

Faculty of Mechanical Technology and Engineering



Muhamad Arifuddin bin Che Mat

Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

INFLUENCE OF CONTROLLED OXYGEN LEVELS ON TENSILE STRENGTH, SURFACE ROUGHNESS, AND WARPING IN FDM PRINTS

MUHAMAD ARIFUDDIN BIN CHE MAT



Faculty of Mechanical and Technology Engineering

DECLARATION

I declare that this project thesis entitled "Influence of Controlled Oxygen Levels on Tensile Strength, Surface Roughness, and Warping in FDM Prints" is the result of my work except as cited in the references.



APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering.



DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate my appreciation to my supervisor Dr.Faiz Redza bin Ramli, and my cosupervisor, Dr. Mohd Nizam bin Sudin, who has given me a lot of guidance in this research. My best friends, Mohamad Nordin bin Mohamad Norani and Nor Ana Binti Rosli, have always guided me and willingly shared their knowledge and experience. Finally, I would like to thank my parents, Che Mat bin Jusoh and Kartini Binti Mohammad, for their unwavering financial support.

ABSTRACT

Fused deposition modeling (FDM) is one of the most widely utilized additive manufacturing techniques. However, the main limitations of FDM are poor surface roughness, low tensile strength, and significant warping deformation, which affect manufacturability and hinder the precision and quality of printed components. This study presents a new technique that improves the quality of FDM printed specimens by incorporating inert gases, such as nitrogen or argon, into the 3D printing chamber. The chamber was designed with openings for the inert gas to flow in at 12 m³ h⁻¹ and +5 bar pressure and an outlet for gas to be released, monitored by an oxygen detector to control degradation factors. The effect of the inert gas on 3D printed specimens by the objectives, i.e. to investigate the effect of inert gas on the tensile strength of FDM-printed samples under varying printing process parameters, to analyze the surface roughness and bonding formation of the printed samples and to identify warping deformation in the printed samples. The research reveals several key findings. First, Cu/PLA exhibited the highest tensile strength compared to PLA and ABS at a layer thickness of 0.3mm, indicating that increased layer thickness correlates with increased tensile strength. Additionally, Cu/PLA showed superior SEM results, featuring structures with minimal air gaps compared to PLA and ABS. Second, both $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ and $N_2-O_2(0\%)$ conditions significantly improved tensile strength compared to printing without inert gas, with $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ demonstrating the most notable effect by producing uniform interlayer bonding, as evidenced by SEM images. Third, in terms of surface roughness, Cu/PLA outperformed PLA and ABS, with Face 4 achieving the best results under the N₂-O₂(0%) condition. The improvement in surface roughness was up to 24.71% between Face 4 and Face 1 at a 0.2mm layer thickness. SEM images revealed that areas with higher surface roughness correlated with more pronounced surface irregularities, such as grain boundaries and pores. Finally, both N2-O2(0%) and Ar-O2(0%) inert conditions enhanced surface roughness compared to non-inert conditions. SEM analysis indicated that Ar-O₂(0%) produced minimal air gaps, facilitating strong connections between adjacent filaments and reducing void areas. In conclusion, the study affirms that the application of an inert gas environment during 3D printing is a highly effective strategy for improving the mechanical properties and surface quality of printed specimens. The findings offer valuable guidance for future research and development in the field of additive manufacturing, promoting the adoption of advanced techniques to achieve superior material performance and quality in 3D printed products.

