



**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**

**MULTI OBJECTIVE PERFORMANCE OPTIMIZATION OF  
HYBRID ROTARY ULTRASONIC ASSISTED END MILLING FOR  
MACHINING HARDENED STEEL MATERIAL**

**Azlan Bin Ramli**

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**2020**

**MULTI OBJECTIVE PERFORMANCE OPTIMIZATION OF HYBRID ROTARY  
ULTRASONIC ASSISTED END MILLING FOR MACHINING HARDENED  
STEEL MATERIAL**

**AZLAN BIN RAMLI**

**A thesis submitted  
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2020**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Multi Objective Performance Optimization of Hybrid Rotary Ultrasonic Assisted End Milling for Machining Hardened Steel Material” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : .....

Name : Azlan Bin Ramli

Date : .....

## **APPROVAL**

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Doctor of Philosophy.

Signature : .....

Supervisor Name : Associate Professor Dr. Raja Izamshah Bin Raja Abdullah

Date : .....

## **DEDICATION**

I dedicated this thesis to my beloved family.

Ramli Bin Muda

Esah Binti Mahmud

Linawati Binti Razak @ Ali

Nurul Fadhlina Binti Azlan

Nurul Zahirah Farhanah Binti Azlan

Nurul Najihah Farhanah Binti Azlan

Nurul Anisah Amni Binti Azlan

Faris Iskandar Bin Azlan

Nurul Auni Nabihah Binti Azlan

and

Associate Professor Dr. Raja Izamshah Bin Raja Abdullah

and

Ir. Dr. Muhamad Arfauz Bin A Rahman

and

to all my friends

## ABSTRACT

Hardened D2 tool steel is widely used in the mold and die industry especially for injection molding tools, cold forming tools and precision engineering parts. Most of the applications require an excellent surface finish as it will reflect on the end product appearance. However, the high strength of these materials (>50 HRC) results in rough machined surface when using the conventional machining process, hence, special machining technique is required to maintain the part quality. In current industry practice, the machining tolerances are generally achieved by subsequent manual finishing process such as, polishing and grinding in order to realize both the required geometry and surface finish. Notably, the aforementioned manufacturing techniques for mould and die fabrication tend to decrease productivity and create uncertainty over the component accuracy. Hence, this thesis proposed a significant advancement on improving the mould fabrication process using a hybrid machining technique such as, combining two established machining processes into a new combined set-up known as Rotary Ultrasonic Assisted End Milling (RUAEM) whereby the advantages of each discrete process could be exploited synergistically. A total of 162 experimental runs based on statistical Response Surface Methodology matrix were executed comprising different level of machining parameter namely cutting speed, feed rate, depth of cut, frequency of vibration, amplitude vibration and alumina oxide slurry concentration towards surface roughness, cutting force and material removal rate. The investigation proceeded until a set of optimal machining parameter and satisfactory validation index were achieved. Based on the recommended optimized model, the best achievable surface roughness (Ra), resultant force (FR) and material removal rate (MRR) values was 0.12  $\mu\text{m}$ , 4.98 N and 161.58 mg/min respectively. The results yielded that RUAEM process was able to improve 97% of the surface roughness, 92% of cutting force magnitude and 26% of material removal rate in comparison to the conventional machining processes within the same cutting conditions. For the multiple response optimization result, the combination of 111.45 rpm, 5.75 mm/min feed rate, 27.05  $\mu\text{m}$  depth of cut, 20.91 kHz vibration frequency, 3  $\mu\text{m}$  amplitude and 13.26% abrasive concentration yielded the highest desirability index of 0.87. Lastly, a good agreement value between the prediction and experimental validate the new proposed parameter optimization.

