

Faculty of Technology Management and Technopreneurship

THE MEDIATING EFFECT OF JIT ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SCOR MODEL ON SUPPLY CHAIN PERFORMANCE

Nurhayati binti Kamarudin

Doctor of Philosophy

THE MEDIATING EFFECT OF JIT ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SCOR MODEL ON SUPPLY CHAIN PERFORMANCE

NURHAYATI BINTI KAMARUDIN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Technology Management and Technopreneurship

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled "The Mediating Effect of JIT on the Relationship between SCOR Model on Supply Chain Performance" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. This thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature	:	
Name	:	
Date	:	

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Doctor of Philosophy.

Signature	:
Supervisor Name	: Professor Datuk Dr. Izaidin Bin Abdul Majid
Date	:

DEDICATION

To my parents, family and friends with the

greatest love and appreciation

ABSTRACT

The SCOR Model is one of the most applied reference models to support the description of supply chains and understanding the relationship between supply chain operation reference and supply chain performance. The Supply Chain Operations Reference (SCOR) model owes a standard thought to perceive an activity of the Supply Chain Council that provides a framework for characterizing supply chain management practices and processes with the result in better performance. This study examines potentials for future extensions of the model. The survey has been distributed to 270 companies in Malaysia manufacturing industry for extension potentials population. By an exhaustive analysis of 158 samples were returns to be evaluated for this study. This study investigates the level of SCOR Model practices in Supply Chain performance and investigates the relationship between supply chain operation reference (SCOR) Model effect by mediating of Just-In-Time (JIT) and supply chain performance in Malaysia manufacturing industry based on the five decision areas provided in SCOR Model Version 10.0 (PLAN, SOURCE, MAKE, DELIVER, RETURN) and five key supply chain performance derived from supply chain business management experts. The questionnaire tool by Supply Chain Council is used to analyse requirements on modelling tools to support the application of a respective extended SCOR Model. A concept of a tool support which accomplishes most of the requirements is described and realised as a prototype which is introduced in this thesis. The results show that planning processes are important in all SCOR supply chain planning decision areas. Collaboration was found to be most important in the Plan, Source and Make planning decision areas, while teaming was most important in supporting the Plan and Source planning decision areas. Process measures, process credibility and process integration were found to be most critical in supporting the deliver planning on the decision area. Using these results, the study discusses the implications of the findings and suggests several venues for future research.

KESAN MEDIASI JIT TERHADAP HUBUNGAN ANTARA SCOR MODEL TERHADAP PRESTASI RANTAIAN BEKALAN

ABSTRAK

SCOR Model adalah salah satu model rujukan yang digunakan untuk menyokong keterangan rantaian bekalan dalam memahami hubungan antara amalan pengurusan rantaian bekalan dan rujukan operasi rantaian bekalan yang semakin penting. Rujukan Operasi Rantaian Bekalan (SCOR) model mempunyai asas dalam kegiatan Lembaga Rantaian Pembekalan dengan menyediakan rangka kerja dalam proses pengurusan rantaian bekalan bagi menghasilkan prestasi kelas terbaik. Kajian ini menguji potensi untuk lanjutan ke arah masa depan model. Kaji selidik diedarkan ke atas 270 industri pengilangan di Malaysia bagi potensi kajian lanjutan. Sebanyak 158 sampel data dianalisis secara menyeluruh untuk menilai kajian ini. Kajian ini menyelidik tahap penggunaan SCOR Model dalam prestasi rantaian bekalan dan menyelidik hubungan antara pengurusan rangkaian bekalan perancangan perniagaan dengan prestasi rantaian bekalan dalam industri pembuatan di Malaysia berdasarkan lima bahagian SCOR Model melalui Versi 10.0 (PELAN, SUMBER, BUATAN, SALURAN, KEMBALI) dan lima prestasi rantaian bekalan yang utama diperolehi daripada pengurusan perniagaan rantaian bekalan. Sumber kaji-selidik dari lembaga rantai bekalan digunakan untuk menganalisis pemodelan dalam menyokong SCOR Model untuk kajian lanjutan. Konsep kajian sokongan yang mencapai kebanyakan keperluan digambarkan dan direalisasikan sebagai prototaip yang diperkenalkan dalam kajian ini. Dapatan menunjukkan bahawa proses perancangan adalah penting dalam semua bahagian SCOR bagi mendapatkan keputusan perancangan rantaian bekalan. Didapati kerjasama adalah sangat penting dalam bahagian perancangan keputusan Pelan, Sumber, Buatan dan Kembali manakala penggabungan penting dalam menyokong bahagian perancangan Pelan dan Sumber. Ukuran (langkahlangkah) proses, kredibiliti proses dan integrasi proses didapati paling kritikal dalam menyokong bahagian perancangan Saluran. Melalui hasil dapatan, kajian ini membincangkan implikasi penemuan dan seterusnya mencadangkan beberapa tempat untuk penyelidikan masa depan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Initially I would like to show my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Professor Datuk Dr. Izaidin Bin Abdul Majid who always helped in all stages on this research. His great effort has helped me to solve many problems and giving advices while the research is ongoing until finish. Including that his aid in recommendations for theoretical framework and data analysis. His wide knowledge and logical way of thinking have been of great value for me. His understanding, encouraging and personal guidance have provided a good basis for the present thesis. My warm thanks are due to Professor Datuk Dr. Izaidin Bin Abdul Majid, who directed me in magnetic resonance imaging studies in research methodology course and offering in learning SPSS program with introduced me learn the book of Julie Pallant (2011) which is very helpful. His kind support and guidance have been of great value in this study.

