

MULTI-AGENT FOG COMPUTING RESOURCE MANAGEMENT MODEL FOR CRITICAL HEALTHCARE APPLICATIONS



DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

2023



Faculty of Information and Communication Technology

WALAYSIA

MULTI-AGENT FOG COMPUTING RESOURCE MANAGEMENT MODEL FOR CRITICAL HEALTHCARE APPLICATIONS



Doctor of Philosophy

MULTI-AGENT FOG COMPUTING RESOURCE MANAGEMENT MODEL FOR CRITICAL HEALTHCARE APPLICATIONS

AMMAR AWAD MUTLAG



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled "Multi-Agent Fog Computing Resource Management Model for Critical Healthcare Applications" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.



APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Doctor of Philosophy.



DEDICATION

To my beloved mother, wife and kids



ABSTRACT

Fog computing is a fairly new distributed computing technique which expands the capabilities of cloud computing to the edge of the network. Fog servers operate as an intermediary between cloud data centres and end-user devices. One of the aims of fog computing is to increase performance and reduce the amount of data being transferred to the cloud for processing, analyzing and storing. This approach allows the execution of a portion of a transaction at a fog server. However, cloud computing still suffers from resource management in healthcare application tasks. Critical healthcare application tasks require a quick response because it affects patients' life. Fog computing is the best solution to get a fast response and less energy consumption. To significantly implement Fog computing, it's paramount to manage the resources of Fog computing and develop interoperability among Fog and Cloud. Any modelling effort of Fog computing resource management, especially in healthcare applications, depends on scheduling the tasks which in turn involves three main factors; Load Balancing, Resource Availability, and Prioritization. Hence, the main contribution of this thesis is to develop a model of resource management that employ the aforementioned factors. From the literature, it is clear that the contribution of Multi-agent systems in scheduling is huge. In this thesis, the role of Multi-agent systems is to optimise critical healthcare tasks scheduling efficiently. The results have shown that the Multi-Agent Fog computing model (MAFCRMM) is very efficient in providing the fastest response for critical healthcare application tasks in terms of the number of energy consumption, instance cost, delay, and response time.

ونيوم سيتي تيكنيكل مليسيا ملاك UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

MODEL PENGURUSAN SUMBER PENGKOMPUTERAN KABUS MULTI-AGEN UNTUK APLIKASI PENJAGAAN KESIHATAN KRITIKAL

ABSTRAK

Pengkomputeran kabus ialah teknik pengkomputeran teragih yang agak baharu yang memperluaskan keupayaan pengkomputeran awan ke pinggir rangkaian. Pelayan kabus beroperasi sebagai perantara antara pusat data awan dan peranti pengguna akhir. Salah satu tujuan pengkomputeran kabus adalah untuk meningkatkan prestasi dan mengurangkan jumlah data yang dipindahkan ke awan untuk diproses, dianalisis dan disimpan. Pendekatan ini membolehkan pelaksanaan sebahagian daripada transaksi di pelayan kabus. Walau bagaimanapun, pengkomputeran awan masih mengalami pengurusan sumber dalam tugas aplikasi penjagaan kesihatan. Tugas aplikasi penjagaan kesihatan kritikal memerlukan tindak balas yang cepat kerana ia mempengaruhi kehidupan pesakit. Pengkomputeran kabus adalah penyelesaian terbaik untuk mendapatkan tindak balas yang pantas dan penggunaan tenaga yang lebih sedikit. Untuk melaksanakan pengkomputeran Kabus dengan ketara, adalah penting untuk mengurus sumber pengkomputeran Kabus dan membangunkan kesalingoperasian antara Kabus dan Awan. Sebarang usaha pemodelan pengurusan sumber pengkomputeran Fog, terutamanya dalam aplikasi penjagaan kesihatan, bergantung pada penjadualan tugas yang seterusnya melibatkan tiga faktor utama; Pengimbangan Beban, Ketersediaan Sumber dan Keutamaan. Oleh itu, sumbangan utama tesis ini adalah untuk membangunkan model pengurusan sumber yang menggunakan faktor-faktor yang disebutkan di atas. Daripada literatur, jelas bahawa sumbangan sistem Multi-agen dalam penjadualan adalah besar. Dalam tesis ini, peranan sistem Multi-agen adalah untuk mengoptimumkan penjadualan tugas penjagaan kesihatan kritikal dengan cekap. Keputusan telah menunjukkan bahawa model pengkomputeran Multi-Agen Fog (MAFCRMM) adalah sangat cekap dalam menyediakan tindak balas terpantas untuk tugas aplikasi penjagaan kesihatan kritikal dari segi bilangan penggunaan tenaga, kos contoh, kelewatan dan masa tindak balas, UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Allah SWT for everything I was able to achieve and for everything I tried, but I was not able to achieve.