## ABSTRAK

*Keluli tahan karat, D2 digunakan meluas dalam industri acuan dan die terutama untuk alat pengacuan suntikan, alat membentuk dingin dan peralatan kejuruteraan ketepatan. Kebanyakan aplikasi memerlukan kemasan permukaan yang sangat baik kerana ia mencerminkan penampilan produk akhir. Walau bagaimanapun, pemesinan secara konvensional untuk bahan kekuatan tinggi (> 50 HRC) menghasilkan permukaan pemesinan yang kasar, maka teknik pemesinan khas diperlukan untuk mengekalkan kualiti pemesinan. Dalam amalan industri semasa, toleransi pemesinan dicapai melalui proses pemesinan akhir secara manual iaitu proses pengisaran dan penggilapan berterusan untuk menghasilkan geometri dan kemasan permukaan yang diperlukan. Teknik pembuatan fabrikasi acuan dan die berpotensi untuk mengurangkan produktiviti dan mewujudkan ketidakpastian terhadap ketepatan komponen. Tesis ini mencadangkan teknik pemesinan terkini dalam proses fabrikasi acuan dengan menggunakan teknik pemesinan hibrid iaitu gabungan dua proses pemesinan ke dalam satu gabungan yang dikenali sebagai Pengisaran Akhir Berputar Berbantu Ultrasonik (RUAEM). Sebanyak 162 eksperimen telah dijalankan berdasarkan kaedah statistik Matrik Tindakbalas Permukaan yang berbeza parameter pemesinan iaitu kelajuan pemotongan, kelajuan suapan, kedalaman pemotongan, frekuensi getaran, getaran amplitud dan kecairan kepekatan alumina oksida terhadap kekasaran permukaan, daya pemotongan dan kadar penyingkiran bahan. Kajian diteruskan sehingga mencapai pemesinan yang optimum dan indeks pengesahan yang memuaskan. Berdasarkan model yang dioptimumkan, kekasaran permukaan yang dicapai ( $R_a$ ), kekuatan pemangkin ( $FR$ ) dan nilai penyingkiran bahan ( $MRR$ ) adalah  $0.12 \mu m$ ,  $4.98 N$  dan  $161.58 mg/min$  masing-masing. Hasil kajian menunjukkan RUAEM mampu meningkatkan 97% kekasaran permukaan, 92% magnitud daya pemotongan dan 26% kadar penyingkiran bahan berbanding pemesinan konvensional dalam keadaan pemotongan yang sama. Keputusan Pengoptimuman Tindakbalas Pelbagai menunjukkan bahawa kombinasi  $111.45 rpm$ , kadar suapan minuman  $5.75 mm$ , kedalaman  $27.05 \mu m$ ,  $20.91 kHz$  frekuensi getaran,  $3 \mu m$  amplitud dan  $13.26%$  kepekatan cecair menghasilkan indeks keinginan tertinggi  $0.87$ . Nilai persetujuan yang baik di antara ramalan dan pengesahan melalui eksperimen telah menghasilkan pengoptimuman parameter yang baru.*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Almighty Allah and peace be upon the beloved Prophet Muhammad s.a.w. Alhamdulillah. Thanks to Allah S.W.T for giving encouragement, strength, patience in completing this research and thesis writing. First and foremost, I would like thanks to my mother, my wife, daughters, sons, and my family for their constant prayer. Also, I sincerely thanks to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Raja Izamshah Bin Raja Abdullah for his essential supervision, guidance, advice, encouragement and support. Also, the gratitude goes to Ir. Dr. Muhamad Arfauz Bin A Rahman as my co-supervisor for his time and support in giving advice and guidance towards the completion of this research and thesis. Not to forget, thanks to Mr. Hanafiah, Mr. Taufiq, Mr. Mazlan, Mr. Azhar, Mr. Hairul Hisham, Mr. Helmi and all the assistant engineer from Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering for their assistance and efforts in all the lab and analysis works. Lastly, thanks to Kementerian Pendidikan Tinggi for their financial support through the HLP scholarship.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b>	
<b>APPROVAL</b>	
<b>DEDICATION</b>	
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of studies	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.2.1 Low manufacturing productivity	3
1.2.2 Rough machined surface	4
1.2.3 High machining force	5
1.2.4 Low material removal rate	6
1.2.5 Challenges in machining hardened mould and die material	7
1.3 Preliminary proposed technical solution-rotary ultrasonic assisted end milling (RUAEM)	10
1.4 Summary	12
1.5 Research objectives	12
1.6 Scope of work	13
1.7 Scope and limitation	14
1.8 Significance of studies	15
1.9 Thesis organization	15
<b>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Mould and die manufacturing	17
2.3 Mould and die manufacturing process	19
2.3.1 Solid block by milling machining	19
2.3.2 Casting process	22
2.3.3 Powder metallurgy	23
2.3.4 Remarks	23
2.4 Mould and die material	24
2.4.1 Hardened AISI D2 tool steel (AISI D2)	24
2.4.2 Remarks	28
2.5 Review of related work in machining AISI D2 tool steel material	29
2.5.1 Machining parameter	30
2.5.2 Cutting tools	32
2.5.2.1 Tungsten carbide flat end mills	33

