

Review



Heterojunctions of rGO/Metal Oxide Nanocomposites as Promising Gas-Sensing Materials—A Review

Mohd Nurazzi Norizan ¹, Norli Abdullah ^{1,*}, Norhana Abdul Halim ¹, Siti Zulaikha Ngah Demon ¹ and Imran Syakir Mohamad ²

- ¹ Centre for Defence Foundation Studies, National Defence University of Malaysia, Kem Sungai Besi, Kuala Lumpur 57000, Malaysia; mohd.nurazzi@gmail.com (M.N.N.); norhana@upnm.edu.my (N.A.H.); zulaikha@upnm.edu.my (S.Z.N.D.)
- ² Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Hang Tuah Jaya, Durian Tunggal, Melaka 76100, Malaysia; imran@utem.edu.my
- * Correspondence: norli.abdullah@upnm.edu.my

Abstract: Monitoring environmental hazards and pollution control is vital for the detection of harmful toxic gases from industrial activities and natural processes in the environment, such as nitrogen dioxide (NO2), ammonia (NH3), hydrogen (H2), hydrogen sulfide (H2S), carbon dioxide (CO2), and sulfur dioxide (SO₂). This is to ensure the preservation of public health and promote workplace safety. Graphene and its derivatives, especially reduced graphene oxide (rGO), have been designated as ideal materials in gas-sensing devices as their electronic properties highly influence the potential to adsorb specified toxic gas molecules. Despite its exceptional sensitivity at low gas concentrations, the sensor selectivity of pristine graphene is relatively weak, which limits its utility in many practical gas sensor applications. In view of this, the hybridization technique through heterojunction configurations of rGO with metal oxides has been explored, which showed promising improvement and a synergistic effect on the gas-sensing capacity, particularly at room temperature sensitivity and selectivity, even at low concentrations of the target gas. The unique features of graphene as a preferential gas sensor material are first highlighted, followed by a brief discussion on the basic working mechanism, fabrication, and performance of hybridized rGO/metal oxide-based gas sensors for various toxic gases, including NO2, NH3, H2, H2S, CO2, and SO2. The challenges and prospects of the graphene/metal oxide-based based gas sensors are presented at the end of the review.

Keywords: graphene; gas sensor; heterojunction; metal oxide; nanocomposite; rGO

1. Introduction

Since the 1970s, the research community has achieved commendable progress on the development of chemical gas-sensing systems. The first chemiresistive gas sensor using Tin (IV) oxide (SnO₂) was fabricated and patented by Taguchi [1]. Thereafter, ongoing research has continued to provide a better understanding of the sensing systems, such as reproducibility, selectivity, sensitivity, better stability at low-temperature operation, and fast response time [2]. In contrast, the available semiconductor materials are predominantly wide bandgap semiconductors and mostly require higher operating temperatures or Ultraviolet (UV) light to excite the valence band electrons, which limits their applications [3]. It is well-established that a significant volume of NO₂, CO, and NH₃ is released into the atmosphere each year via open burning, discharge from industrial wastes, and vehicle combustion. Therefore, the detection of these gases has attracted the interest of many parties due to its severe toxicity to the respiratory system of plants, humans, and animals [4,5]. Similarly, NH₃ is a standard industrial gas that is considered toxic due to its irritant and corrosive characteristics. Even at low concentrations, the inhalation of such

Citation: Norizan, M.N.; Abdullah, N.; Halim, N.A.; Demon, S.Z.N.; Mohamad, I.S. Heterojunctions of rGO/Metal Oxide Nanocomposites as Promising Gas-Sensing Materials – A Review. *Nanomaterials* 2022, *12*, 2278. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nano12132278

Academic Editor: Sergei Kulinich

Received: 28 April 2022 Accepted: 25 June 2022 Published: 1 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). gases can cause serious coughing and inflammation of the respiratory tract. Additionally, direct skin and eye contact with low concentrations of NH₃ in the air and surroundings may induce severe irritation. As a result, exposure to concentrated NH₃ solutions, for example, those found in industrial cleaners, would result in acute corrosive injuries, such as skin burn, permanent eye damage, or blindness [6]. Toxic concentrations of these compounds are expressed in comparison to CO, which has an Acute Exposure Guideline Level-3 (AEGL-3) or lethal inhalation concentration value of 1900 mg/m³ over a 10-min exposure. For instance, the corresponding value for sarin gas is 0.38 mg/m³, which is 5000 times lower than that of CO [7]. Therefore, a prompt and effective technique to detect toxic chemical gases could protect the health and welfare of industrial personnel and those in close proximity from the aforementioned dangers.

Besides that, the most crucial concern regarding toxic gas detection is the organophosphate (OPs) compounds that are mainly used as pesticides, such as malathion, and as nerve agents in Chemical Warfare Agent (CWA) weapons. Nerve agents, including the G-type series, such as sarin, tabun, and soman, and the V-type series, such as EA-3148, VE, and VX, are hazardous due to their high volatility at low temperatures, high toxicity, and colorless and odorless physical appearance [8]. The severe symptoms include seizures, slow pulse, breathing difficulty, and coma, eventually leading to sudden death. Sarin gas is one of the noticeable examples of OPs that causes death by suffocation within 1–10 min of exposure at concentrations above 60 ppb (parts per billion) [9].

Most of the common commercial gas sensors are based on metal oxide semiconductor and polymer materials, while the fundamental sensing principles used for sensing are the optical gas sensor method [10], the calorimetric gas sensor method [11], the electrochemical gas sensor method [12], the capacitance gas sensor method [13], the acoustic wave gas sensor method [14], and the chemiresistor gas sensor method [15]. Nevertheless, various factors can limit the effectiveness of these gas sensors, such as operational cost, difficulty in achieving high sensitivity level (up to ppb), poor selectivity, limited life span, poor repeatability, complex miniaturization, and high power consumption [16]. Other gas sensors that demonstrate high sensitivity, reliability, and precision include Liquid Chromatography (LC) [17], Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GCMS) [18], Ion Chromatography (IC) [19], Atomic Emission Detection (AED) [20], Capillary Electrophoresis (CE) [21], CE coupled with flame photometry [17], and CE with conductivity detection [22]. All these advanced gas-sensing technologies require optimum performance, expensive equipment, and complex procedures by highly trained personnel, as well as being tedious, and incompatible with on-field analysis.

Therefore, it is necessary to highlight the pros and cons of each type of gas sensor depending on certain operating and environmental conditions as well as the production cost, which varies among the method classes. Each sensor technology is continuously developed to achieve an optimum performance, and thus the trend is to fabricate miniaturized sensors with ideal properties. An ideal sensing system is defined by several variables, which consist of sensitivity, selectivity, high response time, and fast recovery time. In view of this, microfabrication techniques have recently been utilized to fabricate miniaturized sensors that offer small-sized, low cost, low power consumption at low operating temperatures, and other features that make them an ideal sensor. Table 1 shows the performance comparison between the graphene-based gas sensor and other types of gas sensors.

Sensor Type	Performance and Capacity
-	The gas-sensing mechanism is based on the adsorption/desorption of the two-dimen-
	sional (2D) atom-thick gaseous molecules on the graphene surface, which leads to al-
	tered properties
Graphene-based gas -	Sensors detect a voltage change and the resistance received in the presence of an ana-
sensor	lyte
-	Micrometer-sized graphene sensors are capable of detecting single gas molecules at-
	tached to or detached from the graphene surface by their large surface area, electrical
	conductivity, high electron transfer rate, and capacity to immobilize different molecules
-	Polymer-absorption sensors are the most common type of gas sensor that can measure
	the change in resistance of an electrically active sensitive material upon exposure to a
	target gas analyte
-	The presence of the π -electron conjugation system (with <i>p</i> -type conductive behaviors)
	along the polymer chain, which allows the formation of delocalized electronic states,
Polymer-based gas	results in a resonance-stabilized structure of the polymer
sensor	The electrical conductivity can be changed upon exposure to oxidative or reductive gas
3011301	molecules at room temperature. However, they exhibit a low sensitivity, poor stability,
	and gas selectivity due to their relatively low conductivity and high affinity toward vol-
	atile organic compounds and water molecules, which hinder their practical application
-	They behave as either an electron donor or electron acceptor when interacting with gas
	molecules, which can increase or decrease the carrier concentration, subsequently af-
	fecting the electrical conductivity or resistance of the sensing polymers
-	Metal oxide gas sensors are also known as chemiresistor gas sensors
-	The detection principle is based on the change in the resistance of a thin film upon the
	adsorption of gas molecules on the surface of a semiconductor
Chemiresistors gas -	The gas-solid interaction affects the resistance of the film due to the density of the elec-
sensor	tronic species in the film
-	The target gas is identified using the change in electrical resistance, which translates to
	the gas concentration
	Sensitive to environmental factors with a high energy consumption
-	Infrared (IR)-source sensors are widely used in optical-based gas sensors to provide a
	straightforward system with a high sensitivity, selectivity, and stability compared to
	non-optical methods with a much longer lifetime
-	The gas sensor operates when the IR source emits broadband radiation, including the
Optical-based gas sensor	wavelength absorbed by the target gas. The sample gas in the gas cell absorbs the radia-
	tion in a specific mechanism. Then, an optical filter is used to block all radiation except
	for the wavelength that is absorbed by the target gas. Finally, the presence of the target
	gas can be detected and measured by an IR detector
-	Simple operation procedures without oxygen and unaffected by electromagnetic inter-
	ference but may be affected by ambient light interference
-	The principle of calorimetric gas sensors is based on the change in temperature at cata-
	lytically active metal surfaces, such as platinum, palladium, or rhodium The target gas is burnt to generate a specific combustion enthalpy, enabling the detec
Calorimetric-based gas	The target gas is burnt to generate a specific combustion enthalpy, enabling the detec- tion of low concentration analytes in a short response time.
sensor	tion of low concentration analytes in a short response time The Limit of Detection (LOD) for calorimetric sensors is typically in the low parts per
-	thousand (ppt) range, which is suitable for industrial settings but insufficient for labora-
	tory applications

Table 1. Performance comparison between the graphene-based gas sensor and other types of gas sensors.

- Electrochemical-based gas sensor	Electrochemical-based gas sensors measure the concentration of a specific gas within an external circuit from the resulting current due to the oxidization or reduction of the target gas at an electrode The sensitivity and selectivity toward the target gas are crucial factors to obtain effective detection. Hence, the use of surface-modified electrodes with immobilized recognition gases elements is an effective technique to achieve the high selective and sensitive binding of the target compounds and real-time measurements
- Capacitance-based gas - sensor -	The system measures the dielectric constant of conductive films between the electrodes as a function of the gas concentration to express the electric flux, which is the equivalent of relative magnetic permeability The capacitive sensor depends on Interdigitated Electrode (IDE) structures, which cor- respond to the two standard capacitor plates to monitor the dielectric coefficient changes of the film Basically, a film with a lower dielectric constant than that of the analyte would increase the capacitance and vice versa
- Acoustic wave-based gas sensor -	Sound-based gas sensors are also known as Surface Acoustic Wave (SAW)-based gas sensors Any changes to the characteristics of the propagation path of an acoustic wave on the surface of the material would affect the wave velocity and/or amplitude The frequency or phase characteristics of the sensor measures the changes in velocity that correspond to the measured physical quantity An acoustic wave sensor contains a receptor, which is a component that is sensitive to an analyte and a transducer-like element that converts the response into an electrical

signal

In recent years, chemiresistor technology has been developed for various applications due to its ability to provide accurate real-time data via miniaturized devices that consume a small amount of electricity [23]. The sensing performance of chemiresistive materials depends precisely on their microstructure. As previously stated, these properties influence the sensing performance by multiplying the number of active sites available for the detection of analytes. To synergize the chemical gas detection technique, nanomaterial-based gas-sensing materials have gained substantial interest due to their high surface-to-volume ratio, fast response and recovery time, high sensitivity, selectivity, reversibility, and stability, as well as promising electrical, optical, and thermal performances. Different carbon materials, ranging from CNTs, charcoal, graphene, graphene oxide (GO), and reduced graphene oxide (rGO), are renowned as useful gas sensors due to the simplicity in which their sensitivity can be tailored using simple chemical modifications [24,25]. Referring to Chava et al. (2019), the tailored structures of nanomaterials, nano size and dimensions have established a great future for the use in the gas-sensing layers, and the advantages of these nanostructured materials include a high specific surface area, a large surface-to-volume ratio, and more active sites on the surface. Since the nanostructured materials have a large surface-to volume ratio, a larger number of surface atoms will be available on the surface and there will be more interactions between gas molecules and the material which is the key factor controlling the sensor performance. Thus, nanostructured sensing layer materials can show higher performances when compared to the bulk counterparts [26].

Despite the promising advantages of graphene, the lack of surface functionalities in pristine graphene limits its applicability as a gas sensor. Regarding this matter, hybridization with metal oxides is still being investigated for sensitive and fast response detection. Metal oxides are highly preferable given their high surface-to-volume ratio, high porosity, and easily flexible characteristics, such as composition, structure, morphology, and diameter [27]. George et al. (2018) stated that a metal oxide was an ideal material to

enhance the performance of graphene-based sensors [28]. Examples of metal oxide nanoparticles that have been utilized as electrochemical sensors include titanium dioxide (TiO₂) [29], zinc oxide (ZnO) [30], cerium oxide (CeO₂) [31], iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) [32], nickel oxide (NiO) [33], tin dioxide (SnO₂) [34], cobalt (II, III) oxide (Co₃O₄) [35], and indium (III) oxide (In2O3) [36-38]. Metal oxides offer multiple benefits, which comprise the ability to expedite the development of a three-dimensional (3D) conductive porous network to enhance the charge transfer pathway and electrical performance as well as the ability to modify the surface properties of materials [39,40]. Furthermore, metal oxide-based gas sensors have also been used in the past decades to detect analytes at high working temperatures that are sufficient enough to induce a gas interaction with oxygen ionosorbed over the semiconductor, resulting in a change in the material resistance. For that reason, the detection of highly explosive gases at high working temperatures may increase the risk of fuel ignition. Therefore, toxic gas detection at room temperature is critical to avoid unexpected explosions when hydrogen is mixed with atmospheric oxygen at a Low Explosive Limit (LEL) concentration of 4% [41]. In example, In₂O₃ is a typical *n*-type metal oxide semiconductor, and it is widely utilized as a gas-sensing layer for the detection of variety of gases. The principle behind the working of In₂O₃ and any other metal oxide resistive gas sensor is associated with the variation of surface resistance as a function of the surrounding temperature and gas atmosphere. The adsorbed gas molecules on a metal oxide semiconductor surface lead to redox reactions by serving as electron donors or acceptors which is estimated by the analyte's reductive or oxidative nature. The changes in the resistance of materials occurs due to the gas-solid interface reactions by altering the charge carrier concentration near the surface of the semiconductor.

Since the gas-sensing mechanism is closely related to the tailored morphology, nano particle size, and large surface-to volume ratio of nanostructured materials, numerous nanostructured metal oxide materials with various morphologies and architectures with their gas-sensing properties have been reported. Among these, hierarchical structures derived from low dimensional nano-building blocks, such as nanorods, nanoparticles, and nanoflakes, are very worthy of in-depth study. These hierarchical structures could have the characteristics of less agglomerated states, good surface permeability, effective charge transport, and gas diffusion which can enhance the gas-sensing properties [42].

Furthermore, the synergistic effects of graphene hybridization with metal oxides revealed high levels of electrochemical activities, assisting in improving the electrochemical sensor selectivity and sensitivity [43]. The formation of p-n heterojunction nanocomposites could modify and design the gas-sensing capabilities of gas sensors by changing the electrical performance near the hetero-interfaces. Besides, the high specific surface area of nanocomposites would provide more active sites available and would promote gas adsorption for the specific oxygen adsorption to project a high responsiveness gas sensor. In addition, several rGO/SnO2-based gas sensors have been reported to exhibit a high sensing performance [44]. As compared to pristine metal oxide, such as SnO₂, the rGO/SnO₂ nanocomposites overcome the limits of the high operating temperature of the pristine SnO₂ semiconductor material and can decrease the operating temperature to 50 °C, even as low as room temperature range. Additionally, the hybridization of rGO with SnO_2 can effectively prevent the agglomeration of SnO₂ nanoparticles and reduce the SnO₂ grain size [45]. As compared to pristine SnO₂ semiconductor materials, the resistance of rGO/SnO₂ can also be reduced by several orders of magnitude [46]. A study was conducted that hybridized the In₂O₃ metal oxide with gold (Au) nanoparticles in order to form a core–shell hybrid hetero nanostructures-based gas sensor for H₂ detection. The Au/In₂O₃ core–shell nanoparticles showed a greater sensitivity and selectivity towards H₂ gas, with the highest response of 34.38 at 100 ppm of gas level, whereas the pristine In₂O₃ showed a response of only 9.26. However, the effective operating temperature was achieved at 300 °C [47].

Therefore, this review emphasizes the significance of rGO hybridization with metal oxide as gas sensors for the detection of various toxic gases, such as NO₂, NH₃, H₂, H₂S,

CO₂, and SO₂. The basic working mechanism and their performance as gas sensors are briefly discussed in this review. For this review, the research progress encompasses the development of the hybridization of graphene with a metal oxide as a gas sensor (specific keyword: "graphene gas sensor" and "graphene/metal oxide as a gas sensor") from the year 2010 to 2021, which was obtained from Google Scholar on 5 October 2021. The keyword "graphene/metal oxide as a gas sensor" linked approximately 6257 publications in Google Scholar with an increasing trend. This indicates that the keyword "graphene/metal oxide as a gas sensor" has become an intriguing subject to explore and develop, which will eventually benefit public health and safety. The manuscript ends with a concise conclusion and future projections of graphene/metal oxide nanomaterials as potential gas sensors. Figure 1 shows the schematic diagram of the hybrid structure of graphene/metal oxide nanocomposites, while Figure 2 illustrates the increasing research trend related to the development of graphene/metal oxide as a gas sensor.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the hybrid graphene/metal oxide nanocomposite for toxic gas detection. Reproduced from ref. [43].