I owe my loving thanks to my parents and family for their love and passionate supports during my academic journey. They have lost a lot due to my research abroad. Without their encouragement and understanding it would have been impossible for me to finish this work. Not to forget for all lecturer friend, my housemate Joween and friend in the same program of Doctorate. Furthermore, I would like to thank for all respondent that helped to answer my questionnaire with thanks to all those who have helped me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	
APPROVAL	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xii
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xiii

CHAPTER

1.	INTRODUCTION		1
	1.1	1.1 Background of the study	
	1.2	Statement of problem	4
	1.3	Research questions	9
	1.4	5	
	1.5	.5 Significance of the study	
	1.6	6	
	1.7	7 Key concepts	
		1.7.1 Operational definition of "Supply Chain"	15
		1.7.2 Operational definition of "Supply Chain Management"	15
		1.7.3 Operational definition of "Supply Chain Operational	16
		Reference Model"	
		1.7.4 Operational definition of "Just-In-Time"	20
		1.7.5 Operational definition of "Supply Chain Performance"	21
		1.7.6 Operational definition of "Malaysia Manufacturing	23
		Industry"	
	1.8	Organization of the thesis	24
2.	LIT	ERATURE REVIEW	25
	2.1	Introduction	25
	2.2	Malaysia manufacturing industry	25
	2.3	Supply chain	28
	2.4	Supply chain management	31
	2.5	Supply Chain Operation Reference (SCOR) Model	35
	2.6	Just-In-Time (JIT)	39
	2.7	Supply chain performance	41
	2.8	Relationship between SCOR Model and supply chain performance	44
	2.9	Relationship between variable of sub-processes of SCOR Model on	48
		supply chain performance	
		2.9.1 Plan process performance	51
		2.9.2 Source process performance	52
		2.9.3 Make process performance	53
		2.9.4 Delivery process performance	54

