

AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER SCREENING USING DSM-5 FULFILLMENT AND MACHINE LEARNING ADAPTATION



MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING



Faculty of Electronics and Computer Technology and Engineering

AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER SCREENING USING DSM-5 FULFILLMENT AND MACHINE LEARNING ADAPTATION

Wan Azamudin Bin Wan Zaini

Master of Science in Electronic Engineering

AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER SCREENING USING DSM-5 FULFILLMENT AND MACHINE LEARNING ADAPTATION

WAN AZAMUDIN BIN WAN ZAINI

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Electronic Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

Faculty of Electronics and Computer Technology and Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled "Autism Spectrum Disorder Screening using DSM-5 Fulfillment and Machine Learning Adaptation" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature	
Name	Wan Azamudin Bin Wan Zaini
Date	. 7/5/2025

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Electronics Engineering.

Signature Supervisor Name	:
Date	7/5/2025

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to my Dad, Mom, my siblings and also my beloved wife.



ABSTRACT

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a complex neurodevelopmental condition characterized by persistent challenges in social communication, restricted interests, and repetitive behaviours. The prevalence of ASD has increased globally, prompting the need for more reliable, objective, and scalable screening and diagnostic methods. Traditional diagnostic tools, such as the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5), remain widely used in clinical settings. However, these tools are inherently dependent on subjective human judgment and clinician expertise, which can lead to inconsistencies in diagnosis and delayed interventions, particularly in early developmental stages. To address these limitations, this study explores a data-driven approach by integrating DSM-5 diagnostic criteria with advanced machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) models to enhance ASD detection and severity classification. Two datasets were employed in this research: the Q-Chat-10 online screening dataset, consisting of 1054 toddler data, 104 adolescence data, and 704 adult data samples, used for binary classification between ASD and non-ASD individuals; and the DSM-5 Diagnostic Dataset from Hospital Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (HUKM), comprising 177 clinical samples after oversampling, used for multi-class classification of ASD severity (mild, moderate, and severe). Given the imbalance in class distribution, particularly in the severity-level dataset, oversampling techniques were implemented to improve model fairness and performance across all severity categories. The machine learning models evaluated in this study include Support Vector Machine (SVM), Decision Tree, and k-Nearest Neighbour (kNN). A Deep Neural Network (DNN) architecture was also designed and trained for comparative analysis. Model performance was assessed using standard classification metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. The results demonstrate that the DNN model outperformed traditional ML models in both binary and severity-level classification tasks. Notably, the DNN achieved 100% accuracy in detecting ASD among younger children, reinforcing its potential as a tool for early screening. Furthermore, the severity classification results showed improved granularity and consistency compared to outcomes generated by manual assessments alone. This research highlights the value of integrating clinical standards with artificial intelligence to improve the speed, accuracy, and objectivity of ASD screening processes. The findings suggest that such hybrid approaches could support clinicians in making more informed decisions, reduce diagnostic delays, and enable timely interventions. Future research should explore larger and more diverse populations, refine model generalizability, address ethical considerations such as data privacy and bias, and assess realworld clinical deployment feasibility.