Figure 2. Research progress on the development of graphene/metal oxide as a chemical sensor from 2010 to 2021.

2. Unique Characteristics of Graphene as a Gas Sensor

In 2004, Geim and Novoselov [48] led a pioneering study to isolate a new type of material that was made up of carbon atoms containing an sp² bonded into a honeycomb lattice configuration with a 0.142 nm distance between them called graphene [49]. Other carbon allotropes had a basic structure that was composed of arrays of individual graphene layers bound together by Van der Waals interactions. The unique graphene characteristics are as follows:

- (1) High strength, which is attributed to the covalent bonding within the layers, and weak lateral bonding, allowing it to easily slide and slip;
- (2) The thinness of the graphene atomic formation has a relatively high absorbance of 2.3% based on the fine-structure constant [49] and a high thermal stability, e.g., when annealed up to 250 °C;
- (3) The high in-plane thermal conductivity up to approximately 5 × 10³ W/mK provides an excellent heat dissipation and thermal interface [50,51];
- (4) The electronic structure with a zero bandgap within contributes to its high electron mobility (10⁵ cm²/Vs) [46], which is much higher than that of other electronic nanomaterials, such as CNTs (1.096 × 10⁴ cm²/Vs) [52,53] and certain conducting polymers, for example, poly(3-hexylthiophene) (P3HT) (10⁻¹ cm²/Vs) [54] and polyaniline (PANI) (0.69 cm²/Vs) [55];
- (5) High carrier density (10¹³/cm) [56], room temperature Hall effect [57], and low electrical noise of approximately 1–100 kHz, which is similar to other metals and semiconductors [58,59];
- (6) As reported by Li et al., (2019), the developed rGO wrapped sponge for highly efficient oil/water separation exhibited incredible mechanical strength, extremely high flexibility, bendability, and compressibility of up to 100 squeezing cycles [60]. None-theless, the hexagonal arrangement showed that graphene and CNTs have a relatively high elasticity with a Young's modulus of 1 TPa, a third-order elastic stiffness around 2 TPa, and a shear modulus of approximately 80 GPa [61,62].

Pristine graphene, GO, rGO (Figure 3), and its derivatives have been demonstrated to show impressive sensing behaviors, including a high carrier mobility at room temperature, low electrical noise, and a unique 2D honeycomb lattice with a large theoretical surface area of 2630 m²/g [50]. Unlike one-dimensional (1D) materials, such as CNTs, 2Dstructured materials offer better screen charge fluctuations [51,63]. The fact that the electronic characteristics of graphene are substantially influenced by gas molecule adsorption is possibly the most substantial reason for it being promoted as a viable gas-sensing material. The planar structure of graphene facilitates the fabrication of Hall patterns and fourprobe testing, reducing contact resistance implication, and permitting researchers to focus solely on the active sites [52]. The characteristics of graphene as a gas sensor and their remarks are listed in Table 2 [54–59].



Figure 3. The schematic diagram of the structure of graphene, GO, and rGO. Reproduced from ref. [64].

Characteristics	Graphene	GO	rGO
Carbon (C) and oxygen	C (99)	C (62–65)	C (77–87)
(O) composition (%)	O (-)	O (35–48)	O (13–22)
Number of layers	3–5	1–3	1–3
Layer thickness (nm)	0.34	0.76-0.84	0.35–0.36
Electrical conductivity (S/m)	~1000	Non-conductive	~667
Remark as gas-sensing material	The absence of a bandgap or functional groups in pristine graphene limits its use in gas- sensor applications	Contains attached alkoxy (C-O- C), hydroxyl (-OH), carboxyl (- COOH), carbonyl (C=O), and other oxygen-based functional groups that provide GO with a very high resistance as a gas sensor. GO is suitable as a substrate for catalysis owing to its rich functionality, whereby chemical moieties over the surface of GO can be chemically altered	The presence of oxygen functional groups, vacancies, and defects, and sp^2 bonded carbon, mean that rGO is a better choice for a gas-sensing application, especially when hybridized with a metal oxide to form <i>p</i> - <i>n</i> or <i>p</i> - <i>p</i> heterojunctions, allowing better toxic gas detection. rGO are more suited for certain applications, such as supercapacitors and batteries, due to their better electron transport properties
Advantages	High electrical and thermal conductivity, and high functionalization control by the sp ² hybridization structure	Water dispersibility, polar functionalization, and ease of processing due to the attached hydroxyl structure. GO structures also show hydrophilic behavior, while rGO shows hydrophobic behavior due to the loss of oxygen-containing compounds. In addition, the hydrophilic/hydrophobic behavior results in different dispersibility. GO shows a high dispersibility in aqueous media while rGO shows a significantly lower dispersibility	High electrical and thermal conductivity, and better functionalization control to adjust the desired physical and chemical properties of rGO according to the application
Disadvantages	Highly hydrophobic, high cost, and requires further functionalization for gas-sensing applications	Highly hydrophilic and low electrical and thermal conductivities. The combination of structural defects, poor dispersion, restacking, and multilayer thickness affects the electrical properties and high surface area of GO materials. The insulating nature of regular GO also limits its application in electronic devices and energy	Hydrophobicity properties related to the process used. The rGO bandgap varies from ~1.00 to 1.69 eV depending on the degree of reduction. The percentage of oxygen-containing functional groups in rGO is reduced and the percentage of sp ² carbon atoms is increased, which increase sits conductivity and makes it less electron-conducting (high ion

Table 2. The characteristics of graphene, GO, and rGO as gas sensors and their remarks.

According to Novoselov et al. (2007), the first graphene-based gas sensor revealed that the adsorbed molecules changed the local carrier concentration in graphene with each electron, resulting in resistance changes. Consequently, the magnitude of the resistivity changes determines the type of gas being induced, whether an electron acceptor (such as NO₂, H₂O, iodine) or an electron donor (such as NH₃, CO, ethanol) [61,62]. Graphene sheets and gas analytes may interact through various pathways, from mild Van der Waals

There are a few issues to consider when graphene is employed as a gas sensor:

- (1) It is not mass-producible;
- (2) Functional groups are not required for gas and chemical vapor adsorption;
- (3) It has a zero bandgap.

For this reason, graphene is considered a metallic-behaving material. In fact, it is more metallic than conventional metals. This is only true when the size of the graphene layer is within several micrometers or even hundreds of nanometers. However, a different bandgap could be created when the dimension of graphene is reduced to narrow ribbons with a width of 1–2 nm, which could produce semiconducting graphene with a potential application in transistors [65]. Additionally, Guo et al. (2018) stated that sheet stacking lowered the surface area of graphene, thereby paving the way for the advancement of graphene-based gas sensors [66].

Graphene can be oxidized to form GO, which contains hydroxylated functional groups comprising carboxyl (-COOH), hydroxyl (-OH), epoxy (C-O-C), carbonyl (-C-OH), ketone (-C=O), and 5-membered and 6-membered ring lactols (O-C-O). Therefore, GO differs from pristine graphene in terms of the physical and chemical characteristics, making GO particularly useful for gas sensing. In comparison to pristine graphene, the attached hydroxylated functional groups on GO increase its hydrophilic nature and also provide limitless surface functionalization opportunities. This intrinsically increases the sensitivity of GO to water molecules, making it a potential humidity sensor [67].

Since rGO is functionalized through the reduction of GO that contains specific functional groups and defective sites, rGO can be fabricated through an easy and economical approach for large-scale applications, especially as a gas sensor. For example, Lu et al. (2011) demonstrated the fast response and recovery of NH₃ detection using rGO under a positive gate potential (*n*-type conductance), which showed better characteristics in the *p*mode at zero or negative gate [68]. Following the recent findings, numerous rGO-based gas sensors and their derivatives have been established [67,69,70]. For instance, a NO₂ gas sensor was developed by sandwiching an rGO micro-sheet between two Au electrodes [71–73] The electron transfers from rGO to the adsorbed NO₂ molecules enhanced the conductance of the *p*-type rGO sheet, leading to an improvement in the sensing capacity. Figure 4 shows the image of rGO/SnO₂ layers viewed under Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM), Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), and High-Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM).



Figure 4. (a) TEM image of rGO with the inset HRTEM image of rGO at 50 nm, (b) SEM image of SnO₂, (c) HRTEM image of rGO/SnO₂ prepared via the hydrothermal process at 180 °C and reaction time of 12 h, and (d) Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED) pattern of an rGO/SnO₂ nanocomposite. Reproduced from ref. [74].

3. Synthetization Methods for the Fabrication of rGO

The three major steps to synthesize rGO comprise oxidation or intercalation, exfoliation, and reduction. The 2D monolayer of a sp²-hybridized honeycomb-like carbon matrix graphene has an excellent performance in terms of electronic conductivity, specific surface area, porosity, thermal stability, and mechanical strength. During the preparation of graphene-supported semiconductor nanocrystals, graphene acts as a surfactant to avoid the agglomeration of metal oxides as well as an oxidant to oxidize metal ions with low oxidation states. Since it is an excellent conductive material, hybridization with graphene can enhance the electron transfer to the target gas (or interior of nanocomposites) and improve gas diffusion, especially for heterojunction nanocomposites with interconnected porous structures and high specific surface areas [75].

In order to fabricate materials that exhibit comparable properties to that of pristine graphene, extensive studies have been carried out to remove the oxygen functional groups in GO [76]. This reduction can be achieved via several approaches, ranging from thermal to chemical to electrochemical techniques, each of which leads to differences in the morphology, electrical properties, and other performances of the fabricated material. The key development factors in GO reduction include the carbon-to-oxygen (C/O) ratio of the end product, selectivity in removing a single type of oxygen group (hydroxyl vs. carboxyl vs. epoxy), healing of the surface defects of the GO from oxidation, and the selection of greenreducing agents, as well as maintaining or improving the desired properties (mechanical strength, conductivity, optical properties, solubility/dispersibility of nanosheets) of the GO. Zang et al. (2018) stated that the commonly used chemical reducing agents for the reduction process, such as hydrazine and hydroiodic acid (HI), are highly toxic [77], in addition to metal hydrides or hydrohalic acids that have a corrosivity and toxicity effect [78]. Besides, the chemical reduction of graphite oxide colloidal suspensions has been considered an effective route to synthesize graphene sheets due to their simplicity, reliability, the capability for large-scale production, and exceptionally low cost [79].

Nevertheless, such chemically reduced products suffer from a low C/O ratio [78] and the quality of the structure of the graphene sheets in these rGO is very poor compared to the graphene produced via mechanical/physical exfoliation or Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD). Additionally, the reduction reaction employed in aqueous suspensions caused an agglomeration of the rGO sheets. For the preparation of high-quality graphene, it was revealed that high-temperature annealing from the thermal reduction method is necessary, and the layers of rGO are expanded through the decomposition of oxygen groups into CO and CO₂ gases at elevated temperatures [80]. The annealing is usually carried out under vacuum conditions [81], or in an inert [82] or reduced atmosphere [83]. The thermal treatments result in a much higher degree of reduction than that of the conventional chemical reduction treatments, as the sp² carbon domains are restored and the electrical properties of GO nanosheets are improved. In contrast to the inert atmosphere, the annealing process under the reducing atmosphere facilitates the formation of Highly Reduced Graphene Oxide (HRGO). Besides, the heating temperature during the thermal exfoliation of GO dictates the removal of oxygen functional groups from the GO surface, consequently affecting the reduction effectiveness of GO. The increase in annealing temperature from 1000 °C to 2500 °C results in an improved crystallization of the graphene product, particularly the enhanced electrical conductivity up to 550 S/cm with the formation of highly crystalline rGO aerogel [84]. Furthermore, the annealing reduction of GO under the NH₃ condition leads to the formation of N-doped graphene [85].

One of the early methods to reduce GO for the formation of rGO is through the use of UV light in the presence of a TiO₂ catalyst [86]. Electrochemical reduction is also possible and does not require the use of chemical agents in which the reduction is exclusively driven by electron exchange between GO and the electrodes of a typical electrochemical cell [87]. In recent years, several reports have shown the effective use of green reducing agents, such as ascorbic acid, sugars, amino acids, and even microorganisms through microbial degradation processes [88] to synthesize rGO [76]. Interestingly, various studies have explored the synthesis of nanomaterials through the utilization of bacteria to reduce metallic salts since bacterial cells have an extremely diverse metabolic pathway. Depending on the species, certain bacterial cells have the capacity to directly or indirectly hydrolyze acid groups associated with nanosheets of carbon, especially oxygen atoms. This opens the opportunity for bacterial cells to synthesize nanomaterials, including GO, under a specific reduction mechanism. GO oxide can act as a final electron acceptor to capture the electron coming from the bacterial respiration process to produce GO [89].

The microwave heating process, which is considered a promising technique with low energy consumption, is widely used in the preparation and modification of carbonaceous materials [90]. Several studies have also adopted the microwave-irradiation method for the large-scale production of high-quality rGO from GO [91,92]. Relative to the large consumption of energy in high-temperature annealing above 1000 °C, microwave-assisted heating is an efficient method for the expansion and reduction of precursors prepared via the modified Hummers method into microwave-exfoliated GO [93]. The microwave-assisted heating significantly enhances the energy transfer directly to the reactants, which causes an instantaneous rise in internal temperature, which makes this process a fast and energy-saving technique. In several studies, the microwave-assisted reduction of GO was performed in aqueous or organic media [94,95]. For example, Chen et al. reported a microwave hydrothermal reduction of GO in a mixed solution of *N*, *N*-dimethylacetamide, and water (DMAc/H₂O) (0.56 mg/mL). The microwave irradiation was set to 800 W and the rGO achieved a conductivity of 200 S/m which was accomplished within 10 min [96].

4. Metal Oxide as a Gas Sensor

The search results from Google Scholar between 2020 and 2021 (search date: 22 June 2021) regarding both *n*-type and *p*-type metal oxides as sensing materials for gas sensor applications are shown in Figure 5. The keywords used for the search included "chemical formula" and "chemical sensor" of the metal oxide, for example, "SnO₂ chemical sensor" was used to search for SnO₂ chemical sensors. The "ZnO chemical sensor" search for an *n*-type metal oxide, which is considered less toxic, cheap, and easy to handle compared to other metal oxides, recorded the highest result with 41,700 articles, which was equivalent to 47%. On the contrary, the "CuO chemical sensor" search for *p*-type metal oxide registered 17,300 articles, which was equivalent to 53%. In total, the *n*-type metal oxide has received greater attention as a chemical sensor and one of the reasons for the declining interest in *p*-type metal oxide chemiresistors is their low gas response [97].

Metal oxide nanoparticles exhibit a variety of electrical and photochemical properties due to their nanosize, high stability, and high surface area [28]. The primary functions of metal oxides in gas sensor devices include the ability to reinforce the conductive sensing interface, catalyze the expansion of nanoparticles with metals, electrically connect redox centers in proteins (biosensors) to the surface of the transducers, and increase the speed of detection and sensor responsiveness [98]. The rapid electron transfers between the transducer and analyte molecules are considered "electronic wires" and "electrocatalysts" given the nanoscale and structure of metal nanoparticles, [99]. The high affinity of metal oxides allows the working electrode surface to be developed using various methods, including physical adsorption, electrodeposition, chemical covalent bonding, and electropolymerization [100]. However, certain limitations of metal oxides have been reported, including a large bandgap caused by their function as semiconductors or even insulators, poor ion transport kinetics [101], and electrode film pulverization caused by the pronounced volume expansion and contraction during the charging or discharging process [102]. These constraints may be overcome through metal oxide hybridization with carbonaceous materials, such as graphene and CNTs, as well as with other metal nanoparticles and conductive polymers [25]. Apart from that, efforts to effectively increase the gas-sensing characteristics of metal oxides have concentrated on surface modification [103], doping [104], and morphological or nanosize modifications [105].

Changes in conduction routes in *n*-type and *p*-type metal oxide semiconductor sensors are responsible for the observed differences in gas responses. At a temperature range of 100–450 °C, oxygen adsorption and ionization to O_{2^-} , O^- , and O^{2^-} establish the formation of the electronic core (semiconducting)-shell (resistive Electron Depletion Layer (EDL)) in *n*-type metal oxide semiconductors (Figure 5 a,b). Conduction necessitates the electron to

pass the Schottky barrier back-to-back at the inter-particle interaction when the particle size exceeds twice the thickness of the EDL (grain boundary). Therefore, the serial connection between the semiconducting cores and resistive shells explains the conduction phenomenon. On the other hand, the ionized oxygen (O_2^- , O^- , and O^{2-}) on the surface of *p*-type metal oxide semiconductors attracts the opposite charge of the majority charge carriers (holes), forming distinct electronic core (less conducting)–shell (semiconducting Hole Accumulation Layer (HAL)) structures (Figure 5 c,d). Once the particle diameter twice exceeds the diameter of the HAL, the conduction is determined through the simultaneous competition of two causes: (1) along with the semiconducting core with the larger cross-sectional area.

When the electrons are injected into the sensing materials by the reaction between ionized oxygen and the reducing gas, the electron concentration in the EDL increases in n-type chemiresistors, whereas the hole concentration in the HAL decreases in *p*-type chemiresistors, leading to the opposite chemiresistive variations [97]. According to Jeong et al. (2020), *n*-type metal oxide semiconductors with serial conduction paths were more beneficial than *p*-type semiconductors with parallel conduction paths and achieved a greater variation in the overall sensor resistance.