2.5.2.2	Solid carbide ball nose	34
2.5.2.3	Polycrystalline diamond (PCD) tool	35
2.5.2.4	Coating carbide insert with multilayer coating TiAlN	36
2.5.2.5	Remarks	37
2.5.3	Machinability of milling AISI D2 hardened tool steel	37
2.5.3.1	Surface roughness	38
2.5.3.2	Machining force	39
2.5.3.3	Tool wear	42
2.5.3.4	Cutting temperature	43
2.5.3.5	Remarks	45
2.6	Rotary ultrasonic assisted machining (RUAM)	45
2.6.1	Ultrasonic machining (USM)	45
2.6.2	Rotary ultrasonic machining (RUM)	47
2.6.2.1	Working principle of RUM	49
2.6.3	Rotary ultrasonic assisted machining (RUAM)	50
2.6.3.1	Working principle of RUM (1DVAM)	52
2.6.3.2	Working principle of RUM (2DVAM)	53
2.6.3.3	Working principle of RUM (3DVAM)	54
2.6.4	Remarks	56
2.6.5	Mechanic of RUAM and abrasive slurry	56
2.6.6	Hammering effect from RUAEM	63
2.6.7	Cutting parameter for RUAEM	65
2.6.7.1	Cutting speed	65
2.6.7.2	Feed rate	68
2.6.7.3	Depth of cut	71
2.6.7.4	Frequency	73
2.6.7.5	Amplitude	75
2.6.7.6	Abrasive slurry	78
2.7	Machining performances for RUAEM	80
2.7.1	Surface roughness	82
2.7.2	Cutting force	84
2.7.3	Material removal rate	85
2.7.4	Remarks	87
2.8	Statistical analysis	87
2.8.1	Taguchi Method	89
2.8.2	Response surface methodology (RSM) analysis and optimization method	91
2.8.2.1	Screening of the independent variables	93
2.8.2.2	Selection of polynomial modelling	93
2.8.2.3	Fit polynomial functions to experimental data	93
2.8.2.4	Evaluation of fitted polynomial function	94
2.8.2.5	Optimum operating conditions	94
2.8.3	Analysis of variances (ANOVA)	95
2.8.4	Optimization	97
2.9	Summary	100
<b>3.</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>101</b>
3.1	Introduction	101
3.2	Research flowchart	102