To my supervisor Professor Dr. Mohd Khanapi bin Abd Ghani, you are truly a supervisor. I am greatly appreciative of him for his support and guidance, most importantly, for providing me with the freedom to pursue my ideas and find my path in research. Also, I have gained a wealth of experience and knowledge working under your supervision, which will always be my delight to share along my life's journey. Furthermore, I would like to thank Gs. Dr. Othman bin Mohd for his support and guidance as a co-supervisor.

To my beloved mother, wife and kids thank you for bringing a side of me. I will always cherish your limitless support and encouragement.

To my brothers and best friends, receives my deepest gratitude and love for their support during the years of my study.

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

alu.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

DEC	CLAR	ATION				
DED	DICAT	TION				
ABS	ABSTRACT i					
ABS	ABSTRAK ii					
ACK	KNOV	VLEDGI	EMENTS	iii		
ТАВ	BLE O	F CON	TENTS	iv		
LIST	Г OF ′	FABLES	S	viii		
LIST	FOF	FIGURE	ES	ix		
LIST	FOF	ABBRE	VIATIONS	xii		
LIST	FOF	SYMBO	DLS	xiv		
LIST	FOF	PUBLIC	CATIONS	XV		
СЦ	DTE	D				
C112 1	INTI INTI		ΊΤΙΩΝ	1		
1.	11	Researc	h Background	1		
	1.1	Problem	n Statement	5		
	1.2	121	Introduction to the Problem	5		
		1.2.1 1.2.2	The Problem and its Context	6		
	13	Researc	The Problem and its Context	8		
	1.5	Researc	ch Objective	9		
	1.5	Scope o	of Research	10		
	1.6	Signific	cance of The Study	13		
	1.7	Thesis (Outline	14		
	1.8	Summa	اوىيۇم سىتى ئىكنىكا مايسىتا بە	15		
2.	LIT	ERATU	RE REVIEW	16		
	2.1	Introdu	ction of the text of t	16		
	2.2	Healthc	care Applications Approaches and Technologies	17		
		2.2.1	Home Healthcare Applications	17		
		2.2.2	Mobile Healthcare and Electronic Health Records (EHR) System	18		
		2.2.3	Hospital Information System	19		
	• •	2.2.4	Health Monitoring System	20		
	2.3	Review	of Healthcare Computation Resources Management Models	24		
		2.3.1	Healthcare Cloud Computing Model	25		
			2.3.1.1 Architecture of Healthcare based Cloud Computing	•		
			Model	26		
		2.3.2	Healthcare Fog Computing Model	30		
			2.3.2.1 Fog Computing Resource Management	33		
			2.3.2.2 Tasks Scheduling	34		
			2.5.2.5 The Architecture of Task Scheduling in Fog Computing	30 44		
	2.4	Daria	2.5.2.4 Load Balancing in Fog Computing	44		
	2.4	Keview	or Agent-Based Computing	54 54		
		2.4.1	Multi-Agent Systems Footures	54		
		2.4.2	wum-Agent Systems Features	22		