PENGARUH KAWALAN TAHAP OKSIGEN TERHADAP KEKUATAN TEGANGAN, KEKASARAN PERMUKAAN, DAN LEDINGAN DALAM CETAKAN FDM

ABSTRAK

Pemodelan pemendapan terlakur (FDM) adalah salah satu teknik pembuatan tambahan yang paling banyak digunakan. Walau bagaimanapun, had utama kepada FDM adalah kekasaran permukaan yang tidak baik, kekuatan tegangan yang rendah, dan ubah bentuk ledingan yang ketara adalah mempengaruhi kemampuan pembuatan dan menghalang ketepatan serta kualiti komponen yang dicetak. Kajian ini memperkenalkan teknik baru yang meningkatkan kualiti spesimen cetakan FDM dengan mengalirkan gas lengai seperti nitrogen atau argon, ke dalam ruang pencetakan 3D. Ruang tersebut direka dengan bukaan untuk gas lengai mengalir masuk pada kadar 12 m³ h⁻¹ dan tekanan +5 bar, serta bukaan untuk pelepasan gas, yang dipantau oleh pengesan oksigen untuk mengawal faktor degradasi. Kesan gas lengai pada spesimen cetakan 3D dinilai berdasarkan objektif berikut iaitu untuk melihatt kesan gas lengai terhadap kekuatan tegangan sampel cetakan FDM di bawah pelbagai parameter proses pencetakan, menganalisis kekasaran permukaan dan pembentukan ikatan sampel cetakan, dan mengenal pasti ubah bentuk ledingan dalam sampel cetakan. Penyelidikan ini menunjukkan beberapa penemuan utama. Pertama, Cu/PLA menunjukkan kekuatan tegangan tertinggi berbanding PLA dan ABS pada ketebalan lapisan 0.3mm, yang menunjukkan bahawa peningkatan ketebalan lapisan berkorelasi dengan peningkatan kekuatan tegangan. Selain itu, Cu/PLA menunjukkan hasil SEM yang baik, dengan struktur yang mempunyai jurang udara yang minimum berbanding dengan bahan PLA dan ABS. Kedua, keadaan $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ dan $N_2-O_2(0\%)$ secara signifikan meningkatkan kekuatan tegangan berbanding pencetakan tanpa gas lengai, dengan $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ menunjukkan kesan vang paling ketara dengan menghasilkan ikatan antara lapisan yang seragam, seperti yang ditunjukkan oleh imej SEM. Ketiga, dari segi kekasaran permukaan, Cu/PLA lebih baik berbanding PLA dan ABS, dengan Permukaan 4 mencapai hasil terbaik di bawah keadaan N_2 - $O_2(0\%)$. Peningkatan dalam kekasaran permukaan mencapai sehingga 24.71% antara Permukaan 4 dan Permukaan 1 pada ketebalan lapisan 0.2mm. Akhir sekali, kedua-dua keadaan lengai N_2 - $O_2(0\%)$ dan Ar- $O_2(0\%)$ meningkatkan kekasaran permukaan berbanding dengan keadaan tanpa lengai. Analisis SEM menunjukkan bahawa $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ menghasilkan jurang udara yang minimum, memudahkan sambungan kuat antara filamen bersebelahan dan mengurangkan kawasan kosong. Kesimpulannya, kajian ini mengesahkan bahawa aplikasi persekitaran gas lengai semasa pencetakan 3D adalah strategi yang sangat berkesan untuk meningkatkan sifat mekanikal dan kualiti permukaan spesimen cetakan. Penemuan ini menawarkan panduan yang penting untuk penyelidikan dan pembangunan masa depan dalam bidang pembuatan tambahan, meningkatkan penggunaan teknik terkini untuk mencapai prestasi bahan dan kualiti yang baik dalam produk cetakan 3D.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Firstly, I would like to extend my genuine appreciation to Dr. Faiz Redza bin Ramli for his invaluable guidance, unwavering support, and encouragement throughout the entire process of developing and completing this thesis. I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Nizam bin Sudin, who served as a co-supervisor for this project, providing valuable guidance and recommendations to improve the printing process.

Furthermore, I sincerely thank Puan Hidayah and Puan Atikah, technicians from the Faculty of Technology and Mechanical Engineering UTeM, for their devoted support and contributions to laboratory and analytical tasks.