Figure 5. Search study on (**a**) *n*-type and (**c**) *p*-type metal oxides as gas sensors from 2020 to 2021, (**b**,**d**) gas-sensing mechanisms of *n*-type and *p*-type metal oxide chemical sensors. Reproduced from ref. [97].

Kim and Lee (2014) highlighted three noticeable differences in chemical-sensing features for *n*-type and *p*-type metal oxide-based gas sensors based on the receptor function, conduction route, and gas-sensing method with differing main charge carriers:

- The formation of an EDL in *n*-type metal oxide semiconductors or a HAL in *p*-type metal oxide semiconductors is due to the oxygen adsorption with a negative charge;
- (2) The conduction in *n*-type metal oxide semiconductors occurred via serial paths (such as semiconducting particle cores and resistive interparticle contacts), whereas in *p*-type metal oxide semiconductors, the conduction occurred via parallel paths (such as resistive particle cores and semiconducting near-surface regions);

(3) A chemiresistive dissimilarity was detected at the interparticle contacts in *n*-type metal oxide semiconductors, whereby the chemiresistive variation occurred at the near-surface regions in *p*-type metal oxide semiconductors [106].

Kim and Lee (2014) also mentioned that the formation of a p-n heterojunction by the metal oxide semiconductor materials could modify and design the gas-sensing capabilities of gas sensors by changing the electrical performance near the hetero-interfaces. Additionally, the specific oxygen adsorption of p-type metal oxide could be utilized to project a highly responsive gas sensor. As a result of these opposing characteristics, the response of metal oxide-based gas sensors is defined in terms of the active layer resistivity, which is defined differently depending on the type of measurement.

In the presence of reducing analytes, such as CO, NH₃, and ethanol, the resistance ratio (Rg) is expressed as (Ra/Rg), while the response of an *n*-type material to an oxidizing analyte, such as NO, NO₂, and O₃, is expressed differently as (Rg/Ra) [107,108] and is conversely for *p*-type sensors [109,110]. The resistance reacts differently when *n*-type and *p*-type metal oxide sensors interact with the reducing analyte. For a *p*-type metal oxide sensor, the resistance increases when it interacts with the reducing analyte and decreases when it interacts with the oxidizing analytes [111]. In contrast, the resistance decreases when an *n*-type metal oxide sensor interacts with the reducing analyte, and the resistance increases when it interacts with the oxidizing analyte.

Meanwhile, a p-n heterojunction is made up of a number of synergistic types of sensors, where they are definitively classed into their dominating charge carrier with n-type or p-type characteristics based on the variation of resistivity in response to the change in analyte concentration. This is to establish a thorough knowledge and practical determination of n-type and p-type analyte-sensing performances [24,112]. The responsiveness (S) of a p-type graphene-based gas sensor is determined using Equation (1):

$$S(\%) = (R_g - R_o)/R_o \times 100$$
 (1)

where R_0 and R_g represent the electrical resistance of graphene-based sensors before and after the exposure to the analyte (such as CO), respectively.

Chatterjee et al. (2015) reported that metal oxide nanostructures, such as ZnO, SnO₂, WO₃, and Cu₂O, have been extensively explored for sensing applications primarily due to their large specific surface area, excellent mechanical flexibility, and good chemical stability [113–116]. Nevertheless, metal oxide-based gas sensors exhibit noticeable weaknesses as they require high operating temperatures (150–500 °C), leading to increased power consumption. Therefore, it would unfavorably affect the integration and long-term stability of the system [117]. Furthermore, the high working temperatures may pose a risk for onsite detection, whereby explosive and toxic gases may be present in the environment. Despite the worthy advantages, it has also been reported that metal oxide sensors have a generally poor selectivity in the detection of similar gaseous species [118].

5. Basic Operating Principle of Graphene/Metal Oxide-Based Gas Sensors and Their Detection Mechanisms

One of the significant advantages of the graphene-based gas sensor is the near-metallic conductivity of graphene and the possible inherent-amplified sensing configuration [119]. The high specific surface area of graphene may induce synergetic effects in achieving targeted gas response at room temperature when hybridized with metal oxides, especially on sensitivity and selectivity characteristics. Meanwhile, graphene and rGO exhibit ambipolar behavior (contains both electrons and positive ions moving in opposite directions) and almost symmetric behavior in the electron and hole doping regions. They also showed -p (hole)-dominant conducting properties due to the adsorbed water and oxygen molecules. Additionally, the configuration of the graphene sheets with an *n*-type metal oxide could lead to the formation of a *p-n* junction. As a result, a novel nanostructure would result in a large surface area and adsorption capacity, subsequently exhibiting high electrocatalytic properties. This is far better compared to those of the individual materials, including pristine graphene, especially for chemical sensors [120–122].

When *n*-type metal oxides are exposed to oxidizing gases, the electrons continue to be captured by the surface of semiconductors. This increases the width of the EDL, which increases the electrical resistance. However, when the metal oxide interface is exposed to reducing gases, the gas molecules act as electron donors to the metal oxide interface, which reduces the width of the EDL and lowers the resistance of the gas sensor. On the contrary, when *p*-type metal oxides are exposed to oxidizing gases, the width of the HAL increases as electrons are captured by the surfaces of the metal oxides, decreasing the resistance. When exposed to reducing gases, electrons are released to the metal oxides, reducing the width of the HAL, and increasing the gas sensor resistance. The working temperature affects the kinetics, conductivity, and electron mobility of the metal oxide, which then significantly influences the sensing capacity of metal oxide-based gas sensors [123] [124]. Referring to Patil et al. (2016), an adequate source of thermal energy was required to overcome the potential barrier and to obtain the required electron mobility of the metal oxide sensors that operate above 200 °C. Therefore, the hybridization of graphene with metal oxides could improve the sensing capabilities at lower operating temperatures.

Chatterjee et al. (2015) proposed two models based on (1) the oxygen ionosorption and (2) the presence of vacant oxygen in the interpretation of gas-sensing mechanisms for graphene-based gas sensors [119]. Figure 6 depicts the common steps involved in the response of graphene-based sensors when exposed to air and a reducing gas (R). Equations (2) and (3) are used to formulate Equation (5), which describes the oxygen adsorption:

$$O_2(gas) + e^- \rightleftharpoons O_2^- (adsorption)$$
 (2)

$$O_2^-(adsorption) + e^- \rightleftharpoons O_2^{2-}(adsorption) \rightleftharpoons 2O^-(adsorption)$$
 (3)

Upon exposure to a reducing gas, such as CO, the occurred surface reactions follow that of Equations (4) and (5):

$$CO + O^{-}(adsorption) \rightarrow CO_2 + e^{-}$$
 (4)

$$2CO + O_2^-(adsorption) \rightarrow CO_2 + e^-$$
 (5)



Figure 6. Schematic diagram of the general steps involved in the electronic and catalytic interactions between the graphene-based gas-sensing layers and the metal oxide.

According to Jeevitha et al. (2019), other factors that influence the gas-sensing mechanism of rGO/metal oxide nanocomposites include the porosity of the nanomaterials, the specific surface area, and the formation of p-n or p-p heterojunction configurations. The principle of metal oxide semiconductor sensors is based on the resistance changes caused by the reaction between target gas molecules and the sensitive surface. In an rGO/WO₃ nanocomposite, tungsten trioxide (WO₃) acts as an n-type semiconductor, whereas rGO acts as a *p*-type semiconductor. The predominance of electrons and holes in *n*-type and *p*type materials was well-established in which a depletion layer is formed at the interface when they come into contact, resulting in a p-n heterojunction. The rGO/WO₃ sensor exhibited *p*-type behavior when NH₃ was detected. Moreover, the rGO exhibited a higher work function and defects on the prepared nanocomposite surface, resulting in multiple adsorption sites for NH₃. Therefore, when exposed to NH₃, the NH₃ molecules were adsorbed onto the nanocomposite surface, and the mechanism of the adsorbed O2- and NH3 liberated free electrons and neutralized the holes in the rGO. Consequently, charge conduction channels became narrower, increasing the width of the EDL, and thus increasing the sensor resistance [125].

6. Transduction Mechanism for Chemiresistive-Based Sensors

In this review, the detection of toxic gases is based on chemiresistive sensors. The chemiresistive sensors are mainly based on the use of an Interdigitated Electrode (IDE), where the sensing layer is placed on top of the sensor to monitor chemical changes in the environment. When the sensor is exposed to different analyte concentrations, the interaction between the sensing layer and the analyte causes a change in the resistance. To date, traditional resistive chemical sensors are being developed further in terms of sensitivity, power consumption, response time, portability, and miniaturization. Electrical resistance is the easiest and most cost-effective electrical factor that can be measured using low power consumption. However, achieving high sensitivity and selectivity requires an

extremely sensitive transduction mechanism/transducer that provides a high signal-tonoise ratio and a molecular recognition element that is extremely specific for the target analyte, respectively [126].

The transducer converts physical parameters, such as resistance, capacitance, and inductance, into electrical parameters, as well as providing a sensing voltage or current signal that can measure the magnitude and frequency. Thus, in electrically transduced chemical sensors, where gas molecules directly interact with the sensing material, these interfaces play a key role in defining the sensitivity, stability, and bio-compatibility of the sensing device with a fast response at lower concentration of toxic gases. The primary charge carrier and the type of toxic gases interacting with the active layer of the chemical sensor induce the resistance change upon the gas exposure. From a practical application, a sensor presents a rather high selectivity because a high response usually enhances the detection limit, whereas a better selectivity enables the gas sensor to exclusively respond to a certain target gas. Although several hybridized sensors make up a p-n heterojunction, the changes in resistivity when reacting with different analyte concentrations are sorted into their single dominant charge carrier trait of either n-type or p-type behavior. Table 3 summarizes the characteristics of the sensor response based on the resistance sensitivity of the *n*-type and *p*-type metal-based chemical sensors with various analytes [111]. In comparison, Table 4 displays other types of chemical sensors based on their transduction mechanism [127].

Table 3. Characteristics between *n*-type and *p*-type metal oxides toward the analyte.

Sensing and Responding Behavior	Example of Analyte	<i>p</i> -Type Metal Oxide Sensor	<i>n</i> -Type Metal Oxide Sensor
Reducing analyte	CO, NH3, C2H5OH	Increased resistance	Decreased resistance
Oxidizing analyte	NO, NO2, O3	Decreased resistance	Increased resistance
Dominant charge carrier	-	Holes (h⁺)	Electrons (e⁻)
Type of metal oxide	-	CuO, NiO, Cr2O3, C03O4	ZnO, Fe2O3, SnO2, In2O3, WO3, TiO2
Response (S)	-	R _a /R _g (Oxidizing) R _g /R _a (Reducing)	R _g /Ra (Oxidizing) Ra/Rg (Reducing)

Table 4. Type of chemical sensors with their transduction mechanism.

Type of Sensor	Example of Sensor Transduction Mechanism			
Electrical	Conductometric, capacitive, chemiresistive	The electrical transducer converts the mechanical energy into an electric signal, which may be voltage, current, or frequency.	[128,129]	
Optical	Fluorescence, chemiluminescence, bioluminescence, surface plasmon scattering, evanescent waves interferometry	Quantify various properties of light, such as absorbance, photoluminescence, fluorescence, refractive index, optothermal effect frequency, wavelength, and polarization. These sensors rely on light detectors that convert light into electrical signals	[130,131]	
Electrochemical	Potentiometric, amperometric ion- sensitive FET (ISFET), chemical FET (ChemFET)	An electrical current passes through a sensing electrode produced by an electrochemical reaction, which takes place at the surface of a sensing electrode coated with a catalyst, such as platinum	[132,133]	
Electromagnetic	Hall sensors, Giant Magnetoresistance (GMR) sensors, Anisotropic Magnetoresistance (AMR) sensors, Magnetoimpedance (MI)	The measurand is converted to a voltage induced in the conductor via a change in the magnetic flux and in the absence of excitation. The electromagnetic transducer self- generating active transducers by the motion between a piece of magnet and an electromagnet is responsible for the change in flux	[134– 2 136]	

Piezoresistive	Capacitive pressure sensor, piezoelectric pressure sensor, Microelectromechanical Systems (MEMS) pressure sensor, optical pressure sensors	which are the main source for the resistance change.	[137– 139]
Piezoelectric	Quartz Crystal Microbalance (QCM), Surface Acoustic Wave (SAW)	A piezoelectric sensor function is when a physical dimension is transformed into a force and acts on two opposing faces of the sensing element. The detection of pressure variations in the form of sound is the most common sensor application, which is seen in piezoelectric microphones and piezoelectric pickups for electrically amplified guitars.	[138,140]
Thermal	Calorimetry	The transduction mechanism is initiated by the thermal effect generated by the specific chemical reaction or adsorption process between the analyte and receptor surface, which generates positive and negative charges	[141]

7. Performance of the Heterojunction Configuration of rGO/Metal Oxide-Based Gas Sensors

7.1. Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) Gas Detection

Recently, Lee et al. (2019) developed an rGO/ZnO-based gas sensor for NO_x detection [142] with a good reproducibility performance via the thermal annealing process in an argon atmosphere at 300 °C for 1 h. The argon gas was used to eliminate the oxygen-containing functional groups on the nanocomposite during the annealing process. The sensing responsivity, response time, and recovery time of the rGO/ZnO sensors toward NO₂ gas were 47.4%, 6.2 min, and 15.5 min, respectively. In contrast, the sensing responsivity, response time, and recovery time of pristine rGO gas sensors operated under 100 ppm NO₂ environment at room temperature were 19.0%, 10.3 min, and 75.9 min, respectively. Based on the results, the significant binding energy between the NO₂ gas and the oxygen-containing functional groups was due to the delayed recovery time of the pristine rGO towards NO₂ gas sensors. Not only were the essential reactive electrons obtained from the oxygen vacancies in the ZnO material but the elimination of oxygen-containing functional groups and the formation of C-O-Zn bonds also contributed to the reduction in the recovery time and the improved detection sensitivity.

In another study, Wang et al. (2019) characterized the hybridized rGO with SnO₂ metal oxide (rGO/SnO₂) as an ultrasensitive NO₂ sensor [143]. The sensor was synthesized via a combination of hydrothermal and chemical solution deposition techniques. The presence of SnO₂ nanoparticles on the surface of rGO was multiplied to aid the transfer process, which displayed an excellent rGO/SnO₂ performance and enabled the detection of NO₂ gas at room temperature at a concentration of 1 ppm [144]. Additionally, the SnO₂ nanoparticles with an average diameter of 3–5 nm contained abundant oxygen vacancies, facilitating analyte adsorption by increasing the number of donor SnO₂-x, subsequently increasing the specific surface area available to interact with the analyte [145]. The maximum NO₂ responses (Rg/Ra) with rGO/SnO₂ and pristine SnO₂ were 227.6 and 34, respectively, at a working temperature of 75 °C (Figure 7a).

Wang et al. (2019) also mentioned two primary reasons that enhanced the gas-sensing properties via rGO/SnO₂ hybridization. Firstly, rGO had a large specific surface area, which aided in improving the surface accessibility. The introduced rGO sheets significantly suppressed SnO₂ aggregation by covalently attaching SnO₂ nanoparticles to their surfaces. The SnO₂ nanoparticles were then kept apart from the rGO sheets to avoid graphitization. The nanocomposite was constructed with a large specific surface area (197.54 m²/g) to enhance the diffusion of gas molecules, as previously described. This resulted in an increase in the gas response and a more rapid response recovery time. Secondly, the electrical properties of the rGO were modulated due to the rGO's *p*-type conductivity. In the rGO/SnO₂ heterojunction structures, two distinct potential barriers and depletion layers coexisted comprising the SnO₂ grain boundary and the interface between rGO and SnO₂. As reported in the literature, the work function of rGO and SnO₂ was approximately 5.4 eV [146] and 4.8 eV [147], respectively. Gas molecule adsorption or desorption altered both potential barriers, causing a change in the overall resistance of the sensor.

The energy band models for rGO/SnO₂ hybridization are schematically presented in Figure 7b,c. The adsorption or desorption of gas molecules would change both the potential barriers that cause the modulation of the total sensor resistance. As illustrated in Figure 7b, when the sensor is exposed to air, the adsorbed oxygen molecules on the surface of rGO/SnO₂ are ionized to form O_2^- , O^- , or O_2^- by absorbing free electrons from the materials' surface, thereby affecting the sensor resistance. The released NO₂ (Figure 7c) interacts with the adsorbed oxygen species and is chemisorbed as NO²⁻ ions, contributing to further electron extraction from the rGO/SnO2. This resulted in a higher potential barrier height and a more comprehensive depletion layer for both types of depletion layers. Therefore, electrons would have a much more difficult time traveling through the SnO₂ nanoparticles, significantly increasing the sensor resistance. Benefitting from the SnO2nanosize, the density of NO_2 adsorption sites increased significantly, further widening the EDL and substantially increasing the sensor response and selectivity. The high electron mobility of rGO may also vastly accelerate the electron transport between the gas and the sensing layer, leading to a relatively swift response and recovery time at lower temperatures compared to all pristine metal oxides [143].



Figure 7. (a) The rGO/SnO₂ response towards NO₂ at 75 °C. Schematic diagram of the energy bandgap model for the sensing mechanism of the SnO₂/rGO nanocomposite-based NO₂ sensor under (b) air conditions and (c) NO₂ atmosphere. Reproduced from ref. [143].

