

INTEGRATING THE EXTENDED TPB MODEL WITH TASK TECHNOLOGY FIT TO ENHANCE STUDENT ENTREPRENEURSHIP INTENTION



DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

2024



Fakulti Pengurusan Teknologi dan Teknousahawan



Doctor of Philosophy

2024

INTEGRATING THE EXTENDED TPB MODEL WITH TASK TECHNOLOGY FIT TO ENHANCE STUDENT ENTREPRENEURSHIP INTENTION

RUSTIANA



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2024

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled "Integrating the extended TPB model with task technology fit to enhance student entrepreneurship intention" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.



APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.



DEDICATION

This thesis I dedicated to my God Yesus Christ, my beloved husband, my children, and in memoriam my parent



ABSTRACT

In recent years, entrepreneurship takes a vital role in economic growth. The essential impact of entrepreneurship is as a driver of global economies. To prepare and foster students' entrepreneurial abilities, higher education develops the potential of students as nascent entrepreneurs with entrepreneurial knowledge, competencies, and skills that would enable them to develop a strong entrepreneurial mindset. Entrepreneurship education provides entrepreneurial knowledge for students who are interested be to an entrepreneur. Entrepreneurship knowledge and task technology fit as a variable moderating, which will change the students' mindset from job seekers to job creators. Thus student's entrepreneurship intention is enhancing. This study aims to integrate the expansion of the Theory of Planned Behavior(TPB) model with the addition of four variables in the pedagogical aspects namely Entrepreneurship Education (EE), Entrepreneurship Knowledge (EK), Entrepreneurship Mindset (EM), and Task Technology Fit (TTF). This model is used to enhance the students' entrepreneurship intention. The respondents were 574 students from Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) and Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta (UAJY). The samples were selected by using the solving method universities. Data collection was obtained by distributing online closed questionnaires via Microsoft Forms. The questionnaire had statements related to variables on the expansion of TPB and TTF. There were eight hypotheses tested by Structural Equotion Model (SEM) with the Warp Partial Least Squares (PLS) statistical tool. All hypotheses were supported, except for the H3b, H3d, and H8d hypotheses were not supported. The study contributes to expanding the body of knowledge by providing a new framework that integrates the expansion of the TPB model (adding four variables, namely entrepreneurship education (EE), entrepreneurship knowledge (EK), entrepreneurship mindset (EM)), and tasks technology fit (TTF)) to enhance students entrepreneurship intentions. Secondly, to provide modification of measurement of the variables for entrepreneurship education, entrepreneurship knowledge, and entrepreneurial mindset.

MENGINTEGRASI MODEL TPB LANJUTAN DENGAN TUGAS KESESUAIAN TEKNOLOGI UNTUK MENINGKATKAN HASRAT KEUSAHAWANAN PELAJAR

ABSTRAK

Dalam beberapa tahun kebelakangan ini, keusahawanan berperanan penting dalam pertumbuhan ekonomi. Kesan penting keusahawanan adalah sebagai pemacu ekonomi global. Untuk mempersiapkan dan memupuk kemampuan keusahawanan pelajar, pendidikan tinggi mengembangkan potensi pelajar sebagai usahawan baru dengan pengetahuan, kecekapan, dan kemahiran keusahawanan yang akan membolehkan mereka mengembangkan pemikiran keusahawanan yang kuat. Pendidikan keusahawanan memberikan pengetahuan keusahawanan kepada pelajar yang berminat untuk memilih kerjaya sebagai usahawan. Melalui pengetahuan keusahawanan dan teknologi tugas sesuai sebagai penyederhanaan pemboleh ubah, akan mengubah pemikiran pelajar dari pencari kerja menjadi pencipta pekerjaan. Oleh itu niat keusahawanan pelajar semakin meningkat. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengintegrasikan pengembangan model Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) dengan penambahan empat pemboleh ubah dalam aspek pedagogi iaitu Pendidikan Keusahawanan (EE), Pengetahuan Keusahawanan (EK), Mindset Keusahawanan (EM), dan Teknologi Tugas Sesuai (TTF). Model ini digunakan untuk meningkatkan niat keusahawanan pelajar. Responden terdiri daripada 574 pelajar dari kedua-dua universiti, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dan Universiti Atma Jaya Yogyakarta (UAJY). Sampel dipilih dengan menggunakan kaedah penyelesaian universiti. Pengumpulan data diperolehi dengan menyebarkan borang soal selidik tertutup dalam talian melalui Microsoft Forms. Soal selidik tersebut mengandungi pernyataan yang berkaitan dengan pemboleh ubah mengenai pengembangan TPB dan TTF. Hipotesis diuji dengan menggunakan Structural Equotion Model (SEM) dengan alatan statistik Wrap Partial Least Squares (PLS). Semua hipotesis disokong, kecuali hipotesis H3b, H3d, dan H8d tidak disokong. Kajian ini menyumbang untuk memperluas pengetahuan dengan menyediakan kerangka baru yang mengintegrasikan pengembangan model TPB (dengan menambahkan empat pemboleh ubah, yaitu Pendidikan Keusahawanan (EE), Pengetahuan Keusahawanan (EK), Pola Pikir Keusahawanan (EM)), dan Teknologi Tugas Sesuai (TTF) untuk meningkatkan niat keusahawanan pelajar. Kedua, untuk memberikan modifikasi pengukuran pemboleh ubah untuk pendidikan keusahawanan, pengetahuan keusahawanan, dan pemikiran keusahawanan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Yesus Christ, my God, and my Savior