I want to extend my thanks to my colleagues and my supportive mother, father, and siblings for their encouragement throughout the completion of this degree. Lastly, I give my appreciation to everyone who played crucial roles in various aspects of completing the project.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DE	CLA	RATION	
AP	PRO	VAL	
DE	DICA	ATION	
AB	STRA	АСТ	i
AB	STR A	AK	ii
AC	KNO	WLEDGMENT	iii
ТА	BLE	OF CONTENTS	iv
LIS	ST OI	F TABLES	viii
LIS	ST OI	FFIGURES	X
LIS	ST OI	FAPPENDICES	xiv
LIS	ST OI	FABBREVIATIONS	XV
LIS	ST OI	F SYMBOLS	xvi
LIS CH 1.	ST OI [APT] IN]	F PUBLICATION ER FRODUCTION	xvii 1
	1.1	Background of the Project	1
	1.2	Problem Statements	2
	1.3	Research Objectives	3
	1.4	Scopes of Work	4
	1.5	Thesis Outline ITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA	4
2.	LI	FERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1	Introduction	6
	2.2	Additive Manufacturing	6
		2.2.1 Fused Deposition Modeling	9
	2.3	Material for FDM	11
		2.3.1 Thermoplastic	11
		2.3.2 Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene (ABS)	12
		2.3.2.1 Application of ABS	13
		2.3.2.2 Thermal Degradation of ABS	14
		2.3.2.3 Thermogravimetric Analysis of ABS	16
		2.3.3 Polylactic Acid (PLA)	17

2.3.3.1 Influence of Color on PLA Filaments in FDM Printing	19
2.3.3.2 Comparison Between ABS and PLA	20
2.4 Variable of 3D Printing Process Parameter	21
2.4.1 Effect of Layer Thickness on FDM Parts	23
2.4.2 Effect of Infill Density on FDM Parts	24
2.4.3 Effect of Temperature on FDM Parts	24
2.4.4 Effect of Building Orientation on Tensile Strength of FDM Parts	26
2.4.5 Effect of Extrusion Temperature Using Wood Fibers Reinforced Polyl	actic
Acid Using FDM Machine	28
2.5 Physical Properties of the Printed Component	30
2.6 Gas Properties	32
2.6.1 Inert Gas	32
2.6.2 Argon and Noble Gas	33
2.6.3 Comparison Between Argon and Nitrogen	34
2.6.4 Nitrogen in Additive Manufacturing	34
2.7 Oxidation	35
2.8 Improved Mechanical Properties of 3D-printed Parts by Fused Deposition	
Modeling Processed Under the Exclusion of Oxygen	36
2.9 Surface Roughness by Changing the Raster Angle	37
2.10 Scanning Electron Microscope of PEEK	39
2.11 Warping Deformation for Flat Bar Model	41
2.12 Research Gap	42
2.13 Summary	45
METHODOLOGY	46
3.1 Introduction	46
3.2 Experiment Flowchart	47
3.3 Gas Experimental Setup	48
3.4 Specimens Models Preparations	50
3.4.1 Inert Gas Used in Experiment	51
3.4.2 Dog Bone Design for Tensile Test	52
3.5 3D Printing Process	54
3.6 Tensile Test of Testing	56
3.7 Surface Roughness Evaluation Using the Geometric Block Model	58
3.8 Scanning Electron Microscope	60
3.8 Scanning Electron Microscope	60

3.

	3.9 Warping Deformation Using Basic Features Model	61
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	65
	4.1 Introduction	65
	4.2 Tensile Strength Analysis with Various Gas Concentrations and Layer	
	Thicknesses	65
	4.2.1 Tensile Strength Outcome for ABS Material	66
	4.2.2 Tensile Strength Outcome for PLA Material	70
	4.2.3 Tensile Strength Outcome for Cu/PLA Material	74
	4.2.4 Comparison of Tensile Strength with Different Materials	78
	4.3 Surface Roughness Under Varied Gas Conditions and Layer Thicknesses	80
	4.3.1 Surface Roughness of ABS Material	80
	4.3.2 Surface Roughness of PLA Material	83
	4.3.3 Surface Roughness of Cu/PLA Material	87
	4.3.4 Comparison of Surface Roughness with Different Faces by Using Inert	Gas 90
	4.3.5 Radar Graph for Surface Roughness with Different Levels of Nitrogen	Gas 94
	4.4 Scanning Electron Microscope	96
	4.4.1 Surface Morphology for ABS Material with 100% Infill Density	96
	4.4.2 Surface morphology for PLA material with 100% infill density	98
	4.4.3 Surface Morphology for Cu/PLA Material with 100% Infill Density	101
	4.5 Evaluation of Warping Deformation	103
	4.5.1 Warping Deformation Based on Materials	104
	UN4.5.1.1 Curling Effect Based on Materials YSIA MELAKA	104
	4.5.1.2 Overhang Effect Based on Materials	108
	4.5.1.3 Internal Shrinkage Effect Based on Materials	112
	4.5.1.4 Side Shrinkage Effect Based on Materials	116
	4.5.2 Warping Deformation Based on Printing Condition	120
	4.5.2.1 Curling Effect Based on Printing Condition	121
	4.5.2.2 Overhang Effect Based on Printing Condition	124
	4.5.2.3 Internal Shrinkage Effect Based on Printing Condition	126
	4.5.2.4 Side Shrinkage Effect Based on Printing Condition	129
	4.5.3 Building Angle Effects on Warping Deformation	132
5.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	134
	5.1 Conclusions	134