Previously, a similar rGO/CuO sensor was fabricated by Li and colleagues for NO2 detection [148]. The hybridization of rGO/CuO was synthesized at room temperature via a one-pot process and deposited onto a Si/SiO2 wafer. When exposed to 1 ppm NO2 at room temperature, the sensor demonstrated an excellent sensing performance with a highly sensitive response (14) as well as a response time and recovery time of 66 s and 34 s, respectively. At room temperature, the low detection limit was observed to be as low as 60 ppb. The higher sensing response was possibly due to the large surface area of the rGO/CuO nanohybrids, which facilitates the carrier transfer between NO₂ molecules and the nanohybrids. Additionally, Li investigated the sensing performance of rGO/CuO nanohybrids and the performance was compared to that of pristine CuO in the presence of 5 ppm NO₂ at various temperatures (Figure 8). The temperature was found to have a huge influence on the NO2 sensitivity. In particular, the rGO/CuO/rGO and pristine CuO gas sensors demonstrated an outstanding response performance as the temperature increased from 30 °C to 135 °C. However, once the temperature reached 135 °C, the sensitivity of the rGO/CuO sensor showed a decreasing trend, which may be associated with the controlled NO₂ molecular absorption process on the surface of CuO even at elevated temperatures. The mechanism underlying the high NO₂ selectivity can be explained in two ways. Firstly, when the nanohybrids are exposed to NO₂ gas, the adsorbed NO₂ molecules on the sheetlike CuO may have captured electrons from the *p*-type CuO to form NO₂⁻. This essentially increased the hole concentration in the sheet-like CuO. Secondly, the large surface area of the rGO provided numerous adsorption sites for NO2 molecules, where the rGO could also act as a fast carrier transport channel due to its high mobility, which increased the carrier transfer to the collection electrodes. Besides, a large number of interfaces, grain boundaries, and surface defects in the nanohybrid structures benefitted the sensitivity of NO₂ attraction [149].



Figure 8. Comparison of the sensing performance of an rGO/CuO-based sensor with pristine CuO at 5 ppm NO₂ with temperatures from 30 °C to 225 °C. Reproduced from ref. [148].

7.2. Ammonia (NH₃) Gas Detection

NH₃ is a hazardous toxic gas and continuous exposure to the gas causes severe irritation and potential death to humans although it is a vital component in many fields, including industries and refrigeration systems [150]. NH₃ leakage of more than 25 ppm is considered toxic to humans but the detection limit of NH₃ by the human nose is only up to 50 ppm [151]. According to the Department of Occupational Safety and Health Malaysia (DOSH), NH₃ has a distinctive pungent odor that can be identified by smell at concentrations as low as 5 ppm. However, the Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) is 25 ppm or 17 mg/m³ for an eight-hour time-weighted average airborne concentration [24]. Therefore, the selective sensing of NH₃ is essential in this regard to detect and treat environmental contamination and health issues.

In one study, a novel hybrid rGO/In2O3 nanocube-based sensor (Figure 9a,b) was developed through a simple electrostatic self-assembly method for the detection of NH3 at room temperature [152]. The structural design and rational integration of the rGO sheets in the hybrid nanocomposite demonstrated high sensitivity, rapid response, and outstanding selectivity toward NH₃. At 100 ppm NH₃, the sensor response was 3.5, as illustrated in Figure 9c. Furthermore, the sensing capacity of the hybrid rGO/In2O3 recorded a swift response time of 15 s and a recovery time of 38 s. Note that the gas sensor response and recovery time are critical parameters for sensing applications, where they determine the time taken for the gas sensor to achieve 90% of its maximum response after being exposed to the target gas and the time taken to return to 10% of its stabilized value in the target gas after being placed with clean air, respectively [153]. Additionally, the concentration-dependent response curve of the hybrid rGO/In2O3 exposed to various NH3 concentrations revealed that the sensor response increased linearly as the concentration increased from 100 ppm to 1000 ppm. The selectivity of the hybrid rGO/In₂O₃ when exposed to five different gases at maximum concentrations of 100 ppm at room temperature also demonstrated exceptional sensitivity to NH3 but a feeble response to the other five gases (Figure 9d).

The porous nanostructures of In_2O_3 were considered the main factor that promoted the sensing ability of the rGO/In₂O₃ for gas diffusion and adsorption. The high specific surface area of the nanocomposite provided more active sites and promoted gas adsorption [154]. The hetero-interfaces between In_2O_3 nanocubes and rGO may also influence the sensing performance. By introducing a small amount of rGO into the samples, it was possible to sufficiently disperse the rGO and reduce the physical contact between adjacent rGO sheets. Subsequently, numerous large interfaces between the In_2O_3 nanocubes and rGO were formed, facilitating the transfer of electrons between them. Moreover, the *p-n* heterojunction provided additional active sites, such as point defects and vacancies that were critical for improving the sensor performance. As discussed previously, the presence of rGO may enhance the sensor properties via the formation of *p-n* heterojunctions and the porous structure of the metal oxide.



Figure 9. (a) SEM image of the rGO/In₂O₃ nanocomposite, (b) The schematic diagram of the rGO/In₂O₃ nanocomposite detecting the NH₃, (c) room temperature response and recovery time of the rGO/In₂O₃ nanocomposite up to 100 ppm NH₃, and (d) rGO/In₂O₃ sensor responses to 100 ppm of various gases at room temperature. Reproduced from ref. [154].

Other research has investigated the utilization of In₂O₃ ceramic nanofibers (NFI) (Figure 10a), an *n*-type semiconductor with an energy bandgap of 3.6 eV hybridized with rGO for NH3 detection at room temperature [155]. The interconnected mesoporous hierarchical structure of NFI was the crucial feature that allowed NH3 molecules to diffuse and reach the adsorption centers at the core of the nanofiber structure [156]. Since In_2O_3 is also known to exhibit a low conductivity at ambient temperatures due to its high potential barrier energy at the grain boundary [157], an alternative method to address this limitation was to generate a p-n heterojunction by combining the In₂O₃ (n-type) with a p-type semiconductor, which lowers the overall potential barrier energy and thus improves the conductivity [158]. The sensing performance of the hybrid rGO/NFI (Figure 10b) demonstrated several noticeable results, including a rapid response to 15 ppm NH³ with a sensitivity 10 times higher than that of the pristine NFI and rGO at ambient temperatures (Figure 10c), a low detection limit of 44 ppb, and an excellent selectivity for NH₃ over other nitrogenated compounds and organic solvents. The potential barrier formed in metal oxides, such as In₂O₃, was sufficient to prevent the electron from flowing naturally through the interface layer (Figure 10d). In the presence of air, oxygen molecules are adsorbed onto the surface, capturing electrons from the In2O3 conduction band, and forming the EDL at the In_2O_3 boundary [159]. When exposed to the analyte, the gas molecules interact with the oxygen species and reduce the EDL. However, the interaction was insufficient to change the resistive response due to the high energy of the potential barrier [160].

For hybrid rGO/NFI nanocomposites, the *p*-*n* heterojunction generated at the interface reduced the potential barrier, permitting electrons to flow from the *n*-type In₂O₃ to the *p*-type rGO until the Fermi level reached equilibrium [161]. Once the rGO/NFI was exposed to NH₃ in the air, the gas molecules interacted with the NFI's adsorbed oxygen species and the rGO adsorption centers (Figure 10e). As a result, the electrons returned to the sensitive material and lowered the overall resistance. Therefore, the improved NH₃ detection by the hybrid rGO/NFI can be attributed to three major factors: (1) the rGOfunctionalized NFI increased the active sites for the adsorption of NH₃ gas molecules, and (2) the synergistic effect between the NFI nanofibers and rGO sheets formed a 3D interconnected structure (*p*-*n* heterojunction formed between NFI and rGO surfaces) that facilitated the gas accessibility for more adsorption centers [162]. In short, the specific hybrid rGO/NFI is beneficial for enhanced and selective NH₃ sensing, proving that the fabricated hybrid rGO/NFI nanocomposite has great potential for a sensor application with an outstanding performance.



Figure 10. TEM image of (**a**) NFI, (**b**) rGO/NFI, (**c**) response of rGO/NFI exposure to 15 ppm NH₃ at room temperature, (**d**) schematic diagram of the potential barrier energy, and (**e**) configuration of the *p*-*n* heterojunction EDL of the hybrid rGO/NFI in the air and NH₃ atmosphere. Reproduced from ref. [155].

Apart from that, Wang et al. (2017) fabricated the rGO/ZnO nanowire nanocomposite using the dip-dropping method to detect NH₃ at room temperature [163]. The sensing performance of the device exhibited an outstanding response of 19.2% (at 25 wt.% of ZnO loading and rGO 75 wt.%) when exposed to a lower concentration of NH₃ (50 ppm) at room temperature, which was higher than that of the pristine rGO-based sensor of only 3.05% [164] and pristine ZnO at 500 ppm NH3 with a response of 8% [165]. It was revealed that ZnO nanowires could act as electron transfer pathways on rGO sheets, which corresponded to the improved response, response time, recovery time, stability, and selectivity of the rGO/ZnO nanowire nanocomposite-based sensors. While ZnO is a typical *n*-type semiconductor, it occasionally exhibits *p*-type semiconductor characteristics due to various possible causes [166–168]. The possible causes demonstrated that: (1) trace amounts of nitrogen or carbon were doped into ZnO nanowires as a result of the presence of N2 and graphite during the carbothermal reduction process, and (2) ZnO nanowires and metal electrodes formed a Schottky barrier that affected the sensor to exhibit the *p*-type semiconductor performance. Furthermore, the Fermi level of ideal intrinsic graphene was close to the Dirac point, indicating that this was not a *p*-type nor *n*-type semiconductor behavior. Nevertheless, the possibility to adsorb other molecules in the air similar to water molecules was typically because of the presence of oxygen-containing groups from rGO samples, which resulted in the electron transfer from the rGO to oxygen-containing groups or adsorbed molecules. Thus, the hole became the primary carrier and exhibited *p*-type semiconductor characteristics.

As a reducing gas, NH₃ contains a single electron pair that can easily be donated to the *p*-type rGO sheets, thereby increasing the resistance of rGO-based nanocomposites.

However, a homogeneous heterojunction is formed when rGO is hybridized with ZnO nanowires, facilitating the electron transfer, and lowering the activation energy required for NH₃ molecules to interact with the surface of the sensitive material. Thus, the sensor demonstrated an excellent NH3 detection performance when exposed to 50 ppm NH3 at room temperature (Figure 11a). The sensing mechanism is initiated by the adsorption behavior of NH3 molecules on the rGO/ZnO nanowire nanocomposite. Since rGO/ZnO nanowires exhibit a higher electrical conductivity than rGO-based nanocomposites, it was assumed that ZnO nanowires acted as a network and offered alternative pathways for electron transfer. As a result, the resistance of rGO was decreased and the resistance change at the rGO sheets was accelerated, which enhanced the sensing properties of the hybrid nanocomposite. The oxygen molecules were first ionized and then deposited on the rGO/ZnO nanowires nanocomposite to form the precursor layer. Following that, the target NH3 molecules were directly absorbed onto the precursor layer and reacted with O²⁻. Figure 11b illustrates the rGO/ZnO nanowire nanocomposite under TEM observations, while Figure 11c shows the sensing mechanism of the rGO/ZnO nanowires nanocomposite toward NH₃.



Figure 11. (a) The response curve of rGO/ZnO nanowires when exposed to 50 ppm NH₃ at room temperature, (b) TEM image of rGO/ZnO nanowire nanocomposite, and (c) the sensing mechanism of rGO/ZnO nanowires towards NH₃ detection. Reproduced from ref. [163].

7.3. Hydrogen (H₂) Gas Detection

H₂ has been proposed as a novel sustainable, efficient, and clean energy carrier among the numerous industrial gases. However, H₂ accumulation might lead to catastrophic explosions [169]. Therefore, the development of portable and small-sized H₂ sensors suited to the industrial field is crucial for future H₂-related applications [170].

An interesting study on the effect of rGO loading concentrations on the sensitivity and LOD of H₂ was reported by Bhati et al. (2018) [171]. The sensor was developed using rGO-Ni-doped ZnO nanostructures and the RF sputtering was used to grow Ni-doped ZnO nanoplates. The Hummers' method was employed to produce the rGO using the drop cast process at various rGO loading concentrations ranging from 0 wt.% to 1.5 wt.%. The use of 0.75 wt.% rGO-Ni-doped ZnO sensor for H₂ detection demonstrated a maximum relative response of 63.8% to 100 ppm H₂ at 150 °C (Figure 12a), as well as excellent selectivity for H₂ over methane and CO₂ (Figure 12b) even at lower gas concentrations (1– 100 ppm). The increased relative response was largely due to the synergistic effect of the highest number of p-n heterojunctions with large Schottky barrier variations and more oxygen ions available for adsorption on rGO for H₂ interaction. Yet, the lower response recorded using 1.5 wt.% rGO loading could be due to the established interconnected rGO between electrodes, which reduced the overall resistance and allowed the current to flow directly over the interconnected rGO. Consequently, these p-n heterojunctions containing 1.5 wt.% rGO (p-type) with Ni-doped ZnO (n-type) and the additional active sites caused by the Ni dopant were ineffective for H₂ detection.



Figure 12. (a) Response of rGO-Ni-doped ZnO nanostructures toward H₂ concentration of 1–100 ppm at 150 °C for 0.75 wt.% rGO loading and (b) the selectivity response for pristine rGO and various rGO loaded-Ni-doped ZnO nanostructure-based sensors. Reproduced from ref. [171].

Previously, the palladium-tungsten trioxide (Pd-WO₃) nanomaterial, was hybridized with rGO (rGO/Pd-WO₃) for H₂ detection [172]. The H₂-sensing capability of the rGO/Pd-WO₃ thin films was investigated at various H₂ concentrations (20–10,000 ppm) and temperatures (25–250 °C). At 100 °C, the rGO/Pd-WO₃-based sensor demonstrated an optimal sensitivity (tenfold than that of rGO/Pd-WO₃) and a swift response and recovery time of less than 1 min. Additionally, the rGO/Pd-WO₃-based sensor recorded a low sensitivity of H₂ at room temperature of 20 ppm. The experimental findings proved the effect of residual oxygen-containing functional groups in rGO on the sensitivity of the GO/Pd-WO₃-based sensor. The irregular and agglomerated structure of rGO/Pd-WO₃ resulted in a decreased sensitivity of 14 and a shorter saturated time of 8 s, which was due to the limited number of active surface sites for hydrogen molecules to interact and the decreased porosity. Therefore, the incorporation of rGO rather than GO would increase the sensing performance of the Pd-WO₃ nanostructures. Overall, the rGO/Pd-WO₃-based sensor with hierarchical nanostructures provided efficient H₂-sensing active sites.

When combined effectively, these factors enhanced the sensitivity of the hybrid rGO/Pd-WO₃ nanostructure by two orders of magnitude and increased the response time to 45 s. Based on the rapid response time of less than 1 min, increased sensitivity of 102, and improved recovery time over a wide range of gas concentrations, the optimal operating temperature was determined at 100 °C. Moreover, the sensor can be used at room temperature to improve the recovery time subjected to a brief heating interval. It is worth noting that the temperature around 100 °C was chosen as the best operating temperature range for metal oxide-based gas sensors hybridized with graphene due to the energy consumption, safety, and humidity concerns.

7.4. Hydrogen Sulfide (H₂S) Gas Detection

H₂S is a colorless noxious gas that is released from sewage sludge, sulfur-containing organic matter decomposition, and microbial sulfate reduction [173]. Although H₂S emits a pungent odor reminiscent of "rotten eggs", humans are unable to detect the dangerous concentration of H₂S in time due to the factory hyposensitization. Inhalation of H₂S has a detrimental effect on the nervous system and can result in unconsciousness [174]. Given the high risk associated with H₂S, its detection is critical to protect humans and the industrial sector from unsuspected H₂S leakages, particularly at room temperature.

Recently, a hybrid maghemite (γ -Fe₂O₃) octahedron with rGO (rGO/ γ -Fe₂O₃)-based sensor for H₂S detection was developed [175] by dispersing the γ -Fe₂O₃ octahedrons extracted from MIL-88 on the rGO interface. At room temperature, the ordered rGO/ γ -Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite sensor exhibited an improved H₂S detection with a sensitivity of 520 at 97 ppm H₂S but lacked a broader response toward NH₃, chloroform (CHCl₃), NO, SO₂, and formaldehyde (CH₂O) compared to pure γ -Fe₂O₃ sensors. The sensitivity mechanism of the rGO nanocomposite was contributed to by the larger accessible surface rGO, while the gas detection by γ -Fe₂O₃ was achieved based on the bulk resistance effect. Furthermore, the outstanding performance of rGO/ γ -Fe₂O₃ toward H₂S was contributed to by the excellent conductivity and abundance of active sites of the 2D rGO structure. The large surface area of the rGO nanocomposites facilitated gas diffusion, provided additional active sites for the reaction, and further enhanced the gas sensitivity. Additionally, the porous nature of Fe₂O₃ octahedrons facilitated gaseous molecule penetration into the rGO/ γ -Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite.

Apart from that, the energy bandgap and electron affinity of Fe₂O₃ were 2.2 eV [176] and 4.7 eV, respectively [177], and the work function of rGO was approximately 4.8 eV [178], which was lower than that of γ -Fe₂O₃. The heterostructure configuration was formed through the hybridization of rGO/ γ -Fe₂O₃ in which the transferred electrons generated more chemisorbed oxygen species on the surface of γ -Fe₂O₃, resulting in the formation of more active sites [179] and improved the H₂S detection. The rGO with a high carrier mobility influenced the conductive network in the rGO/ γ -Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite, which resulted in the rapid transfer of carriers. Moreover, the addition of γ -Fe₂O₃ octahedrons hindered the stacking of rGO nanosheets as well as provided more channels for diffusion to transport H₂S molecules across the rGO layers. Therefore, these factors influenced the interface electrical properties of the rGO/ γ -Fe₂O₃-based sensor, substantially enhancing the sensing performance toward the H₂S at 97 ppm at room temperature with a high response of 520.