First and foremost, I would like to thank and praise my God Almighty, my Creator, my Savior, for everything I received in every step of my life. I would like to extend my appreciation to the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for providing the philosophical doctoral research platform. Not forgotten, thank you to my university Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta (UAJY) and Yayasan Slamet Riyadi for the scholarship by supporting and giving me the opportunity to take a doctoral program.

My utmost appreciation goes to my main supervisor, Associate Professor Gs. Ts. Dr. Othman bin Mohd, UTeM for all the support, advice and inspiration. His constant patience for guiding and providing priceless insights will forever be remembered. Also, to my cosupervisor, Dr. Norhidayah binti Mohamad, UTeM who constantly supported my journey. My special thanks go to Panel Team: Prof.Dr. Shellyana Djunedi (in memoriam) and Prof. Ir. Suyoto, M.Sc., Ph.D (both from UAJY); Alfian Krinanasarani (Rumah BUMN-BRI Yogyakarta), and Harto Sujono, SE., Psi., M.Psi (Universitas Sarjana Wiyata).

Last but not least, from the bottom of my heart a gratitude to my beloved husband, Eddy S for this encouragements and who have been the pillar of strength in all my endeavors. I would also like to thank my lovely children (Edwin, Edbert and Nadia) and my parents (in memoriam) for their endless support, love and prayers. Finally, thank you to entrepreneurship lecturer (at UAJY and UTeM) and all the individual(s) who had provided me the assistance, support and inspiration to embark on my study.

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

16

DECLARATION	
APPROVAL	
DEDICATION	
	;
ABSIRACI	1
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
	v111
LIST OF FIGURES	X1
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xvii
اويوم سيني بيڪيڪل مليسيا ملات	
	1
1. INTRODUCIERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research problem	3
1.3 Research question	/ 0
1.4 Research coope	0 10
1.6 General research methodology	10
1.7 Research contribution	10
1.7.1 The new model to enhancing entrepreneurship	12
1.7.2 In body of knowledge	12
1.7.3 In methodology	13
1.7.4 In practice	13
1.8 Thesis structure organization	13
1.9 Summary	14

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

iv

	2.1	I Introduction 10				
	2.2	The key concepts	16			
		2.2.1 Definitions of entrepreneur and entrepreneurship	16			
		2.2.2 Entrepreneurship education concept	19			
		2.2.2.1 Entrepreneurship education (EE) definition	19			
		2.2.2.2 Competency level and objective of entrepreneurship				
		education	21			
		2.2.2.3 Entrepreneurship educational model	24			
		2.2.2.4 The core content of entrepreneurship education	36			
		2.2.2.5 Pedagogical approach to entrepreneurship education	37			
		2.2.3 Entrepreneurship knowledge concept	38			
		2.2.3.1 The definition of entrepreneurship knowledge	38			
		2.2.3.2 Content of knowledge entrepreneurial	39			
		2.2.4 Entrepreneurial mindset concept	41			
	2.3	Theory of planned behavior (TPB) and the extention of TPB model	48			
		2.3.1 Theory of planned behavior (TPB)	49			
		2.3.2 The extentions of TPB model	50			
		2.3.2.1 Model 1: TPB and entrepreneurship education	50			
		2.3.2.2 Model 2: TPB and entrepreneurship knowledge	56			
		2.3.2.3 Model 3: TPB and entrepreneurial mindset	60			
	2.4	Theory of task technology fit	63			
		2.4.1 The relationship between technology and entrepreneurial	64			
		2.4.2 Task technology fit and TPB	65			
	2.5	The comparative study and the proposed research model	69			
	2.6	Hypothesis development	74			
		2.6.1 Model 1: The effect three antecedent variable on EI				
		in TPB (Ajzen model)	74			
		2.6.2 The model 2: The relationship between TPB and EE	76			
		2.6.3 The model 3: The relationship between TPB and EK	78			
		2.6.4 The model 4: The relationship between TPB and EM	79			
		2.6.5 The relationship among EE, EK and EM (model 5)	81			
		2.6.5.1 The relationship between EE and EK	82			
		2.6.5.2 The relationship between EE and EM	85			
		2.6.5.3 The relationship between EK and EM	88			
		2.6.6 The integration of TTF with the extended of TPB (model 6)	89			
	2.7	Summary	94			
]	MET	THODO LOGY	96			
	3.1	Introduction	96			
	3.2	Research design	97			
	3.3	Research steps	103			
		3.3.1 Identify a broad problem area	105			
		3.3.2 Define the problem statement	105			
		-				