5.2 Recommendation	136
REFERENCES	137
APPENDICES	148



LIST OF TABLES

TABLE

TITLE

PAGE

2.1	Types of Additive Manufacturing and its Technique	8
2.2	The variable process parameters on the 3D printing machine and its clarification	22
2.3	The surface colour parameters of the FDM-printed WFRPC components extruded at different temperatures (Yang, 2018b)	31
2.4	Research gap	42
3.1	Controlled printing parameter	55
3.2	Side view of designed specimens for each type of build	63
4.1	Tensile strength of material ABS with 100% infill density	67
4.2	Standard deviation and mean values for ABS material in Ar-O ₂ (0%)	70
4.3	ANOVA (One-Way) statistics result for ABS material in Ar-O ₂ (0%)	70
4.4	Model summary	70
4.5	Tensile strength of PLA with 100% infill density	71
4.6	Standard deviation and mean values for PLA material in Ar-O ₂ (0%)	74
4.7	ANOVA (One-Way) statistics result for PLA material in Ar-O ₂ (0%)	74
4.8	Model Summary	74
4.9	Tensile strength of Cu/PLA with 100% infill density	76
4.10	Standard deviation and mean values for Cu/PLA material in Ar-O ₂ (0%)	78
4.11	ANOVA (One-Way) statistics result for Cu/PLA material	78
4.12	Model summary	78
4.13	Results for ABS with 100% infill density across various faces and oxygen concentrations in sampled blocks	82
4.14	Percentage Decrease in surface roughness of material ABS when comparing inert gas and non-inert gas	84
4.15	Percentage Decrease in surface roughness of material ABS when comparing inert gas and non-inert gas	85
4.16	Percentage decrease in surface roughness of material PLA for comparing inert gas and no inert gas	87
4.17	Percentage decrease in surface roughness of material PLA for comparing inert gas and no inert gas	88
4.18	Results surface roughness for Cu/PLA 100% infill density across various faces and oxygen concentrations in sampled blocks	90
4.19	Percentage of an error on curling effect corresponds to different printing conditions	106

4.20	Percentage of an error on overhang effect corresponds to different	110
	printing conditions	
4.21	The percentage of an error in the internal shrinkage effect corresponds	114
	to different printing conditions	
4.22	Percentage of an error on side shrinkage effect corresponding to	118
	different printing conditions	