PENAPISAN GANGGUAN SPEKTRUM AUTISME MENGGUNAKAN PEMENUHAN

DSM-5 DAN PENYESUAIAN PEMBELAJARAN MESIN

ABSTRAK

Gangguan Spektrum Autisme (ASD) ialah satu keadaan neuroperkembangan yang kompleks yang dicirikan oleh cabaran berterusan dalam komunikasi sosial, minat yang terhad, dan tingkah laku berulang. Kadar prevalens ASD telah meningkat di seluruh dunia, sekali gus mendorong keperluan terhadap kaedah penapisan dan diagnosis yang lebih boleh dipercayai, objektif, dan boleh diskalakan. Alat diagnostik tradisional seperti Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Edisi Kelima (DSM-5) masih digunakan secara meluas dalam persekitaran klinikal. Namun begitu, alat-alat ini bergantung kepada penilaian subjektif manusia dan kepakaran klinikal, yang boleh menyebabkan ketidakkonsistenan dalam diagnosis serta kelewatan intervensi terutamanya dalam peringkat awal perkembangan kanak-kanak. Bagi menangani kelemahan ini, kajian ini meneroka pendekatan berasaskan data dengan mengintegrasikan kriteria diagnostik DSM-5 bersama model pembelajaran mesin dan pembelajaran mendalam untuk meningkatkan pengesanan ASD dan klasifikasi tahap keterukan. Dua set data telah digunakan dalam kajian ini: set data penapisan dalam talian Q-Chat-10, yang terdiri daripada 1054 data kanak-kanak kecil, 104 data remaja, dan 704 data dewasa, digunakan untuk klasifikasi binari antara individu ASD dan bukan ASD; serta set data Diagnostik DSM-5 dari Hospital Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (HUKM), yang terdiri daripada 177 sampel klinikal selepas proses oversampling, digunakan untuk klasifikasi berbilang kelas bagi tahap keterukan ASD (ringan, sederhana, dan teruk). Memandangkan ketidakseimbangan dalam taburan kelas, khususnya dalam set data tahap keterukan, teknik oversampling telah dilaksanakan bagi meningkatkan keadilan dan prestasi model merentas semua kategori keterukan. Model pembelajaran mesin yang dinilai dalam kajian ini termasuk Support Vector Machine (SVM), Decision Tree, dan k-Nearest Neighbour (k-NN). Satu rangka kerja Deep Neural Network (DNN) turut direka bentuk dan dilatih bagi tujuan perbandingan. Prestasi model dinilai menggunakan metrik klasifikasi standard seperti ketepatan, precision, recall, dan skor F1. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa model DNN mengatasi model ML tradisional dalam kedua-dua tugas klasifikasi binari dan klasifikasi tahap keterukan. Menariknya, DNN mencapai 100% ketepatan dalam mengesan ASD dalam kalangan kanak-kanak kecil, mengukuhkan potensinya sebagai alat untuk penapisan awal. Selain itu, keputusan klasifikasi tahap keterukan menunjukkan perincian dan konsistensi yang lebih baik berbanding penilaian manual semata-mata. Kajian ini menekankan nilai penggabungan piawaian klinikal dengan kecerdasan buatan bagi meningkatkan kelajuan, ketepatan, dan objektiviti proses penapisan ASD. Dapatan kajian mencadangkan bahawa pendekatan hibrid seperti ini boleh menyokong pengamal klinikal dalam membuat keputusan yang lebih tepat, mengurangkan kelewatan diagnosis, dan membolehkan intervensi dilaksanakan dengan lebih awal. Penyelidikan masa depan disarankan untuk meneroka populasi yang lebih besar dan pelbagai, memperhalusi keumuman model, menangani pertimbangan etika seperti privasi data dan bias, serta menilai kebolehlaksanaan pelaksanaan di persekitaran klinikal sebenar.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the Name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful. All praises to Allah and His blessing for the completion of this thesis. I thank God for all the opportunities, trials and strength that have been showered on me to finish writing the thesis. I experienced so much during this process, not only from the academic aspect but also from the aspect of personality. My humblest gratitude to the holy Prophet Muhammad (Peace be upon him) whose way of life has been a continuous guidance for me.

First and foremost, I would like to sincerely thank my supervisor, Associate Prof. Dr. Syafeeza binti Ahmad Radzi for her guidance, understanding, patience and most importantly, she has provided positive encouragement and a warm spirit to finish this thesis. It has been a great pleasure and honour to have him as my supervisor.

My deepest gratitude goes to all my family members. It would not be possible to write this thesis without the support from them. I would like to thank my dearest father Wan Zaini bin Wan Saad, my mother Suliana binti Ce' Asan, my sisters Azimah, Arinah, Ardini and Aleesya.

I offer my special thanks to all my colleagues; Zaim, Nur Alisa, Associate Prof. Dr. Wira Hidayat bin Mohd Saat for their motivation, prayers, and their sincere help during my studies.

I also want to extend my thanks to Admin Staff in the Faculty of Electronic and Computer Engineering, University Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, for their help and support in the administrative works.