	2.4.3 Why Multi-Agent Systems?	57
	2.4.4 Multi-Agent Systems for Adaptive Scheduling	59
	2.4.5 Cloud Computing Agent-Based	60
	2.4.6 Fog Computing Agent-Based	60
2.5	Complex Healthcare Environment	60
2.6	Critical Task Management	62
2.7	Review of MAS and Fog Computing models	64
2.7	2.7.1 Task Scheduling	65
	2.7.2 Load Balancing	67
	273 Resource Availability	69
	2.7.5 Resource Avaluating $2.7.4$ Priority	72
	2.7.5 Summary of Analysis of MAS and Fog Computing	73
28	The MAECRMM view of Eog Computing in Healthcare	74
2.0	2.8.1 Fog Computing Model in Healthcare	75
	2.8.1 Fog Computing Wodel in Heathcare 2.8.2 Resource Management Model in Fog Computing	101
29	Benchmarking of the selected models	101
2.)	2.9.1 Auluck et al. model	100
	2.9.1 Added an indef	110
	2.9.2 Wan et al. model	111
	2.9.4 Etemodi et al model	111
	2.9.5 Sellemi et al model	117
	2.9.6 Buyana et al. model	112
	2.9.7 Jain et al model	113
2 10	Discussion on the Findings of the Fog Computing Resource Management	115
2.10	Challenges	11/
	2 10 1 Resource Scheduling	114
	2.10.2 Hierarchical Architecture	115
	2.10.3 Centralized Architecture	115
	2.10.4 Overloading and Unavailability	116
	2.10.5 Resource Constrained	117
	2.10.6 Energy Consumption	117
	2.10.7 Authorization	118
	2.10.8 Pricing Resources	118
	2.10.9 Monitoring	110
	2.10.9 Wolntoring 2.10.10Prioritization	120
2 11	Discussion on the Finding of Scheduling Strategy	120
2.11	2 11 1 Priority Tasks Scheduling (PTS)	121
	2.11.2 Dynamic Tasks Allocation (DTA)	123
	2.11.3 Load Balancing and Availability (I BA)	123
2 12	Summary of the Computing Resource Management Approaches	124
2.12	Summary	123
2.15	Summary	120
MET	THODOLOGY	130
3.1	Introduction	130
3.2	Overview of the Selected Research Design	130
	3.2.1 Preliminary Study Phase	132
	3.2.2 Identification Phase	133
	3.2.3 Development Phase	134
	3.2.4 Evaluation Phase	137
3.3	Experimental Approach	137

3.

		3.3.1	Benchmarking	137
	2.4	X 7 1° 1	3.3.1.1 Benchmarking Measurements	138
	3.4	Valida	ation Methods of the Proposed Model	139
	3.5	Datase	et	139
	3.6	Summ	lary	141
4.	A Pl	ROPOS	SED MULTI-AGENT FOG COMPUTING RESOURCE	
	MA	NAGE	MENT (MAFCRMM) FOR CRITICAL HEALTHCARE	
	APP	LICA	TIONS	142
	4.1	Introd	uction	142
	4.2	Propo	sed Model	142
		4.2.1	The General Architecture of MAFC	143
		4.2.2	Workflow Cooperative MAFCRMM	145
		4.2.3	Multi-Agent System Role in MAFCRMM Model	148
		4.2.4	User Tier Personal Agents	149
		4.2.5	Master Personal Agent (MPA)	150
		4.2.6	Fog Computing Tier	150
		4.2.7	Master Fog Node Agent (MFNA)	154
		4.2.8	Cloud Tier	154
	4.3	Algori	ithm Steps	156
		4.3.1	Task Scheduling Optimization	157
		4.3.2	Prioritization	158
		4.3.3	Resource Availability	164
		4.3.4	Load Balancing	166
		4.5.5	Final Tasks Criticality Calculation	168
		4.3.6	Threshold Calculation	1/1
		4.3.7	Scheduling Based on Priority, Resource Availability, and Load	170
	4 4	c.h.	Balancing	174
	4.4	Summ	اويوم سيتي بيكنيكل مليسيا ا	1/4
5.	MO	DEL V	ALIDATION	175
	5.1	Introd	uction SITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA	175
	5.2	Testin	g Design	176
	5.3	Data F	Preparation	177
	5.4	Experi	imental Configuration	177
		5.4.1	Experiment Setup	177
		5.4.2	Experiment Procedure	178
	5.5	MAFO	CRMM Tasks Scheduling	179
	5.6	MAFO	CRMM Load Balancing	181
	5.7	MAFO	CRMM Scheduling and Load Balancing Results	184
	5.8	Comp	arison with Previous Work	186
		5.8.1	Previous Work Results	187
			5.8.1.1 Previous Tasks Scheduling Work	187
			5.8.1.2 Previous Work load Balancing Results	188
			5.8.1.3 Previous Tasks Scheduling and Load Balancing Work	189
		5.8.2	Comparison	190
			5.8.2.1 MAFCRMM Scheduling Versus Previous Work	
			Scheduling Comparison	190
			5.8.2.2 MAFCRMM Load Balancing Versus Previous Work	193
			5.8.2.3 MAFCRMM versus previous work	195