7.5. Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Gas Detection

CO₂ is a colorless and odorless greenhouse gas found in nature. It performs an essential function in many living organisms on this planet although it is present in smaller percentages compared to other gases in the atmosphere. Nevertheless, CO₂ monitoring is critical for managing air quality, particularly in the health and clinical sectors, as over-inhalation of CO₂ by humans results in intoxication and hypercapnia or hypercarbia. In fact, a CO₂ level of 2000–5000 ppm in an indoor room could lead to an increase in a person's instant heartbeat and induce a state of unconsciousness [180].

The development of a highly selective, straightforward, and cost-effective CO₂ gas sensor was reported using stabilized rGO/NiO-In₂O₃ nanosphere-sensing electrodes [181]. The CO₂ gas-sensing electrode operates at room temperature via a simple hydrothermal method aided by sonication to enhance the decoration of rGO layers at different ratios (9:1, 8:2, 7:3, and 6:4) of NiO and In₂O₃ nanoparticles (for example, the composition of rGO-9:1 nanocomposite 0.9 M of NiCl₂.6H₂O and 0.1 M of InCl₃.4H₂O) using the intense reductant hydrazine hydrate. The results indicated that the developed sensor rGO-8:2 had a high sensitivity of 40% to CO₂ at 50 ppm. Additionally, the sensor could detect CO₂ concentrations up to 5 ppm with a 6 s response time and a 5 s recovery time. Besides, the

optimal amount of In₂O₃ addition in combination with NiO had a significant effect on CO₂ detection. Additionally, the fabricated electrode demonstrated a 95% long-term stability over 50 days when observed at 10-day intervals.

Another room temperature-operating CO₂ detection sensor through the hybridization of rGO/SnO₂ was developed by Lee et al. (2021) [182]. The synergistic effect of hybridization with the metallic conductivity of rGO and SnO₂ increased the detection limit at room temperature and 58% relative humidity to sub-ppm (5 ppm) and excellent CO₂ sensing. Moreover, the response change of the hybrid rGO/SnO₂-based sensor to 100 ppm CO₂ was 1.206%, which was 6.7 times greater than the response change of pristine rGO (0.179%). An excellent gas interaction with lower CO₂ concentrations at room temperature resulted in a simple fabrication, low-cost production, and low power consumption that could be used to develop a portable CO₂ gas sensor.

7.6. Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂) Gas Detection

Sulfur dioxide (SO₂) is a colorless gas composed of sulfur and oxygen, which is very harmful to humans. The gas is mainly produced from the combustion of coal, fuel, oil, and other sulfur-containing substances, making it one of the major pollution gases in the atmosphere [183]. Even at low concentrations, prolonged exposure can cause irritation of the skin and eyes, lung failure, sore throat, and, in severe cases, death [184]. Therefore, it is important to fabricate SO₂ sensors with rapid and accurate detection, especially at room temperature sensitivity.

Previously, the in situ one-pot polyol method was employed to fabricate nanocomposite films of Multiwalled carbon nanotubes/Tungsten trioxide (MWCNTs/WO₃) and rGO/WO₃ on an alumina substrate for room temperature and ppb-level SO₂ gas detection [185]. The gas-sensing result showed that the SO₂ sensor with the rGO/WO₃-based sensor film exhibited the highest response at 30% for very low SO₂ concentrations from 50 ppb to 300 ppb at room temperature compared to that of the MWCNTs/WO₃ nanocomposite film (around 25% of response at 300 ppb SO₂) and the pristine WO₃ film (around 10% of response). The rGO/WO₃-based gas sensor also showed a fast response and recovery time, high reproducibility, and the lowest detection limit for SO₂. The enhanced responsiveness was influenced by the formation of new conducting pathways and the spreading of the EDL at the interface of the doped MWCNTs and rGO with the WO₃ nanoparticles toward the interaction with SO₂ gas.

In comparison, MWCNT/SnO₂ and rGO/SnO₂ hybrid nanocomposites as SO₂ gas sensors were fabricated by chemically hybridizing MWCNTs and rGO into a colloidal SnO2 nanoparticle solution, respectively [186]. At 220 °C and 500 ppm SO₂, the pristine SnO₂ sensor recorded a sensing response of 1.2, which was significantly improved to 22 for the rGO/SnO₂-based sensor compared to the response of 5 for the MWCNT/SnO₂-based sensor at a lower operating temperature of 60 °C and the same SO₂ concentration of 500 ppm. The modification of the space charge region at the interface of the n-SnO₂ and p-rGO in response to the target SO₂ gas was synergized to enhance the SO₂ detection response. Additionally, the response and recovery times for the hybrid rGO/SnO2-based sensors were slower at all temperatures compared to the pristine SnO2-based sensor. At 200 °C, the response and recovery times of pristine SnO₂ thin-film sensors were 5.2 min and 7.4 min, respectively. In contrast, the response and recovery times for the rGO/SnO₂ sensor at 60 °C were 2.4 min and 3.5 min, respectively, while the response and recovery times for the MWCNT/SnO₂ sensor were 5.3 min and 4.3 min, respectively. This could be due to the limited formation of the conducting channels by rGO films and MWCNTs between the electrodes, allowing a higher charge transfer rate, and thus a faster response and recovery times for the hybrid nanocomposite sensors to detect SO₂ at low temperatures. Table 5 tabulates the summary of the latest advancements in rGO/metal oxide-based gas sensors for NO₂, NH₃, H₂, H₂S, CO₂, and SO₂.

Sensing Material	Target Gas Molecule	Gas Concentration (ppm)	Operating Temperature (°C)	Response	Response Time (s)	Recovery Time (s)	Ref.	Significant Remarks on the Selected Studies for the Heterojunction Effect between Metals and rGO for the Improvement on the Sensing Performance
SnO2/rGO	NO2	100	55	6.5%	-	500	[75]	 Overall, it can be seen that pristine SnO₂ and rGO have a very high resistance compared to both SnO₂/rGO-4 (metal salt, Sn⁴⁺) and SnO₂/rGO-2 (metal salt, Sn²⁺) nanocomposites exhibit a lowered resistance, and presented enhanced electronic conductivity, which could be ascribed to the formed <i>p</i>-<i>n</i> heterojunctions between SnO₂ nanoparticles and rGO The formation of <i>p</i>-<i>n</i> junctions between SnO₂ and rGO at the interface makes electron transfer easier, thus lowering the working temperature Oxygen vacancies and antisite defects from the SnO₂/rGO porous nanocomposite act as channel entrances for the gas molecules and can effectively control the diffusion of small molecular gases. Therefore, it is difficult for large gas molecules to enter and diffuse in the 3D porous nanocomposite, resulting in fewer chances to react with the anion oxygen in the nanocomposite and leading to a sensing selectivity for particular gas molecules Theoretically, relatively higher temperatures can provide more energy to accelerate the transfer of electrons between the target gas and sensing materials, including the electrons in the interior of the nanocomposite, and can overcome the potential barrier between the SnO₂ and rGO heterostructure
ZnO/rGO	_	100	RT	17.4%	780	1980	[187]	1 0
SnS ₂ /rGO	-	11.9	80	56.8%	360	3180	[188]	resistance decreases due to the NO2 adsorption and desorption pro-
MoS ₂ /rGO	-	3	160	1.23%	8	20	[189]	cess within the material that increases the response of the gas detec-
ZnO/rGO		5	RT	25.6%	165	499	[190]	tion
ZnO/SnO ₂ /rGO		5	RT	141.0%	33	92	-[191]	• The rGO creates a conductive matrix that provides rapid electron
SnO ₂ /rGO		5	RT	34.8%	70	39	[-/+]	channels to the hollow spheres of ZnO nanorods to assist in the

Table 5. Summary of the latest advances in rGO/metal oxide-based gas sensors for detection of the selected toxic gases.

ZnO/rGO		5	RT	43.4%	272	1297	
In2O3 nanofibers/rGO		15	RT	23.37%	17	214	[155]•
ZnO nanowires/rGO		50	RT	19.2%	50	250	[163]
SnO2-nano- rods/rGO	-	200	RT	1.3	8	13	[192]
SnO2 nanoflakes/rGO	NUL	50	RT (15–45)	1.16	<60	<60	[193]
Co ₃ O ₄ /rGO	NH3	20	RT	1.78	351	1199	[194]
Co ₃ O ₄ nanorods		500	160	2.3	-	-	[195]
Cu ₂ O/rGO		100	RT	1.75	28	206	[196]
In2O3 nanocubes/rGO		100	RT	3.5	15	38	[152]
TiO ₂ film/Pd/rGO	-	10	RT	15	184	81	[197] [●]
ZnO wires/rGO		0.5	RT	56	6	36	[198]
		0.5%	75	23%	33	~92	
T:O /a marka and		0.5%	100	30%	30	~67.7	[100]
TiO ₂ /graphene		0.5%	125	16%	16	61	-[199]
		0.5%	150	12%	17.5	~22.5	
WO ₃ /graphene	H ₂	0.1 vol.%	RT	-	<13	<43	[200]
Pd/GQDs/WO3		3600	120	500	12	35	[201]
Pd-WO ₃ /GO		100	100	72	35	37	[172]
SnO2 nanowires/GO		100	50	24	-	-	[202]
SnO ₂ /rGO		50	RT	33	2	292	[203]
ZnO/rGO	H_2S	2	RT	30	2400	1800	[204]
		0.1	350	1.5	-	-	[205]

sensing process. The Urc-ZGO nanocomposite material has a large specific surface area that allows sufficient contact area for the gas, ensuring that the NO₂ molecules can easily penetrate and a high response for the sensing capacity

In₂O₃ (*n*-type) is combined with rGO (*p*-type) and the charge transfer is greatly enhanced which causes a reduction in the resistance once the presence of rGO increases the charge carriers' mobility In₂O₃/rGO with a response 10 times greater than pristine In₂O₃ and rGO. The better performance of NFI-rGO can be related to the formation of the *p*-*n* heterojunction and the synergistic effect between the In₂O₃ nanofibrous structure and the rGO sheets, which features an increased relative response modulation

For metal oxides such as In₂O₃, the potential barrier formed is high enough to prevent the electron from flowing naturally through the interface. In this case, in presence of air, oxygen molecules are adsorbed on the surface capturing electrons from the In₂O₃ conduction band and forming the depletion layer at the In₂O₃ boundary While exposed to the analyte, the gas molecules interact with the oxygen species reducing the depletion layer. However, this interaction is not enough to change the resistive response due to the high energy of the potential barrier from the In₂O₃. The hybridization of In₂O₃/rGO nanocomposite and the *p*-*n* heterojunction formed at the interface decreases the potential barrier allowing the effectiveness of electrons to flow from the *n*-type In₂O₃ to the *p*-type rGO until the Fermi level reaches equilibrium. When In₂O₃/rGO is exposed to reducing gas such as NH₃, the gas molecules interact with the adsorbed oxygen species available from the In₂O₃ and with the rGO adsorption centers The improved NH₃ sensing performance by the hybridization of In₂O₃/rGO can be attributed to the synergistic effect between the NFI nanofibrous structure and the rGO sheets forming a 3D interconnected structure which can facilitate the accessibility of the gas to more adsorption centers

α-Fe₂O₃ nanofibers/rGO		1	350	9.2	-	-		
Cu ₂ O/rGO		1	40	20%	~250	-	[206]	
WO ₃ /rGO		10	330	45%	7	55	[207]•	The response of a metal oxide sensor is related to the working tem-
γ-Fe2O3/rGO		100	RT	520.73	~30	-	[175]	perature. For example, the responses of the sensors to 40 ppm H ₂ S at
NiCo2O4/rGO		100	RT	3.51	2	449	[208]	temperatures 75 °C to 375 °C showed the highest response at 330 °C,
NiO-In ₂ O ₃ /rGO		50	RT	40%	6	18	[181]	so 330 °C was chosen as the best working temperature for the H_2S
Sb ₂ O ₃ /graphene	CO ₂	50	RT	~0.2	16	22	[209]	sensor
Al ₂ O ₃ graphene		100	RT	10.84	14	22	[210] [•]	The possible reasons for the improved responses of rGO/WO3 nano-
TiO ₂ /rGO		5	RT	11.14%	-	-	[211]	composites for 40 ppm H ₂ S rGO/WO ₃ nanocomposites increased with
SnO2/rGO	SO2	500	60	22	144	210	• [186]	the increase in rGO from 1.6 wt.% to 5.7 wt.%, which could provide more active sites for the adsorption of H ₂ S molecules, improving the responses of the sensor The hybridization of rGO facilitated electron charge carrier transport through the rGO/h-WO ₃ nanocomposites, so the responses of the rGO/WO ₃ nanocomposites sensors were better than pristine WO ₃ Nevertheless, when the amount of rGO increased to 5.7 wt.%, the amount of rGO exceeded the percolation threshold, so the resistance changes of sensing materials were not obvious when exposed to H ₂ S after the rGO loading was 7.2 wt.%. Additionally, the 3D hybrid nanostructure in S2 provided more conducting networks for charge transfer and more channels for gas diffusion

RT = Room temperature; GQDs = Graphene quantum dots.

8. Conclusions and Future Perspective

This review has presented the advanced development of hybridized rGO/metal oxide nanocomposites as gas-sensing materials, which have significantly expanded the possibility for innovative and effective gas sensor devices. The hybridization of rGO/metal oxide has not only provided a considerable improvement in terms of the sensitivity and selectivity, but it has also allowed gas sensors to operate preferably at room temperature and below 200 °C for the detection of toxic gases. Nevertheless, the accomplishments described in this article are still within the realm of basic research, thus necessitating a considerable effort to transform lab-scale devices into reliable and robust practical applications, whereby the developed gas sensors are susceptible and exposed to unpredictable environmental conditions. Despite the reported findings from various studies that the performance of these sensors can be sustained for more than a half year, such stability and environmental resistance are not attainable in the real world. The ability to detect nonpolar and bulky molecules that are highly detrimental to safety, such as volatile organic compounds, is still under investigation. These molecules react differently with rGO/metal oxide sensors than polar molecules, while pristine graphene exhibited a poor surface affinity for them, making the detection more challenging. Regardless of the drawbacks, the ease of processing and fabrication, doping capability, small device configurations, compatibility with various substrates, and high-temperature tolerance are some of the favorable performances of rGO/metal oxide-based gas sensors due to their high sensitivity and selectivity to gases at temperatures as low as 30 to 50 °C. This implies a potentially huge opportunity for their practical use as gas sensors.

The main limitation to the practical implementation of rGO/metal oxide-based gas sensor includes the lack of reproducible, low-quality thin films, non-uniformity of film thickness in bi-layer/tri-layer rGO, high sheet resistance for layers' tens of hundreds of layers thick in nm, relative inertness to the environment from the absence of active functional groups, and sensitivity to humidity. In real-life applications, the performance and capability of the gas sensors would be highly dependent on the relative humidity of the surroundings. Additionally, the primary bottleneck for the future development of gas sensing-devices is that the current large-scale application of rGO remains limited and at the same time the responsiveness and sensitivity of rGO as a gas sensor to specific gases is also limited, which should be enhanced through further treatment or surface modifications. According to the current development trend, the following factors may contribute to the improvement of response times: (1) increasing the specific surface area of rGO by coating it with functional molecules, such as boron or nitrogen; (2) hybridizing rGO with specific nanomaterials; (3) the lower energy bandgap of rGO and metal oxides themselves contributed to their use as effective gas-sensing materials; and (4) the success of electron transfer was aided via the efficacious design of sensing deviators.

Future research should focus on improving the shortcomings of rGO-based gas sensors, including: (1) developing an easy and economical synthetization of single and multilayer rGO that are highly in demand; (2) minimizing the substrate effects to prevent undesirable surface contamination during the micro-fabrication processes; (3) improving the adsorbate sensitivity and selectivity novel by formulating functional molecules with specific interaction with rGO; and (4) measuring and monitoring the impact of humidity on the sensitivity of rGO-based gas sensors.

Moreover, the room temperature operation, sensitivity, and selectivity of the metal graphene/metal oxide hybrid sensors are needed for specific molecules to fulfil the demand for highly selective gas sensors. Apart from the hybridization process, surface functionalization with specific molecules/compounds on graphene also provides high selectivity to the target molecules with improved sensitivity. There are also mentions of some other forms of graphene through graphene nanoribbons and 3D graphene, which can significantly promote the charge transport in graphene while interacting with the foreign molecules showing their potential in sensing. The existent functional groups not only

allow for good coordination towards molecules but also facilitate surface modification to enhance detection sensitivity further. With further exploration, it is expected that the hybridization of rGO with metal oxide-based gas sensors would possibly lead to its extensive applicability as an ambient gas sensor with an accelerated response/recovery speed in the future.

The most concerning aspect of metal oxide-based sensor operation for toxic gas sensors is that the high operating temperature cannot be stopped by reducing the operating temperature. However, humidity plays a crucial role in the gas sensor, and the adsorption of H₂O molecules will affect the adsorption and reaction of the target gas on the sensor surface, thereby reducing the sensitivity of the gas sensor. In the future, more attention could be paid to improving the insensitivity to humidity for preparing a toxic gas sensor with a high performance and high humidity resistance suitable for practical applications, especially in high humidity areas in the jungle and equator line areas such as Malaysia and Indonesia. It is optimistic that such advanced improvement of rGO-based sensitive materials would play a significant role as a gas-sensitive material in the future with more incredible benefits in various research.