Finally, I would sincerely like to thank my wife, Nur Syahindah Husna Binti Ramli who had been with me and support me through thick and thin until we can complete this Masters' Degree.

May God shower the above cited personalities with success and honour in their life.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE O LIST OF LIST OF LIST OF LIST OF	VAL TION CT	i ii iii iv vii ix xi xii xiii xiv
CHAPTE	CR S	
1.1	1.1.1 Brief introduction of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) 1.1.2 Standard ASD screening and diagnostic tool 1.1.3 AI-based approach Problem Statement Research Questions Research Objectives Scope of Research	1 1 4 4 6 8 8 9 12
2. LI	TERATURE REVIEW	14
2.1		14
2.2		15
	2.2.1 Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS)	16
	2.2.2 Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R)	18
	2.2.3 Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS)2.2.4 Gillian Autism Rating Scale (GARS)	21 23
	2.2.5 Diagnostic Interview for Social and Communication Disorder	
	(DISCO)	25
	2.2.6 Developmental, Dimensional & Diagnostic Interview (3DI)	27
	2.2.7 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder (DSM)	29
2.3	3 Diagnostic Tools Comparison	34
2.4	C 71	37
	2.4.1 Supervised Learning	39
	2.4.2 Unsupervised Learning	39
	2.4.3 Semi-supervised Learning	40
2.5	2.4.4 Reinforcement Learning Machine Learning Algorithms and Toolse	40
2.5		41
	iv	

		2.5.1	Classification	41
			Machine Learning and Deep Learning Techniques	42
		2.5.3	Feature Learning and Dimensionality Reduction	49
	2.6	Addre	ssing Imbalanced Datasets in Machine Learning	51
		2.6.1	Data Augmentation	52
		2.6.2	Combining Oversampling and Undersampling	52
		2.6.3	Evaluation Metrics	53
	2.7	Comp	arative Analysis of Machine Learning Models Using the AQ-10 D	ataset
				53
	2.8	Summ	ary	57
3.	ME'	THOD	OLOGY	60
	3.1	Introd	uction	60
	3.2	Resear	rch Design	61
		3.2.1	Proposed Methodology	63
		3.2.2	Data Collection	65
		3.2.3	Data Preprocessing	69
			Model Training	74
			Cross-validation	79
			Fine Tuning	81
		3.2.7	Performance Evaluation	82
	3.3	Summ	ary	85
4.	RES	SULT A	AND DISCUSSION	87
	4.1	Introd	uction (87
	4.2	Result	and Analysis of Autism Spectrum Disorder for QChat-10 Toddle	r
		datase	^t TI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA	88
		4.2.1	Support Vector Machine	90
			Decision Tree	94
			k-Nearest Neighbour	98
		4.2.4	Deep Neural Network	102
	4.3		s and Analysis of Autism Spectrum Disorder for QChat-10 Adole	
		Datase		106
		4.3.1	Support Vector Machine	107
			Decision Tree	111
			k-Nearest Neighbour	113
			Deep Neural Network	117
	4.4		s and Analysis of Autism Spectrum Disorder for QChat-10 Adult	4.00
		Datase		120
		4.4.1	11	122
			Decision Tree	126
			k-Nearest Neighbour	129
	4.5		Deep Neural Network	132
	4.5		s and Analysis of Autism Spectrum Disorder for HUKM Dataset	136
		4.5.1	Support Vector Machine	138
			Decision Tree	140
		4.5.3	k-Nearest Neighbour	143

		4.5.4 Deep Neural Network	146
	4.6	Summary	150
5.	CO	NCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RE	SEARCH
			153
	5.1	Introduction	153
	5.2	Summary of the Research Objectives	154
	5.3	Research Contributions	155
	5.4	Practical Implications and Beneficiaries	157
	5.5	Limitations of The Present Study	159
	5.6	Future Works	161
	5.7	Summary	164
REF	EREN	ICES	166
	ENDI		187
			20.