3.

		3.3.3 Theoretical framework and hypotheses development	105
		3.3.4 Data collection	106
		3.3.5 Determine measures	106
		3.3.6 Data analysis	107
		3.3.7 Interpretation data	107
	3.4	Elements of research design	108
	3.5	Data collection method and sampling	110
	3.6	Measurement and measure	112
		3.6.1 Literature review or interview with expert	113
		3.6.2 Theoretical or face validation	126
		3.6.3 Semantic validation or validation with possible respondents	137
		3.6.4 Statistical (empirical) validation	138
	3.7	Analysis of measurement model and structural model using PLS - SEM	139
		3.7.1 Model specification	140
		3.7.2 Inner model (structural model)	142
		3.7.3 Outer model (measurement model)	143
	3.8	Pilot study analysis using PLS-SEM	146
	3.9	Summary	153
4.	RES	SULT AND DISCUSSION	154
	4.1	Introduction	154
	4.2	Respondent and WarpPLS - test of model fit	154
		4.2.1 Respondent	154
		4.2.2 WarpPLS - test of model fit	156
	4.3	First step: Model specification	157
	4.4	Second step: Reflective measurement model (outer model)	158
	4.5	Third step: Structural model – (inner model)	166
		4.5.1 Model 1: The effect three antecedent variables on EI	
		(TPB model)	168
		4.5.2 Sub model 2: The relationship between TPB and EE	177
		4.5.3 Sub model 3: The relationship berween TPB and EK	184
		4.5.4 Sub model 4: The relationship between TPB and EM	190
		4.5.5 Sub Model 5: The relationship among EE, EK and EM	194
		4.5.5.1 Discussion of H5 testing: EE effect on EI	194
		4.5.5.2 Discussion of H6 testing: EM effect on EI	198
		4.5.5.3 Discussion of H7 testing: EK effect on EM	199
		4.5.6 Sub-Model 6: Integrating TTF into extended TPB model	200
		4.5.6.1 Discussion of H8a – TTF moderated between EE and EI	202
		4.5.6.2 Discussion of H8b – TTF moderated between EK and EI	204
		4.5.6.3 Discussion of H8b – TTF moderated between EM and EI	206
	4.6	Summary	208

5.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	209
	5.1 Summary	209
	5.2 Research objective achievement	209
	5.3 Limitations	213
	5.4 The Contributions	213
	5.4.1 Contribution to theory	214
	5.4.2 Contribution to practical implication	214
	5.5 The recommenations for future research	215
RE	FERENCES	216
AP	PPENDIX	240



LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE			
1.1	Previous researchers of TPB model with complete three antecedent	3		
1.2	Summary of the research problems	7		
1.3	Summary of research questions	8		
1.4	Summary of research problems, research questions			
	and research objectives	9		
2.1	The definitions of entrepreneurship education from previous research	20		
2.2	Competency level and objectives	22		
2.3	Evolution pedagogy building block based on Hagg and Gabrielsons'			
	framework	27		
2.4	اونيوس سيني تيڪنيڪThe EE instructor's role	30		
2.5	Comparison of traditional and alternative teaching approach	32		
2.6	Comparison two approaches of entrepreneurship education	33		
2.7	Summarized of diferrent model of entrepreneurship education	34		
2.8	The content of entrepreneurship knowledge	40		
2.9	Previous literatures of entrepreneurial mindset characteristics	42		
2.10	The dimension of entrepreneurship eduation in previous researchs	53		
2.11	The dimensions of entrepreneurship knowledge in previous researchs	57		
2.12	Examples of activities and technologies supporting the process			
	and methods	68		