Author Contributions: All authors have accepted responsibility for the entire content of this manuscript and approved its submission. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Newton fund's research grant ISIS-NEWTON/2019/SG/01 and Chemical Defense Research Centre (CHEMDEF) research grant UPNM/2018/CHEMDEFF/ST/3.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors also would like to acknowledge Center for Research and Innovation Management, UPNM for their endless support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- 1. Neri, G. First fifty years of chemoresistive gas sensors. *Chemosensors* 2015, *3*, 1–20.
- Moseley, P.T. Progress in the development of semiconducting metal oxide gas sensors: A review. Meas. Sci. Technol. 2017, 28, 082001.
- 3. Norizan, M.N.; Moklis, M.H.; Demon, S.Z.; Halim, N.A.; Samsuri, A.; Mohamad, I.S.; Knight, V.F.; Abdullah, N. Carbon nanotubes: Functionalisation and their application in chemical sensors. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10*, 43704–43732.
- Zhang, D.; Liu, Z.; Li, C.; Tang, T.; Liu, X.; Han, S.; Lei, B.; Zhou, C. Detection of NO₂ down to ppb levels using individual and multiple In₂O₃ nanowire devices. *Nano Lett.* 2004, 4, 1919–1924.
- 5. Zhang, H.; Feng, J.; Fei, T.; Liu, S.; Zhang, T. SnO₂ nanoparticles-reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites for NO₂ sensing at low operating temperature. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, *190*, 472–478.
- Akbarzadeh, A.; Abasi, E.; Ghanei, M.; Hasanzadeh, A.; Panahi, Y. The effects of various chemicals on lung, skin and eye: A review. *Toxin Rev.* 2016, 35, 187–195.
- Mukhopadhyay, S.; Schoenitz, M.; Dreizin, E.L. Vapor-phase decomposition of dimethyl methylphosphonate (DMMP), a sarin surrogate, in presence of metal oxides. *Def. Technol.* 2020, 17, 1095–1114.
- Che Sulaiman, I.S.; Chieng, B.W.; Pojol, F.E.; Ong, K.K.; Abdul Rashid, J.I.; Wan Yunus, W.M.; Mohd Kasim, N.A.; Abdul Halim, N.; Mohd Noor, S.A.; Knight, V.F. A review on analysis methods for nerve agent hydrolysis products. *Forensic Toxicol.* 2020, 38, 297–313.
- 9. Thiermann, H.; Aurbek, N.; Worek, F. *Treatment of Nerve Agent Poisoning*; Chemical Warfare Toxicology: Volume 2: Management of Poisoning; RSC: Cambridge, UK, 2016.
- 10. Paliwal, A.; Sharma, A.; Tomar, M.; Gupta, V. Carbon monoxide (CO) optical gas sensor based on ZnO thin films. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, *250*, 679–685.
- 11. Jildeh, Z.B.; Kirchner, P.; Oberländer, J.; Kremers, A.; Wagner, T.; Wagner, P.H.; Schöning, M.J. FEM—Based modeling of a calorimetric gas sensor for hydrogen peroxide monitoring. *Phys. Status Solidi* **2017**, *214*, 1600912.
- 12. Yao, M.-S.; Li, W.-H.; Xu, G. Metal–organic frameworks and their derivatives for electrically-transduced gas sensors. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2021, 426, 213479.

- Ramesh, S.; Lee, Y.J.; Shin, K.; Sanjeeb, L.; Karuppasamy, K.; Vikraman, D.; Kathalingam, A.; Kim, H.S.; Kim, H.S.; Kim, J.H. Effect of ruthenium oxide on the capacitance and gas—Sensing performances of cobalt oxide@ nitrogen—Doped graphene oxide composites. *Int. J. Energy Res.* 2021, 45, 19547–19559.
- 14. Dorozhkin, L.M.; Rozanov, I.A. Acoustic wave chemical sensors for gases. J. Anal. Chem. 2001, 56, 399-416.
- 15. Eom, W.; Jang, J.S.; Lee, S.H.; Lee, E.; Jeong, W.; Kim, I.D.; Choi, S.J.; Han, T.H. Effect of Metal/Metal Oxide Catalysts on Graphene Fiber for Improved NO₂ Sensing. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2021**, 344, 130231.
- 16. Liu, X.; Cheng, S.; Liu, H.; Hu, S.; Zhang, D.; Ning, H. A survey on gas sensing technology. Sensors 2012, 12, 9635–9665.
- Hooijschuur, E.W.J.; Kientz, C.E.; Udo, A. Application of microcolumn liquid chromatography and capillary electrophoresis with flame photometric detection for the screening of degradation products of chemical warfare agents in water and soil. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2001, 928, 187–199.
- 18. Eckenrode, B.A. Environmental and forensic applications of field-portable GC-MS: An overview. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2001**, *12*, 683–693.
- 19. Vermillion, W.D.; Crenshaw, M.D. In-line respeciation: An ion-exchange ion chromatographic method applied to the separation of degradation products of chemical warfare nerve agents in soil. *J. Chromatogr. A* **1997**, 770, 253–260.
- Juillet, Y.; Gibert, E.; Bégos, A.; Bellier, B. Investigation of compound-independent calibration and partial molecular formula determination by gas chromatography–atomic-emission detection for characterisation of organophosphorus and organosulfur agents related to the chemical weapons convention. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2005, 383, 848–856.
- Wang, J.; Ibáñez, A.; Chatrathi, M.P.; Escarpa, A. Electrochemical enzyme immunoassays on microchip platforms. *Anal. Chem.* 2001, 73, 5323–5327.
- 22. Kubáň, P.; Seiman, A.; Makarõtševa, N.; Vaher, M.; Kaljurand, M. In situ determination of nerve agents in various matrices by portable capillary electropherograph with contactless conductivity detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2011, *1218*, 2618–2625.
- 23. Nazemi, H.; Joseph, A.; Park, J.; Emadi, A. Advanced micro-and nano-gas sensor technology: A review. Sensors 2019, 19, 1285.
- 24. Norizan, M.N.; Zulaikha, N.S.; Norhana, A.B.; Syakir, M.I.; Norli, A. Carbon nanotubes-based sensor for ammonia gas detection–an overview. *Polimery* **2021**, *66*, 175–186.
- 25. Nurazzi, N.M.; Abdullah, N.; Demon, S.Z.; Halim, N.A.; Azmi, A.F.; Knight, V.F.; Mohamad, I.S. The frontiers of functionalized graphene-based nanocomposites as chemical sensors. *Nanotechnol. Rev.* **2021**, *10*, 330–369.
- Chava, R.K.; Cho, H.Y.; Yoon, J.M.; Yu, Y.T. Fabrication of aggregated In₂O₃ nanospheres for highly sensitive acetaldehyde gas sensors. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 772, 834–842.
- 27. Kaur, N.; Singh, M.; Comini, E. One-dimensional nanostructured oxide chemoresistive sensors. Langmuir 2020, 36, 6326–6344.
- George, J.M. A. Antony, and B. Mathew, Metal oxide nanoparticles in electrochemical sensing and biosensing: A review. *Microchim. Acta* 2018, 185, 358.
- 29. Thangamani, G.J.; Pasha, S.K.K. Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanoparticles reinforced polyvinyl formal (PVF) nanocomposites as chemiresistive gas sensor for sulfur dioxide (SO₂) monitoring. *Chemosphere* **2021**, 275, 129960.
- 30. Husain, A.; Shariq, M.U.; Mohammad, F. DC electrical conductivity and liquefied petroleum gas sensing application of polythiophene/zinc oxide nanocomposite. *Materialia* **2020**, *9*, 100599.
- Husain, A.; Al-Zahrani, S.A.; Al Otaibi, A.; Khan, I.; Mujahid Ali Khan, M.; Alosaimi, A.M.; Khan, A.; Hussein, M.A.; Asiri, A.M.; Jawaid, M. Fabrication of Reproducible and Selective Ammonia Vapor Sensor-Pellet of Polypyrrole/Cerium Oxide Nanocomposite for Prompt Detection at Room Temperature. *Polymers* 2021, 13, 1829.
- 32. Saritas, S.; Kundakci, M.; Coban, O.; Tuzemen, S.; Yildirim, M. Ni: Fe₂O₃, Mg: Fe₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃ thin films gas sensor application. *Phys. B: Condens. Matter.* **2018**, *541*, 14–18.
- 33. Gupta, P.; Kumar, K.; Pandey, N.K.; Yadav, B.C.; Saeed, S.H. Effect of annealing temperature on a highly sensitive nickel oxidebased LPG sensor operated at room temperature. *Appl. Phys. A* **2021**, 127, 289.
- 34. Husain, A.; Ahmad, S.; Mohammad, F. Electrical conductivity and alcohol sensing studies on polythiophene/tin oxide nanocomposites. J. Sci. Adv. Mater. Devices 2020, 5, 84–94.
- 35. Busacca, C.; Donato, A.; Faro, M.L.; Malara, A.; Neri, G.; Trocino, S. CO gas sensing performance of electrospun CO₃O₄ nanostructures at low operating temperature. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2020**, *303*, 127193.
- 36. Ma, Z.-H.; Yu, R.-T.; Song, J.-M. Facile synthesis of Pr-doped In₂O₃ nanoparticles and their high gas sensing performance for ethanol. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2020**, 305, 127377.
- 37. Hu, L.; Hu, P.; Chen, Y.; Lin, Z.; Qiu, C. Synthesis and gas-sensing property of highly self-assembled tungsten oxide nanosheets. *Front. Chem.* **2018**, *6*, 452.
- 38. Feng, C.; Kou, X.; Chen, B.; Qian, G.; Sun, Y.; Lu, G. One-pot synthesis of in doped NiO nanofibers and their gas sensing properties. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, 253, 584–591.
- Khan, M.; Tahir, M.N.; Adil, S.F.; Khan, H.U.; Siddiqui, M.R.; Al-warthan, A.A.; Tremel, W. Graphene based metal and metal oxide nanocomposites: Synthesis, properties and their applications. J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 18753–18808.
- 40. Zhu, N.; Liu, W.; Xue, M.; Xie, Z.; Zhao, D.; Zhang, M.; Chen, J.; Cao, T. Graphene as a conductive additive to enhance the highrate capabilities of electrospun Li4Ti5O12 for lithium-ion batteries. *Electrochim. Acta* **2010**, *55*, 5813–5818.
- Ponzoni, A.; Baratto, C.; Cattabiani, N.; Falasconi, M.; Galstyan, V.; Nunez-Carmona, E.; Rigoni, F.; Sberveglieri, V.; Zambotti, G.; Zappa, D. Metal oxide gas sensors, a survey of selectivity issues addressed at the SENSOR Lab, Brescia (Italy). Sensors 2017, 17, 714.

- 42. Xu, X.; Zhang, H.; Hu, X.; Sun, P.; Zhu, Y.; He, C.; Hou, S.; Sun, Y.; Lu, G. Hierarchical nanorod-flowers indium oxide microspheres and their gas sensing properties. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2016**, *227*, 547–553.
- 43. Saleh, T.A.; Fadillah, G. Recent trends in the design of chemical sensors based on graphene–metal oxide nanocomposites for the analysis of toxic species and biomolecules. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* **2019**, *120*, 115660.
- 44. Sivakumar, R.; Krishnamoorthi, K.; Vadivel, S.; Govindasamy, S. Progress towards a novel NO₂ gas sensor based on SnO₂/RGO hybrid sensors by a facial hydrothermal approach. *Diam. Relat. Mater.* **2021**, *116*, 108418.
- 45. Choudhari, A.; Bhanvase, B.A.; Saharan, V.K.; Salame, P.H.; Hunge, Y. Sonochemical preparation and characterization of rGO/SnO₂ nanocomposite: Electrochemical and gas sensing performance. *Ceram. Int.* **2020**, *46*, 11290–11296.
- Neri, G.; Leonardi, S.G.; Latino, M.; Donato, N.; Baek, S.; Conte, D.E.; Russo, P.A.; Pinna, N. Sensing behavior of SnO₂/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites toward NO₂. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2013, 179, 61–68.
- 47. Chava, R.K.; Oh, S.Y.; Yu, Y.T. Enhanced H₂ gas sensing properties of Au@ In 2O₃ core–shell hybrid metal–semiconductor heteronanostructures. *CrystEngComm* **2016**, *18*, 3655–3666.
- 48. Novoselov, K.S.; Geim, A.K.; Morozov, S.V.; Jiang, D.E.; Zhang, Y.; Dubonos, S.V.; Grigorieva, I.V.; Firsov, A.A. Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. *Science* **2004**, *306*, 666–669.
- 49. Sahu, S.; Sahoo, M.R.; Kushwaha, A.K.; Rout, G.C.; Nayak, S.K. Charge transfer and hybridization effect at the graphene-nickel interface: A tight binding model study. *Carbon* **2019**, *142*, 685–696.
- Schedin, F.; Geim, A.K.; Morozov, S.V.; Hill, E.W.; Blake, P.; Katsnelson, M.I.; Novoselov, K.S. Detection of individual gas molecules adsorbed on graphene. *Nat. Mater.* 2007, *6*, 652–655.
- 51. Ratinac, K.R.; Yang, W.; Ringer, S.P.; Braet, F. Toward Ubiquitous Environmental Gas Sensors-Capitalizing on the Promise of Graphene. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 1167–1176.
- Nurazzi, N.M.; Sabaruddin, F.A.; Harussani, M.M.; Kamarudin, S.H.; Rayung, M.; Asyraf, M.R.; Aisyah, H.A.; Norrrahim, M.N.; Ilyas, R.A.; Abdullah, N.; Zainudin, E.S. Mechanical Performance and Applications of CNTs Reinforced Polymer Composites – A Review. *Nanomater.* 2021, 11, 2186.
- 53. Nurazzi, N.M.; Harussani, M.M.; Demon, S.Z.; Halim, N.A.; Mohamad, I.S.; Bahruji, H.; Abdullah, N. Research Progress on Polythiophene and its Application as Chemical Sensor Zulfaqar. *J. Def. Sci. Eng. Technol.* **2022**, *5*, 48–68.
- Qureshi, T.S.; Panesar, D.K. A comparison of graphene oxide, reduced graphene oxide and pure graphene: Early age properties of cement composites. In Proceedings of the 2nd RILEM Spring Convention & International Conference on Sustainable Materials, Systems and Structures, Rovinj, Croatia, 18–22 March 2019.
- Chuah, S.; Pan, Z.; Sanjayan, J.G.; Wang, C.M.; Duan, W.H. Nano reinforced cement and concrete composites and new perspective from graphene oxide. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 2014, 73, 113–124.
- Lv, W.; Li, Z.; Deng, Y.; Yang, Q.H.; Kang, F. Graphene-based materials for electrochemical energy storage devices: Opportunities and challenges. *Energy Storage Mater.* 2016, 2, 107–138.
- 57. Zhu, Y.; Murali, S.; Cai, W.; Li, X.; Suk, J.W.; Potts, J.R.; Ruoff, R.S. Graphene and graphene oxide: Synthesis, properties, and applications. *Adv. Mater.* **2010**, *22*, 3906–3924.
- 58. Compton, O.C.; Nguyen, S.T. Graphene oxide, highly reduced graphene oxide, and graphene: Versatile building blocks for carbon-based materials. *Small* **2010**, *6*, 711–723.
- Wan, S.; Chen, Y.; Wang, Y.; Li, G.; Wang, G.; Liu, L.; Zhang, J.; Liu, Y.; Xu, Z.; Tomsia, A.P.; Jiang, L. Ultrastrong graphene films via long-chain π-bridging. *Matter* 2019, 1, 389–401.
- 60. Li, D.; Wang, T.; Li, Z.; Xu, X.; Wang, C.; Duan, Y. Application of graphene-based materials for detection of nitrate and nitrite in water—A review. *Sensors* **2019**, *20*, 54.
- 61. Booth, T.J.; Blake, P.; Nair, R.R.; Jiang, D.; Hill, E.W.; Bangert, U.; Bleloch, A.; Gass, M.; Novoselov, K.S.; Katsnelson, M.I.; Geim, A.K. Macroscopic graphene membranes and their extraordinary stiffness. *Nano Lett.* **2008**, *8*, 2442–2446.
- 62. Lin, Y.M.; Avouris, P. Strong suppression of electrical noise in bilayer graphene nanodevices. Nano Lett. 2008, 8, 2119–2125.
- Mohd Saidi, N.; Norizan, M.N.; Abdullah, N.; Janudin, N.; Kasim, N.A.; Osman, M.J.; Mohamad, I.S. Characterizations of MWCNTs Nanofluids on the Effect of Surface Oxidative Treatments. *Nanomaterials* 2022, 12, 1071.
- 64. Li, G.; Xia, Y.; Tian, Y.; Wu, Y.; Liu, J.; He, Q.; Chen, D. Recent developments on graphene-based electrochemical sensors toward nitrite. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2019**, *166*, 881.
- 65. Singh, V.; Joung, D.; Zhai, L.; Das, S.; Khondaker, S.I.; Seal, S. Graphene based materials: Past, present and future. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* 2011, *56*, 1178–1271.
- 66. Guo, L.; Hao, Y.W.; Li, P.L.; Song, J.F.; Yang, R.Z.; Fu, X.Y.; Xie, S.Y.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, Y.L. Improved NO 2 gas sensing properties of graphene oxide reduced by two-beam-laser interference. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 498.
- 67. Liu, Y.; Dong, X.; Chen, P. Biological and chemical sensors based on graphene materials. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 2283–2307.
- 68. Lu, G.; Yu, K.; Ocola, L.E.; Chen, J. Ultrafast room temperature NH3 sensing with positively gated reduced graphene oxide field-effect transistors. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 7761–7763.
- Dua, V.; Surwade, S.P.; Ammu, S.; Agnihotra, S.R.; Jain, S.; Roberts, K.E.; Park, S.; Ruoff, R.S.; Manohar, S.K. All-organic vapor sensor using inkjet-printed reduced graphene oxide. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 2154–2157.
- 70. Fowler, J.D.; Allen, M.J.; Tung, V.C.; Yang, Y.; Kaner, R.B.; Weiller, B.H. Practical chemical sensors from chemically derived graphene. *ACS Nano* **2009**, *3*, 301–306.
- 71. Lu, G.; Ocola, L.; Chen, J. Reduced graphene oxide for room-temperature gas sensors. Nanotechnology 2009, 20, 445502.