		2.9.5 Return process performance	55
	2.10	Relationship between Just-In-Time and supply chain performance	56
		Factors affecting SCOR Model	58
	2.12	The major component in the SCOR Model structure	58
	2.13	The process which is based on SCOR Model performance	60
		measurement	
	2.14	The SCOR Model adaptation to the manufacturing industry	62
	2.15	Theory of constraints towards supply chain performance	67
		measurement	
	2.16	Summary	69
3.	RES	EARCH METHODOLOGY	71
	3.1	Introduction	71
		Research framework and research hypothesis	72
		Choice of philosophical approach and nature of the research	75
	3.4	General construction of research design	76
		3.4.1 Justification of the study	76
		3.4.2 Deductive study	79
		3.4.3 Quantitative study	81
		3.4.4 Time frame of study	83
	3.5	Research method	84
		3.5.1 Survey method	84
		3.5.2 Research instruments	86
		3.5.3 Questionnaire	86
		3.5.4 Scaling	88
		3.5.5 Data analysis	91
		3.5.6 Data collection	93
	3.6	Data collection methods	95
		3.6.1 Introduction research instrument	96
		3.6.2 The independent variable: SCOR Model	96
		3.6.3 Dependent variable: supply chain performance	102
		3.6.4 The mediating variable: Just-In-Time (JIT)	103
	. -	3.6.5 The control variable: size and age of firm	105
	3.7	Reliability and validity	106
	2.0	3.7.1 Internal consistency	107
		Pilot study	109
	3.9	Population and sampling	111
		3.9.1 Key respondent	112
	2 10	3.9.2 Sample selection	113
		Data collection process	117
		Rate of response	119
		Non-response analysis	120
		Approach and structure of data analysis	122
	3.14	Summary	125
4.		A ANALYSIS RESULT	126
	4.1	Introduction	126
		Description of respondents	127
	4.3	Missing data	132

	4.4		
	4.5	Test for non-response bias	137
	4.6	Exploratory factor analysis	138
		4.6.1 Factor analysis – SCOR Model	139
		4.6.2 Factor analysis – supply chain performance	143
		4.6.3 Factor analysis – Just-In-Time	146
	4.7	Correlation analysis, tolerance and VIF	148
	4.8	Reliability analysis	153
	4.9	Mean and standard deviation analysis	155
	4.10	Result of inferential statistical test	156
	4.11	Regression and mediating analysis	156
		4.11.1 Effect of SCOR Model on supply chain performance	159
		4.11.2 Effect of the construct of SCOR Model on supply chain	161
		performance	
		4.11.3 Effect of SCOR Model on Just-In-Time	164
		4.11.4 Effect of Just-In-Time on supply chain performance	166
		4.11.5 Effect of SCOR Model and Just-In-Time on supply chain	168
		performance	
	4.12	Summary	171
5.	RES	ULT AND DISCUSSION	173
		Introduction	173
		Discussion of characteristics of Malaysia manufacturing industry	174
		Discussion of results of factor analysis and mean	178
		5.3.1 SCOR Model	178
		5.3.2 Supply chain performance	180
		5.3.3 Just-In-Time	181
	5.4	Discussion results of mean	182
	5.5	Discussion results of correlation analysis, tolerance and VIF	184
		Discussion results of regressions analysis	185
		5.6.1 Regression of SCOR Model and supply chain performance	185
		5.6.2 Regression of SCOR Model constructs and supply chain	188
		5.6.3 Regression of SCOR Model and Just-In-Time	190
		5.6.4 Regression of Just-In-Time and supply chain performance	190
	5.7	Discussion of results of mediating tests	192
	5.8	Summary	199
			201
6.		ICLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	201
	6.1	Introduction	201
		Answering the research questions	202
	6.3	Implication of study	204
		6.3.1 Contribution to literature and knowledge	204
		6.3.2 Contribution to practice	207
	<i>C</i> A	6.3.3 Reward philosophy	210
	6.4	Limitation of the study	211
		Recommendations for future research	213
	6.6	Summary	214

REFERENCES APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Performance attribute and strategy definition	22
2.1	Definition of provided factors in SCOR Model performance (Zhou,	47
	2001)	
2.2	SCOR level process definitions (SCC, 2010)	60
2.3	SCOR version released (adapted from Phelps et. al., 2006)	63
3.1	Number of indicators according to group variable in the present	99
	research	
3.2	The questionnaire SCOR Model between supply chain	101
	performances	
3.3	SCOR performance dimension	103
3.4	Number of indicators according to group variable in the present	105
	research	
3.5	Internal consistency by Cronbach's alpha	109
3.6	Confident level and the Margin Error	116
3.7	Population and sample	116
3.8	Full scale data collection process	118
4.1	Demographics of sample respondents' profile	128