3.3	Work piece material and cutting tools	108
3.3.1	Work piece material	108
3.3.2	Cutting tools	110
3.3.2.1	Cutting tool materials	110
3.3.2.2	Cutting tool requirement	111
3.3.2.3	Cutting tool for machining AISI D2 hardened steel	111
3.4	RUAEM machine and equipment	114
3.4.1	Ultrasonic tool holder assisted machining (BT40)	114
3.4.2	Amplitude meter(digital)	119
3.4.3	Computer numerical control (CNC) milling machine	120
3.5	RUAEM equipment setup	120
3.5.1	Rotary ultrasonic assisted machining equipped with an ultrasonic tool holder	120
3.5.2	Ultrasonic processor with frequency controller	121
3.5.3	Amplitude controller using DC power supply	122
3.5.4	Calibration of vibration amplitude and frequency	123
3.6	Machining performance measurement	124
3.6.1	Surface roughness measurement	125
3.6.1.1	Surface roughness tester (surface quality or roughness measurement)	125
3.6.2	Cutting force measurement	126
3.6.2.1	Kistler dynamometer	127
3.6.2.2	Control unit type 5233A	128
3.6.2.3	DynoWare software	128
3.6.3	Material removal rate measurement	129
3.6.4	Qualitative measurement	130
3.6.4.1	Rockwell hardness machine	130
3.6.4.2	Optical microscope	131
3.6.4.3	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	131
3.7	Summary of machine, equipment and software	132
3.7.1	Machine, equipment and software	132
3.8	Experimental design of experiment (DOE) and statistical analysis	136
3.8.1	Design of experiment (DOE)	137
3.8.2	Taguchi statistical technique	138
3.8.3	Response surface methodology (RSM)	140
3.8.3.1	RSM historical data analysis	141
3.8.3.2	Analysis of variance (ANOVA)	141
3.9	Optimization and validation process	145
3.9.1	Optimization process	145
3.9.2	Validation process	147
3.10	Procedure of experiment process	148
3.10.1	Machining parameters and experiment design matrix	148
3.10.2	Independent variable and dependent variable	149
3.10.3	Experiment design matrix	150
3.10.4	Machining procedure	157
3.10.5	Cutting condition	160
3.10.6	Preparation of alumina oxide slurry	160
3.10.7	Computer numerical control (CNC) machining procedure	161

3.10.8	Computer aided manufacturing (CAM) modelling and simulation	162
3.11	Summary	162
<b>4.</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>163</b>
4.1	Introduction	163
4.2	Surface roughness ( $R_a$ ) result and analysis	164
4.2.1	Statistical ANOVA and mathematical model development	168
4.3	Resultant force ( $F_R$ ) result and analysis	175
4.4	Material removal rate (MRR) result and analysis	187
4.5	Multiple response optimizations result	198
4.5.1	Validation process	204
4.5.1.1	Surface roughness	204
4.5.1.2	Resultant force ( $F_R$ )	205
4.5.1.3	Material removal rate	207
4.6	Macroscopic evaluation of machined surface quality	209
4.6.1	Machined surface quality between conventional machining and RUAEM (no slurry)	209
4.6.1.1	Surface analysis	225
4.6.1.2	Cross sectional analysis	229
4.6.2	Machined surface quality for RUAEM with alumina oxide slurry	233
4.6.2.1	Surface analysis	252
4.6.2.2	Cross sectional machined surface	256
4.6.2.3	XRD measurement for analysis of alumina oxide particles affected surface roughness	260
4.7	Heat and thermal effect in RUAEM machining	265
4.8	Summary	268
<b>5.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE WORK</b>	<b>270</b>
5.1	Conclusion	270
5.2	Recommendations for future work	274
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>276</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>304</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	D2 tool steel properties (% weight)	26
2.2	Types of tools and die steels (Kalpakjian et al., 2014)	26
2.3	Comparison of basic characteristics tool and die steel (Gundogar and Findik, 1997)	29
2.4	Literature on the study of the influence machining parameter when machining AISI D2 tool steel on machining performance	31
2.5	List of studies on machining performance of surface roughness (Ra) value obtained on machining AISI D2 hardened steel in milling process	39
2.6	List of research on machining performance of machining force obtained on machining AISI D2 hardened steel in the milling process	41
2.7	Material brittleness and ultrasonic machinability (Kuo and Tsao, 2012)	59
2.8	List of studies on the rotational speed used on ultrasonic machining in milling process with different materials	67
2.9	List of research on the feed rate used on ultrasonic machining in milling process with different materials	69