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGU	IRE
------	-----

TITLE

2.1	Basic process for all AM techniques (Peterson, 2015)	7
2.2	Schematic of essential FDM components (Randal Pope, 2018)	10
2.3	Application of ABS material (Amy, 2020)	14
2.4	The ABS specimens in impact testing (Mazlan et al., 2018b)	15
2.5	Graph of impact energy against time (Ramli et al., 2018)	15
2.6	Graph of absorbed energy against time (Ramli et al., 2018)	16
2.7	The block diagrams of TGA's schematic (Selvaraj, 2015)	16
2.8	Graph of ABS and ABS/CF weight against temperature (Billah et al., 2019)	17
2.9	TGA curve of three colours of filaments (Soares et al., 2018)	19
2.10	(a) Natural PLA, (b) Black PLA, and (c) Green PLA; layer height of the PLA samples in SEM (Soares et al., 2018)	20
2.11	Stress-strain at different laver heights (Shubham et al. 2016)	23
2.12	Compressive strength versus infill density (Rajpurohit and Dave, 2018)	24
2.13	Tensile test of printed PEEK and PEI samples with different nozzle temperatures (Ding et al., 2019)	25
2.14	Morphology of printed PEEK and PEI flexural samples with different nozzle temperatures and building orientations (Ding et al.	26
	2019)	
2.15	Build orientation (Feng et al. 2019)	27
2.16	Flexural modulus of test samples in different printing orientations (Feng et al. 2019)	28
2.17	The RW and differential RW curves of the WFRPC filament were obtained using TGA	29
2.18	Surface appearances of the FDM-printed WFRPC components extruded at different temperatures (Yang, 2018)	31
2.19	Periodic table of elements (Lagowski, 2021)	32
2.20	The phase diagram of argon (Toolbox, 2008)	33
2.21	Application of nitrogen on additive manufacturing (Green, 2019)	35
2.22	Averaged load-strain curves of plates made of ABS (dashed line) and nylon copolymer (solid line) printed in the air (grey) and under	37

	a nitrogen atmosphere (black). Insert the orientation of the test plate during print (Lederle et al. 2016)	
2.23	Roughness profile for print orientation angle of (a) 5°, (b) 55°, (c) 80° and (d) 85° (Bui-Corral et al. 2019)	38
2.24	Plan view of a sample with a print orientation angle of 85° (Buj- Corral et al. 2019)	39
2.25	Representative scanning electron micrographs of the fracture surfaces of PEEK parts printed at different printing temperatures using a Ø0.6 mm nozzle. Point B indicates a void between the infill filaments, and points A indicates voids between layers (Wang et al. 2010)	40
2.26	The FDM 3D object with warping deformation parts (Mohammad S. Alsoufi and Elsaved, 2017)	41
31	Flow chart for the overall process	47
3.1	Chamber for 3D printer	
3.2	The schematic diagram for enclosing chamber 3D printer	-0 /0
3.4	The 3D printer with the chamber was set inside a fume cupboard and inert gas	50
3.5	ASTM D638 – Type I Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics	53
3.6	3D CAD model of ASTM D638 – Type I	53
3.7	Specimen setup using Repetier-Host	54
3.8	Instron 5585 was conducted for the tensile test	58
3.9	The proposed ideology of the 100% infill density by measuring the four different faces of the cubic block model for surface roughness	60
3 10	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	62
3.11	An example of a specimen with a build angle is α°	63
3.12	Type of warping deformation obtained, while (a) curling effect, (b) overhang effect, (c) internal shrinkage effect, and (d) side shrinkage	65
4.1	Tensile strength versus layer thickness for 100% infill density of ABS material	68
4.2	Boxplot graph for tensile strength of ABS material for Ar-O ₂ (0%)	71
4.3	Tensile strength versus layer thickness for 100% infill density PLA material	73
4.4	Boxplot graph for tensile strength of PLA material for $Ar-O_2(0\%)$	75
4.5	Tensile strength versus layer thickness with 100% infill density for Cu/PLA material	77
4.6	Boxplot graph for tensile strength of Cu/PLA material for Ar- O ₂ (0%)	79
4.7	Comparison of Tensile Strength for 3D Printing Materials in Inert and Atmospheric Gas Conditions with 0.3mm Layer Thickness	80
4.8	Influence of Layer Thickness on Surface Roughness at Face 1 for ABS Material at 100% Infill Density Under Various Printing Conditions	83