	5.9	Summary	197
6	CO	NCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	199
	6.1	Introduction	199
	6.2	Contribution	200
		6.2.1 Critical Healthcare Management Model	201
		6.2.2 Priority	202
		6.2.3 Resource Availability	202
		6.2.4 Load Balancing	203
		6.2.5 Threshold	204
		6.2.6 Tasks Scheduling	205
		6.2.7 Research scheme	205
	6.3	Limitations	206
	6.4	Future work	207

REFERENCES





LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	The connections among research questions, research objectives, pr research methodology, and contribution	oblem, 11
2.1	Heuristic static scheduling algorithm	39
2.2	Metaheuristic scheduling algorithm	41
2.3	Real-time dynamic scheduling algorithm	42
2.4	Heuristic dynamic scheduling algorithm	43
2.5	Multi-agent systems benefits	58
2.6	Selected Models for Multi-Agent System and Fog Computing	73
2.7	Review of fog computing in healthcare	76
2.8	Early study on resource management model in fog computing	105
2.9	Benchmarking of Fog Computing Model Categorized by Eva	luation 108
3.1	Blood Pressure dataset (UTeM Health Centre)	140
3.2	ECG dataset (University of California at Irvine)	140
5.1	UNIVERSITITEKN KALMALAYSIA MELAKA Normal, moderate, and critical patient conditions	175

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE

TITLE

PAGE

2.1	Literature review framework	17
2.2	Healthcare based cloud computing model architecture (Cao et a	l., 2021) 27
2.3	Three-tier fog computing model (Shahzadi et al. 2019)	31
2.4	Four tiers fog computing model (Sharma and Saini, 2019)	32
2.5	Four tiers fog computing model (Hossain M. R. et al., 2021)	33
2.6	Load balancing techniques (Kaur and Aron, 2021)	48
2.7	Critical tasks management	63
2.8	Critical analysis of literature review	65
2.9	Scheduling Strategy	122
2.10	MASs Fog computing model derivation	126
3.1	Research methodology phases	132
3.2	ويبوبر سيني تيڪنيڪ General framework	136
3.3	Experimental flowchart KAL MALAYSIA MELAKA	138
4.1	General Architecture of MAFCRMM	145
4.2	Proposed model (MAFCRMM)	147
4.3	Scheduling optimization supporting	148
4.4	MAS role in the MAFCRMM model	149
4.5	Proposed Interconnected Fog Computing Model	153
4.6	The sequence diagram illustrates the interaction	155
4.7	PQRST wave	160
4.8	Calculate initial priority (IP) in the personal agent (PA)	161
4.9	Priority assigning	162
4.10	Blood pressure ranges	163