2.13	The previous researchs for TPB model and modification	71
3.1	Three types of research design	98
3.2	Elements of research design	110
3.3	Literature review organization and scale development support	115
3.4	Expert team and expertise area	127
3.5	An example of layout for reviewing domain and items	128
3.6	Result of content validity from expert team	130
3.7	Research model fit indicators	142
3.8	Steps and rules of thumb inner model (structural model)	143
3.9	Steps and rules of thumb outer model (measurement model)	144
3.10	Pilot test (n = 202)	147
3.11	Restatement of 10 indicator items	150
3.12	Discriminant validity (pilot test n = 202)	152
4.1	Statistic descriptive of respondent	155
4.2	Result of model fit test	156
4.3	Composite reliability, cronbach alpha and convergent validity	160
4.4	Correlations among latent variables with square roots of average	
	(as discriminant validity)	166
4.5	The result of \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{Q}^2	167
4.6	The results of model 1 - TPB	168
4.7	Some previous research of TPB in entrepreneurial area	171
4.8	The results of model 2 - TPB and EE	177
4.9	Some previous research of TPB and EE	179

4.10	The results of the model 3 - TPB and EK	184
4.11	Some previous research of EK and TPB	186
4.12	The results of model 4 - TPB and EM	190
4.13	Some previous research of EM and TPB	192
4.14	The results of model 5	194
4.15	Some research the relationship among EE, EK and EM	196
4.16	Result of model 6	201
5.1	The summarizing result of research	211



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE				
1.1	The proposed model	6			
1.2	Knowledge chart	11			
2.1	Generic model of teaching entrepreneurship education	25			
2.2	Model of TPB Azjen, 1991	49			
2.3	Model 1 - TPB and entrepreneurship education	52			
2.4	Model 2 - TPB and entrepreneurship knowledge	60			
2.5	Model 3 - TPB and entrepreneurship mindset	63			
2.6	Task technology fit and TPB	66			
2.7	Theoretical model predicting online learning system intention				
	اونيوم سيتي تيڪنيڪل مليسيا ملک	67			
2.8	Research of TPB model MALAYSIA MELAKA	74			
2.9	Research model of TPB and EE	77			
2.10	Research model of TPB and EK	79			
2.11	Research model of TPB and EM	80			
2.12	The model for entrepeneurial learning pedagogy	82			
2.13	Research model for the relationship among EE, EK and EM	82			
2.14	Research model for TTF, EE and EI	90			
2.15	Research model for TTF, EK and EI	91			
2.16	Research model for TTF, EM and EI	92			

2.17	Research model for integrating TTF and extended model	93
3.1	Hypothetico-deductive method	104
3.2	Steps of measurement model and structural model in PLS-SEM	106
3.3	The research steps	108
3.4	Example of path model	140
3.5	Structural path model of the study	141
3.6	Outer loading reevance testing	145
4.1	The path relationship of research model specification	158
4.2	The path relationship result among research variables	168
4.3	Result of hypothesis testing in model 1 - TPB model	169
4.4	Result of hypothesis testing model 2 - The extended model of TPB	
	with EE	178
4.5	Result of hypothesis testing model 3 - The extended model of TPB	
	اوىيۇىرسىتى تىكنىكل مليسىيا ek	184
4.6	Result of hypothesis testing model 4 - The extended model of TPB	
	with EM	190
4.7	Result of hypothesis testing model 5 - The relationship among EE, EK	
	and EM	194
4.8	Result of research model path from WarpPLS 7.0	200
4.9	Warped relationship in the research model	201
4.10	The moderating effect of TTF on the relationship between EE and EI	202
4.11	The graph moderating effect of TTF on the relationship between EE	
	and EI	203

4.12	The interaction effect of TTF on the relationship between EK and EI	205
4.13	The graph effect of TTF as moderating variable in the relationship	
	between EK and EI	205
4.14	The moderating effect of TTF on the relationship between EM and EI	206
4.15	The graph moderating effect of TTF on the relationship between EM	
	and EI	207