2.10	List of research on depth of cut used by rotary ultrasonic assisted end milling with different material	72
2.11	List of research on the frequency of vibration used in ultrasonic machining	74
2.12	List of research on the amplitude of vibration used in ultrasonic machining	77
2.13	The summary of machining performance of surface roughness obtained by rotary ultrasonic assisted machining of AISI D2 hardened steel in milling process	84
2.14	Standard parameter of S/N ratio (source: manual of design experiment)	90
3.1	Chemical composition of AISI D2 tool steel	108
3.2	The specification of the XHGR110208ER-MJ AH730 insert	113
3.3 (a)	Ultrasonic control box specification	115
3.3 (b)	Pin connector	116
3.4	Ultrasonic tool holder NUTH-BT40-ER-11 specification	117
3.5	The value of calibration of vibration amplitude with frequency and voltage input settings	124
3.6	Machines, equipments and software used for the experiment	133
3.7	$L^{27}$ orthogonal arrays for five factors and three levels ( $3^5$ )	139
3.8	Machining parameters used for slot machining test for RUAEM	149
3.9	Level of independent variables	150
3.10	Experiment design matrix used for slot machining test for RUAEM	150
4.1	Experimental results for the surface roughness	166

4.2	ANOVA result for the surface roughness	169
4.3	Experimental result for the resultant force	176
4.4	ANOVA result for the resultant force	179
4.5	Experimental result for the material removal rate	189
4.6	ANOVA result for material removal rate	191
4.7	Goal and constraint for the factors and responses	200
4.8	Result for multiple response optimizations solutions	201
4.9	Validation result for surface roughness	205
4.10	Validation for resultant force	206
4.11	Validation result for material removal rate	207
4.12	Machined surface quality between conventional machining and RUAEM (no slurry)	210
4.13	RUAEM machined surface quality with different slurry concentrations and machining parameters	234
4.14	Microstructure of machined surface generated by RUAEM with different alumina oxide slurry concentration for 5, 10, 15 and 20% for run 15 and run 22	253
4.15	Cross sectional microstructure of machined surface generated by RUAEM with different alumina oxide slurry concentrations for 5, 10, 15 and 20% for run 15 and run 22	256
4.16	The presence of alumina oxide particles on top of machined surface shown in XRD measurement analysis	261

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Complex free-form shape die in the automotive industry ( <a href="http://www.mizayu.com.my">www.mizayu.com.my</a> )	3
1.2	Steps in die manufacturing (Donghao, 2018)	4
1.3	Example of metal stamping component in automotive industry ( <a href="http://www.xuhuimould.com">www.xuhuimould.com</a> )	8
1.4	Mould for injection moulding in plastic industries ( <a href="http://www.indiamart.com">www.indiamart.com</a> )	8
1.5	Manual hand sanding polishing process by skill worker that applied in die industry (Donghao, 2018)	9
1.6	Hammering tool application in industry with indirect high frequency micro-forging (Donghao, 2018)	9
1.7	Surface roughness value between RUAEM and EM process	11
1.8	Macroscopic surface topographies for cutting speed, $V_c = 3$ m/min, feed = 100 mm/min, depth of cut, $A_p = 12$ $\mu$ m	12
2.1	The ratio of the mould type tooling produced	18
2.2	The polycrystalline diamond cutting tool ( <a href="https://www.amanatool.com">https://www.amanatool.com</a> )	35
2.3	Schematic diagram of cutting force component during milling machining	40



2.4	Photographic view of the wear pattern in milling (Ding et al., 2010)	42
2.5	Schematic diagram of ultrasonic machining (USM) (Khoo et al., 2008)	47
2.6	Schematic diagram of rotary ultrasonic machining (RUM) (Zeng et al., 2005)	50
2.7	Rotary ultrasonic machining (RUM) (Khoo et al., 2008)	50
2.8	1 Dimensional vibration Assisted machining (1D VAM) (Brehl and Dow, 2008a)	52
2.9	Ultrasonic elliptical vibration cutting (Zhang et al., 2016)	55
2.10	Ultrasonic elliptical vibration cutting for the three-dimensional free surface of steel materials (Suzuki, 2012)	55
2.11	Value of vibration amplitude ( $\Delta W$ ) and frequency vibration ( $\lambda$ ) (Rasidi et al., 2014)	57
2.12	Value of vibration amplitude ( $\Delta W$ ) and frequency vibration ( $\lambda$ ) In x-axis and y-axis (Rasidi et al., 2014)	57
2.13	Effect of amplitude vibration on slot machining	58
2.14	Mechanism of material removal process on ultrasonic machining (Agarwal, 2015a)	58
2.15	Three of the material removal mechanisms in rotary ultrasonic machining including : (a) hammering, (b) abrasion (c) and extraction (Pei et al., 1995)	60