4.11	Assign task to FN	164
4.12	Calculate the resource availability of each FN	165
4.13	Throttled multi-agent-based Algorithm	167
4.14	Calculate <i>F_criticality</i> of the <i>T</i> in FN	170
4.15	Scheduling Algorithm	174
5.1	Testing design	176
5.2	Scheduling Delay	179
5.3	Scheduling response time	180
5.4	Scheduling cost	180
5.5	Scheduling energy consumption	181
5.6	Delay comparison	182
5.7	Response time	182
5.8	Cost	183
5.9	Energy consumption	184
5.10	MAFCRMM delay	185
5.11	MAFCRMM response time	185
5.12	MAFCRMM cost UNIVERSITIEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA	186
5.13	MAFCRMM energy consumption	186
5.14	Delay	190
5.15	Response time	191
5.16	Cost	191
5.17	Energy consumption	192
5.18	Delay	193
5.19	Response time	193
5.20	Cost	194
5.21	Energy consumption	194
5.22	Delay	195
	Х	

5.23	Response time	196
5.24	Cost	196
5.25	Energy consumption	197
6.1	General scheme and scope of the study	206



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CC	-	Cloud Computing
CHTM	-	Critical Healthcare Tasks Management
CW	-	Current Workload
DTA	-	Dynamic Tasks Allocation
EC	-	Edge Computing
FC	-	Fog Computing
FN	-	Fog Node
FNA	-	Fog Node Agent
HP	-	High Priority
IA	-	Intelligent Agent
IoT	-	Internet of Things
LBA	-	Load Balancing and Availability
LBD	-	Load Balancing Decision
LP	-	Low Priority UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA
LW	-	Local Workload
MAFC	-	Multi-Agent Fog Computing Model
MAS	-	Multi-Agent Systems
MFN	-	Master Fog Node
MP	-	Moderate Priority
MPA	-	Master Personal Agent
NW	-	Neighbor Workload
P, L, R	-	Priority, Load Balancing, Resource Availability
PA	-	Personal Agent

- PD Priority Decision
- PHR Patient Health Record
- PTL Priority Tasks List
- PTS Priority Tasks Scheduling
- RAD Resource Availability Decision



LIST OF SYMBOLS

- f Cost
- a The set of fog node agents
- C Critical Task
- c Mapping function from agents to cloud
- L Load Balancing
- m Mapping function from agents to fog nodes
- N Normal Task
- P Priority
- R Resource Availability
- T Task
- t The set of tasks
- ρ The set of personal agents
- χ The cooperative model for multi-agents

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Mutlag, A.A., Abd Ghani, M.K., Arunkumar, N.A., Mohammed, M.A. and Mohd, O., 2019. Enabling technologies for fog computing in healthcare IoT systems. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 90, pp.62-78.
- Mutlag, A.A., Abd Ghani, M.K., Mohammed, M.A., Maashi, M.S., Mohd, O., Mostafa, S.A., Abdulkareem, K.H., Marques, G., and de la Torre Díez, I., 2020. MAFC: Multiagent fog computing model for healthcare critical tasks management. *Sensors*, 20(7), pp.1-19, 2020.
- Critical Healthcare Tasks Management Model for ECG Monitoring Using Multi-Agent Systems in Fog-Cloud Computing. MDPI, Sensors.
- 4. A Healthcare Resource Management Optimization Framework. Springer Book 502051,1,En, Chakraborty Efficient Data Handling for Massive Internet of Medical Things. UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Healthcare is an essential part of life, providing a variety of services such as counseling, diagnosis, and disease prevention. The quality of people's lives is improving, and the need for medical resources is growing by the day, emphasizing the major drawbacks of the traditional medical model. Currently, the typical pattern's crucial distinction of healthcare services focuses on the following aspects: intensive healthcare resources, doctors' and patients' conflicts, inequality in the distribution of medical resources, and so on (Yang et al., 2021).