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.1	Scope of work	10
Table 2.1	Advantages and Disadvantages of Autism Diagnostic Tools	35
Table 2.2	Analysis of machine learning models using the AQ-10 dataset	55
Table 2.3	Research gap for machine learning models for other ASD datasets	56
Table 3.1	Average QChat-10 Scores by Demographics Across Age Groups	66
Table 3.2	Criteria A severity	68
Table 3.3	Criteria B severity	68
Table 3.4	Number of samples based on severity	68
Table 3.5	Confusion matrix for binary classification	84
Table 3.6	Confusion matrix for multi-class classification	84
Table 4.1	Performance evaluation of SVM model on toddler's dataset	93
Table 4.2	Performance evaluation of decision tree toddler	98
Table 4.3	Performance evaluation of k -nearest neighbour using toddler dataset for k =1	et 101
Table 4.4	Performance evaluation of k -nearest neighbour using toddler dataset for k =10	et 101
Table 4.5	Performance evaluation of DNN for toddler dataset	105
Table 4.6	Performance evaluation of SVM adolescent	109
Table 4.7	Performance evaluation of decision tree adolescent	113
Table 4.8	Performance evaluation of kNN on adolescent dataset	117
Table 4.9	Performance evaluation of DNN for adolescent dataset	120
Table 4.10	Performance evaluation of SVM adult	124

Table 4.11	Performance evaluation of decision tree adult	128
Table 4.12	Performance evaluation of kNN on adult dataset	132
Table 4.13	Performance evaluation of DNN for adult dataset	135
Table 4.14	Performance evaluation of SVM HUKM data	140
Table 4.15	Performance evaluation of decision tree HUKM data	143
Table 4.16	Performance evaluation of kNN HUKM data	146
Table 4.17	Performance evaluation of DNN on HUKM data	150



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Type of machine learning methods	38
Figure 2.2	Fully connected layer	49
Figure 3.1	The proposed flowcharts for ASD classification to discriminal between ASD and non-ASD (objective 1) and to classify AS severity levels (objective 2)	
Figure 3.2	Number of samples collected	69
Figure 3.3	HUKM dataset after being oversampled	73
Figure 4.1	PCA analysis of toddler dataset	90
Figure 4.2	SVM toddler confusion matrix	91
Figure 4.3	Visualization of the SVM classifier for the toddler dataset	94
Figure 4.4	Toddler dataset accuracy against max depth = 10	95
Figure 4.5	Confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier on the toddl dataset (max_depth=10)	er 96
Figure 4.6	Toddler dataset accuracy against value of k	99
Figure 4.7	k-nearest neighbour toddler confusion matrix (k =10)	102
Figure 4.8	Accuracy graph of deep neural network for toddler dataset	103
Figure 4.9	Loss graph of deep neural network for toddler dataset	103
Figure 4.10	Deep neural network toddler confusion matrix	104
Figure 4.11	PCA analysis for adolescent dataset	107
Figure 4.12	SVM adolescent confusion matrix	108
Figure 4.13	SVM adolescent visualization	110
Figure 4.14	Adolescent dataset accuracy against max depth = 9	111
Figure 4.15	Confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier on the adolesce	ent 112

Figure 4.16	Adolescent dataset accuracy against value of $k = 1$	115
Figure 4.17	kNN adolescent confusion matrix	115
Figure 4.18	Accuracy graph of DNN adolescent dataset	118
Figure 4.19	Loss graph of DNN adolescent dataset	118
Figure 4.20	DNN adolescent confusion matrix	119
Figure 4.21	PCA analysis of adult dataset	121
Figure 4.22	SVM adult confusion matrix	123
Figure 4.23	SVM adult visualization	125
Figure 4.24	Adult dataset accuracy against max depth	126
Figure 4.25	Confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier on the adult datas	set127
Figure 4.26	Adult dataset accuracy against value of k	130
Figure 4.27	k-nearest neighbour adult confusion matrix	131
Figure 4.28	Accuracy graph of DNN adult dataset	133
Figure 4.29	Loss graph of DNN adult dataset	133
Figure 4.30	DNN's confusion matrix for adult dataset AMELAKA	134
Figure 4.31	PCA analysis of HUKM dataset	137
Figure 4.32	SVM HUKM data confusion matrix	138
Figure 4.33	HUKM dataset accuracy against max depth	141
Figure 4.34	Confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier on the HUK dataset.	M 142
Figure 4.35	HUKM data accuracy against value of k	144
Figure 4.36	kNN HUKM data confusion matrix	145
Figure 4.37	Accuracy graph of DNN on HUKM data	147
Figure 4.38	Loss graph of DNN HUKM data	148
Figure 4.39	Deep neural network HUKM data confusion matrix	149