4.2	Descriptive statistics characteristics of the sample respondents'	129
	profile	
4.3	Cases with missing value and items	133
4.4	Means and 5% trimmed mean	134
4.5	Skewness and Kurtosis	136
4.6	Non-responses bias assessment	138
4.7	Factor analysis for SCOR Model	141
4.8	KMO-MSA of SCOR Model	142
4.9	Factor analysis for SCOR Model after excluded	143
4.10	Factor analysis for supply chain performance	145
4.11	KMO-MSA of supply chain performance	145
4.12	Factor Analysis for supply chain performance after excluded	146
4.13	Factor analysis for JIT	147
4.14	KMO-MSA of JIT	148
4.15	Correlation between SCOR Model, Just-In-Time and supply chain	149
	performance	
4.16	Correlation between components SCOR Model, Just-In-Time and	150
	supply chain performance	
4.17	Collinearity analysis between SCOR Model with Just-In-Time and	152
	supply chain performance	
4.18	Collinearity analysis between components of supply chain	152
	performance and Just-In-Time	
4.19	Reliability analysis of each variable	153
4.20	Reliability analysis of overall construct	154

4.21	Mean and standard deviation values t-test	
4.22	Regression results for effects of SCOR Model towards supply chain	160
	performance	
4.23	Hypothesis testing results (SCOR Model and supply chain	161
	performance)	
4.24	Regression results for effects of plan towards SC performance	162
4.25	Regression results for effects of source towards SC performance	162
4.26	Regression results for effects of make towards SC performance	163
4.27	Regression results for effects of deliver towards SC performance	163
4.28	Hypothesis testing results (construct SCOR Model variable and	163
	supply chain performance)	
4.29	Regression results for effects of SCOR Model towards Just-In-Time	165
4.30	Hypothesis testing results (SCOR Model and Just-In-Time)	166
4.31	Regression results for effects of Just-In-Time towards supply chain	167
	performance	
4.32	Hypothesis testing results (SCOR Model and Just-In-Time)	168
4.33	Regression results for effects SCOR Model and Just-In-Time	169
	towards supply chain performance	
4.34	Mediation results of Just-In-Time between SCOR Model and supply	170
	chain performance	
4.35	Hypothesis testing results (Just-In-Time between SCOR Model and	171
	supply chain performance)	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Supply chain process based in manufacturing	62
2.2	Research framework	73
3.1	Flow of research process	79
3.2	Deductive approach designing in business research	80
4.1	Paths in mediating model	158
5.1	Summary of regression for effects of SCOR Model towards supply	186
	chain performance	
5.2	Summary of regression results for effects of SCOR Model	189
	constructs towards supply chain performance	
5.3	Summary of regression results for effects SCOR Model on Just-In-	191
	Time	
5.4	Summary of regression results for effects Just-In-Time on supply	192
	chain performance	
5.5	Summary of regression results for effect SCOR Model and Just-In-	195
	Time towards supply chain performance	

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
А	Survey question description and instruction	255

xii

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Refereed Journal

Nurhayati, K., and Izaidin, A. M., 2018. Using the Supply Chain Operation Reference (SCOR) Model to Assess the Potential Impact on Business Management in Malaysia SME Industry: A Conceptual Framework. *International Journal of Business Management and Commerce*, 3(5), pp. 14-23.

Nurhayati, K., and Izaidin, A. M., 2018. The Moderating Effect of JIT on the Relationship between SCOR Model on Supply Chain Performance in Malaysia Manufacturing Industry. *Journal of Information*, 3(10), pp. 34-46.

xiii

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Supply chain management has attracted a lot of researchers to study the process and activities which impacted performance in this manufacturing industry. Today's manufacturing industry is seen as important in business survival in order to develop competitive advantage towards products and services. A rising focus in leading business performance for supply chain is to identify more advancement wealth, competitiveness and economic growth with supply chain service providers (Thurik and Wennekers, 2004). Also, identifying globalization and demanding worldwide will then compete with technology advancement. It creates a new business condition for rivalry and also, it will provide more chances for the manufacturing industry to succeed (Martin, 2019). Therefore, most of the organizations turned towards developing customer's engagement and measuring the quality of customer's engagement in product and service offered/provided by Malaysia Manufacturing companies (Tasmin et. al., 2013).