2.16	The indentation process between the abrasive grit onto the work piece, the cutting tool which the contact zone is established with the same grooves and the contact zone is circular in nature (Agarwal, 2015b)	61
2.17	The idea of cutting condition using an end milling cutter, where the woc (width of cut), doc (depth of cut) (Trent, 1999)	71
2.18	Amplitude vibration for RUAEM	77
2.19	The surface roughness measuring location	82
2.20	Milling cutting for components for $F_x$ , $F_y$ and $F_z$ for RUAEM	85
2.21	The notation of orthogonal array using Taguchi design method	91
2.22	Response surface plot and contour plot which describes a surface with a maximum design and analysis of experiments (Douglas, 2009)	95
3.1	Flow chart of research activities	107
3.2	AISI D2 hardened steel work piece	110
3.3	Cutting tool (shank)	112
3.4	EPH body specification	113
3.5	Straight shank specification with coated carbide insert	113
3.6	Type XHGR110208ER-MJ AH730 insert was used for this experiment	114
3.7	The dimensional drawing of ultrasonic tool holder NUTH-BT40-ER-11	118
3.8	Ultrasonic tool holder NUTH-BT40-ER-11	118
3.9	The ultrasonic processor control system equipped with ultrasonic tool holder	119

3.10	Amplitude meter (digitally reading) used in the measurement of amplitude vibration	119
3.11	An experimental setup of ultrasonic tool holder with Kistler dynamometer	121
3.12	Experimental setup of rotary ultrasonic assisted end milling machining with Kistler dynamometer, frequency controller and voltage controller	121
3.13	An experimental setup of ultrasonic processor and frequency controller	122
3.14	An experimental setup of DC power supply with ultrasonic processor and frequency controller	122
3.15	The setup of calibration process for vibration amplitude with the control by frequency input	123
3.16	The dynamometer and control unit used for cutting force measurement during slot milling machining	128
3.17	The setting for measuring range (M.U.) and hardware sensitivity (MV/M.U.) as recommended by Kistler manufacture	129
3.18	The process factors and responses that affected the process	138
3.19	Drawing of the part of a work piece for rotary ultrasonic assisted machining using AutoCAD software	158
3.20	CNC control panel used for key in of machining program	159
3.21	G-code and M-code of machining program which enter manually to control panel	159
4.1	Surface roughness measuring location on each slot of milled surface	165

4.2	Comparison of surface roughness between the runs	167
4.3	Statistical diagnostic test	171
4.4	Interaction between cutting speed and feed rate on surface roughness	172
4.5	Cutter marks formation for conventional milling and RUAEM (Mag. = 30X)	172
4.6	Interaction between vibration amplitude and depth of cut on surface roughness	173
4.7	Machined surface topography for RUAEM showing the flattened surface from the hammering action in axial direction	174
4.8	Comparison of resultant force between the runs	177
4.9	Statistical diagnostic test	181
4.10	Interaction between cutting speed and feed rate on resultant force	182
4.11	Interaction between depth of cut and feed rate on resultant force	182
4.12	Interaction between vibration amplitude and frequency on resultant force	183
4.13	Cutting force profile between (a) Conventional milling and (b) RUAEM	185
4.14	Interaction between vibration amplitude and depth of cut on resultant force	186
4.15	Interaction between cutting speed and slurry concentration on resultant force	186
4.16	Effects of abrasive slurry concentration on resultant force	187
4.17	Comparison of material removal rate between the runs	190
4.18	Statistical diagnostic test	194