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

HUKM - Hospital Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia

ASD - Autism Spectrum Disorder

ADOS - Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule

ADI-R - Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised

CARS - Childhood Autism Rating Scale

GARS - Gillian Autism Rating Scale

DISCO - Diagnostic Interview for Social and Communication Disorder

3DI - Developmental, Dimensional & Diagnostic Interview

DSM - Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder

DNN - Deep Neural Network

SVM - Support Vector Machine

*k*NN - *k*-Nearest Neighbour

TP - True Positive

TN - True Negative

FP - False Positive

FN - False Negative

PCA - Principal Component Analysis (dimensionality reduction)

AUC - Area Under the ROC Curve

ACC - Accuracy

LIST OF SYMBOLS

TP_i	-	True Positive for class i (e.g., TP_1 for Mild)
TN_i	-	True Negative for class i (e.g., TN_1 for Mild)
FP_i	-	False Positive for class i (e.g., FP_1 for Mild)
FN_i	-	False Negative for class i (e.g., FN_1 for Mild)
M_{ij}	AYS	Confusion matrix entry: actual class i , predicted class j
X	-	Input features or data (e.g., QChat-10 responses)
Y	-	Target label or class (ASD, severity class)
Ŷ	-	Predicted label or output from model
L	-	Loss function (e.g., categorical cross-entropy)
σ	-	Activation function (e.g., sigmoid, ReLU, softmax)
k	<u> </u>	Number of neighbors in k-Nearest Neighbour (kNN)
<i>C</i> INIVER	SI	Regularization parameter in SVM

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A Summary stu	idies of ASD diagnostic tools	187
Appendix B Summary of	ASD research using machine learning	190
Appendix C HUKM Data	DSM-5 Diagnostic Criteria for Autism Checkli	st 192
Appendix D Q-Chat-10 To	oddler Autism Screening Data Samples	196
Appendix E Q-Chat-10 A	dolescent Autism Screening Data Samples	197
Appendix F Q-Chat-10 Ac	dult Autism Screening Data Samples	198
Appendix G HUKM ASD	Severity Data Samples	199

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

The followings are the list of publications related to the work on this thesis:

1. Azamudin, W.W., Syafeeza, A.R. and Ali, N.A., 2022. A Review of Autism Spectrum Disorder Diagnostic Tools. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 14(6), pp.329-345.



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

1.1.1 Brief introduction of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a lifelong neurodevelopmental condition that typically manifests in early childhood and continues across a person's lifespan. It is primarily defined by persistent deficits in social communication and interaction, along with restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities. These core characteristics significantly affect an individual's ability to function in everyday life, including their interactions within family units, academic environments, and broader social contexts (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Mayes & Calhoun, 1999).

The term "spectrum" in ASD reflects the wide heterogeneity in the condition's presentation, severity, and functional impact. Some individuals may exhibit profound impairments in verbal and non-verbal communication and require intensive lifelong support, while others may possess average or even above-average intelligence with subtle social and behavioral difficulties. Historically, such variation was represented through sub-diagnostic categories such as Autistic Disorder, Asperger's Syndrome, and Pervasive Developmental Disorder – Not Otherwise Specified (PDD-NOS), as proposed in earlier versions of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV). However, the DSM-5, published in 2013, consolidated these categories under a single diagnosis, Autism Spectrum Disorder to provide a more unified and flexible diagnostic framework (APA, 2013).