The globalization has lead to the growth in business sectors and expanded the performance management standard in terms of cost, quality, benefit, unwavering quality, adaptability, efficiency, and responsiveness. Despite the fact that manufacturing industry is assumed as an essential part for the contributor and production, and this has confronted challenges in exceedingly focused markets. Thus, all manufacturers put an effort to enhance their quality, diminish cost and decrease their item carriage by the transport of the lead time in order to compete in the worldwide market.

Extensive studies have been focusing on local supply chain management procedures and tasks and disregard the worldwide parts of supply chain management, and subsequently neglect the arrangement of Supply Chain organization management performance (Swee et. al., 2010). The idea of supply chain management broadens the tasks of every special unit in the whole production network. An inventory network comprises of an arrangement of procedures which organize free special units in a production network. Since supply chain network individuals complement with each other, they have a tendency to upgrade their own execution objective, without having to consider the effect on the whole inventory network. Besides, it is critical to oversee supply chain production network from a whole of point of view and shift towards supply chain process performance will also help in adding customer value based on profitability such as related issues with non-renewable resource (Chau, 2012). In this study, a supply chain management is characterized as an arrangement in managing the movement of raw material into an organization. Therefore, organizations take part in the processing of the material into goods before it is delivered to the end user which is the customer.

On the other hand, Manataki (2009) mentioned that organizations have to face with the truth which they can't exist in division as they are one of the complicated chains of business accomplishment in management and performance. Furthermore, it can also leave a significant effect on economy, social and environment. Due to supply chain performance, solving an environmental problem through business process management is crucial. In addition, as Chopra and Meindl (2014) mentioned that the key drivers of implementing Supply Chain Operation Reference Model to associate it with a specific end goal to get the most out of supply chain benefit and it will be more feasible to achieve an excellent performance as a practical approach in operational efficiency in the business terms.

In terms of industrial activities strategy in the country, organizations should focus

on the center of the skills and try to achieve growth by becoming actual buying exercise with the suppliers towards manufacturing operations into performance measurement in improving business performance (Piszczalski, 2002). Therefore, a significant competitive advantage in manufacturing industries is needed to enhance the effectiveness of the product and supply chain process towards better performance (Agus et. al., 2011). Thus, supply chain process models and SCOR Model performance measurement was perceived as one of the central points in process assessment and change of manufacturing industries (Patrik Jonsson and Magnus, 1999; Georgise et. al., 2011; Lucato et. al., 2018).

Specifically, Bauhof (2004) expressed that the key parts of the SCOR Model processes involved in the performance measurement focus on five supply chain processes. These include planning, sourcing, production making, delivery, and product return. As indicated in the supply chain council (SCC, 2010), an effective process practice has been implemented in supply chain management performance. Moreover, the idea of supply chain management performance which enhances organization and develops competence and effectiveness through an innovative process system (Gunasegaram et. al., 2004).

This study is aimed at measuring the performance level of the organization of manufacturing companies in the Malaysian industry by using the mediating impact of Just-In-Time (JIT) on the connection between the SCOR Model. Determination of significant products and services to be included will be measured based on the analysis of SCOR Model performance mediated by JIT into several stages of Plan, Source, Make, Deliver and Return. Supply Chain Operation Reference (SCOR) Model involved in the activities of providers which are suppliers, makers which is the manufacturers, wholesalers, and clients for enhancing and incorporating the proceeding with the performance into the manufacturing companies as a whole in sorting out and executing high-performing plan of action (Chopra and Meindl, 2014). As a result of the economic impact, incorporation of

inside procedures of the organization with the suppliers and customers from the entire thought behind the SCOR Model will focus on the managers' level in this study. Across the board utilization of the web, electronic frameworks empower associations in shaping solid client and supplier incorporation for stock management as well as determining client and supplier relationship management (Frohlich and Westbrook, 2001). Reacting proactively to the market and business circumstance changes might gain the ground of smoother continuous supply chain network and the finished good or output of the chain by decision making process inside supply chain management (Ismail et. al., 2011). In the recent decade, supply chain management has reached an incredible growth in making known theories and operations of this area. These arguments have led to the logical acknowledgment of the vital role applied to the SCOR Model towards supply chain performance in economic growth which will help to benefit the country as a whole.