A health information system is a system designed to handle health information (HIS). HIS is a tool used to enhance services and reduce medical errors (Melin and Axelsson, 2014). A hospital's organisational structure, or a technology that supports healthcare policy choices are all included in HIS. HIS also included the systems that manage information about the operations of healthcare organizations and providers. These might be utilized in tandem to improve research, patient outcomes, and policy and decision-making. The HIS is normally related to commonly accessing, process, or maintaining large volumes of sensitive data related to patient lives, where many medical applications are developed. Previous research has recognized numerous reasons, such as, not restricted to, unjustified or inadequate HIS deployment, unsuitability in healthcare specifications, absence of appropriate input data as well as validation, and speeding up evolution of technology causing instability of patient solutions (Noran and Bernus, 2022). The use of computer-aided technology nowadays in HIS is important to meet the needs for long-term care and remote medical monitoring, as well as to decrease the financial burden on patients, convenient and comprehensive. One of the important features of health information systems which has many applications to name few; Mobile Health (mHealth) (Zhu et al., 2021), and Electronic Health (eHealth) (Gerli et al., 2021).

The healthcare information system has three main steps, first is the generation of the data, then the processing, and lastly the outcomes and analytics of the data. Whereas, healthcare services have important metrics that are used to evaluate the system which are; accuracy, performance, time, cost, energy, interoperability, scalability, and reliability (Haghi et al., 2021).

One of the technologies used in healthcare is cloud computing. It is a way of providing a shared pool of readily provided and released configurable computer resources with ubiquitous, simple, on-demand network connectivity (for example, networks, servers, storage, applications, and services) (Botta et al., 2016). Researchers are attempting to merge healthcare systems with cloud computing to better fulfill healthcare application demands.

A potential technology is cloud computing, that has the potential to change the healthcare information system infrastructure. Cloud computing technology is adaptable, energy-efficient, low-cost, and fast to implement, which can help healthcare systems cope with the many challenges (Kumar et al., 2021).

Although cloud computing has many benefits, there are some drawbacks, such as significant delays, ironing demands for applications that are sensitive to real-time or latency, and the constraint of network bandwidth, which can still not be overcome by using only cloud computing (Shukla et al., 2021).

According to Jamil et al. (2020), cloud data management and processing can lead to latency, network traffic management, computer processing, and power consumption inefficiencies. Cloud computing offers computing and storage resources for users and has brought massive economic benefits in many areas. The architecture of the cloud in healthcare is termed a sensors cloud. virtualization, automation, collaboration, scalability, and flexibility with better processing and storage capacity are all advantages of sensor-cloud. However, with the exponential growth of smart devices and sensors, artificial intelligence, and other items on the Internet, these networks have challenges in scheduling, load balancing, reliability resource optimization, and limited bandwidth (Alturki et al., 2021). Because the cloud data center is located distant from the end user, long-distance transmission reduces real-time efficiency, and a large number of applications such as healthcare information systems are processed in the cloud data-center, thus raising the cloud burden (Wang et al., 2020).

In response to the increase in low latency, mobility, and network capacity, fog computing can expands cloud features to the edge of the network and allows processing, connectivity and storage closer to HIS devices as well as HIS's end-users (Tuli et al., 2020).

Bonomi et al. (2012) revealed that the use of fog in three networking levels includes; i) Collecting data from the devices on the edge (medical sensors); ii) a Huge number of devices connecting to the network and sending data; iii) The collected data from the devices should be processed in less than a second along with decision making (You and Learn, 2015). Fog computing refers to the movement of cloud solutions closer to the users at the edge of the network and the provision of computation, communication, and storage to the devices at the edge, thereby facilitating and improving mobility, latency-sensitive, and network bandwidth. Fog computing can match perfectly low-latency or real-time applications (Hu et al., 2017). Fog computing offers an intermediary layer between remote and isolated conventional data centers and on-site equipment or sensors/devices to provide computational power, storage, and control to consumers (Amaxilatis et al., 2020). Fog computing will