4.9	Influence of Layer Thickness on Surface Roughness at Face 1 for PLA Material at 100% Infill Density Under Various Printing	86
	Conditions	
4.10	Influence of Layer Thickness on Surface Roughness at Face 1 for	89
	Cu/PLA Material at 100% Infill Density Under Various Printing	
	Conditions	
4.11	The effect of layer thickness on surface roughness with different	92
	faces with $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ contained using ABS material	
4.12	The effect of layer thickness on surface roughness with different	93
	faces at Ar-O ₂ (10%) contained using ABS material	
4.13	The effect of layer thickness on surface roughness with different	95
	faces with atmospheric air using ABS material	
4.14	(a) 0.1mm layer thickness (b) 0.2 mm layer thickness (c) 0.3 mm	96
	layer thickness: surface roughness of outer faces at different layer	
	thicknesses and printing conditions for ABS material	
4.15	Microstructure of ABS material with 0.3mm layer thickness with	98
	different printing conditions	
4.16	Microstructure of ABS material with $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ with increasing	99
	laver thickness	
4.17	Microstructure of PLA material with 0.3mm layer thickness and	100
	printing conditions	
4.18	Microstructure of PLA material with $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ with increasing	102
	laver thickness	
4.19	Microstructure of Cu/PLA material with 0.3mm layer thickness	103
	with different printing conditions	
4.20	Microstructure of Cu/PLA material with $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ with increasing	104
	laver thickness	
4.21	Printing condition with atmospheric air for curling effect among the	107
	build angles with various materials	107
4.22	Printing condition with N_2 - $O_2(0\%)$ for curling effect among the	108
	build angles with various materials	
4.23	Printing condition with $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ for curling effect among the	109
	build angles with various materials	
4.24	Printing conditions with atmospheric air for the overhang effect	111
	among the build angles using various materials	
4.25	Printing condition with N_2 - $O_2(0\%)$ for overhang effect among the	112
	build angles with various materials	
4.26	Printing condition with $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ for overhang effect among the	113
	build angles with various materials	
4.27	Printing condition with atmospheric air for internal shrinkage effect	115
	among the build angles with various materials	-
4.28	Printing condition with N_2 - $O_2(0\%)$ for internal shrinkage effect	116
	among the build angles with various materials	
4.29	Printing condition with $Ar-O_2(0\%)$ for internal shrinkage effect	117
	among the build angles with various materials	/
4.30	Printing condition with atmospheric air for side shrinkage effect	119
	among the build angles with various materials	>

4.31	Printing condition with N2-O2(0%) for side shrinkage effect among	120
	the build angles with various materials	
4.32	Printing condition with Ar-O ₂ (0%) for side shrinkage effect among	121
	the build angles with various materials	
4.33	Percentage error of PLA material for curling effect	122
4.34	Percentage error of Cu/PLA material for curling effect	123
4.35	Percentage error of ABS material for curling effect	124
4.36	Percentage error of PLA material for overhang effect	125
4.37	Percentage error of Cu/PLA material for overhang effect	126
4.38	Percentage error of ABS material for overhang effect	127
4.39	Percentage error of PLA material for internal shrinkage effect	128
4.40	Percentage error of Cu/PLA material for internal shrinkage effect	129
4.41	Percentage error of ABS material for internal shrinkage effect	130
4.42	Percentage error of PLA material for side shrinkage effect	131
4.43	Percentage error of Cu/PLA material for side shrinkage effect	132
4.44	Percentage error of ABS material for side shrinkage effect	133
4.45	Cross-section of layer orientation for $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$, 45° , 60° and 90°	134



LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	
----------	--

TITLE

PAGE

A	Gantt Chart for the Whole Project	149
В	Fracture of Dog Bone after Tensile Test	150
C	Boxplot Graph for Tensile Strength	153
D	Surface Roughness with Different Materials	173
	UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AM	-	Additive Manufacturing
SLM	-	Selective Laser Melting
FDM	-	Fused Deposition Modeling
SLA	-	Stereolithography
CAD	TA	Computer- Aided design
ABS	EKNI	Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene
PLA	T	Polylactic Acid
PEI	-02	Polyetherimide
ABS-PC	5	Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene-Polycarbonate
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
NIST	UNI	National Institute of Standards and Technology
TGA	-	Thermal gravimetric analysis
SLS	-	Selective Laser Sintering
Cu/PLA	-	Polylactic-reinforced copper
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope

LIST OF SYMBOLS

L	=	Total initial length
$\Delta A \text{ or } A_{CAD}$	=	Different in Area or Area from dimension
$T or T_0$	=	Initial temperature
ΔH or H_{CAL}) =	Changes in height or height from dimension
A or A_0	The line	Initial surface area
D	TEKNIN	Distance between two points
∆ <i>L</i> or	LISZ	Different in length over initial length
ΔA	= 11	Different in surface area over the initial surface area
A	5100	المنام المراجعة فالمسالم
σ	=	Stress
Ε	UĦIVE	Modulus of elasticity AL MALAYSIA MELAKA
3	=	Strain
R_a	=	Surface roughness