The origins of the condition trace back to early 20th-century clinical observations. Leo Kanner first described autism in 1943, characterizing children with severe language delays, social withdrawal, and repeatable behaviours. Around the same time, Hans Asperger documented children who displayed more fluent speech and higher cognitive functioning, but who still struggled with social reciprocity and exhibited intense interests and repetitive behaviours (Kanner et al., 1943; Asperger, 1944). These foundational studies shaped early understanding and classification of ASD, which has since evolved with growing research and clinical insight.

Beyond the primary diagnostic criteria, ASD is often accompanied by a range of comorbidities that further complicate diagnosis and management. Common co-occurring conditions include intellectual disabilities, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), anxiety disorders, sensory processing difficulties, epilepsy, gastrointestinal issues, and sleep disturbances (Zachariah et al., 2017). These associated conditions can intensify the challenges faced by individuals with ASD and often require multidisciplinary treatment approaches involving neurologists, psychiatrists, occupational therapists, and special educators.

The prognosis for individuals with ASD is highly variable and influenced by several factors, including the severity of symptoms, presence of comorbidities, cognitive ability, access to interventions, and age at diagnosis. Early identification plays a critical role in improving outcomes. Studies have consistently shown that intervention during the sensitive developmental window, typically between ages 2 to 3 years that can lead to significant improvements in communication skills, adaptive functioning, and overall quality of life (Dawson et al., 2008). For example, Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA), one of the most

widely validated intervention models, involves highly structured and individualized therapy sessions that can range from 20 to 40 hours per week. These programs are designed to reinforce desirable behaviors and reduce maladaptive ones, while also equipping children with functional skills necessary for daily living (Lovaas, 1987).

Despite the documented benefits of early and intensive intervention, the diagnostic and therapeutic process remains challenging. Diagnosis often depends on the subjective assessment of behavioural symptoms, which can vary widely in presentation and may not fully emerge until later in development. Moreover, waiting times for formal diagnosis and access to intervention services can be long, placing emotional and financial strain on families (McKinney & Peterson, 1987).

To compound this, the underlying biological mechanisms of ASD remain incompletely understood. Although genetic and neurobiological factors are believed to play major roles, no single cause has been identified, and the condition is widely considered to be the result of complex interactions between genetic susceptibility and environmental influences (Vorstman et al., 2017). This ongoing uncertainty makes diagnosis and treatment planning even more complex, leading to variability in care quality across different regions and populations.

In summary, Autism Spectrum Disorder represents a diverse group of developmental conditions that affect multiple domains of functioning. The disorder's complexity demands a nuanced approach to diagnosis, early screening, intervention, and long-term support. Understanding the broad spectrum of presentations and needs associated with ASD is essential for developing effective educational plans, therapeutic strategies, and support systems that can empower individuals with autism to lead fulfilling lives.

1.1.2 Standard ASD screening and diagnostic tool

The current methods of ASD screening and diagnosis have several limitations that warrant scholarly attention. These conventional methods often rely on human judgment, leading to inconsistent diagnoses and reduced reliability. Moreover, they tend to focus on easily observable behaviors, which might miss the important underlying aspects of the disorder and its various forms. The reliance on behavioral indicators alone may not fully encompass the multidimensional nature of the disorder, potentially overlooking the underlying cognitive, sensory, and emotional aspects (Sahafi et al., 2023). These methods may also lack the sensitivity required to identify early signs of ASD in young children, delaying critical interventions for developmental outcomes (Whitehouse et al., 2021). Additionally, these methods might not adequately address the wide spectrum of ASD manifestations, leading to underdiagnoses or misclassifications in cases that deviate from standardized criteria (Johnson et al., 2022). Furthermore, dependency on clinical expertise and longer assessment times could limit the scalability of diagnostic services (Corona et al., 2021). These shortcomings underscore the need to explore alternative methodologies that offer a more comprehensive and objective assessment of ASD, transcending the limitations of traditional screening and diagnostic paradigms.

1.1.3 AI-based approach

The current AI approaches in ASD detection often involve the application of machine learning algorithms to analyze large datasets, which can include clinical, behavioral, genetic, and environmental data. The use of deep learning, natural language processing, and