1.2 Statement of problem

Most manufacturing industry is aware of the importance of Supply Chain Operation Reference Model by implementing it but their actual participation in its still not embraced (Naslund, 2010). The inefficiency of current supply chain management system represents a significant financial burden for the manufacturing company. In addition, the current percentage of waste in Malaysian manufacturing industry showed that the financial situation has become inconsistent each month and year. Therefore, supply chain activity requires an effective waste management which is applicable for the industries. Moreover, Malaysian manufacturing business report 2017 have show that the market was unstable from the process supply chain operation with the sales and production output volumes terms of pending operational cost especially local sales and production volume (Diageo, 2017).

Among the most frequently referenced review on the efficiency of supply chain

management forwarded by Pasanen (2015) which specifically develop the internal supply chain operation process and the performance measurement by Perkins et. al. (2014). Furthermore, Pasenan (2015) is includes also the supply chain management process description with the performance measurement tools. However, the study to develop the production planning system by measuring performance into an accurate forecast, internal delivery, stock has reached follow up and customer delivery. In addition, the study maintains this problem to be the central challenges within the internal supply chain and this ensures improvement of the system and process is an inefficient use of the company's time and response (Croxton et. al., 2001).

Additionally, the Department of Statistics, Malaysia found a total of 1,028,301 employees engaged in the Malaysian manufacturing industry sector (Uzir, 2018). They concluded that researchers have attempted to locate the following huge thing which will give the Malaysian assembling industry the edge in the market by acquainting thoughts by enhancing the item through creative and another, propelled process. Uzir (2018) suggested that studies done must include the implementation of a new concept for the product in manufacturing, thus improving the generality of the finding. Therefore, the study explored the impact of performance on supply chain activities in Malaysian manufacturing industry.

This study will focus on the relationship between supply chain performances on the Supply Chain Operation Reference Model in Malaysian manufacturing companies. Furthermore, it will also help to identify key factors in making them perform in supply chain management. This study explores the business world which changes the supply chain and highlights the SCOR Model performance which provides an overview of the latest trends which take place in the supply chain process of the companies. Besides, Saleh et. al. (2016) categorized the SCOR Model as a reliable and flexible system that should be aided by the management of a basic leadership process that might prove the company's performance.

Tan (2012) pointed out that "practical business with the common goal of resourceful and successful management is to lead successful cooperation in supply chain management". By doing this way, coordination of SCOR Model is required to decide and build the output from the stock into the finished products (Lestari et. al., 2014). Therefore, it is also believed to be the key to achieving the objectives of using the SCOR Model. Minimizing waste and providing more incentive the client in order to enhance the interior procedures of organizations for it to be aligned. In addition, the implementation of Just-In-Time (JIT) is to cut expenses and solve of the issues in the supply chain organization (Cigolinietal, 2004; Burgessetal, 2006).

In additional, Malaysia Productivity Corp in 2018 highlighted the utilization of Material Resources Planning (MRP) and LEAN management to calculate the materials and components needed to manufacture the product. It is crucial to make continuous improvement by using a systematic process in order to improve efficiency in quality. Indeed, Malaysia Productivity Corp proposed the researcher to use this approaches in order to enhance the performance in supply chain process of productivity and growth in helping the industry to achieve more, as well as overcoming difficulties, for example; reducing stock, process duration, delivery lead time, defect, labour assets, built buffer stock, space funds, stacking efficiencies, profitability and quality improvement.

Besides, the SCOR Model was a grass-root activity in supply chain management. Around 69 industry visionaries have established the supply chain in 2000 as an expert discussion on the development of incorporated management idea in the expanded undertaking (Susan and Wagoki, 2014). Other than that, Stefanovic (2014) has called attention that the SCOR Model shown has progressed towards becoming supply chain key information commitment to the field when useful hindrances are being tested by the