LIST OF PUBLICATION

JOURNAL PAPER

 Che Mat, M.A., Ramli, F.R., Sudin, M.N., Herawan, S.G., and Alkahari, M.R. The effect of tensile strength and surface roughness by varying oxygen level in 3D printer chamber. *Jurnal Tribologi, Vol 33, pp. 80–96 (2022).*

CONFERENCES ATTENDED

- Che Mat, M.A., Ramli, F.R., Sudin, M.N., Herawan, S.G., Mat, M.S., Alkahari, M.R. (2022). The Effects of Varying Oxygen Concentrations on Tensile Strength and Surface Roughness of 3D Printer. *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference and Exhibition* on Sustainable Energy and Advanced Materials (ICE-SEAM 2021).
- Che Mat, M.A., Ramli, F.R., Alkahari, M.R., Sudin, M.N., Abdollah, M.F., Mat, S. Influence of layer thickness and infill design on the surface roughness of PLA, PETG and metal copper materials. *Proceedings of Mechanical Engineering Research Day 2020, pp.* 64-66, December 2020.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Project

Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) is a widely used additive manufacturing technique where thermoplastic filaments are melted and deposited layer by layer to create 3D objects. It is classified among additive manufacturing methods and is gaining popularity among researchers and industry professionals for study and development purposes. Additive manufacturing techniques enable the production of intricate shapes and structures with efficient material management, reducing waste and numerous other benefits compared to traditional manufacturing methods, which are increasing in popularity (Kristiawan et al., 2021).

Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) is a popular technique in additive manufacturing, known for its ability to produce complex geometries with a wide range of materials (Acierno and Patti, 2023). Nevertheless, due to the complexity of the FDM process, identifying the optimal parameters can pose a challenge, leading to a notable impact on the quality and material properties of the final product.

FDM also has disadvantages that require further consideration, with the primary drawback being its low strength attributed to the weakened interlayer bond formed between layers. This limitation has impeded the utilization of this 3D printing technology to produce functional parts. (Yasa and Ersoy, 2020). In their study, Lederle et al. (2016) observed that oxygen under high-temperature conditions leads to material breakdown in ABS due to oxidation mechanisms. Oxidative reactions primarily influence the polybutadiene phase, which contains active double bonds, resulting in a substantial strength loss. This effect becomes particularly

apparent when the finished surface of the 3D-printed component undergoes bending or alteration.

In addition, FDM-printed parts may have a coarse surface finish, necessitating postprocessing methods to refine their appearance and enhance performance. The layer-by-layer deposition technique inherent to FDM printing produces visible layer lines, which can compromise the surface finish and dimensional accuracy of printed parts. Consequently, FDM's suitability for end-use applications is restricted. Moreover, temperature variations in the surroundings during 3D printing may result in uneven distribution between adjacent printing layers, leading to shrinkage and warping. Therefore, a controlled and enclosed build environment is essential to minimize the occurrence of warping and shrinkage in FDM (Kuo et al., 2021).

Mazlan et al. (2018a) researched to enhance strength, surface roughness, and warping deformation limitations in FDM parts. They employed pre-processing techniques, such as optimizing process parameters. Additional in-processing methods, such as the integrated pressing mechanism, adaptive slicing and vacuum system (Maidin et al., 2018), along with post-processing methods such as chemical vapour treatment (Sunay et al., 2020), have demonstrated improvements in FDM-printed parts. Therefore, to fully harness FDM's potential for functional component fabrication, the exploration of more innovative or refined techniques is essential.

1.2 Problem Statements

While FDM remains a widely adopted 3D printing technique due to its ease of use and affordability, research on enhancing its capabilities using inert gas flooding within the printing chamber remains relatively limited. Mazlan et al. (2018a) demonstrated an increase in tensile strength and surface roughness when employing inert gas-assisted 3D printers compared to