- Qian, W.; Chen, Z.; Cottingham, S.; Merrill, W.A.; Swartz, N.A.; Goforth, A.M.; Clare, T.L.; Jiao, J. Surfactant-free hybridization of transition metal oxide nanoparticles with conductive graphene for high-performance supercapacitor. *Green Chem.* 2012, 14, 371–377.
- 73. Li, Z.; Zhou, Z.; Yun, G.; Shi, K.; Lv, X.; Yang, B. High-performance solid-state supercapacitors based on graphene-ZnO hybrid nanocomposites. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2013**, *8*, 473
- 74. Jin, L.; Chen, W.; Zhang, H.; Xiao, G.; Yu, C.; Zhou, Q. Characterization of reduced graphene oxide (rGO)-loaded SnO₂ nanocomposite and applications in C2H2 gas detection. *Appl. Sci.* **2017**, *7*, 19.
- 75. Li, L.; He, S.; Liu, M.; Zhang, C.; Chen, W. Three-dimensional mesoporous graphene aerogel-supported SnO₂ nanocrystals for high-performance NO₂ gas sensing at low temperature. *Anal. Chem.* **2015**, *87*, 1638–1645.
- 76. De Silva, K.K.; Huang, H.H.; Joshi, R.K.; Yoshimura, M. Chemical reduction of graphene oxide using green reductants. *Carbon* **2017**, *119*, 190–199.
- 77. Zhang, P.; Li, Z.; Zhang, S.; Shao, G. Recent advances in effective reduction of graphene oxide for highly improved performance toward electrochemical energy storage. *Energy Environ. Mater.* **2018**, *1*, 5–12.
- 78. Pei, S.; Cheng, H.M. The reduction of graphene oxide. Carbon 2012, 50, 3210–3228.
- 79. Krishnan, A.; Dujardin, E.; Treacy, M.M.; Hugdahl, J.; Lynum, S.; Ebbesen, T.W. Graphitic cones and the nucleation of curved carbon surfaces. *Nature* **1997**, *388*, 451–454.
- 80. Luo, W.; Wang, B.; Wang, X.; Stickle, W.F.; Ji, X. Production of graphene by reduction using a magnesiothermic reaction. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 10676–10678.
- 81. Becerril, H.A.; Mao, J.; Liu, Z.; Stoltenberg, R.M.; Bao, Z.; Chen, Y. Evaluation of solution-processed reduced graphene oxide films as transparent conductors. *ACS Nano* **2008**, *2*, 463–470.
- Rabchinskii, M.K.; Shnitov, V.V.; Stolyarova, D.Y.; Ryzhkov, S.A.; Baidakova, M.V.; Lobanova, E.Y.; Shvidchenko, A.V.; Besedina, N.A.; Smirnov, D.A. Graphene oxide conversion into controllably carboxylated graphene layers via photoreduction process in the inert atmosphere. *Fuller. Nanotub. Carbon Nanostruct.* 2020, *28*, 221–225.
- 83. Zhang, Y.; Pan, A.; Liangm, S.; Chen, T.; Tang, Y.; Tan, X. Reduced graphene oxide modified V₂O₃ with enhanced performance for lithium-ion battery. *Mater. Lett.* **2014**, *137*, 174–177.
- Worsley, M.A.; Pham, T.T.; Yan, A.; Shin, S.J.; Lee, J.R.; Bagge-Hansen, M.; Mickelson, W.; Zettl, A. Synthesis and characterization of highly crystalline graphene aerogels. ACS Nano 2014, 8, 11013–11022.
- 85. Tian, Y.; Cao, Y.Y.; Pang, F.; Chen, G.Q.; Zhang, X. Ag nanoparticles supported on N-doped graphene hybrids for catalytic reduction of 4-nitrophenol. *RSC Adv*. **2014**, *4*, 43204–43211.
- 86. Williams, G.; Seger, B.; Kamat, P.V. TiO₂-graphene nanocomposites. UV-assisted photocatalytic reduction of graphene oxide. *ACS Nano* **2008**, *2*, 1487–1491.
- 87. Yang, S.; Lohe, M.R.; Müllen, K.; Feng, X. New-generation graphene from electrochemical approaches: Production and applications. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 6213–6221.
- Agharkar, M.; Kochrekar, S.; Hidouri, S.; Azeez, M.A. Trends in green reduction of graphene oxides, issues and challenges: A review. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 2014, 59, 323–328.
- 89. Sengupta, I.; Bhattacharya, P.; Talukdar, M.; Neogi, S.; Pal, S.K. Chakraborty S. Bactericidal effect of graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide: Influence of shape of bacteria. *Colloid Interface Sci. Commun.* **2019**, *28*, 60–68.
- Schwenke, A.M.; Hoeppener, S.; Schubert, U.S. Microwave synthesis of carbon nanofibers-the influence of MW irradiation power, time, and the amount of catalyst. J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 23778–23787.
- Gu, Y.; Yu, Y.; Zou, J.; Shen, T.; Xu, Q.; Yue, X.; Meng, J.; Wang, J. The ultra-rapid synthesis of rGO/g-C3N4 composite via microwave heating with enhanced photocatalytic performance. *Mater. Lett.* 2018, 232, 107–109.
- Wang, Y.; Zhang, W.; Guo, X.; Liu, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Zhang, M.; Li, R.; Peng, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, T. One-step microwave-hydrothermal preparation of NiS/rGO hybrid for high-performance symmetric solid-state supercapacitor. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2020, 514, 146080.
- 93. Chilingarov, N.S.; Knot'ko, A.V.; Skokan, E.V.; Khavrel, P.A.; Levanov, A.V.; Isaikina, O.Y.; Shulga, Y.M. Microwave exfoliated graphite oxide (MEGO) heat treatment: Transformation and stability. *Diam. Relat. Mater.* **2021**, *120*, 108654.
- Hassan, H.M.; Abdelsayed, V.; Abd El Rahman, S.K.; AbouZeid, K.M.; Terner, J.; El-Shall, M.S.; Al-Resayes, S.I.; El-Azhary, A.A. Microwave synthesis of graphene sheets supporting metal nanocrystals in aqueous and organic media. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2009, 19, 3832–3837.
- 95. Shih, K.Y.; Wei, J.J.; Tsai, M.C. One-Step Microwave-Assisted Synthesis of PtNiCo/rGO Electrocatalysts with High Electrochemical Performance for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *Nanomaterials* **2021**, *11*, 2206.
- 96. Chen, W.; Yan, L.; Bangal, P.R. Preparation of graphene by the rapid and mild thermal reduction of graphene oxide induced by microwaves. *Carbon* **2010**, *48*, 1146–1152.
- 97. Jeong, S.Y.; Kim, J.S.; Lee, J.H. Rational Design of Semiconductor-Based Chemiresistors and their Libraries for Next-Generation Artificial Olfaction. *Adv. Mater.* 2020, *32*, 2002075.
- Katz, E.; Willner, I.; Wang, J. Electroanalytical and bioelectroanalytical systems based on metal and semiconductor nanoparticles. *Electroanal. Int. J. Devoted Fundam. Pract. Asp. Electroanal.* 2004, 16, 19–44.
- 99. Vickers, N.J. Animal communication: When I'm calling you, will you answer too? Curr. Biol. 2017, 27, R713–R715.
- 100. Wang, F.; Hu, S. Electrochemical sensors based on metal and semiconductor nanoparticles. Microchim. Acta 2009, 165, 1–22.

- 101. Jiang, Y.; Virkar, A.V. Fuel composition and diluent effect on gas transport and performance of anode-supported SOFCs. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2003**, *150*, A942.
- 102. Li, Y.; Tan, B.; Wu, Y. Mesoporous Co₃O₄ nanowire arrays for lithium ion batteries with high capacity and rate capability. *Nano Lett.* **2008**, *8*, 265–270.
- 103. Cui, Y.; Wei, Q.; Park, H.; Lieber, C.M. Nanowire nanosensors for highly sensitive and selective detection of biological and chemical species. *Science* 2001, 293, 1289–1292.
- 104. Degler, D.; Weimar, U.; Barsan, N. Current understanding of the fundamental mechanisms of doped and loaded semiconducting metal-oxide-based gas sensing materials. *ACS Sens.* **2019**, *4*, 2228–2249.
- Li, Y.X.; Guo, Z.; Su, Y.; Jin, X.B.; Tang, X.H.; Huang, J.R.; Huang, X.J.; Li, M.Q.; Liu, J.H. Hierarchical morphology-dependent gas-sensing performances of three-dimensional SnO₂ nanostructures. ACS Sens. 2017, 2, 102–110.
- 106. Kim, H.J.; Lee, J.H. Highly sensitive and selective gas sensors using p-type oxide semiconductors: Overview. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, *192*, 607–627.
- Ahuja, T.; Kumar, D. Recent progress in the development of nano-structured conducting polymers/nanocomposites for sensor applications. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2009, 136, 275–286.
- 108. Baharuddin, A.A.; Ang, B.C.; Haseeb, A.S.; Wong, Y.C.; Wong, Y.H. Advances in chemiresistive sensors for acetone gas detection. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Processing* **2019**, *103*, 104616.
- 109. Hou, L.; Zhang, C.; Li, L.; Du, C.; Li, X.; Kang, X.F.; Chen, W. CO gas sensors based on p-type CuO nanotubes and CuO nanocubes: Morphology and surface structure effects on the sensing performance. *Talanta* **2018**, *188*, 41–49.
- Jaisutti, R.; Lee, M.; Kim, J.; Choi, S.; Ha, T.J.; Kim, J.; Kim, H.; Park, S.K.; Kim, Y.H. Ultrasensitive room-temperature operable gas sensors using p-type Na: ZnO nanoflowers for diabetes detection. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 8796–8804.
- 111. Miller, D.R.; Akbar, S.A.; Morris, P.A. Nanoscale metal oxide-based heterojunctions for gas sensing: A review. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, 204, 250–272.
- Bak, S.Y.; Lee, J.; Kim, Y.; Lee, S.H.; Woo, K.; Lee, S.; Yi, M. Sensitivity Improvement of Urchin-Like ZnO Nanostructures Using Two-Dimensional Electron Gas in MgZnO/ZnO. Sensors 2019, 19, 5195.
- 113. Rahman, M.M.; Alam, M.M.; Asiri, A.M.; Islam, M.A. Fabrication of selective chemical sensor with ternary ZnO/SnO₂/Yb₂O₃ nanoparticles. *Talanta* **2017**, *170*, 215–223.
- 114. Sharma, B.; Sharma, A.; Myung, J.-H. Selective ppb-level NO₂ gas sensor based on SnO₂-boron nitride nanotubes. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2021**, 331, 129464.
- 115. Castillo, C.; Cabello, G.; Chornik, B.; Huentupil, Y.; Buono-Core, G.E. Characterization of photochemically grown Pd loaded WO3 thin films and its evaluation as ammonia gas sensor. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2020**, *825*, 154166.
- 116. Wang, N.; Zhou, Y.; Chen, K.; Wang, T.; Sun, P.; Wang, C.; Chuai, X.; Zhang, S.; Liu, X.; Lu, G. Double shell Cu2O hollow microspheres as sensing material for high performance n-propanol sensor. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2021**, 333, 129540.
- 117. Mirzaei, A.; Leonardi, S.G.; Neri, G. Detection of hazardous volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by metal oxide nanostructuresbased gas sensors: A review. *Ceram. Int.* **2016**, *42*, 15119–15141.
- Raut, B.T.; Godse, P.R.; Pawar, S.G.; Chougule, M.A.; Bandgar, D.K.; Patil, V.B. Novel method for fabrication of polyaniline– CdS sensor for H2S gas detection. *Measurement* 2012, 45, 94–100.
- Chatterjee, S.G.; Chatterjee, S.; Ray, A.K.; Chakraborty, A.K. Graphene–metal oxide nanohybrids for toxic gas sensor: A review. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2015, 221, 1170–1181.
- 120. Wu, H.; Li, Q.; Bu, X.; Liu, W.; Cao, G.; Li, X.; Wang, X. Gas sensing performance of graphene-metal contact after thermal annealing. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2019**, *282*, 408–416.
- 121. Kumar, S.; Bukkitgar, S.D.; Singh, S.; Singh, V.; Reddy, K.R.; Shetti, N.P.; Venkata Reddy, C.; Sadhu, V.; Naveen, S. Electrochemical sensors and biosensors based on graphene functionalized with metal oxide nanostructures for healthcare applications. *Chem. Sel.* 2019, 4, 5322–5337.
- 122. Joshi, N.; Hayasaka, T.; Liu, Y.; Liu, H.; Oliveira, O.N.; Lin, L. A review on chemiresistive room temperature gas sensors based on metal oxide nanostructures, graphene and 2D transition metal dichalcogenides. *Microchim. Acta* **2018**, *185*, 213.
- Patil, P.; Gaikwad, G.; Patil, D.R.; Naik, J. Synthesis of 1-D ZnO nanorods and polypyrrole/1-D ZnO nanocomposites for photocatalysis and gas sensor applications. *Bull. Mater. Sci.* 2016, 39, 655–665.
- 124. Miller, T.A.; Bakrania, S.D.; Perez, C.; Wooldridge, M.S. Nanostructured tin dioxide materials for gas sensor applications. *Funct. Nanomater.* **2006**, *30*, 453–476.
- 125. Jeevitha, G.; Abhinayaa, R.; Mangalaraj, D.; Ponpandian, N.; Meena, P.; Mounasamy, V.; Madanagurusamy, S. Porous reduced graphene oxide (rGO)/WO₃ nanocomposites for the enhanced detection of NH 3 at room temperature. *Nanoscale Adv.* 2019, 1, 1799–1811.
- 126. Hartwig, M.; Zichner, R.; Joseph, Y. Inkjet-printed wireless chemiresistive sensors A review. Chemosensors 2018, 6, 66.
- 127. Padvi, M.N.; Moholkar, A.V.; Prasad, S.R.; Prasad, N.R. A Critical Review on Design and Development of Gas Sensing Materials. *Eng. Sci.* 2021, 15, 20–37.
- 128. Kanaparthi, S.Sing; h, S.G. MoS² Chemiresistive Sensor Array on Paper Patterned with Toner Lithography for Simultaneous Detection of NH3 and H2S Gases. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2021**, *9*, 14735–14743.
- 129. Rathi, K.; Pal, K. Fabrication of MoSe2–Graphene Hybrid Nanoflakes for Toxic Gas Sensor with Tunable Sensitivity. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *7*, 2000140.

