthalate
PF <sub>6</sub>	-	1-butyl-3- methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate
PKAC	-	palm kernel activated carbon
PKAC-E	-	palm kernel activated carbon epoxy
PMMA	-	polymethyl methacrylate
PSAC	-	palm shell activated carbon
PTFE	-	polytetrafluorouethylene
PVD	-	pressured vapor deposition
QHE	-	quantum hall effect
rGO	-	reduced-graphene oxide
RBC	-	rice bran ceramics
RH-CVD	-	rapid heating chemical vapor deposition
RS	-	raman spectroscopy
SEM	-	scanning electron microscope
Si	-	silicon

SiO	-	silicon oxide
Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	-	silicon nitride
SLG	-	single-layered graphene
SPGF	-	solution processed graphene flakes
SPGO	-	solution processed graphene oxide
SWNT	-	single-walled nanotubes
T-CVD	-	thermal chemical vapor deposition
TEM	-	transmission electron microscope
TPES	-	total primary energy supply
TRT	-	thermal released tapes

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$^{\circ}\text{C}$	-	degree Celsius
$\mu$	-	coefficient of friction
$\alpha$	-	outside angle
$\varphi$	-	tip angle
$\sigma$	-	in-plane bond
$\pi$	-	out-of-plane bond
%	-	percentage
$\rho$	-	density
a	-	wear scar radius
h	-	wear depth
$I_{2D}/I_G$	-	intensity of 2D/G peak
$I_D/I_G$	-	intensity of D/G peak
k	-	wear rate
L	-	sliding distance
$m_{\text{loss}}$	-	mass loss
r	-	ball bearing radius
v	-	sliding speed
$V_{\text{loss}}$	-	volume loss
W	-	applied load
wt%	-	weight percentage