4.19	Interaction between cutting speed and feed rate on MRR	195
4.20	Interaction between cutting speed and depth of cut on MRR	195
4.21	Interaction between feed rate and depth of cut on MRR	196
4.22	Interaction between vibration amplitude and abrasive concentration on MRR	197
4.23	Effects of abrasive slurry concentration and vibration amplitude on MRR value	198
4.24	Material removal rate mechanism for RUAEM	198
4.25	Society of the plastic industry (SPI) finish guide for mold polishing	200
4.26	Desirability ramp for the optimization process between parameters and responses	203
4.27	Perturbation plot for the optimization process based on desirability	203
4.28	Comparison between predicted and actual values for surface roughness	205
4.29	Comparison between predicted and actual values for resultant force	206
4.30	Comparison between predicted and actual values for material removal rate	208
4.31	Optimized machined surface	208
4.32	Macroscopic observation for run 26 between conventional and RUAEM	224
4.33	Machined surface topography between conventional and RUAEM	224
4.34	Macroscopic observation of machined surface for run 21	225

- 4.35 Microstructure of machined surface for run 22 (run 22C) by 226  
conventional machining at cutting speed of 150 rpm, feed rate of 5  
mm/min, doc of 30  $\mu\text{m}$
- 4.36 Microstructure of machined surface for run 26 by conventional 227  
machining (run 26 C) at cutting speed of 90 rpm, feed rate of 5  
mm/min, doc of 20  $\mu\text{m}$
- 4.37 Microstructure of machined surface for run 22 (run 22 US) by 228  
RUAEM machining at cutting speed of 150 rpm, feed rate of 5  
mm/min, doc of 30  $\mu\text{m}$ , Frequency of 23 kHz and amplitude  
vibration of 3 $\mu\text{m}$
- 4.38 Microstructure of machined surface for run 26 (run 26 US) by 229  
RUAEM machining at cutting speed of 90 rpm, feed rate of 5  
mm/min, doc of 20  $\mu\text{m}$ , frequency of 27 kHz and amplitude vibration  
of 3  $\mu\text{m}$
- 4.39 Microstructure of cross-sectional work piece machined surface for 230  
run 22 by conventional machining (run 22 C) at cutting speed of 150  
rpm, feed rate of 5 mm/min, Doc of 30  $\mu\text{m}$  with 1500 X  
magnification
- 4.40 Microstructure of cross-sectional work piece machined surface for 231  
run 26 by conventional machining (RUN 26 C) at cutting speed of 90  
rpm, feed rate of 5 mm/min, doc of 20  $\mu\text{m}$  with 1500 X  
magnification

4.41	Microstructure of cross-sectional work piece machined surface for run 26 by RUAEM (run 26 US) at cutting speed of 90 rpm, feed rate of 5 mm/min, doc of 30 $\mu$ m with 2000 X magnification	232
4.42	Microstructure of cross-sectional work piece machined surface for run 22 by RUAEM (run 22 US) at cutting speed of 150 rpm, feed rate of 5 mm/min, doc of 30 $\mu$ m with 2000 X magnification	232
4.43	Microstructure of cross-sectional work piece machined surface and grain boundaries tend to elongate for run 15 with 10 % slurry concentration alumina oxide (2000 X magnification)	259
4.44	Analysis the presence of alumina oxide particles on top of machined surface area proven by XRD measurement analysis and SEM analysis	264
4.45	Conventional machining for carry away heat generated	266
4.46	RUAEM machining for carry away heat generated	266
4.47 (a)	Temperature reading on slot milling for conventional milling	267
4.47 (b)	Temperature reading on slot milling for RUAEM with 10 % slurry concentration of alumina oxide	268

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Preliminary test	304
B	Cutting process and surface facing a work piece	319
C	Procedure of EPH insert mounting	320
D	HAAS CNC milling machine VF-1 with 3 axis specification	321
E	EDM (electro discharge machining) wire cut	323
F	The setting of CNC machine datum	326