- Gaur, R.; Padhy, H.M.; Elayaperumal, M. Surface plasmon assisted toxic chemical NO₂ gas sensor by Au/ ZnO functional thin films. J. Sens. Sens. Syst. 2021, 10, 163–169.
- Kwon, N.; Hu, Y.; Yoon, J. Fluorescent chemosensors for various analytes including reactive oxygen species, biothiol, metal ions, and toxic gases. ACS Omega 2018, 3, 13731–13751.
- 132. Cao, Z.; Gao, Q.; Zhou, M.; Li, X.; Wang, Q. LaNiTiO3-SE-based stabilized zirconium oxide mixed potentiometric SO₂ gas sensor. *Ceram. Int.* **2021**, *48*, 9269–9276.
- 133. Sherbow, T.J.; Kuhl, G.M.; Lindquist, G.A.; Levine, J.D.; Pluth, M.D.; Johnson, D.W.; Fontenot, S.A. Hydrosulfide-selective ChemFETs for aqueous H2S/HS- measurement. *Sens. Bio-Sens. Res.* **2021**, *31*, 100394.
- 134. Ali, I.M.; Shano, A.M.; Bakr, N.A. Bakr, H 2 S gas sensitivity of PAni nano fibers synthesized by hydrothermal method. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2018**, *29*, 11208–11214.
- 135. Yang, S.; Zhang, J. Current Progress of Magnetoresistance Sensors. Chemosensors 2021, 9, 211.
- 136. Wang, J.; Karnaushenko, D.; Medina-Sánchez, M.; Yin, Y.; Ma, L.; Schmidt, O.G. Three-dimensional microtubular devices for lab-on-a-chip sensing applications. *ACS Sens.* **2019**, *4*, 1476–1496.
- 137. Xu, J.; Setiono, A.; Peiner, E. Piezoresistive Microcantilever with SAM-Modified ZnO-Nanorods@ Silicon-Nanopillars for Room-Temperature Parts-per-Billion NO₂ Detection. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* **2020**, *3*, 6609–6620.
- 138. Zhang, F.; Ma, P.C.; Wang, J.; Zhang, Q.; Feng, W.; Zhu, Y.; Zheng, Q. Anisotropic conductive networks for multidimensional sensing. *Mater. Horiz.* 2021, *8*, 2615–2653.
- 139. Toda, M.; Moorthi, K.; Hokama, T.; Wang, Z.; Yamazaki, M.; Ono, T. Miniature piezoresistive sensor for detecting volatile organic components. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2021**, 333, 129524.
- 140. Zhang, X.; Wang, W.; Zhang, D.; Mi, Q.; Yu, S. Self-powered ethanol gas sensor based on the piezoelectric Ag/ZnO nanowire arrays at room temperature. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2021**, *32*, 7739–7750.
- Vahidpour, F.; Oberländer, J.; Schöning, M.J. Flexible Calorimetric Gas Sensors for Detection of a Broad Concentration Range of Gaseous Hydrogen Peroxide: A Step Forward to Online Monitoring of Food-Package Sterilization Processes. *Phys. Status Solidi* 2018, 215, 1800044.
- 142. Lee, H.Y.; Heish, Y.C.; Lee, C.T. High sensitivity detection of nitrogen oxide gas at room temperature using zinc oxide-reduced graphene oxide sensing membrane. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2019**, *773*, 950–954.
- 143. Wang, Z.; Jia, Z.; Li, Q.; Zhang, X.; Sun, W.; Sun, J.; Liu, B.; Ha, B. The enhanced NO₂ sensing properties of SnO₂ nanoparticles/reduced graphene oxide composite. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2019**, *537*, 228–237.
- 144. Kim, H.; Yang, D.S.; Um, J.H.; Balasubramanian, M.; Yoo, J.; Kim, H.; Park, S.B.; Kim, J.M.; Yoon, W.S. Comparative study of bulk and nano-structured mesoporous SnO₂ electrodes on the electrochemical performances for next generation Li rechargeable batteries. J. Power Sources 2019, 413, 241–249.
- 145. Wang, D.; Jin, J.; Xia, D.; Ye, Q.; Long, J. The effect of oxygen vacancies concentration to the gas-sensing properties of tin dioxidedoped Sm. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2000, *66*, 260–262.
- Kumar, P.V.; Bernardi, M.; Grossman, J.C. The impact of functionalization on the stability, work function, and photoluminescence of reduced graphene oxide. ACS Nano 2013, 7, 1638–1645.
- 147. Nguyet, Q.T.; Van Duy, N.; Phuong, N.T.; Trung, N.N.; Hung, C.M.; Hoa, N.D.; Van Hieu, N. Superior enhancement of NO² gas response using npn transition of carbon nanotubes/SnO² nanowires heterojunctions. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2017, 238, 1120–1127.
- 148. Li, Z.; Liu, Y.; Guo, D.; Guo, J.; Su, Y. Room-temperature synthesis of CuO/reduced graphene oxide nanohybrids for highperformance NO₂ gas sensor. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2018**, 271, 306–310.
- 149. Das, A.; Venkataramana, B.; Partheephan, D.; Prasad, A.K.; Dhara, S.; Tyagi, A.K. Facile synthesis of nanostructured CuO for low temperature NO₂ sensing. *Phys. E Low-Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct.* **2013**, *54*, 40–44.
- 150. Haridas, V.; Sukhananazerin, A.; Sneha, J.M.; Pullithadathil, B.; Narayanan, B. α-Fe₂O₃ loaded less-defective graphene sheets as chemiresistive gas sensor for selective sensing of NH3. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2020**, *517*, 146158.
- Li, H.Y.; Lee, C.S.; Kim, D.H.; Lee, J.H. Flexible room-temperature NH3 sensor for ultrasensitive, selective, and humidity-independent gas detection. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 27858–27867.
- 152. Tian, Z.; Song, P.; Yang, Z.; Wang, Q. Reduced graphene oxide-porous In₂O₃ nanocubes hybrid nanocomposites for room-temperature NH3 sensing. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *31*, 2067–2070.
- 153. Tricoli, A.; Righettoni, M.; Teleki, A. Semiconductor gas sensors: Dry synthesis and application. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 7632–7659.
- 154. Zhou, X.; Cheng, X.; Zhu, Y.; Elzatahry, A.A.; Alghamdi, A.; Deng, Y.; Zhao, D. Ordered porous metal oxide semiconductors for gas sensing. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *29*, 405–416.
- 155. Andre, R.S.; Mercante, L.A.; Facure, M.H.; Mattoso, L.H.; Correa, D.S. Enhanced and selective ammonia detection using In₂O₃/reduced graphene oxide hybrid nanofibers. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2019**, 473, 133–140.
- Zhang, X.J.; Qiao, G.J. High performance ethanol sensing films fabricated from ZnO and In₂O₃ nanofibers with a double-layer structure. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2012, 258, 6643–6647.
- 157. Korotcenkov, G.; Boris, I.; Cornet, A.; Rodriguez, J.; Cirera, A.; Golovanov, V.; Lychkovsky, Y.; Karkotsky, G. The influence of additives on gas sensing and structural properties of In₂O₃-based ceramics. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2007**, *120*, 657–664.

- Li, C.; Feng, C.; Qu, F.; Liu, J.; Zhu, L.; Lin, Y.; Wang, Y.; Li, F.; Zhou, J.; Ruan, S. Electrospun nanofibers of p-type NiO/n-type ZnO heterojunction with different NiO content and its influence on trimethylamine sensing properties. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2015, 207, 90–96.
- 159. Chen, T.Y.; Chen, H.I.; Hsu, C.S.; Huang, C.C.; Wu, J.S.; Chou, P.C.; Liu, W.C. Characteristics of ZnO nanorods-based ammonia gas sensors with a cross-linked configuration. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2015**, *221*, 491–498.
- 160. Xu, K.; Li, N.; Zeng, D.; Tian, S.; Zhang, S.; Hu, D.; Xie, C. Interface bonds determined gas-sensing of SnO₂–SnS₂ hybrids to ammonia at room temperature. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 11359–11368.
- Zhang, D.; Jiang, C.; Li, P.; Sun, Y.E. Layer-by-layer self-assembly of Co₃O₄ nanorod-decorated MoS2 nanosheet-based nanocomposite toward high-performance ammonia detection. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 6462–6471.
- 162. Zhang, D.; Liu, J.; Chang, H.; Liu, A.; Xia, B. Characterization of a hybrid composite of SnO 2 nanocrystal-decorated reduced graphene oxide for ppm-level ethanol gas sensing application. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 18666–18672.
- 163. Wang, T.; Sun, Z.; Huang, D.; Yang, Z.; Ji, Q.; Hu, N.; Yin, G.; He, D.; Wei, H.; Zhang, Y. Studies on NH3 gas sensing by zinc oxide nanowire-reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, 252, 284–294.
- 164. Zhou, X.; Wang, X.; Wang, B.; Chen, Z.; He, C.; Wu, Y. Preparation, characterization and NH3-sensing properties of reduced graphene oxide/copper phthalocyanine hybrid material. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, *193*, 340–348.
- Wei, A.; Wang, Z.; Pan, L.H.; Li, W.W.; Xiong, L.; Dong, X.C.; Huang, W. Room-temperature NH3 gas sensor based on hydrothermally grown ZnO nanorods. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* 2011, 28, 080702.
- 166. Lin, C.W.; Liu, B.C. Effect of N2 flow during deposition on p-type ZnO film. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 2016, 56, 01AB03.
- Rakhshani, A.E. Characterization and device applications of p-type ZnO films prepared by thermal oxidation of sputter-deposited zinc oxynitride. J. Alloys Compd. 2017, 695, 124–132.
- 168. Snigurenko, D.; Guziewicz, E.; Krajewski, T.A.; Jakiela, R.; Syryanyy, Y.; Kopalko, K.; Paszkowicz, W. N and Al co-doping as a way to p-type ZnO without post-growth annealing. *Mater. Res. Express* 2016, *3*, 125907.
- 169. Gu, H.; Wang, Z.; Hu, Y. Hydrogen gas sensors based on semiconductor oxide nanostructures. Sensors 2012, 12, 5517–5550.
- 170. Yea, B.; Osaki, T.; Sugahara, K.; Konishi, R. The concentration-estimation of inflammable gases with a semiconductor gas sensor utilizing neural networks and fuzzy inference. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **1997**, *41*, 121–129.
- 171. Bhati, V.S.; Ranwa, S.; Rajamani, S.; Kumari, K.; Raliya, R.; Biswas, P.; Kumar, M. Improved sensitivity with low limit of detection of a hydrogen gas sensor based on rGO-loaded Ni-doped ZnO nanostructures. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 11116– 11124.
- Esfandiar, A.; Irajizad, A.; Akhavan, O.; Ghasemi, S.; Gholami, M.R. Pd–WO3/reduced graphene oxide hierarchical nanostructures as efficient hydrogen gas sensors. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2014, 39, 8169–8179.
- 173. Wu, J.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, C.; Yu, H.; Huang, L.; Dong, X.; Wang, J.; Wang, X. Extremely sensitive and accurate H 2 S sensor at room temperature fabricated with In-doped Co₃O₄ porous nanosheets. *Dalton Trans.* **2019**, *48*, 7720–7727.
- 174. Liu, W.; Wu, J.; Yang, Y.; Yu, H.; Dong, X.; Wang, X.; Liu, Z.; Wang, T.; Zhao, B. Facile synthesis of three-dimensional hierarchical NiO microflowers for efficient room temperature H 2 S gas sensor. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2018**, *29*, 4624–4631.
- 175. Zhang, C.; Zhang, S.; Yang, Y.; Yu, H.; Dong, X. Highly sensitive H2S sensors based on metal-organic framework driven γ-Fe₂O₃ on reduced graphene oxide composites at room temperature. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2020**, 325, 128804.
- Skumryev, V.; Stoyanov, S.; Zhang, Y.; Hadjipanayis, G.; Givord, D.; Nogués, J. Beating the superparamagnetic limit with exchange bias. *Nature* 2003, 423, 850–853.
- 177. Wei, X.; Xie, T.; Peng, L.; Fu, W.; Chen, J.; Gao, Q.; Hong, G.; Wang, D. Effect of heterojunction on the behavior of photogenerated charges in Fe₃O₄@ Fe₂O₃ nanoparticle photocatalysts. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 8637–8642.
- 178. Sygellou, L.; Paterakis, G.; Galiotis, C.; Tasis, D. Work function tuning of reduced graphene oxide thin films. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 281–290.
- 179. Song, H.; Xia, L.; Jia, X.; Yang, W. Polyhedral α-Fe₂O₃ crystals[@] RGO nanocomposites: Synthesis, characterization, and application in gas sensing. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2018**, 732, 191–200.
- 180. Korotcenkov, G. Handbook of gas sensor materials. In Conventional Approaches; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2013; Volume 1.
- 181. Amarnath, M.; Gurunathan, K. Highly selective CO₂ gas sensor using stabilized NiO-In₂O₃ nanospheres coated reduced graphene oxide sensing electrodes at room temperature. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2021**, *857*, 157584.
- Lee, Z.Y.; Hawari, H.F.; Djaswadi, G.W.; Kamarudin, K. A Highly Sensitive Room Temperature CO₂ Gas Sensor Based on SnO₂rGO Hybrid Composite. *Materials* 2021, 14, 522.
- Queraltó, A.; Graf, D.; Frohnhoven, R.; Fischer, T.; Vanrompay, H.; Bals, S.; Bartasyte, A.; Mathur, S. LaFeO3 nanofibers for high detection of sulfur-containing gases. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2019, 7, 6023–6032.
- 184. Wigenstam, E.; Elfsmark, L.; Bucht, A.; Jonasson, S. Inhaled sulfur dioxide causes pulmonary and systemic inflammation leading to fibrotic respiratory disease in a rat model of chemical-induced lung injury. *Toxicology* **2016**, *368*, 28–36.
- Su, P.G.; Zheng, Y.L. Room-temperature ppb-level SO₂ gas sensors based on RGO/WO3 and MWCNTs/WO3 nanocomposites. *Anal. Methods* 2021, 13, 782–788.
- Tyagi, P.; Sharma, A.; Tomar, M.; Gupta, V. A comparative study of RGO-SnO₂ and MWCNT-SnO₂ nanocomposites based SO₂ gas sensors. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2017, 248, 980–986.
- Li, J.; Liu, X.; Sun, J. One step solvothermal synthesis of urchin-like ZnO nanorods/graphene hollow spheres and their NO₂ gas sensing properties. *Ceram. Int.* 2016, 42, 2085–2090.

- Shafiei, M.; Bradford, J.; Khan, H.; Piloto, C.; Wlodarski, W.; Li, Y.; Motta, N. Low-operating temperature NO₂ gas sensors based on hybrid two-dimensional SnS2-reduced graphene oxide. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2018, *462*, 330–336.
- Wang, Z.; Zhang, T.; Zhao, C.; Han, T.; Fei, T.; Liu, S.; Lu, G. Rational synthesis of molybdenum disulfide nanoparticles decorated reduced graphene oxide hybrids and their application for high-performance NO₂ sensing. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2018, 260, 508–518.
- 190. Liu, S.; Yu, B.; Zhang, H.; Fei, T.; Zhang, T. Enhancing NO₂ gas sensing performances at room temperature based on reduced graphene oxide-ZnO nanoparticles hybrids. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2014**, *202*, 272–278.
- 191. Wang, Z.; Gao, S.; Fei, T.; Liu, S.; Zhang, T. Construction of ZnO/SnO₂ heterostructure on reduced graphene oxide for enhanced nitrogen dioxide sensitive performances at room temperature. *ACS Sens.* **2019**, *4*, 2048–2057.
- 192. Chen, Y.; Zhang, W.; Wu, Q. A highly sensitive room-temperature sensing material for NH3: SnO₂-nanorods coupled by rGO. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, 242, 1216–1226.
- 193. Lin, Q.; Li, Y.; Yang, M. Tin oxide/graphene composite fabricated via a hydrothermal method for gas sensors working at room temperature. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2012**, *173*, 139–147.
- Srirattanapibul, S.; Nakarungsee, P.; Issro, C.; Tang, I.M.; Thongmee, S. Enhanced room temperature NH3 sensing of rGO/Co₃O₄ nanocomposites. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2021, 272, 125033.
- 195. Wen, Z.; Zhu, L.; Mei, W.; Hu, L.; Li, Y.; Sun, L.; Cai, H.; Ye, Z. Rhombus-shaped Co₃O₄ nanorod arrays for high-performance gas sensor. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2013**, *186*, 172–179.
- 196. Meng, H.; Yang, W.; Ding, K.; Feng, L.; Guan, Y. Cu2O nanorods modified by reduced graphene oxide for NH 3 sensing at room temperature. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2015, *3*, 1174–1181.
- 197. Su, P.-G.; Chen, F.-Y.; Wei, C.-H. Simple one-pot polyol synthesis of Pd nanoparticles, TiO₂ microrods and reduced graphene oxide ternary composite for sensing NH3 gas at room temperature. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2018**, 254, 1125–1132.
- 198. Kampara, R.K.; Rai, P.K.; Jeyaprakash, B.G. Highly sensitive graphene oxide functionalized ZnO nanowires for ammonia vapour detection at ambient temperature. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2018**, 255, 1064–1071.
- 199. Dutta, D.; Hazra, S.K.; Das, J.; Sarkar, C.K.; Basu, S. Studies on p-TiO₂/n-graphene heterojunction for hydrogen detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2015**, 212, 84–92.
- Chen, M.; Zou, L.; Zhang, Z.; Shen, J.; Li, D.; Zong, Q.; Gao, G.; Wu, G.; Zhang, Z. Tandem gasochromic-Pd-WO3/graphene/Si device for room-temperature high-performance optoelectronic hydrogen sensors. *Carbon* 2018, 130, 281–287.
- 201. Fardindoost, S.; Zad, A.I.; Hosseini, Z.S.; Hatamie, S. Detecting hydrogen using graphene quantum dots/WO3 thin films. *Mater. Res. Express* **2016**, *3*, 116407.
- Munasinghe, M.A.; Comini, E.; Zappa, D.; Poli, N.; Sberveglieri, G. Low temperature gas sensing properties of Graphene Oxide/SnO₂ nanowires composite for H2. *Procedia Eng.* 2016, 168, 305–308.
- 203. Song, Z.; Wei, Z.; Wang, B.; Luo, Z.; Xu, S.; Zhang, W.; Yu, H.; Li, M.; Huang, Z.; Zang, J.; Yi, F. Sensitive room-temperature H2S gas sensors employing SnO₂ quantum wire/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 1205–1212.
- 204. Cuong, T.V.; Pham, V.H.; Chung, J.S.; Shin, E.W.; Yoo, D.H.; Hahn, S.H.; Huh, J.S.; Rue, G.H.; Kim, E.J.; Hur, S.H.; Kohl, P.A. Solution-processed ZnO-chemically converted graphene gas sensor. *Mater. Lett.* **2010**, *64*, 2479–2482.
- 205. Van Hoang, N.; Hung, C.M.; Hoa, N.D.; Van Duy, N.; Van Toan, N.; Hong, H.S.; Van, P.T.; Son, N.T.; Yoon, S.G.; Van Hieu, N. Enhanced H2S gas-sensing performance of α-Fe₂O₃ nanofibers by optimizing process conditions and loading with reduced graphene oxide. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2020**, *826*, 154169.
- Zhou, Y.; Wang, Y.; Guo, Y. Cuprous oxide nanowires/nanoparticles decorated on reduced graphene oxide nanosheets: Sensitive and selective H2S detection at low temperature. *Mater. Lett.* 2019, 254, 336–339.
- 207. Shi, J.; Cheng, Z.; Gao, L.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, J.; Zhao, H. Facile synthesis of reduced graphene oxide/hexagonal WO3 nanosheets composites with enhanced H2S sensing properties. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2016, 230, 736–745.
- Wu, J.; Yang, Y.; Yu, H.; Dong, X.; Wang, T. Ultra-efficient room-temperature H 2 S gas sensor based on NiCO₂O4/r-GO nanocomposites. *New J. Chem.* 2019, 43, 10501–10508.
- Nemade, K.R.; Waghuley, S.A. Role of defects concentration on optical and carbon dioxide gas sensing properties of Sb₂O₃/graphene composites. Opt. Mater. 2014, 36, 712–716.
- Nemade, K.R.; Waghuley, S.A. Highly responsive carbon dioxide sensing by graphene/Al₂O₃ quantum dots composites at low operable temperature. *Indian J. Phys.* 2014, 88, 577–583.
- Zhang, D.; Liu, J.; Jiang, C.; Li, P. High-performance sulfur dioxide sensing properties of layer-by-layer self-assembled titaniamodified graphene hybrid nanocomposite. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2017, 245, 560–567.