LIST OF ABREVIATIONS

AARS	-	Average adjusted R-squared
AFVIF	-	Average full collinearity VIF
APC	-	Average path coefficient
ARS	-	Average R-squared
ATE	!	Attitude toward entrepreneurship
AVE	No.	Average variance extracted
AVIF	TEK	Average block VIF
CA	FIG	Cronbach alpha
CCA	- 431	Confirmatory composite analysis
CFA	11th	Confirmatory factor analysis
CLF	_	Cross loading factor
CR	UNIV	Composite reliability
CV	-	Convergent validity
DV	-	Discriminant validity
EE	-	Entrepreneurship education
EFA	-	Exploratory factor analysis
EI	-	Entrepreneurship intention
EK	-	Entrepreneurship knowledge
EM	-	Entrepreneurship mindset
EP	-	Entrepreneurship project
GoF	-	Tenenhaus goodness of fit

HTMT	-	Heterotrait monotrait
IRT	-	Item response theory
LF	-	Loading factor
NLBCDR	-	Nonlinear bivariate causality direction ratio
PBC	-	Perceived behavioral control
PLS – SEM	-	Partial least square - structural equation model
RO	-	Research objectives
RP	-	Research problems
RQ	-	Research questions
RSCR	-	R-squared contribution ratio
SN	- 24	Subjective norms
SPR		Sympson's paradox ratio
SSR	1 EX	Statistical suppression ratio
TPB	FIEL	Theory of planned behavior
TTF	- ×31	Task technology fit
UAJY	NE	Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta
UTeM	-	Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka
	UNIV	ERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
А	Previous Research of TPB with Completely Antesedent Variables	232
В	Previous Research Using Incompletly Antecedent Variables of TPB	
	and Exogenous Variables	234
С	Previous Research with Completely Antecedent Variables of TPB	
	and Exogenous Variables	237
D	Research of TPB Model 1: TPB Plus Entrepreneurship Education	
	(EE) and Other Exogenous Variables	243
E	Research of TPB Model 2: TPB Plus Entrepreneurship Knowledge	
	and Other Exogenus Variables	250
F	Research of TPB Model 3 - TPB Plus Entrepreneurship Mindset/	
	Propensity of Risk and Other Exogenous Variables	251
G	Previous Research of TTF Related with TPB	253
Н	Latent Coefficient Variables by WarpPLS 7.0	255
Ι	SEM Analysis by WarpPLS 7.0	256
J	Coefficient and P-Value – by WarpPLS V7.0	257
K	Validation Sheet of the Research Questionnaire	262

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journals

Rustiana, Y., Mohd, O., and Mohamad, N., 2022. The Distribution Role of Entrepreneurship Mindset and Task Technology Fit: An Extended Model of Theory of Planned Behavior. *Journal of Distribution Science*, 20(5), pp. 85–96.

Rustiana, Y., Mohd, O., and Mohamad, N., 2022. The Triangulation Model of Entrepreneurship Education, Entrepreneurship Knowledge, and Entrepreneurhip Mindset. *Journal of Distribution Science*, 20(9), pp. 47-59.

International Proceeding

Rustiana, R., Mohd, O., and Mohamad, N., 2021. The effect of task technology fit and entrepreneurship education on student's entrepreneurship intention using the theory of planned behaviour. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1869(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1869/1/012101

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In the past several decades, entrepreneurship have played an important role in takes an essential in driving global economies (Cui et al., 2019; Otache, 2019). Entrepreneurship personates a role in developing prosperity for society, communities, and society (Newman et al., 2018) by creating new jobs (Badri and Hachicha, 2019), getting higher income, and ownership through entrepreneurship education (Taşdemir, 2019).

Entrepreneurship is the process of starting a business by offering a product, process, or service in an innovative way (Ridley et al., 2017). Entrepreneurship endure the potential to empower and to transform. Furthermore, entrepreneurship has been viewed as a way of life and encouragements of thinking process when overcoming threats and embracing challenges and chances (Gelaidan, 2017). At the same time, entrepreneurship is capable to create value added in the market through managing resources process, through advancing new technologies, inventing new scientific knowledge, enlightening existing goods and services, and more creavity to produce goods or services by utilizing resources optimally and more efficiently (Mudjijah et al., 2022).

Mostly, nascent entrepreneurs start their business without having huge financial resources, but they arrange entrepreneurship knowledge (EK) and creative ideas will possibly add innovative and significant value to existing products or services (Gretzinger et al., 2018). Entrepreneurship education (EE) supports changing the mindset, developing innovation,